Macrophage-Induced Adipose Tissue Dysfunction and the Preadipocyte: Should I Stay (and Differentiate) or Should I Go?1–3

Alexander Sorisky,* André S. D. Molgat, and AnneMarie Gagnon
Chronic Disease Program, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute; Departments of Medicine and of Biochemistry, Microbiology, and Immunology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

ABSTRACT

Adipose tissue can be regarded as a multidepot organ responsible for metabolic homeostasis by managing sophisticated energy transactions as well as by producing bioactive molecules that regulate insulin sensitivity and immune and vascular responses. Chronic nutrient excess expands adipose tissue, and concomitant variations in its cellular and matrix remodeling can affect the extent of the metabolic dysfunction that is associated with obesity. Preadipocytes, also termed adipose progenitor cells, play a pivotal role in determining whether a dysfunctional hypertrophic state arises as opposed to a hyperplastic process in which mature adipocytes remain relatively responsive. Obesity is associated with infiltration of macrophages, and these immune cells have been shown to communicate with preadipocytes to influence how they differentiate, survive, and proliferate.


Introduction

White adipose tissue is a complex, multidepot organ whose function is vital for metabolic and vascular health. It serves as a sophisticated bank for energy currency, building up reserves from incoming postprandial calories in the form of triacylglycerol and releasing supplies when needed during fasting or exercise as fatty acids. In addition, bioactive molecules produced and secreted by adipose tissue influence diverse physiological parameters including appetite, energy expenditure, insulin sensitivity, vascular function, immunity, and coagulation (1).

Obesity, that is, the excessive accumulation of adipose tissue, is associated with poor health outcomes due to several metabolic and cardiovascular complications, such as type 2 diabetes and myocardial infarction. A proinflammatory process in adipose tissue causing insulin resistance is thought to underlie many of these obesity-associated disorders. This dysfunctional state may be intricately tied to defective cellular turnover and remodeling of adipose tissue, including infiltration of macrophages, during nutrient excess (2).

Adipose tissue is composed of distinct cell types in addition to adipocytes. Adipose progenitor cells are thought to reside within the adipose tissue stromal compartment. Those cells, which are farther along the continuum of commitment to the adipocyte lineage, are often called preadipocytes, whereas labels such as adipose progenitor or stem cells are often used to indicate less committed cells that exhibit some degree of multipotency toward other mesodermal fates, but there is some overlap in this usage in the literature (3).

The abundance of preadipocytes and their adipogenic capacity are important variables that influence the architecture and operational status of expanding adipose tissue in the obese. This review focuses on white adipose tissue preadipocytes and, in particular, on their novel interactions with macrophages as related to adipose tissue form and function in obesity.

Current state of knowledge

Adipose cellularity: hypertrophy versus hyperplasia

Owing to technological advances in cell biology studies in the 1960s, attention was drawn to the cellular nature of
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adipose tissue, and the concept of adipose tissue hyperplasia (increased adipocyte number) versus hypertrophy (increased adipocyte size) in obesity were introduced (4). This paradigm has been invigorated by recent studies clearly documenting adipocyte turnover in humans, that is, adipocyte loss counterbalanced by adipocyte formation through the differentiation of preadipocytes. Depending on variables such as the methodology used and the metabolic profile of the population, adipocyte turnover rates in humans have been calculated to be 10%/y, using carbon 14 dating of adipocyte genomic DNA (5), to as high as 58–105%/y based on $^3$H$_2$O labeling of newly synthesized adipocyte DNA (6). The higher rate was thought to be influenced to a minor degree by the inadvertent minor presence of stromal vascular cells in the sample, suggesting that preadipocytes turn over more rapidly than adipocytes. Adipocyte number can increase in nonobese humans in response to overfeeding for ~8 wk, depending on the adipose depot (7).

Human experimental data are emerging that support the concept of a preadipocyte deficit. Adipocyte formation rates are decreased in subjects with adipose tissue hypertrophy (8). There are fewer adipocyte progenitor cells, based on CD90 positivity, in adipose tissue obtained from obese versus lean subjects (9). Using a different strategy to identify preadipocytes, another group also reported a reduction in preadipocyte number in obesity (10). A diminished ability of subcutaneous preadipocytes to differentiate has been linked to central obesity (11). Reduced adipogenic gene expression in adipose tissue of obese adolescents with versus without insulin resistance has been documented (12). A relative waning of adipogenic reserve also may occur with age (13).

Therefore, it is pertinent to consider how adipose tissue expands to store energy in the setting of a long-term positive caloric imbalance. One current model proposes that hyperplastic growth, generating healthy functional smaller adipocytes derived from responsive and abundant preadipocytes, will boost overall storage capacity while maintaining healthy adipose tissue function. This concept is consistent with the metabolically healthy, or insulin-sensitive, obese phenotype (14). If adipogenic capacity is constrained, either due to an inadequate number of preadipocytes or attenuated differentiation capacity, hypertrophic growth of existing adipocytes will dominate, yielding enlarged swollen adipocytes predisposed to inflammation and insulin resistance (4,15,16). These dysfunctional adipocytes will release chemokines that attract circulating monocytes to infiltrate adipose tissue where they become macrophages, further contributing to the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines with deleterious paracrine and endocrine effects (1,2,17). Other immune cells (neutrophils, eosinophils, mast cells, T cells, B cells) also move to, or reside within, adipose tissue, but are beyond the scope of this review.

Hypertrophic growth of adipose tissue is also less effective in providing adequate storage capacity for the excess energy. As a consequence, fatty acids are redirected to the liver, skeletal muscle, and other organs. The accumulation of ectopic fat and byproducts disrupts cellular functions in those locations and contributes to insulin resistance (16).

Given the influential role of preadipocytes in determining how adipose tissue remodels during adipose tissue accumulation including the accompanying macrophage immigration, it is timely to review recent advances in preadipocyte biology and to consider how macrophages may alter preadipocyte responses.

**Origin of preadipocytes**

Adipose tissue is composed of mature adipocytes (~50% of cells), as well as stromal cells made up of adipocyte progenitor cells, preadipocytes, fibroblasts, immune cells, endothelial cells, and smooth muscle cells (18,19). It has been a challenge to precisely define the preadipocyte cellular component, but recent studies have taken exciting steps toward distinguishing the molecular characteristics of preadipocytes in vivo.

Using mice, one group performed lineage analysis to identify proliferating adipose progenitors that exhibited early commitment to the adipose lineage. These cells, located in the mural cell compartment of adipose tissue vasculature, express PPARG and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptor β, as well as Sca1 and CD34; they are also negative for the immune/endothelial markers CD45, CD105, TER119, and Mac-1 (20). Another group isolated 2 populations of adipocyte progenitors from mouse adipose tissue stroma (depleted of endothelial and hematopoietic cells, i.e., CD31−, CD45−, and Ter119−) on the basis of stem cell surface markers: lin−:CD29+:CD34+:Sca-1+ and either CD24+ or CD24− (21). They accounted for 0.08% or 53.5% of the stromal cells, respectively. Although both cell types could form adipocytes in vitro, only CD24+ cells developed into adipocytes when placed in a lipodystrophic mouse model.

In humans, characterization of the adipose progenitor cells has been more difficult and inconsistent. Studies have identified a population of human adipose tissue stromal cells (CD34+: CD31−) that display adipogenic capacity (22,23). Another group described human adipose tissue progenitors that were CD73+:CD90+:CD105+:CD16−:CD34−:CD45− (24). The reasons for these variations are still unclear. A comprehensive review of the pattern of these markers in adipose cells has been published (3).

These mouse and human studies are consistent with the view of adipocyte progenitors as residing within adipose tissue stroma. However, some still consider the possibility that these cells may be derived from circulating bone marrow progenitor cells that home to adipose tissue (25).

**Preadipocyte cell culture models**

Cell culture models of preadipocytes have facilitated cellular and molecular investigations of proliferation, differentiation, and survival. They were developed over a long time span, from both mouse and human tissues, and each has their own strengths and weaknesses. A recent review provides a comparative overview of these systems (26).

C3H10T1/2 cells were derived from mouse embryos and are characterized by their multipotency with respect to being able to differentiate into myocytes, osteocytes, chondrocytes, and adipocytes. Bone morphogenic protein 2 and 4 activate...
intracellular signaling pathways in these cells that commit them to the adipose lineage in vitro and when implanted subcutaneously into athymic mice (27,28).

3T3-F442A and 3T3-L1 are committed preadipocytes, and, like C3H10T1/2 cells, were derived from mouse embryos: 3T3-F442A preadipocytes differentiate in culture and form fat pads when implanted in athymic mice (29,30). 3T3-L1 preadipocytes are believed to be less mature than their F442A counterparts. The molecular basis for this is their elevated expression of Wnt-10b (31). Downregulation of Wnt-10b or blockade of its action is required to permit them to differentiate. This occurs in response to adipogenic inducers in vitro, or after in vivo implantation into athymic mice, with the use of dominant-negative (e.g., TC4 mutant) genetic strategies to block Wnt action (31).

The 3T3-L1 and 3T3-F442A mouse models have been very useful for understanding the importance and regulation of the early proliferative phase required for activation of adipogenic genes called mitotic clonal expansion (MCE)\(^4\). MCE moves preadipocytes from confluence-induced cell cycle arrest through 1–2 rounds of limited cell division, followed by an exit from the cell cycle and induction of the differentiation program (32).

Primary diploid stromal preadipocytes are isolated from adipose tissue, most commonly through collagenase digestion and size filtration/centrifugation, and placed into culture (33). Depending on conditions, the presence of immune cells and/or endothelial cells can be a concern if not monitored. Sorting of the stromal cells according to the surface markers described above may improve the homogeneity of the population, but as discussed above, a standardized sorting protocol is not yet in sight for human preadipocytes (3).

Unlike mouse preadipocyte cell line models, human stromal preadipocytes do not undergo MCE in culture (34). The precise explanation for this is not known. It has been speculated that these cells represent a more advanced stage of maturation, having already proceeded through MCE in vivo. Human preadipocytes lose their capacity for differentiation after several cell passages, and the required access to ongoing fresh supplies of these cells is a limitation of this valuable experimental human cell model.

A human preadipocyte cell line free of tumor origin has been described. Wabitsch et al. (35) established a new strain of stromal cells from adipose tissue of an infant with Simpson-Golabi-Behmel syndrome (SGBS) and found that the cells could proliferate markedly without losing their adipogenic capacity. However, the precise genetic abnormality in these cells has not been identified.

### Preadipocytes and differentiation

With the use of these experimental models, mechanistic insights into the differentiation process ensued. Many of the in vitro molecular findings have been confirmed with in vivo evidence. There is a structured sequence of gene regulation that comprises the adipogenic program. The identity and interconnection between a variety of adipogenic transcription factors has been reviewed and includes CCAAT/enhancer binding protein family members and PPAR\(\gamma\) (36–38). Acting in concert, they are responsible for the generation of the lipid synthesizing/storage machinery that forms the mature adipocyte and allows for the operational coupling of insulin signal transduction to metabolic responses such as glucose transport.

The early phase of differentiation requires modifications to the extracellular matrix (ECM) and cytoskeleton (2,39). In general, there are a downregulation of fibrillar collagens and fibronectin and an increase in laminar proteins. In addition to changes in expression of the matrix proteins, overall remodeling includes a role for ECM degradation enzymes such as tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP). If these ECM changes are perturbed, adipose tissue development and function can be perturbed. For example, loss of MT1-MMP (MMP14) inhibits adipogenesis in mice (40). Pathological fibrosis in adipose tissue leading to dysfunction also occurs in the absence of fibroblast growth factor 1 (41). On the other hand, removal of collagen VI, which is increased in adipose tissue in obesity, results in healthier adipocytes (42). As discussed in the following, altered ECM is a component of adipose tissue inflammation and macrophage infiltration.

Cell surface signal transduction by insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 or insulin is required for adipogenesis. Important roles for the signaling network downstream of these factors have been reported, including insulin receptor substrate, phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), Akt, mammalian target of rapamycin, S6 kinase 1, eukaryotic initiation factor 4 binding protein 1, and Forkhead box O (43–49). In particular, a mutated Akt2 was identified in a human kindred with diabetes and lipodystrophy (50).

### Preadipocytes and survival

In addition to studies on the adipogenic fate of preadipocytes, investigations concerning their survival responses have also been of interest. Survival capacity may be relevant with respect to the factors governing the size of the preadipocyte pool in vivo. The 3T3-L1 preadipocyte model is very susceptible to apoptosis induced by growth factor withdrawal (serum deprivation). Apoptotic resistance develops as they differentiate, and this correlates with the induction of anti-apoptotic proteins, such as neuronal apoptosis inhibitor protein and Bcl-2 (51–53). Insulin/IGF-1 survival signaling molecules have been delineated in the 3T3-L1 preadipocyte model. There is a requirement for PI3K that can be overcome by exogenous addition of its lipid product, PI(3,4,5)P3 (54). The MEK-ERK1/2 pathway may also operate as part of the 3T3-L1 preadipocyte survival response (55).

Studies on human primary stromal preadipocytes show lower levels of apoptosis than 3T3-L1 preadipocytes in response to serum withdrawal (56–58). A depot-dependent property was observed, with a higher degree of apoptotic

---
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sensitivity to serum withdrawal in omental versus subcutaneous abdominal human preadipocytes (57,59). This anatomic depot-related pattern is consistent with observations of regional differences in mouse and human preadipocyte differentiation responses (60,61).

Serum deprivation alone is not adequate to induce considerable human preadipocyte apoptosis. A more effective trigger of human preadipocyte death consists of serum deprivation in association with cycloheximide and with death ligands such as CD95 and TNF-α (62,63). TNF-α can induce cell death by activating caspase-8 or promote cell survival by activating nuclear factor-κB, whereas cycloheximide reduces levels of cellular FLICE-like inhibitory protein, a negative regulator of apoptosis that acts as an endogenous brake on caspase 8 activation (58,64).

Little has been reported on human preadipocyte survival signaling. A recent report based on SGBS preadipocytes implicates Akt2 in this response (46). The reduced sensitivity of human preadipocytes to apoptosis has been suggested to result from autocrine activation of prosurvival IGF-1 receptor signaling (63).

**Preadipocytes and proliferation**

The size of the preadipocyte pool within adipose tissue is not only influenced by survival, but also by proliferation. Subconfluent preadipocyte proliferation is distinct from MCE and its specialized pattern of cell cycle regulation (65). Proliferation of preadipocytes is stimulated by a variety of molecules, including growth factors such as PDGF, ligands of α2-adrenergic receptors, lysophosphatidic acid, thyroid-stimulating hormone, and endothelial cell factors (66–69). Regional anatomic variation in mouse and human preadipocyte proliferation rates has been observed, as well as differences linked to lean versus obese states (70,71).

Investigations of antiproliferative conditions have also been considered. These include cytokines, such as IL-4, that inhibit PDGF-stimulated 3T3-L1 preadipocyte proliferation, a 5-phosphoinositide lipid phosphatase mutant that inhibits PDGF-stimulated 3T3-L1 preadipocyte proliferation (76). Therefore, macrophages might influence preadipocytes by altering their differentiation, survival, and/or proliferation responses.

**Preadipocyte–macrophage interactions**

Lean adipose tissue contains ~10% resident macrophages existing in a noninflamed M2-type state (1). Obesity is accompanied by the infiltration of circulating blood monocytes into adipose tissue, producing an abundant population of inflamed M1-type macrophages, making up as much as 50–60% of adipose tissue stromal cells. This process of macrophage infiltration is associated with adipocyte hypertrophy (76). Therefore, macrophages might influence preadipocytes by altering their differentiation, survival, and/or proliferation responses.

**Adipogenesis**

Constant et al. (77) reported that medium conditioned by mouse or human macrophage lines [macrophage-conditioned medium (MacCM)] inhibited adipogenesis of mouse 3T3-L1 and human preadipocytes. These observations were extended by Lacasa et al. (78), who demonstrated the antiadipogenic effect of secreted factors from bacterial LPS-activated human monocyte–derived and adipose tissue–derived macrophages. A subsequent series of studies by these groups and others, described in the following, continues to add more information to this intercellular dialogue. Several macrophage-secreted factors have been proposed to contribute to the antiadipogenic activity, including TNF-α, IL-1β, and Wnt-5a.

Immunoneutralization of TNF-α from LPS-activated human MacCM resulted in a statistically significant but numerically very small attenuation of the antiadipogenic effect, as assessed by the adipogenic marker aP2 (78). A larger effect of that immunoneutralization was the reduction in preadipocyte inflammation, as assessed by IL-6 levels. This suggests a potential uncoupling of the antiadipogenic from the proinflammatory effect induced by the TNF-α in the MacCM on the preadipocytes.

In other work, the antiadipogenic effect of mouse adipose tissue macrophages was attenuated by growth hormone, and this correlated with the amount of IL-1β that they produce (79). However, these investigators did not directly address experimentally whether IL-1β was required for the antiadipogenic effect.

Wnt-5a was found to be expressed in human adipose tissue macrophages and in circulating human monocytes (80). Evidence of release from macrophages, i.e., the presence of Wnt-5a protein in MacCM, was demonstrated using mouse J774 macrophages. Immunoneutralization of Wnt-5a in the J774-MacCM reversed the antiadipogenic effect on mouse 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. Whether this intercellular communication operates within human models remains to be seen.

Another strategy to understand the paracrine effect of macrophages on preadipocytes has been to delineate the signaling networks required for the antiadipogenic action of MacCM. Human THP-1 monocytes inhibit 3T3-L1 adipocyte differentiation and acutely stimulate ERK1/2 phosphorylation. Pharmacological inhibition of the MEK-ERK1/2 pathway with PD98059 partially blocked the antiadipogenic effect of THP-1-MacCM (81). In the context of human THP-1-MacCM and human primary adipose progenitor cells, the antiadipogenic effect was associated with IKKβ phosphorylation and activation of NF-κB (82). Activation of NF-κB in differentiating human preadipocytes exposed to conditioned medium generated from LPS-activated human monocyte–derived macrophages was also observed, as well as in SGBS preadipocytes when cocultured with the U937 macrophage cell model (78,83). Underlining the importance of this pathway, the IKKβ inhibitor SC-514 almost totally abrogated the ability of THP-1-MacCM to inhibit human adipocyte differentiation (82).

To examine the role of cell cycle signaling and the MCE in the context of MacCM and adipogenesis, mouse 3T3-L1 preadipocytes and mouse J774A.1 macrophages were studied. The effective time window for the antiadipogenic effect was early in the differentiation protocol, consistent with a perturbation of the MCE (84). During this time, retinoblastoma
protein (Rb) phosphorylation is required for dissociation of E2F from Rb, allowing E2F to participate in the induction of PPARγ. J774A.1-MacCM inhibited Rb phosphorylation and PPARγ induction (84). It also blocked an upstream kinase of Rb, cyclin-dependent kinase 2, as well as the induction of cyclin A, which normally stimulates cyclin-dependent kinase 2 activity (85). In this experimental system, the antidiopogenic activity was located in a ≤3-kD fraction and was heat resistant. This is in contrast to a heat-labile antidiopogenic activity found in human macrophages that was associated with increased cyclin D1 and proliferation (78).

As investigations continue in the future to further delineate factors that inhibit adipocyte differentiation, careful attention should be paid to catalogue the specific experimental models used to develop a comprehensive view of these interactions.

Concomitant with their inhibitory effect on adipogenesis, macrophage-secreted factors also exert a profibrotic action on human preadipocytes (86,87). At present, it remains unknown whether the resulting higher levels of extracellular matrix proteins, known downstream targets of the ERK and NF-κB pathway, are causally linked to the blockade of differentiation induced by MacCM. Other studies directly manipulating ECM have shown that this can be sufficient to block adipogenesis (39,88).

Figure 1 summarizes the main findings discussed previously with respect to how MacCM can inhibit adipogenic preadipocyte responses. Potential macrophage-secreted factors and their target pathways are illustrated. Macrophage-adipocyte interactions are beyond the focus of this review, but it should be mentioned that investigators are actively examining the influence of macrophage factors on adipocyte inflammation and insulin resistance. Furthermore, release of fatty acids from adipocytes triggers macrophage inflammation in a coculture system (89).

Survival

As noted earlier, 3T3-L1 preadipocytes undergo apoptosis when deprived of growth factors (serum withdrawal). Molgat et al. (90) observed a survival effect of MacCM on serum-deprived 3T3-L1 mouse preadipocytes. This was demonstrated with several mouse macrophage models: J774A.1, RAW264.7, and mouse bone marrow–derived macrophages. Cell death and the protective effect of MacCM were assessed by enumeration of viable cells, by nuclear staining, and by annexin V staining with flow cytometry.

Further molecular studies with the J774A.1 model identified PDGF as a key component accounting for much of the preadipocyte survival activity (90). Signaling studies indicated that macrophage-secreted factors activate Akt and ERK1/2 as well as generate ROS. Inhibitor studies demonstrated that the survival activity induced by macrophage-conditioned medium depends in part on the PI3K-Akt pathway, the MEK-ERK1/2 pathway, and ROS generation (91).

Questions remain about the origin and action of ROS in this context. For example, serum deprivation itself also increased ROS levels with associated cell death. Furthermore, reduction of ROS led to improved survival in the serum-free...

Figure 1  Mechanisms by which macrophages block adipogenesis. Macrophage-derived factors can inhibit the adipogenic program by interfering with cell cycle proteins [Myc, cyclin A, cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 2, retinoblastoma protein (Rb)] that regulate mitotic clonal expansion (MCE) and expression of key adipogenic transcriptional factors (CCAAT/enhancer binding proteins, and peroxisome-proliferator activated receptor γ). They may also activate nuclear factor κB and the expression of inflammatory antiadipogenic cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-8). The result is an inflamed and profibrotic preadipocyte phenotype. See text for details.
conditions, pointing to ROS as damaging agents. This contrasts with the prosurvival effect of ROS associated with MacCM. It is possible that ROS generated by growth factor withdrawal are of mitochondrial origin and detrimental to cell survival, whereas ROS related to MacCM and survival are produced at the cell surface by NAD(P)H oxidases associated with growth factor receptors such as PDGF receptors (91). More work is needed in this area.

Figure 2  Mechanisms by which macrophages regulate preadipocyte survival. In the presence of apoptotic inducers, either intrinsic, e.g., serum (growth factor) withdrawal, or extrinsic, e.g., TNF-α, anti-inflammatory M2 or unactivated macrophages promote preadipocyte survival by releasing platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) (and other factors). Prosurvival intracellular pathways are then activated, involving Akt and ERK1/2, as well as reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, likely from NAD(P)H oxidases. This may result in the increased expression of antiapoptotic proteins, such as neuronal apoptosis inhibitor protein (NAIP) and Bcl-2. In contrast, proinflammatory M1 macrophages release TNF-α (and other factors) that cause preadipocyte death, possibly involving caspase 8 (Casp8) and/or mitochondria-derived ROS. TNFR, TNF receptor. See text for details.

Figure 3  Model of how macrophages regulate adipose tissue remodeling during chronic positive energy imbalance. Chronic positive energy imbalance leads to obesity, and macrophage phenotype may influence the mechanism by which adipose tissue expands. When proinflammatory M1 macrophages (red) dominate, an inadequate preadipocyte reservoir may exist due to reduced preadipocyte survival, proliferation, and/or adipogenic capacity. Energy storage will occur via exaggerated adipocyte hypertrophy, resulting in dysfunctional adipose tissue and contributing to an inflamed, insulin-resistant state. In contrast, when anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages are dominant (green), this will favor a functional pool of preadipocytes capable of differentiating into new adipocytes will allow for adequate adipose tissue hyperplasia, with the maintenance of normal cellular functionality and insulin sensitivity. See text for details.
The state of macrophage activation and its effect on preadipocyte survival has been investigated (92). LPS stimulation of J774A.1 macrophages inhibits the ability of MacCM to suppress apoptosis of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. It does not alter MacCM-induced preadipocyte PDGF signaling, but does induce macrophage TNF-α expression. The importance of the TNF-α with regard to the reduction in the prosurvival activity of the MacCM was demonstrated by the observation that immunoneutralization of TNF-α in the MacCM restored the full prosurvival activity.

Studies on apoptosis using human macrophage models and human preadipocyte models have also been reported (92). As in the mouse models, secreted factors from THP-1 human macrophages or from human monocyte-derived macrophages inhibit human preadipocyte apoptosis triggered by TNF-α and cycloheximide in serum-free medium. This prosurvival effect was also lost when macrophages were activated by proinflammatory LPS, whereas it was preserved with anti-inflammatory IL-4 treatment of the macrophages. The identity of the factors from human macrophages mediating human preadipocyte survival is not yet known.

Figure 2 summarizes the main findings discussed previously with respect to macrophages and their influence on preadipocyte survival. It depicts the potential factors that are secreted from macrophages and shows how they alter pathways in the preadipocyte that may lead to survival or death.

**Proliferation**

Maumus et al. (93) reported that MacCM reduced adipose progenitor proliferation in culture, but evidence of increased proliferation in situ as a function of obesity was shown in human adipose tissue. The higher rates of in situ proliferation are consistent with observations in obese versus lean mice (94). Others also report a proliferative effect of macrophages on preadipocytes (86,95).

**Conclusions**

The developing concept of metabolic inflammation associated with obesity and insulin resistance views adipose tissue as a seething world of interconnected cell-specific subpopulations subjected to the pressure of nutrient excess. The effects of obesity on the overall extent of adipose tissue inflammation can be modulated by nutritional factors such as the type of dietary fat consumed, as has been reviewed (96). However, it is not yet known whether dietary factors can directly alter how macrophages interact with preadipocytes. Dynamic remodeling of the tissue architecture occurs with its expansion, as shown in Figure 3. The number and functionality of preadipocytes are crucial factors that influence how superfluous energy is to be stored and distributed. Adequate numbers of preadipocytes that are differentiation competent allow for hyperplastic growth with the effect being to preserve metabolic function in the face of obesity, depicted on the right side of Figure 3. Interactions between immune cells and adipose progenitor cells are important to consider because they may influence the number of preadipocytes and/or their differentiation capacity and induce adipose tissue dysfunction by inhibiting overall adipogenic capacity, depicted on the left side of Figure 3. If such intercellular communication proves to be meaningful in vivo, macrophage molecules acting on preadipocytes could be considered potential future targets for restoring healthy adipose tissue function in obese individuals, distinct from weight-reduction strategies.
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