High-dose cholecalciferol reduces parathyroid hormone in patients with early chronic kidney disease: a pilot, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial1–3
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ABSTRACT

Background: Vitamin D deficiency contributes to secondary hyperparathyroidism, which occurs early in chronic kidney disease (CKD).

Objectives: We aimed to determine whether high-dose cholecalciferol supplementation for 1 y in early CKD is sufficient to maintain optimal vitamin D status (serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] concentration ≥30 ng/mL) and decrease serum parathyroid hormone (PTH). A secondary aim was to determine the effect of cholecalciferol on blood pressure and serum fibroblast growth factor-23 (FGF23).

Design: This was a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Forty-six subjects with early CKD (stages 2–3) were supplemented with oral cholecalciferol (vitamin D group; 50,000 IU/wk for 12 wk followed by 50,000 IU every other week for 40 wk) or a matching placebo for 1 y.

Results: By 12 wk, serum 25(OH)D increased in the vitamin D group only (baseline [mean ± SD]: 26.7 ± 6.8 to 42.8 ± 16.9 ng/mL; \( P < 0.05 \)) and remained elevated at 1 y (group-by-time interaction: \( P < 0.001 \)). PTH decreased from baseline only in the vitamin D group (baseline: 89.1 ± 49.3 to 70.1 ± 24.8 pg/mL; \( P = 0.01 \)) at 12 wk, but values were not significantly different from baseline at 1 y (75.4 ± 29.5 pg/mL; \( P = 0.16 \); group-by-time interaction: \( P = 0.09 \)). Group differences were more pronounced in participants with secondary hyperparathyroidism (group-by-time interaction: \( P = 0.004 \)). Blood pressure and FGF23 did not change in either group.

Conclusions: After 1 y, this oral cholecalciferol regimen was safe and sufficient to maintain serum 25(OH)D concentrations and prevent vitamin D insufficiency in early CKD. Furthermore, serum PTH improved after cholecalciferol treatment, particularly in patients who had secondary hyperparathyroidism. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT00427037.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD)4 is an increasing health burden in the United States and internationally (1, 2). A key feature that occurs early in the progression of CKD is secondary hyperparathyroidism (3), which increases risk of bone and cardiometabolic comorbidities (4). Secondary hyperparathyroidism is classically attributed to vitamin D deficiency and the progressive decline in renal 1α-hydroxylase, which is the enzyme responsible for the conversion of 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] to 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D [1,25(OH)2D], the biologically active form of vitamin D, which acts in a negative-feedback loop to downregulate parathyroid hormone (PTH) (5). The National Kidney Foundation’s 2003 Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI) guidelines recommend measurement of serum 25(OH)D in stages 3 and 4 CKD if serum PTH concentrations are elevated and supplementation with ergocalciferol (vitamin D2) if serum 25(OH)D is below the optimal vitamin D status (<30 ng/mL) (6). Several studies in adults that examined the ability of the KDOQI guidelines to both maintain optimal vitamin D status and reduce PTH concentrations in early-stage CKD have shown mixed results (7). Furthermore, the effectiveness of ergocalciferol compared with cholecalciferol (vitamin D3) in raising and maintaining 25(OH)D concentrations has been questioned (8–10). Clinical trials of cholecalciferol supplementation in early-stage CKD have also provided mixed results with regard to PTH suppression (7); however, to our knowledge, there have been no long-term, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials that used cholecalciferol in adults with CKD published to date.
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Vitamin D deficiency may also increase risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) (11–13), which is significantly greater in CKD (14). Blood pressure–lowering effects of vitamin D have been hypothesized as a mechanism (11), although meta-analyses in the general population have not provided convincing evidence (15, 16). Studies of vitamin D (ergocalciferol or cholecalciferol) supplementation on blood pressure in predialysis CKD are few and have not shown significant effects; however, the studies are limited by their relatively short-term design (17). To our knowledge, it has not been determined whether longer-term cholecalciferol supplementation improves blood pressure in early-stage CKD.

The primary aim of this study was to determine whether cholecalciferol supplementation for 1 y (50,000 IU/wk for 12 wk followed by 50,000 IU every other week for 40 wk) was sufficient to maintain optimal vitamin D status and concomitantly reduce circulating PTH concentrations in CKD patients by using a randomized, placebo-controlled design. Secondary outcomes were changes in blood pressure and fibroblast growth factor-23 (FGF23), which is involved in the vitamin D–PTH regulatory axis and is predictive of mortality in CKD (18, 19).

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Participants were screened and recruited from diabetes and nephrology clinics at the Atlanta Veterans Affairs (VA) Medical Center from August 2008 to December 2010. Inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: subjects were aged 18–90 y and had an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of <90 mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻² calculated by using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation (20). Exclusion criteria were as follows: current use of active vitamin D analogs, calcimimetics, or supplemental intake >1000 IU vitamin D; history of liver failure, intestinal malabsorption, or chronic diarrhea and serum calcium (corrected for albumin) >10.5 mg/dL; calcium × phosphorus product >70; or current use of any medication that could influence vitamin D metabolism, such as phenytoin, phenobarbital, or rifampin.

**Protocol**

This was a 52-wk, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Eligible participants were randomly assigned to receive 50,000 IU cholecalciferol (vitamin D₃; Tischon)/wk for 12 wk followed by 50,000 IU cholecalciferol every other week for 40 wk (vitamin D group) or a matching placebo (Tischon). Participants were randomly assigned by using a 6-block randomization scheme. A member of the study team who was not involved in the recruitment of subjects generated a random allocation scheme. A member of the study team who was not involved in the intervention. The primary investigator, all study personnel, and all participants were blinded to the intervention.

Participants were seen as outpatients at the Atlanta VA Clinical Research Unit at baseline and 12 and 52 wk. Seated blood pressure was measured at each visit by using an automated cuff after the subject sat quietly for ≥5 min; the average of 3 measurements was used. Whole blood was collected, processed for serum, and stored at −80°C at each visit for subsequent analysis.

**Analytic methods**

Serum 25(OH)D was assayed by using a chemiluminescent technique with an automated machine (Immunodiagnostic Systems iSYS automated machine). Our laboratory participates in the Vitamin D External Quality Assessment Scheme and the National Institute of Standards and Technology/NIH Vitamin D Metabolites Quality Assurance Program to ensure the accuracy of serum 25(OH)D measurements. Vitamin D insufficiency was defined as a serum 25(OH)D concentration <30 ng/mL, and vitamin D deficiency was defined as a serum 25(OH)D concentration <20 ng/mL (21). The intraassay and interassay were 1.8–4.0% and 10.1–13.0%, respectively. Intact PTH was assayed by using an ELISA technique (Immutopics International); the intraassay CV was 3.2%. FGF23 (C terminal) was assayed by using an ELISA (Immutopics International); the intraassay CV was 3.5%. Serum calcium, phosphorus, albumin, creatinine, and alkaline phosphatase were measured using standard Atlanta VA Medical Center laboratory methods, as were urine calcium, albumin, phosphorus, and creatinine.

**Food records**

Patients were instructed to record dietary intake for 3 d before the baseline study visit and again before the final follow-up visit (52 wk). Food records are a reliable method of dietary assessment in CKD (22). All food records were collected and analyzed for dietary and supplemental vitamin D with Nutritionist Pro software (version 4.1.0; Axxsys Systems LLC) by a single registered dietitian.

**Statistical analyses**

Descriptive statistics are reported as means ± SDs. Chi-square tests, t tests, and ANCOVA were used to determine differences between groups. Paired t tests or Wilcoxon’s signed rank tests were used to determine changes in outcomes from baseline to 12 wk and from baseline to 52 wk for each treatment group. Mixed-model repeated-measures ANOVA and ANCOVA were also used to investigate the effects of group-by-time interactions. FGF23 was log₁₀ transformed for analyses, and means are reported as back-transformed values. Post hoc analyses were performed according to secondary hyperparathyroidism status (PTH concentration >70 pg/mL) and according to CKD stage (2 compared with 3). All analyses were based on intention to treat. Statistical analyses were performed with JMP software (version 9.0.0; SAS Institute Inc); all tests were 2-sided and assumed a 5% significance level. A sample size of 20 participants...
per group was estimated to provide 80% power to detect a 30% difference in PTH for vitamin D treatment compared with the placebo with assumption of an SD of 15 pg/mL with \( \alpha = 0.05 \). With the assumption of a 20% dropout rate, we aimed to randomly assign \( \sim 50 \) subjects.

RESULTS

Patient demographics

As indicated in Figure 1, 48 patients were randomly assigned to receive a placebo or vitamin D; however, 2 participants did not receive their allocated intervention. Data are presented in only participants that received treatment (\( n = 24 \) in the placebo group; \( n = 22 \) in the vitamin D group). One participant from each group died because of causes unrelated to the study. By 1 y, 7 participants in the vitamin D group and 4 participants in the placebo group were lost to follow-up; the group difference in loss to follow-up was not significant (\( P = 0.30 \); chi-square test). There were no reported adverse events related to the study.

In all participants, 46.8% of subject had stage 1–2 CKD, and 53.2% of subjects had stage 3–4 CKD. The mean (\( \pm \)SD) eGFR was \( 62 \pm 15 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{ min}^{-1} \cdot 1.73 \text{ m}^{-2} \). In subjects with stage 1 or 2 CKD, all but one participant had microalbuminuria or proteinuria (\( > 30 \text{ mg 24-h urine albumin/d} \)), diabetes, or hypertension. Fifty-seven percent of participants were vitamin D insufficient [25(OH)D concentration < 30 ng/mL]. Mean serum 25(OH)D and PTH concentrations of all subjects at baseline were 29.5 ± 8.2 ng/mL and 83.4 ± 37.8 pg/mL, respectively. Other baseline characteristics of the 2 groups are shown in Table 1. The groups were similar with regard to age, BMI, sex, race-ethnicity, kidney function, hypertension status, blood pressure, and serum PTH concentrations. More patients in the placebo group than in vitamin D group were enrolled during the summer and autumn seasons (91.7% compared with 68.2%, respectively; \( P = 0.04 \); chi-square test) and had documented vitamin D supplement use (45.8% compared with 13.6%, respectively; \( P = 0.02 \); chi-square test), and baseline serum 25(OH)D concentrations were higher in the placebo group than in the vitamin D group (32.1 ± 8.7 compared with 26.7 ± 6.8 ng/mL; \( P = 0.03 \);
Serum 25(OH)D concentrations significantly increased by 12 wk after treatment in the vitamin D group (mean 25(OH)D concentration: 26.7 ± 6.8 to 42.5 ± 16.3 ng/mL; P < 0.001; paired t test; Figure 2) and remained elevated at 1 y compared with baseline (40.3 ± 16.1 ng/mL; P = 0.003; t test). In the placebo group, serum 25(OH)D concentrations decreased significantly after 12 wk [mean 25(OH)D concentration: 32.1 ± 8.7 to 26.2 ± 6.8 ng/mL; P < 0.001; paired t test] and increased to baseline values by 1 y (31.2 ± 9.0 ng/mL; P = 0.16 for difference from baseline; t test). The group-by-time interaction for 25(OH)D was significant (P < 0.001; repeated-measures ANOVA). By 12 wk, 77.3% of participants in the placebo group were vitamin D insufficient, whereas 18.2% of participants in the vitamin D group were vitamin D insufficient (Figure 3; P < 0.001; chi-square test). By 1 y, 50% of participants in the placebo group were vitamin D insufficient, whereas 22.2% of participants in the vitamin D group were insufficient (P = 0.08; chi-square test).

PHT concentrations

Serum PTH concentrations significantly decreased from baseline in the vitamin D group (mean PTH concentration: 89.1 ± 49.3 to 70.1 ± 24.8 pg/mL; P = 0.01; paired t test) at 12 wk, but values were not significantly different from baseline at 1 y (75.4 ± 29.5 pg/mL; P = 0.16; t test; Figure 4). PTH in the placebo group remained stable throughout the study. The group-by-time interaction for PTH approached significance in whole-group analysis before and after adjustment for baseline 25(OH)D values (P = 0.09 and P = 0.08 for repeated-measures ANOVA and ANCOVA, respectively). In a post hoc analysis in participants with an elevated PTH concentration (>70 ng/mL) at baseline, which was indicative of secondary hyperparathyroidism, the group-by-time interaction for PTH was significant (P = 0.004; repeated-measures ANOVA) and remained significant after adjustment for baseline 25(OH)D concentrations (P = 0.003; repeated-measures ANOVA). In participants with secondary hyperparathyroidism at entry, subjects randomly assigned to the vitamin D group, compared with subjects randomly assigned to the placebo group, had a greater percentage change in PTH concentrations from baseline to 12 wk (–27.4% compared with 0.7%, respectively; P = 0.01; ANCOVA) and to 52 wk (–31.4% compared with 3.6%, respectively; P = 0.005; ANCOVA) (Figure 5). In post hoc analyses by stage of CKD, the group-by-time interaction adjusted for baseline 25(OH)D in participants with stage 3 CKD was P = 0.08 (repeated-measures ANCOVA) with a decrease in PTH concentrations in the vitamin D group at 12 wk. The

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Vitamin D (n = 22)</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 24)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>62.3 ± 10.5</td>
<td>62.6 ± 8.9</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>32.8 ± 5.1</td>
<td>31.5 ± 7.5</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men [n (%)]</td>
<td>20 (90.9)</td>
<td>22 (91.7)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American [n (%)]</td>
<td>11 (50.0)</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min · 1.73 m²)</td>
<td>62.5 ± 15.6</td>
<td>61.2 ± 15.7</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD stage 2/3 [n]</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>10/14</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension [n (%)]</td>
<td>20 (90.9)</td>
<td>21 (87.5)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total diabetes [n (%)]</td>
<td>18 (81.8)</td>
<td>17 (70.8)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes [n (%)]</td>
<td>19 (86.4)</td>
<td>13 (54.2)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum phosphorus (mg/dL)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum calcium (mg/dL)</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum 25(OH)D (ng/mL)</td>
<td>26.7 ± 6.8</td>
<td>32.1 ± 8.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D insufficient [25(OH)D &lt;30 ng/mL] [n (%)]</td>
<td>15 (68.2)</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum PTH (pg/mL)</td>
<td>89.1 ± 49.2</td>
<td>78.21 ± 22.8</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH &gt;70 pg/mL [n (%)]</td>
<td>11 (50)</td>
<td>14 (58.3)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF23 (RU/mL)</td>
<td>55.5 ± 34.8</td>
<td>42.5 ± 26.7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>127 ± 15</td>
<td>131 ± 17</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>71 ± 10</td>
<td>73 ± 10</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer and autumn enrollment [n (%)]</td>
<td>15 (68.2)</td>
<td>22 (91.7)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D supplement use [n (%)]</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 P values were determined by using a 2-sample t or chi-square test. CKD, chronic kidney disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FGF23, fibroblast growth factor-23; PTH, parathyroid hormone; RU, relative units; SBP, systolic blood pressure; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D.
2 Mean ± SD (all such values).
3 One subject with stage 1 CKD was grouped with stage 2 subjects, and one subject with stage 4 CKD was grouped with stage 3 subjects.
4 Includes type 1 and 2 diabetes.

n in brackets.
DISCUSSION

We performed a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of cholecalciferol supplementation for 1 y in patients with early CKD (stages 2 and 3). After 1 y, our cholecalciferol regimen was sufficient to maintain serum 25(OH)D concentrations and prevent vitamin D insufficiency. Furthermore, serum PTH concentrations improved after cholecalciferol treatment in patients who had secondary hyperparathyroidism, whereas PTH concentrations did not change in the placebo group. There were no changes in serum FGF23 concentrations or blood pressure over 1 y.

To our knowledge, this is the first long-term (≥1 y), randomized, placebo-controlled trial of cholecalciferol supplementation in adults with early CKD. Our data showed that a dose of 50,000 IU/wk for 12 wk followed by 50,000 IU every other week prevented vitamin D insufficiency [25(OH)D concentration <30 ng/mL] throughout the year, whereas patients who received the placebo remained vitamin D insufficient at rates reported in the literature (23, 24). In a separate cohort, we previously reported that 50,000 IU cholecalciferol/wk for 12 wk was sufficient to achieve optimal 25(OH)D concentrations in patients with CKD stages 3 and 4 (25). We extended these findings to 1 y in subjects with earlier-stage CKD. Several studies that followed protocols on the basis of KDOQI guidelines and by using ergocalciferol doses similar to ours have failed to achieve optimal serum 25(OH)D concentrations (26–28). In non-CKD populations, cholecalciferol has been shown to be more effective than ergocalciferol at raising and maintaining 25(OH)D concentrations (8–10). Although head-to-head trials of cholecalciferol compared with ergocalciferol in CKD are warranted, available data suggest that cholecalciferol may be more effective in the maintenance of an optimal vitamin D status.

A major target of vitamin D therapy in CKD is the management of secondary hyperparathyroidism. Studies based on KDOQI guidelines have yielded mixed results in their ability to reduce PTH concentrations (7, 26–28), although none of these studies were designed as randomized, placebo-controlled trials. In our study, cholecalciferol supplementation was effective in the reduction of PTH concentrations in patients with secondary

Blood pressure, FGF23, and other serum analytes

There were no significant changes in either group for blood pressure, serum creatinine, eGFR, or serum calcium, albumin, or phosphorus. There was no significant change in serum FGF23 concentrations in either group. Post hoc analyses revealed that, in patients with optimal vitamin D status [25(OH)D ≥30 ng/mL], FGF23 concentrations increased by 12 wk (mean FGF23 concentration: 31.55 ± 33.8 to 42.51 ± 34.7 relative units/mL; $P = 0.03$; paired $t$ test) and returned to baseline concentrations by 52 wk (22.1 ± 31.5 relative units/mL; $P = 0.72$ for difference from baseline; $t$ test) in the vitamin D group, although there was no change in FGF23 concentrations in the placebo group (group-by-time interaction: $P = 0.04$; repeated-measures ANOVA).

Urine analytes

Paired 24-h urine samples for baseline and 52-wk follow-up were available for 7 participants in the placebo group and 8 participants in the vitamin D group. There were no significant changes in urine excretion of calcium, creatinine, albumin, or phosphorus in either group. There was no significant change in the median (IQR) urine albumin in either group from baseline to 52 wk [vitamin D group: 19.6 mg/24 h (12.0–493.2 mg/24 h) to 18.6 mg/24 h (7.1–27.4 mg/24 h), $P = 0.23$; placebo group: 15.1 mg/24 h (7.9–53.3 mg/24 h) to 15.4 mg/24 h (12.3–62.4 mg/24 h), $P = 1.00$; Wilcoxon’s signed rank tests]. Hypercalcuria was not observed.

![FIGURE 3. Percentage of vitamin D–insufficient participants by treatment week and week. The prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency in the vitamin D group (n = 22; black bars) was reduced by weeks 12 and 52. The vitamin D–insufficiency prevalence was higher in the placebo group (n = 24; white bars) than in the vitamin D group at weeks 12 (P < 0.001; chi-square test) and 52 (P = 0.08, chi-square test). 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D.](https://academic.oup.com/ajcn/article-abstract/96/3/672/4576916)
Elevated baseline PTH concentrations (mean PTH concentration at baseline \(37.8 \text{ pg/mL}\) were randomly assigned to receive 50,000 IU vitamin D/wk for 12 wk followed by 50,000 IU vitamin D every other week for 40 wk (\(n = 22\)) or an identically matched placebo (\(n = 24\)). Serum PTH concentrations are determined with mixed-model repeated-measures ANOVA. group*time, group-by-time interaction; PTH, parathyroid hormone.

The group*time was \(P < 0.05\) (paired \(t\) test). The group*time was determined with mixed-model repeated-measures ANOVA. group*time, group-by-time interaction; PTH, parathyroid hormone.

FGF23, which is a phosphaturic hormone that is elevated in CKD, is a major regulator in the vitamin D–PTH axis, and it predicts mortality in this population (18, 19). Vitamin D supplementation has been shown to both increase (31) and decrease (32) FGF23 concentrations in relatively healthy, vitamin D-deficient individuals. In our study of early CKD, cholecalciferol supplementation increased circulating FGF23 concentrations by 12 wk only in patients who had optimal vitamin D status at baseline [25(OH)D \(\geq 30 \text{ ng/mL}\)], although values returned to baseline by 52 wk. The complete actions and regulation of FGF23 are still being elucidated; however, FGF23 is known to directly inhibit renal 1α-hydroxylase and promote 24-hydroxylase and, thereby, reduce circulating and tissue concentrations of 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D (33, 34). Thus, the acute increase in FGF23 we observed may have been a compensatory mechanism to regulate renal 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D production in early CKD patients with sufficient serum 25(OH)D concentrations, although we did not have serum 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D to confirm. FGF23 concentrations in our early CKD population were not elevated to the extent seen in later-stage CKD (35) or with concentrations associated with mortality (19).

Epidemiologic data suggested that vitamin D deficiency is a risk factor for CVD in the general population (36). A recent retrospective study reported higher CVD-specific survival in CKD patients who successfully increased 25(OH)D concentrations with ergocalciferol supplementation (12). We did not find an effect of cholecalciferol treatment on blood pressure, which is consistent with the results of a 12-wk trial by Moe et al (17). Because blood pressure is highly sensitive to external factors (37), it is possible that other measures of cardiovascular risk, such as left ventricular mass index (38) or arterial stiffness (39–41), may be useful endpoints for vitamin D research on cardiovascular outcomes in CKD. The anticipated Paricalcitol Capsule Benefits in Renal Failure-Induced Cardiac Morbidity (PRIMO) Study did not find improvements in cardiac structure or function with paricalcitol treatment, although there was a reduction in CVD-related hospitalizations (42). Prospective clinical trials are required to identify the best measure of cardioprotective effects of vitamin D supplementation in early CKD and the optimal vitamin D formulation.

Albuminuria and proteinuria increase risk of disease progression and mortality in CKD (43). Cross-sectional studies have shown an inverse relation between circulating 25(OH)D and albuminuria (44, 45). Trials of active vitamin D analogs have resulted in decreased albuminuria (46, 47). Antiproteinuric effects of vitamin D may be mediated through several mechanisms including the downregulation of the renin-angiotensin system (48) and up-regulation of nephrin or renal megalin (48, 49). In a subset of patients with available 24-h urine samples, we did not find any changes in urine albumin in either group. However, our data can be considered only hypothesis-generating because of the limited sample size; larger, randomized, controlled trials will be needed to evaluate the potential effect of nutritional vitamin D on proteinuria.

Strengths of this pilot study were its long-term follow-up relative to previously published trials of cholecalciferol (7) and its prospective, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled design. Limitations included group differences at baseline including the greater report of dietary vitamin D intake and higher enrollment during the summer and autumn months in the placebo group, which led to higher baseline serum 25(OH)D.
concentrations. In addition, there was a greater prevalence of type 2 diabetes in the vitamin D group, which indicated a generally less healthy group. These occurrences were likely due to chance because of the randomized nature of the study; however, these occurrences may have influence the study results. In addition, we recruited participants on the basis of the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation; an analysis of eGFR on the basis of the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation would have placed 6 participants in the normal range for eGFR at baseline. The exclusion of these participants did not alter the results. Other limitations were the small sample sizes that were available to analyze dietary records and 24-h urine and a lack of serum 1,25(OH)2D to further investigate FGF23 regulation and actions.

In conclusion, 1-y supplementation with high-dose oral cholecalciferol safely maintained serum 25(OH)D concentrations in the optimal range (≥30 ng/mL). This dose of cholecalciferol was also effective in the reduction of serum PTH concentrations in patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism. Additional study is needed to determine whether cholecalciferol can prevent development of secondary hyperparathyroidism in adults with early CKD as well as other outcomes relevant to CKD including cardiovascular risk and proteinuria.
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