Culture-based indicators of fecal contamination and molecular microbial indicators rarely correlate with Campylobacter spp. in recreational waters
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ABSTRACT

Campylobacter spp. are the leading cause of gastroenteritis worldwide. Most human infections result from contaminated food; however, infections are also caused by recreational waterway contamination. Campylobacter culture is technically challenging and enumeration by culture-based methods is onerous. Thus, we employed qPCR to quantify Campylobacter spp. in fresh- and marine-water samples, raw sewage and animal feces. Multiplex PCR determined whether Campylobacter jejuni or C. coli, most commonly associated with human disease, were present in qPCR-positive samples. Campylobacters were detected in raw sewage, and in feces of all avian and mammalian species tested. Campylobacter-positive concentrations ranged from 68 to $2.3 \times 10^6$ cells per 500 mL. Although C. jejuni and C. coli were rare in waterways, they were prevalent in sewage and feces. Campylobacter-specific qPCR screening of environmental waters did not correlate with the regulatory EPA method 1600 (Enterococcus culture), nor with culture-independent, molecular-based microbial source tracking indicators, such as human polyomavirus, human Bacteroidales and Methanobrevibacter smithii. Our results suggest that neither the standard EPA method nor the newly proposed culture-independent methods are appropriate surrogates for Campylobacter contamination in water. Thus, assays for specific pathogens may be necessary to protect human health, especially in waters that are contaminated with sewage and animal feces.
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INTRODUCTION

To prevent waterborne illnesses in the USA, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed water quality methodology employing culture-based assays to determine levels of enterococci and fecal coliforms as surrogate indicators of waterborne pathogens (US Environmental Protection Agency 2002, 2003). As these methods are slow, labor intensive and not specific for human pathogens, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) detection of host-specific viruses and bacteria using molecular markers has been proposed as an alternative method to determine the risk to human health of exposure to potable and recreational waters (Guy et al. 2003; Santo Domingo et al. 2003; He & Jiang 2005; Layton et al. 2006; Stoeckel & Harwood 2007; US Environmental Protection Agency 2009).

Campylobacter spp. are Gram-negative, microaerobic, motile rods with a single flagellum. Two species, C. jejuni and C. coli, account for nearly 95% of campylobacteriosis cases and are a leading cause of bacterial gastroenteritis in the USA (Mead et al. 1999; Scallan et al. 2011), Canada (Public Health Agency of Canada 2010) and European countries (European Food Safety Authority 2006). Importantly, Guillain-Barré syndrome is thought to be a sequela...
of campylobacteriosis. *Campylobacter* spp. have been implicated in several large outbreaks, such as the outbreak of *C. jejuni* in Walkerton, Ontario, Canada (Clark et al. 2005).

Prevention of campylobacteriosis is problematic because it is sporadic and difficult to predict. *C. jejuni* has a low infectious dose of approximately 500 cells (Robinson 1981), and the average incubation period for this disease is 3 to 5 days, which is longer than that of other bacterial gastrointestinal infections (Porter & Reid 1980; Evans et al. 1996; Skirrow & Blaser 2000). Moreover, many cases go unreported. The transmission of this pathogen is complicated with multiple reservoirs and routes of transmission (Bi et al. 2008). Sporadic infections are often the result of ingesting or handling raw or undercooked food, such as milk or poultry (Kilonzo-Nthenge et al. 2008), while outbreaks have been attributed primarily to the consumption of contaminated drinking water (Hänninen et al. 2003; Schuster et al. 2005; Martin et al. 2006). Indeed, in Canada, campylobacteriosis was the second most commonly reported illness attributable to potable water during 1974–2001 (Schuster et al. 2005).

*Campylobacter* spp. have been detected in a variety of aquatic environments, especially those that receive sewage effluent/contamination and agricultural runoff. Abulreesh et al. (2006) hypothesized that *Campylobacter* spp. are not indigenous to aquatic environments because of their growth requirements, and thus their presence is indicative of recent fecal contamination. *Campylobacter* spp. may persist in the environment by entering a viable but non-culturable (VBNC) state, although it is not clear if these states retain infectivity (Nogva et al. 2000; Daczkowska-Konon & Brzostek-Nowakowska 2001). In summer, high concentrations of campylobacters were reported in a southeastern USA mixed-use watershed probably as the result of fecal contamination from both human and domestic animals, corresponding to the high rates of campylobacteriosis seen in summer (Vereecken et al. 2007). *Campylobacter* spp. were frequently present in surface waters that received effluent from a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), especially those that process both human and poultry waste and in areas receiving agricultural runoff (Vereecken et al. 2007). While wastewater outflow drives a significant portion of *Campylobacter* contamination in the mixed-use watershed, the presence of these bacteria in larger watersheds and upstream watersheds is more likely related to rainfall and runoff (Vereecken et al. 2007).

*Campylobacter* spp. are present in many types of farm animal feces such as cattle, pigs and sheep (Sáenz et al. 2000; Uaboi-Egbenni et al. 2008; Zweifel et al. 2008; Hakkinen & Hänninen 2009; Horrocks et al. 2009); in wild animal and bird feces (Abulreesh et al. 2006; Schets et al. 2008; Uaboi-Egbenni et al. 2008; Zweifel et al. 2008); and in the feces of companion animals (Horrocks et al. 2009; Koene et al. 2009). Ducks and geese in the USA (Fallacara et al. 2001) and other countries (Aydin et al. 2001), and gulls of Lake Michigan beaches (Kinzelman et al. 2008) are well-known carriers of *Campylobacter* spp. Feces from wild birds contribute to *Campylobacter* infection in preschool children (Waldenström et al. 2006; Walters et al. 2007; French et al. 2009). Additionally, Pitkänen et al. (2008) reported that rainwater runoff in Finland flushes large amounts of wild bird fecal material into drinking water, causing *Campylobacter* infections. Thus, animal fecal contamination is a probable source of environmental cases of campylobacteriosis.

The validity of conventional fecal indicators and molecular MST (microbial source tracking) indicators as sentinels for the presence of specific human pathogens, particularly *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*, in water sources is controversial. In terms of conventional fecal indicators, elevated counts of enterococci (Bi et al. 2008) and fecal coliforms (Savill et al. 2001; Vereen et al. 2007) correlated with *Campylobacter* spp. in an aquatic environment. In contrast, several researchers found that *Campylobacter* contamination was not predicted by culture-based indicator methods (e.g. enterococci, fecal coliforms) that are similar to United States EPA-approved methods and/or molecular MST indicators that rely on molecular host-specific markers (Stoeckel & Harwood 2007; USEPA 2009). Walters et al. (2007) reported that PCR detection of human-specific but not ruminant-specific *Bacteroidales* predicted the presence of viable campylobacters in river water. The positive correlation between a generic fecal marker for *Bacteroidales* and the presence of *E. coli* O157:H7, *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* in river water, suggests that campylobacteriosis is not always zoonotic but might be transmitted via human fecal waste. The conflicting results described above necessitate further investigations of the validity of using EPA-approved methods or
molecular MST indicators to alert public health agencies of the possible threat of *Campylobacter* contamination of environmental waters.

Although not necessarily pathogens, certain microorganisms that seem to be exclusive to human sources, such as human polyomavirus, human Bacteroidales, and *Methanobrevibacter smithii*, have been proposed indicators of human pollution (Harwood et al. 2009). Molecular detection of these indicators through MST has been invaluable in the attribution of human fecal contaminants to water systems. However, any additional indicator of water quality based on molecular methods used for detection should correlate well with the presence of human pathogens, such as *Campylobacter* spp., in potable and recreational waters. The present work investigated the correlation of a traditional indicator organism (enterococcus) measured by EPA Method 1600 and molecular MST indicators (human polyomavirus, general *Bacteroidales*, human-specific *Bacteroidales* and *M. smithii*), measured by PCR, with the presence of *Campylobacter* spp. in fresh and marine water sites of Northwest Florida. Given that *Campylobacter* spp. are difficult to culture, we used qPCR targeting a 16S rRNA gene to detect bacterial presence, and multiplex PCR to identify *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*. *Campylobacter* spp. were identified in both fresh and marine water sites with *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* present in both water types, though not in all *Campylobacter* qPCR positive samples. No correlations were found between the presence of campylobacters and either the conventional EPA-approved enterococcus methods or the molecular MST indicators. Thus, *Campylobacter* is not easily predicted by indicator microorganisms and instead may need to be identified directly to assess the risk of campylobacteriosis from exposure to recreational waterways.

**METHODS**

**Collection and processing of environmental water and raw sewage samples**

Grab samples from 32 local fresh and marine water sites (*Table 1*) were collected in Pensacola, Florida, and surrounding areas from June 2008 to September 2009 (*Figure 1*). One liter of surface water was collected in a sterile 1-L Nalgene™ bottle (rinsed twice with the environmental water prior to collection). Salinity data were collected on site. Samples were transported to the laboratory for processing within 6 h of collection. We counted enterococci by the EPA Method 1600 (US Environmental Protection Agency 2002), and filtered the sample for DNA extraction. For PCR and qPCR assays, samples (500 mL) were concentrated by membrane filtration using 0.45 μm pore size, 47 mm diameter nitrocellulose filters (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). If a sample was too turbid to filter 500 mL, filtering time was limited to 15 min. Samples processed for human polyomavirus were subject to an extra step before filtration. These samples were acid adjusted to pH 3.5 using 20% hydrochloric acid prior to filtration. Using sterile, DNA-free forceps, the filters were folded and placed into a PowerBead tube from the PowerSoil™ DNA kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA) and either used directly for DNA extraction or frozen at −20 °C to be processed later. Negative controls (500 mL sterile water) were processed in parallel with the collected samples starting with filtration.

Raw sewage samples were obtained from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) and lift stations as described in *Table 2* from 19 visits to three lift stations over a sampling period of 14 months (July 2008–September 2009). At the WWTPs, 1-L grab samples were captured by filling sterile Nalgene™ screw-cap bottles with raw sewage from the waste stream. For sampling at lift stations, a 250 mL sterile Nalgene™ snap-cap collection container was attached to a fishing line and lowered via a fishing pole into the raw sewage. Samples were immediately transferred back to the laboratory and 10 mL of each sample was concentrated by membrane filtration. Filters were processed as described above to extract DNA. The extracted DNA was used for PCR assays of the molecular MST indicators, multiplex PCR assays to identify pathogenic *Campylobacter* spp. and qPCR assay to quantify *Campylobacter* spp. Raw sewage from WWTPs rather than effluent was tested for the presence of *Campylobacter* spp. and molecular MST indicators to ensure that we could detect these organisms in human fecal material.

To compare conventional methods with the methods described above, enterococcus counts were also performed on the collected environmental water samples
and raw sewage samples. From the 1 L of collected water, both 10-mL and 50-mL aliquots were filtered in duplicate through another set of 0.45 \( \mu m \) pore size, 47 mm diameter nitrocellulose filters. From the 1 L of WWTP sewage and the 250 mL of lift station sewage, a 10\(^{-3}\) and a 10\(^{-4}\) dilution of sewage was prepared in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and a 10 mL and a 50 mL aliquot of these dilutions were filtered in duplicate through another set of 0.45 \( \mu m \) pore size, 47 mm diameter nitrocellulose filters. These filters were immediately transferred to BBL\textsuperscript{TM} mEI Agar plates (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and allowed to incubate overnight at 41 °C. The resulting blue colonies were counted after 24 ± 2 h according to EPA Method 1,600. Results were reported as colony forming units (CFU)/dL.

### Collection and processing of animal fecal samples

Several grams of fresh fecal material from individual animals (Table 3) were collected using sterile swabs, at separate times and locations from the collection of the water and raw sewage samples, and placed into sterile microcentrifuge tubes. Samples were transported on ice to the laboratory for immediate processing or for storage at −80 °C until used in the Campylobacter qPCR. Approximately 0.25–0.3 g of fecal material of the original sample was placed into a MoBio Powersoil\textsuperscript{TM} bead microcentrifuge tube for DNA extraction. In order to ensure that the extraction kits were free of contaminated reagents, reagent blanks that included all extraction kit reagents but no fecal material were included in each batch preparation. Enterococcus counts were not performed on collected animal fecal samples.

### PCR detection of the molecular MST indicators

Water, sewage and animal fecal samples were tested for the presence of the following agents: human polyomavirus
(HPyV), general *Bacteroidales*, human-specific *Bacteroidales*, and *M. smithii* using the PCR methods published by Harwood *et al.* (2009), with the filtered samples processed as described above. The HPyV PCR assay targets the conserved T antigen region of the genome; the general and human-specific *Bacteroidales* assays both target the 16S rRNA gene; and the *M. smithii* assay targets the *nifH* gene.

**Detection of Campylobacter by qPCR**

A Taqman-based qPCR assay was used for the detection of thermotolerant *Campylobacter* spp. using the primers and probe, CampF2, CampR2 and CampP2 (Table 4). Each reaction mixture consisted of 2.5 mM magnesium chloride, 200 μM dNTPs (deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate), 200 nM forward and reverse primers, 8 nM probe and 0.05 U/μL Taq polymerase (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN) in 1× PCR buffer; 2 μL of template DNA was added to 23 μL of prepared master-mix for a final volume of 25 μL per reaction. A Corbett Rotor-Gene™ 3000 (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) was used for all reactions with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lift station</th>
<th>Sampling date</th>
<th>Estimated Campylobacter cells/500 mL</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Molecular MST Indicators</th>
<th>Enterococcus (CFU/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>3 Nov 08</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td><em>C. jejuni</em></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>18 May 08</td>
<td>$4.8 \times 10^3$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>TNTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>28 July 08</td>
<td>$5.4 \times 10^3$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>24 July 08</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^2$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>18 Aug 08</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^3$</td>
<td><em>C. jejuni/C. coli</em></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>TNTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>19 Aug 08</td>
<td>$7.6 \times 10^2$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>16 Oct 08</td>
<td>$6.8 \times 10^2$</td>
<td><em>C. jejuni</em></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>17 Oct 08</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td><em>C. jejuni</em></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$3.7 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>11 Feb 09</td>
<td>$3.1 \times 10^2$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>30 July 08</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^3$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>26 Aug 08</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td><em>C. coli</em></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>7 Oct 08</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^4$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>14 Oct 08</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>15 Oct 08</td>
<td>$6.1 \times 10^2$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$8.5 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>9 Feb 09</td>
<td>$3.9 \times 10^3$</td>
<td><em>Campylobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Lift stations in Northwest Florida are given a designated number. This number describes the location of the lift station.
* The number of Campylobacter cells in a 500 mL grab sample from the given sampling locations as determined by qPCR.
* Campylobacter spp. detected by qPCR.
* Samples were also tested for the molecular MST indicators, HPyV, total and human-specific *Bacteroidales* and *M. smithii*.
* Samples were also tested for Enterococcus using EPA Method 1600 and the number of enterococci CFU/dL are shown.
the following program: 94 °C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 94 °C and 60 s at 58 °C. Each reaction was performed in duplicate. Fluorescence signals were measured every cycle at the end of the annealing step. The resulting data were analyzed using Corbett’s Rotor-Gene™ software. A standard curve was constructed relating the number of bacterial cells to the cycle threshold (CT) of qPCR for known concentrations of bacteria determined by culture (CFU). Standard curves were prepared in fresh water, marine water and buffered water and the standard curve that was run with each set of samples had the corresponding water matrix. Water matrices were tested for the presence of Campylobacter spp. Only matrices negative for Campylobacter spp. were used in the preparation of standard curves. Samples that amplified before a CT value of 40 and before both the negative and no template controls started showing non-specific amplification were considered positive, corresponding to approximately 50 cells –5 × 10⁸ cells/500 mL.

**Laboratory culture of Campylobacter spp.**

*C. jejuni* and *C. coli* were grown at 37 °C in a microaerobic environment on tryptic soy agar (TSA) supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep’s blood (TSAB) for 72 h. A microaerobic environment was created by placing a MicroAero pack (Mitsubishi Gas Chemical America, Inc.,

### Table 3 | Presence of Campylobacter spp. in mammal and bird feces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Date sampled</th>
<th>Number of samples¹</th>
<th>Number positive for Campylobacter²</th>
<th>Pathogenic species³</th>
<th>Molecular MST indicators⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cat</td>
<td>Aug 2008</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>C. coli (2)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>Aug 2008</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>C. coli (1) C. jejuni (3)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow</td>
<td>21 Aug 2008</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>C. coli (1) C. jejuni (17)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seagull</td>
<td>27 Apr 2009</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C. coli (4)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>25 Oct 2008</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>C. coli (4)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck</td>
<td>14 Oct 2008, 22 Apr 2009</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>C. coli (3) C. jejuni (11)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geese</td>
<td>9 Mar 2009</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None detected</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigs</td>
<td>20 Dec 2005</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>C. coli (3) C. jejuni (2)</td>
<td>M. smithii (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Number of fecal samples tested for thermophilic Campylobacter spp. by qPCR.
²Number of fecal samples that tested positive for thermophilic Campylobacter spp.
³Number (in parenthesis) of C. coli and C. jejuni that was present, if any, in those samples that tested positive for thermophilic Campylobacter spp.
⁴Samples were also tested for the molecular MST indicators, HPyV, total- and human-specific Bacteroidales and M. smithii.

### Table 4 | Primers used for detection of Campylobacter spp. by qPCR and multiplex-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Species amplified</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CampF2</td>
<td>5’-CAC GTG CTA CAA TGG CAT AT-3’</td>
<td>Campylobacter spp.</td>
<td>Lund et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CampR2</td>
<td>5’-GGG TTC ATG CTC TCG AGT T-3’</td>
<td>Campylobacter spp.</td>
<td>Lund et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CampP2</td>
<td>5’-FAM CAG AGA ACA ATC CGA ACT GGG ACA ACA BHQ1-3’</td>
<td>Campylobacter spp.</td>
<td>Lund et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16S-R</td>
<td>5’-TGA GGC GGC GTG AGT ACA ACA AG-3’</td>
<td>Campylobacter spp.</td>
<td>Cloak &amp; Fratamico (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC18F</td>
<td>5’-GCT ATG ATT TCT ACA AAG CGA G-3’</td>
<td>C. coli</td>
<td>Cloak &amp; Fratamico (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC519R</td>
<td>5’-ATA AAA GAC TAT CGT CGC GTG-3’</td>
<td>C. coli</td>
<td>Cloak &amp; Fratamico (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HipO-F</td>
<td>5’-GAC TTC ATG CAG ATA TGG ATG CTG-3’</td>
<td>C. jejuni</td>
<td>Cloak &amp; Fratamico (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HipO-R</td>
<td>5’-GCT ATA ACT ATC CGA AGA AGC CAT CA-3’</td>
<td>C. jejuni</td>
<td>Cloak &amp; Fratamico (2002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New York, USA) directly into a 2.5 L sealable jar. Upon exposure to air, the pack rapidly removes oxygen to produce a final atmosphere of approximately 6–12% O₂ and 5–8% CO₂. Cells were harvested by scraping into PBS and cells were diluted from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁶; 100 μL of each dilution was plated on TSA and allowed to grow for 72 h in microaerobiosis. After 72 h, colonies were counted and used to calculate the number of cells present in each dilution. Immediately after dilution, 1 mL of each dilution was spiked into 500 mL of three different water matrices: phosphate-buffered water, and recently collected marine water and fresh water. These dilutions were filtered and the filters processed as described above.

**Detection of Campylobacter by multiplex PCR**

Table 4 shows the primers used in a multiplex PCR adapted from Oyarzabal et al. (2005) to determine if C. jejuni and/or C. coli were present in Campylobacter-positive samples. Each reaction mixture consisted of 3.0 mM magnesium chloride, 200 μM dNTPs, 200 nM each primer (Table 4), and 0.05 U/μL Taq polymerase (Roche Applied Science) in 1× PCR buffer; 2 μL of template DNA was added to 23 μL of prepared master-mix for a final volume of 25 μL per reaction. Reactions were performed in duplicate. A Corbett Palm Cycler (Qiagen) was used for all reactions with the following program: 94 °C for 10 min followed by 50 cycles of 60 s at 94 °C, 60 s at 50 °C, and 60 s at 72 °C. A final extension was performed for 5 min at 72 °C and finally samples were held at 4 °C until subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. PCR products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel with 0.05 μg/mL ethidium bromide using standard techniques.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad InStat™ software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). A probability of <0.05 was considered significant. Regression analyses elucidated correlations between Campylobacter and enterococcus concentrations. Cₚ values (dependent variable) from Campylobacter qPCR and Enterococcus counts (independent variable) in the same sample were used for these analyses. Correlation analysis also compared the Cₚ values from Campylobacter qPCR and the presence/absence of molecular MST indicators. Lastly, we analyzed a contingency table using Fisher’s exact test to determine if the Florida Department of Health guidelines for water quality based on enterococcus counts could predict the presence of Campylobacter. For this analysis, water samples were considered a potential health threat if there were ≥36 enterococci per dL of marine water, in accordance with the Florida Department of Health standards, which are based on bacterial water-quality criteria articulated by the US Environmental Protection Agency (1986, 2003). Samples were considered positive for Campylobacter based upon qPCR results.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Detection of the molecular MST Indicators, enterococci and Campylobacter spp. in environmental water**

To determine whether surface waters in Northwest Florida could pose a human health threat through the presence of Campylobacter spp. and whether conventional indicators or potential molecular MST indicators could correctly identify surface waters contaminated with Campylobacter spp., a variety of fresh and marine water sources were sampled over a period of 14 months (July 2008–September 2009). Comparison of qPCR results for the fresh and marine water samples versus the PBS samples indicated that the more complex matrices did not inhibit the qPCR reaction. In the case of fresh water, Campylobacter spp. were detected at 38% (8 of 21) of the sampling sites. Campylobacter cell numbers ranged from approximately 70 to 2.3×10⁶ cells/500 mL. Campylobacter jejuni was present at one site and C. coli at three (Table 1). The indicators, HPyV, Bacteroidales and M. smithii, were not detected in any of these water samples with the exception of those from the Highway 399 site. Total Bacteroidales was found at all sampling locations at Hwy 399, even those that were negative for Campylobacter (data not shown). Human-specific Bacteroidales was also detected in Campylobacter-positive samples taken both upstream and downstream of a storm drain on this road. Enterococci were present in all but one sample that was positive for Campylobacter.
spp. Most importantly, enterococci were detected in samples positive for C. jejuni and C. coli but were also detected in a greater number of samples that were negative for Campylobacter spp., indicating a lack of specificity for the presence of this pathogen.

Campylobacter spp. were detected in water samples from 2 of the 11 marine water sites (18.2%). East Bay samples were positive for Campylobacter spp. at a single sampling time and Quietwater Beach samples were positive on three different sampling days (Table 1). Both of these sites had experienced several days of intermittently heavy rain prior to the sampling event. As a result, the salinity at Quietwater Beach was diluted to 10.1 ppt (typically 13 ppt), and that of East Bay was 8.1 ppt (typically 13 ppt).

Linear regression analysis showed no correlation between the estimated Campylobacter cell number in a given sample and the enterococcus counts for that sample \( (p = 0.79) \). When looking at fresh water sites and marine water sites separately, there was still no correlation \( (p = 0.70 \text{ and } p = 0.11, \text{ respectively}) \). Additionally, the Florida Department of Health guidelines for the determination of surface waters that pose a health risk would not have predicted the presence of Campylobacter, as the analysis of the contingency table by Fisher’s exact test did not indicate an association between waters containing \( \geq 36 \) enterococci per dL and the presence of Campylobacter (two-sided \( p \) value = 0.08). In addition, the estimated number of Campylobacter cells in a sample did not correlate with the presence of any of the molecular MST indicators \( (p = 0.38) \).

Detection of molecular MST indicators and Campylobacter spp. in animal fecal samples

To determine if raw sewage could be a source of Campylobacter contamination in surface waters in Northwest Florida, sampling was conducted during 19 visits to three lift stations over a sampling period of 14 months (July 2008–September 2009). Campylobacter spp. were detected in samples from 15 visits but only two samples were positive for C. coli and four samples were positive for C. jejuni (Table 2). Campylobacter spp. were present in raw sewage obtained from all three Northwest Florida WWTPs at an estimated range of \( 1.4 \times 10^3 \text{–} 5.9 \times 10^5 \) Campylobacter cells/500 mL. Campylobacter jejuni was present at the Milton, FL, WWTP. Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli were present at both the Main Street (Pensacola, FL, USA) WWTP and the Tiger Point (Gulf Breeze, FL, USA) WWTP. The molecular MST indicators were present in all samples. Enterococcus counts ranged from \( 1.1 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/dL} \) to TNTC (too numerous to count).

Detection of the molecular MST indicators and Campylobacter spp. in animal fecal samples

To help establish potential reservoirs for contamination of recreational water, fecal samples from 173 animals, including cats, dogs, cows, seagulls, chickens, geese and pigs, were tested for Campylobacter spp. using qPCR (Table 3). These fecal samples tested negative for the molecular MST indicators with the exception of pigs in which four samples tested positive for M. smithii. In contrast to the molecular MST indicators, Campylobacter spp. were detected in all animal groups. Campylobacter spp. were detected in 50% of the feline samples, 64% of porcine and 76% of the canine fecal samples, but neither C. jejuni nor C. coli were present. Campylobacter spp. were detected in 92% of the bovine fecal samples with the majority of samples containing C. jejuni. On the other hand, C. coli was present in the majority of positive seagull and chicken fecal samples, both of which had high levels of Campylobacter spp. contamination. Duck feces were highly contaminated with Campylobacter spp. and C. jejuni was prevalent in a high number of samples. Geese fecal samples were less prone to Campylobacter spp. contamination than other birds and C. jejuni and C. coli were not detected in these samples.

Campylobacter spp. detected by qPCR were prevalent in many recreational freshwater sites in Northwest Florida, but were only present in recreational marine water sites after heavy rains. Not all sites had detectable C. jejuni and C. coli, but those sites positive for these two species had concentrations that could pose a potential health risk \( (\sim 500 \text{ CFU}) \) (Black et al. 1988; Medema et al. 1996; Forsythe 2002). Additionally, our results indicate that sources of Campylobacter spp. may be human wastewater and/or wild animal feces. In all water matrices, the presence of Campylobacter spp. was not predicted by the conventional EPA method (enterococci counts), nor by the molecular MST indicators.
In Florida, the 2007 campylobacteriosis rate was approximately 5.42 cases per 100,000 (Florida Department of Health 2007). In 2005, campylobacteriosis was the ninth most reported gastrointestinal illness in Escambia County, Florida (Escambia County Health Department 2005). The majority of campylobacteriosis cases are reported in the spring and summer months (Schuster et al. 2005; Vereen et al. 2007), consistent with increased precipitation and recreational activity with weather variables including temperature and rainfall potentially influencing the transmission of this disease (Bi et al. 2008). Prüss (1998) reported an increased incidence of gastrointestinal symptoms in swimmers vs. non-swimmers in several countries worldwide. Most likely, rates of campylobacteriosis are much higher than reported because gastroenteric diseases are typically under-reported as they often are self-limiting and treatment is not sought. Moreover, the etiologic agent of most cases of gastroenteritis treated by medical professionals is not determined. Source determination is further complicated by the long incubation period of campylobacter infections (Porter & Reid 1980; Evans et al. 1996; Skirrow & Blaser 2000).

Although sporadic infections are often the result of ingesting or handling raw or undercooked food such as milk or poultry (Kilonzo-Nthenge et al. 2008), more widespread outbreaks are attributed to contaminated drinking or recreational water (Prüss 1998; Bolton et al. 1999; Hänninen et al. 2003; Schuster et al. 2005; Martin et al. 2006). We observed the presence of Campylobacter spp. in several local fresh and marine waters, most of which are typically used for recreational aquatic activities. The presence of Campylobacter in Northwest Florida fresh waterways is not surprising. Around the world, Campylobacter is frequently isolated from fresh water sources such as lakes, river waters, ground waters and surface waters (Lund 1996; Daczkowska-Kozon & Brzostek-Nowakowska 2001; Savill et al. 2001; Hürman et al. 2004; Walters et al. 2007), and our overall results coincide with the different reports that suggested that aquatic environments are regularly contaminated with Campylobacter spp. (Koenraad et al. 1997; Desmond et al. 2008). In agreement with the present study, Campylobacter is not as frequently detected in marine water environments as in fresh water (Alonso & Alonso 1993), although it has been shown to be a common contaminant of shellfish (Wilson & Moore 1996).

Many sources of Campylobacter in surface waters have been proposed, including sewage runoff, effluent discharge, agricultural runoff and animal feces (Vereen et al. 2007; Pitkänen et al. 2008; Schets et al. 2008). In a study in the southern USA, the highest concentrations of campylobacters were detected directly downstream from a wastewater treatment plant that processed both human and poultry slaughterhouse waste (Vereen et al. 2007). However, Vereen et al. (2007) hypothesized that while wastewater drives a significant portion of Campylobacter loading in the watershed, its presence was more likely related to rainfall, runoff and wildlife fecal contamination. Our results demonstrated that Campylobacter were frequently isolated from raw sewage samples taken from both local area lift stations and waste water treatment facilities and that Campylobacter was present in all eight types of animal fecal material tested from both mammalian and avian sources. The presence of Campylobacter in wild bird fecal material is in agreement with many other studies (Broman et al. 2002; Wahlström et al. 2003; Abulreesh et al. 2006; Schets et al. 2008). Campylobacter has also been found in the gastrointestinal tract of domesticated animals, including livestock and pets, and many wild animals (Wahlström et al. 2003).

The present research explored possible correlations between Campylobacter detection in local waterways and the presence of the conventional indicator organism, enterococcus. EPA method 1600 is the typical method for determining fecal contamination of a waterway. One limitation of this method is that the presence of enterococci does not indicate a source of human fecal pollution, as these organisms are found in the intestinal tract of all warm-blooded mammals. In addition, Campylobacter spp., in particular C. jejuni and C. coli, are also present in the fecal material from warm-blooded mammals. Therefore, we were unable to correlate the presence of Campylobacter spp. to enterococcus counts in Northwest Florida local waterways. Contamination of waterways with Campylobacter spp. is a complex process that can result from avian and mammalian fecal contamination and/or wastewater contamination (Skelly & Weinstein 2003), and the correlation between fecal indicator bacteria and C. jejuni and C. coli is inconclusive in the literature. Several researchers have found that Campylobacter detection was poorly...
correlated with traditional indicator organisms (Lund 1996; Waage et al. 1999; Savill et al. 2001; Hörman et al. 2004; Ahmed et al. 2008). When the waterborne campylobacters are prevalent, as is the case in mixed-use rural watersheds in the coastal plain of southern Georgia, USA (Vereen et al. 2007), and New Zealand’s waterways (Desmond et al. 2008), a positive correlation between the presence of Campylobacter and fecal coliforms in an aquatic environment is apparent. It is important to emphasize that in New Zealand approximately 400 campylobacteriosis cases are notified annually per 100,000 population, which is the highest rate of notified campylobacteriosis in the developed world (Desmond et al. 2008).

Host-specific PCR assays of molecular MST indicators have been suggested as useful additional methods of detecting human fecal pollution in water and identifying sources of pollution (Harwood et al. 2009). However, host-specific assays must correctly identify health risk by predicting the presence of human pathogens. This predictive power would ideally also extend to pathogens from non-human sources, such as Campylobacter. We were unable to correlate Campylobacter presence in a waterway to any of the newly proposed human-specific molecular MST indicators, suggesting that they are not sufficient for predicting the presence of pathogens that are not human-specific. The correlation between waterborne pathogens and these newly proposed markers is inconclusive in the literature (Vereen et al. 2007; Walters et al. 2007). Walters et al. (2007) reported a positive relationship between PCR detection of a general fecal marker for Bacteroidales and the presence of E. coli O157:H7, Campylobacter and Salmonella in river water; moreover, they found a threefold increase in detection of Campylobacter spp. when human-specific Bacteroidales markers were present. Although Campylobacter spp. were detected in our local fresh and marine waterways, no waterborne outbreaks of campylobacteriosis were reported during our sampling time (Florida Department of Health 2009).

Whereas our results indicate that Campylobacter presence in water is not predicted by conventional EPA methods or molecular MST indicators, there are methodological limitations that need to be considered. In previous studies, Ct values of qPCR analyses were extrapolated to cell counts using most probable numbers methods (Rothrock et al. 2009). Our study estimated the number of Campylobacter cells in a given sample by comparison to a standard curve based on serial dilutions of campylobacters whose concentrations were determined by concurrent plate culturing. Moreover, possible PCR inhibitors in environmental samples could generate false negatives for both Campylobacter and MST markers. We tried to minimize these effects by preparing qPCR standard curves in environmental waters to more closely represent Ct values in the presence of inhibitors. We found few samples that were positive for C. jejuni and C. coli, especially within salt water samples, although the number positive at the species level was larger. A larger study similar to this one should be performed to validate results presented in this manuscript. As with any study using PCR to detect Campylobacter spp., one must consider the presence of DNA from VBNC cells. However, the contribution of VBNC states in the contamination cycle of Campylobacter spp. is considered insignificant (Koenraad et al. 1997).

A method of concentrating water samples, followed by treatment with propidium monoazide (PMA) has been gaining acceptance as a means of selectively amplifying DNA from cells in a sample with intact cell membranes (Nocker et al. 2006, 2007; Vesper et al. 2008; Bae & Wuertz 2009; Varma et al. 2009). Our further studies will involve the use of PMA to determine the percentage of the campylobacters detected by PCR in Northwest Florida waterways attributable to membrane-compromised cells, which will improve the risk estimation of campylobacteriosis from recreational waters.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study suggests that contamination of subtropical recreational waterways with Campylobacter spp., including those that cause human disease, may be a source of sporadic acute gastrointestinal disease, and that both the standard EPA method and the newly proposed culture-independent methods may not be useful indicators for the presence of Campylobacter in recreational water. Thus, assays for the specific detection of these pathogens may be necessary when testing recreational waters, especially those contaminated with sewage and animal feces. Further research is
needed to determine the significance of environmental campylobacters, including C. jejuni and C. coli, in public health. For instance, studies could elucidate the infectivity of these environmental strains and any seasonal risks they may pose.
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