

Excellence in spectral cytometry. Find your perfect match.

Learn how the ID7000 and FP7000 systems can meet the needs of your laboratory in supporting high-parameter research applications.

[Explore Now](#)



The Journal of Immunology

RESEARCH ARTICLE | JULY 01 1980

Multiple CTL subsets generated by H-2.L locus products stimulation: evidence for an antigenic determinant private to H-2.Ld. ✓

A Vazquez; ... et. al

J Immunol (1980) 125 (1): 74-77.

<https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.125.1.74>

Related Content

Learning the High-Dimensional Immunogenomic Features That Predict Public and Private Antibody Repertoires

J Immunol (October,2017)

The Effect of Irradiation on the Immune Response to the Private H-2 Specificities of the H-2K and H-2D Loci

J Immunol (April,1973)

Terminal Deoxynucleotidyltransferase Is Required for the Establishment of Private Virus-Specific CD8⁺ TCR Repertoires and Facilitates Optimal CTL Responses

J Immunol (August,2008)

MULTIPLE CTL SUBSETS GENERATED BY H-2.L LOCUS PRODUCTS STIMULATION: EVIDENCE FOR AN ANTIGENIC DETERMINANT PRIVATE TO H-2.L^d 1

AIMÉ VAZQUEZ, ANNA SENIK, AND CATHERINE NEAUPORT-SAUTES

From the Laboratoire d'Immunologie Cellulaire, Institut de Recherches Scientifiques sur le Cancer, B. P. n° 8, 94800 - Villejuif, France

Analysis of the fine specificity of CTL subpopulations raised by an H-2.L locus products stimulation (H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d) was performed by absorption experiments by using monolayers of macrophages of H-2^m, H-2^a, H-2^b, and H-2^k haplotypes. The results show the existence of four CTL subsets. The pattern of reactivity of three of them could be correlated with that of antibodies present in H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera (anti-H-2.64, anti-H-2.65, and anti-H-2.K^k). The fourth CTL subset reacted with a specificity unique to H-2.L^d molecules (a private specificity?), absent on cells from H-2^m, H-2^a, H-2^b, and H-2^k haplotypes, and undescribed as yet by serologic methods. These data support the hypothesis that the H-2.L locus products are comparable in their antigenic properties to those of the H-2.K and H-2.D loci.

By using antisera reacting with public specificities of the H-2.28 family (1), a new D region-coded H-2 molecule, different from the classical H-2.D molecule bearing the D region private specificity, has been described by co-capping studies (2, 3). This molecule, further named H-2.L, has a m.w. of 45,000 and precipitates, on soluble extracts, independently from H-2.D (4, 5). Additional evidence for separate H-2.D and H-2.L molecules came from the finding that the D region H-2 mutant of loss type, BALB/c-H-2^{dm2}, when immunized with parental H-2^d cells, develops antisera that have the pattern of reactivity of some of the anti-H-2.28 antisera (6). The definitive demonstration of the absence of H-2.L molecules in cells of the H-2^{dm2} mutant came from Hansen *et al.* (7) showing that no 45,000-dalton peak could be obtained after immunoprecipitation of H-2.K and H-2.D molecules.

In view of the absence of recombinants between H-2.D and H-2.L regions (8), the serologic definition of the H-2.L molecule is not clarified as yet. Besides the fact that the H-2.L molecule reacts with antibodies present in all anti-H-2.28 antisera (see above), the use of H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera led to the description of several public specificities on H-2.L products of H-2^d, H-2^a haplotypes (H-2.64,65) and of H-2^b (H-2.64) (9). More recently (10, 11), such antisera, taken during the course of

immunization of individual mice, were found to react also with H-2^p, H-2^r, and H-2^k haplotypes (in the H-2^k haplotype, the reaction was located on H-2.K molecules). However, in contrast to H-2.K and H-2.D molecules, no private specificity has yet been defined on the H-2.L molecule, and the H-2.L^d and H-2.Lⁱ molecules are considered to be serologically similar.

Like the H-2.K and H-2.D molecules, the H-2.L molecules bear not only serologically detectable determinants but also determinants that behave as targets for cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL)² developed either in semi-alloreactive combinations (12, 13) or in an H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d stimulation (12, 14, 15). Recently, analyzing the alloreactive cytotoxic T cell response, we have shown that different subpopulations of CTL are raised against the H-2 specificities (private or public) expressed on one H-2 molecule (13). In the work presented herein, using absorption of CTL on macrophage monolayers, we analyzed the fine specificity of CTL generated by an H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d stimulation. The results suggest that in this situation at least four different subpopulations of CTL can be generated. The pattern of reactivity of three of them could be correlated to that of antibodies present in H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera. Interestingly, the fourth subpopulation reacted against a determinant specific to the H-2.L^d molecule (a private specificity?) and absent on cells of H-2^a and H-2^b haplotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. Two- to 3-month-old mice of both sexes listed in the tables were kindly provided by Prs. J. Colombani and J. P. Levy (SNC7, INSERM, Paris). H-2^{dm2} mutant mice were a gift of Dr. P. Demant (Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam).

Source of alloreactive CTL. Spleen cells were obtained from mice once primed *in vivo* with an i.p. injection of 5×10^7 allogeneic spleen cells, then restimulated 11 days later *in vitro* with the same stimulator cells. The mixed lymphocyte cultures (MLC) consisted of 5×10^7 mitomycin-treated stimulator cells (50 μ g/ml of mitomycin for 30 min) mixed with 1×10^8 responder cells in 50 ml of culture medium. Culture medium was RPMI 1640 (Eurobio, France) supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (Eurobio), antibiotics, and 5×10^{-5} M β -mercaptoethanol. The cultures were incubated at 37°C during 5 days in an humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ in air.

Absorption of alloreactive CTL on macrophage monolayers. The procedure was based on that originally described by Brondz and Snegirova (16) and was modified according to the method of Kees *et al.* (17). Mouse peritoneal macrophages were harvested 2 days after i.p. injection of 2 ml of thioglycollate medium (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.). 2×10^7 macrophages in 5 ml medium were seeded in 25 cm³ tissue culture Falcon flasks

² Abbreviations used in this paper: CTL, cytotoxic T lymphocytes.

Received for publication January 29, 1980.

Accepted for publication March 18, 1980.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

¹ Supported by grants from the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (N° 78-4-1401), from the Délégation Générale à la Recherche Scientifique et Technique (N° 79-7-275) and from the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (ATP "Immunologie"-1979).

TABLE II
Specific adsorption of H-2^{dm2} anti-BALB/cKh CTL on macrophage monolayers^a

| Adsorption Monolayers | Target Cells | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| | H-2 ^{dm2} K ^d D ^d L ^θ ^b | BALB/cKh K ^d D ^d L ^d | B10.AKM K ^k D ^q L ^s | C3H.Q K ^q D ^q L ^s | B10 K ^b B ^b L ^b | B10.BR K ^k D ^k L ^k |
| NO | 2.3 ± 1.2 | 71.5 ± 2.1 | 41.4 ± 1.2 | 40.5 ± 1.9 | 25.3 ± 0.8 | 20.6 ± 1.8 |
| H-2 ^{dm2} | 2.5 ± 0.5 | 64 ± 1.9 | 37.9 ± 1.3 | 37.6 ± 1.1 | 22.1 ± 0.4 | 18.3 ± 0.9 |
| BALB/cKh | 2.4 ± 0.9 | 10.1 ± 0.9 | 5.6 ± 1.5 | 4.6 ± 1.1 | 3.8 ± 1.3 | 4 ± 0.8 |
| B10.AKM | 1.1 ± 1.1 | 43.6 ± 1.4 | 4.1 ± 0.9 | 0.5 ± 1.2 | 4.2 ± 2.5 | 2.9 ± 1.6 |
| C3H.Q | 2.2 ± 1.3 | 46.5 ± 2.4 | 16.9 ± 1.5 | 5.2 ± 1.1 | 4 ± 1 | 14.1 ± 1.7 |
| B10.BR | 1.3 ± 0.8 | 56.5 ± 1.5 | 28.6 ± 0.7 | 34.1 ± 1.6 | 20.1 ± 0.6 | 3.7 ± 1.8 |
| B10 | 0.9 ± 0.4 | 55.6 ± 2.6 | 24.6 ± 1.2 | 19.7 ± 1.5 | 2.7 ± 0.7 | 16.7 ± 2.1 |

^a Data are the mean values of triplicates ± S.D.

^b Alleles at the H-2.K, H-2.D and H-2.L regions. θ , absence of H-2.L molecules.

^c Significantly more than when tested on target cells syngeneic with macrophage monolayers.

In order to further analyze the CTL subpopulations raised against the H-2.L molecule, adsorption on macrophages from other haplotypes were performed. As shown in Table II, complete adsorption of CTL with C3H.Q (H-2^q) monolayers left a subpopulation still able to lyse B10.BR (H-2^k) (14% of lysis) and B10.AKM (K^k D^q L^s) (17% of lysis) targets, but ineffective on B10 (H-2^b), suggesting the existence of a subpopulation cross-reacting with H-2.K^k molecules but different from that cross-reacting with H-2^q and H-2^b. Indeed, adsorption of CTL on B10.BR left a residual cytotoxicity on B10.AKM (28% of lysis), C3H.Q (34% of lysis), and B10 (20% of lysis), which corresponds to CTL cross-reacting with H-2^q and H-2^b but not with H-2.K^k. Therefore, these adsorption procedures suggest the existence of three independent subpopulations of CTL generated by an H-2.L locus stimulation: a first one recognizing a determinant specific to H-2.L^d, a second cross-reacting with H-2.K^k, and a third cross-reacting with H-2^q and H-2^b.

A more precise determination of CTL cross-reacting with H-2^q and H-2^b was achieved by absorbing the CTL on B10 monolayers. In fact, this adsorption left a subpopulation that still killed 20% of C3H.Q targets (by contrast, as shown above, adsorption on C3H.Q removed the cytotoxicity directed against B10). Moreover, B10.BR targets were also killed by this subpopulation, confirming that the CTL that cross-react with H-2^b are different from those that cross-react with H-2.K^k. Therefore, the CTL cross-reacting with H-2^q consist of at least two subsets: one that recognizes determinants specific to H-2^q but absent on H-2^b, and one recognizing determinants shared by H-2^q and H-2^b.

DISCUSSION

In the present report, the fine specificity of CTL raised against H-2.L antigens was investigated. First, their pattern of reactivity on a panel of target cells followed grossly that of H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera described by several authors. Second, the H-2.L molecule was found to be recognized by at least four independent subpopulations of CTL: one reacting against a determinant specific to H-2.L^d and absent on H-2^m, H-2^q, or H-2^b; a second cross-reacting with the H-2.K^k molecule; a third cross-reacting with H-2^q but not H-2^b; and a fourth cross-reacting with H-2^q and H-2^b.

Interestingly, the pattern of reactivity exerted by H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d CTL and H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera are very similar, since both give positive reactions with cells of H-2^q, H-2^m, H-2^b, H-2^p, H-2^r and H-2^k haplotypes (8-11). In this last haplotype, the cross-reactivity exerted by CTL was found to be located on the H-2.K molecule, like that exerted by H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera (10, 11). In addition, B10.S (H-2^s) targets, which react weakly (10) or not at all (8, 9) with H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera, were not

killed by the CTL. These observations confirm and extend previous findings (13, 19, 20), showing that in an alloreactive response the cross-reactivities exerted by the CTL appear to be directed mostly against the public specificities of the H-2 molecules.

The adsorption studies, showing the existence of a subpopulation of anti-H-2.L CTL recognizing determinants present on H-2^q (C3H.Q) but absent on H-2^b (B10) and another recognizing determinants common to H-2^q and H-2^b, are also in strict correlation with the analysis of antibodies present in H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera. As shown previously (9), the reactivity of this antiserum on H-2^b could be completely absorbed by H-2^q but not vice versa, demonstrating the existence of a specificity common to H-2.L molecules of H-2^b and H-2^q (H-2.64) and a specificity expressed on H-2^q but not on H-2^b (H-2.65). More recently (8), the analysis of reactivity of H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera on cells from congenic wild mice led to the description of several new specificities on the H-2.L^q molecules (H-2.73, 74, 75), most of them absent on H-2.L^b, data that are still in agreement with the present CTL analysis.

The existence of a separate CTL subset cross-reacting with the H-2.K^k molecule is also compatible with the serologic cross-reactivity of H-2^{dm2} anti-H-2^d antisera (10, 11), although the presence of anti-K^k antibodies in such antisera may reflect a response to virus modified H-2^d components rather than public specificities shared by H-2.L^d and H-2.K^k molecules (21).

In contrast to the observation that all the cross-reactions at the T cell level appeared to correspond to those observed with antibodies, the adsorption of CTL on B10.AKM (K^k D^q L^s), which theoretically should remove all the CTL reacting with BALB/c, left a high level of cytotoxicity on BALB/c. One could argue that these results are due to a lower expression of H-2.L molecules on B10.AKM compared with BALB/c. This does not seem to be the case, since increasing the amount of macrophages used for adsorption did not modify the residual cytotoxicity on BALB/c. In view of 1) the mouse strains used to prepare CTL (H-2^{dm2} and H-2^d are identical in their T region loci), and 2) the fact that the killing of BALB/cKh targets was completely inhibited by anti-H-2.L but not anti-H-2.K or anti-H-2.D antisera (data not shown, and 12, 15), it appears unlikely that the nonadherent CTL subset reacts on H-2^d with other molecules than H-2.L. Since this subset did not kill target cells from other haplotypes than H-2^d (H-2^m, H-2^q, H-2^b and H-2^k), it seems rather to react with a specificity unique to the H-2.L molecules of H-2^d haplotype. This specificity might either correspond to the private specificity of the H-2.L^d molecule, as yet not discovered by the serologic methods, or to an antigenic determinant which, as in other mutant-parent combinations, does not induce antibody production (22). Interestingly, the existence of a spec-

ificity present on the H-2.L molecule of H-2^d but absent on H-2^a appears in correlation with the recent peptide map analysis of these molecules showing that H-2.L^d and H-2.L^a molecules are different (23).

The fact that an allosensitization against the H-2.L molecule generates at least four separate CTL subsets is in accordance with our previous data showing that the private and the cross-reacting (public) determinants of the H-2.K^d molecule are recognized by at least two different subpopulations of CTL (13). They confirm also results of Geib *et al.* (24), which showed, by using mutants at the H-2.K^b locus, that multiple CTL subsets are raised against the H-2.K molecule.

The data presented here provide evidence for the polymorphism of the H-2.L locus revealed at the T cell-mediated level and for the existence of specificity unique to the H-2.L molecule of H-2^d (a private specificity?). These findings extend the previous observations that in their serologic and biochemical (25) properties the products of the H-2.L locus are comparable to those of the H-2.K and H-2.D loci.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank Dr. W. H. Fridman for very helpful discussions, Dr. J. P. Levy, Dr. P. Demant, and Pr. J. Colombani for providing H-2^{dm2} mutant and congenic mice.

REFERENCES

1. Snell, G. D., P. Demant, and M. Cherry. 1974. Hemagglutination and cytotoxic studies of H-2. V. The anti-27,28,29 family of antibodies. *Folia Biol. (Praha)* 20:145.
2. Lemonnier, F., C. Neauport-Sautés, F. Kourilsky, and P. Demant. 1975. Relationship between private and public H-2 specificities on the cell surface. *Immunogenetics* 2:517.
3. Morello, D., C. Neauport-Sautés, and P. Demant. 1977. Topographical relationships among H-2 specificities controlled by the D region. *Immunogenetics* 4:359.
4. Hansen, T. H., S. E. Cullen, and D. H. Sachs. 1977. Immunochemical evidence for an additional H-2 region closely linked to H-2.D. *J. Exp. Med.* 145:438.
5. Neauport-Sautés, C., D. Morello, J. M. Freed, S. G. Nathenson, and P. Demant. 1977. The private specificity H-2.4 and the public specificity H-2.28 of the D region are expressed on two independent polypeptide chains. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 8:511.
6. McKenzie, I. F. C., G. M. Morgan, R. W. Melvold, and H. I. Kohn. 1977. BALB/c-H-2^{db}: a new H-2 mutant in BALB/cKh that identifies a locus associated with the D region. *Immunogenetics* 4:333.
7. Hansen, T. H., S. E. Cullen, R. Melvold, H. I. Kohn, L. Flaherty, and D. H. Sachs. 1977. Mutation in a new H-2 associated histocompatibility gene closely linked to H-2.D. *J. Exp. Med.* 145:1550.
8. Huang, C. M., H. J. S. Huang, and J. Klein. 1979. Serology and polymorphism of H-2.L locus encoded antigens. *Immunogenetics* 5: 173.
9. Hansen, T. H., and D. H. Sachs. 1978. Isolation and antigenic characterization of the product of a third polymorphic H-2 locus: H-2.L. *J. Immunol.* 121:14.
10. Iványi, P., C. J. M. Melief, P. de Greeve, and K. P. van Mourik. 1979. Individual mice recognize the complex nature of H-2 antigens; unexpected reactions (anti-K^k) in anti-BALB/c H-2^d sera produced in the BALB/c-H-2^{db} mutant. *Transplant. Proc.* 11:642.
11. Hansen, T. H., P. Iványi, R. B. Levy, and D. H. Sachs. 1979. Cross reactivity among the products of three non-allelic H-2 loci, H-2.L^d, H-2.D^a, and H-2.K^k. *Transplantation* 28:339.
12. Hansen, T. H., and R. B. Levy. 1978. Alloantigens determined by a second D region locus elicit a strong *in vitro* cytotoxic response. *J. Immunol.* 120:1836.
13. Vazquez, A., C. Neauport-Sautés, and A. Senik. 1980. Separate CTL subsets recognize the different H-2 specificities. *J. Exp. Med.* 151:773.
14. Melief, C. J. M., L. P. de Waal, M. Y. van de Meulen, P. de Greeve, and P. Iványi. (1979). Target specificities of cytotoxic T cells directed against H-2.L. *Immunogenetics* 9:324.
15. Levy, R. B., C. M. Shearer, and T. H. Hansen. 1978. Properties of H-2.L locus products in allogeneic and H-2 restricted, trinitrophenyl-specific cytotoxic responses. *J. Immunol.* 12:2263.
16. Bronz, B. D., and E. M. Snegirova. 1971. Interaction of immune lymphocytes with the mixtures of target cells possessing selected specificities of H-2 immunizing allele. *Immunology* 20:457.
17. Kees, U., A. Mullbacher, and V. R. Blanden. 1978. Specific absorption of H-2 restricted cytotoxic T cells to macrophage monolayers. *J. Exp. Med.* 148:1711.
18. Cerottini, J. C., M. D. Engers, H. R. MacDonald, and K. T. Brunner. 1974. Generation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes *in vitro*. I. Response of normal and immune mouse spleen cells in mixed leukocyte cultures. *J. Exp. Med.* 140:703.
19. Vazquez, A., A. Senik, W. H. Fridman, and C. Neauport-Sautés. 1980. Public H-2 specificities are target determinants for alloreactive cytotoxic T lymphocytes. *J. Immunogenet.* 7:107.
20. Fisher Lindahl, K., A. B. Peck, and F. H. Bach. 1975. Specificity of cell mediated lympholysis for public and private H-2 determinants. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 4:541.
21. Iványi, P., K. P. van Mourik, M. Breuning, and C. J. M. Melief. 1980. Anti H-2 antibodies induced by syngeneic immunization. *Immunogenet.* 10:319.
22. Klein, J. 1979. H-2 molecules: their genetics and effect on immune functions. *Adv. Immunol.* 26:55.
23. Rose, S. M., T. M. Hansen, and S. E. Cullen. 1980. Structural relation of murine "third locus" (H-2.L) major histocompatibility antigens to the products of H-2.K and H-2.D loci. *Immunology*. In press.
24. Geib, A., C. Chiang, and J. Klein. 1978. Evidence for multiple clones of cytotoxic T cells responding to antigenic determinants on the same molecule. *J. Immunol.* 120:340.
25. Sears, D. W., S. Young, P. H. Wilson, and J. E. Christiaansen. 1980. Structural characterization of H-2L alloantigens. I. Complete tryptic peptide map analysis of H-2L^a. *J. Immunol.* In press.