Late Triassic mollusk-dominated hydrocarbon-seep deposits from Turkey
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ABSTRACT

Deep-sea hydrothermal vents and hydrocarbon seeps host unique ecosystems relying on geochemical energy rather than photosynthesis. Whereas the fossil and evolutionary history of these ecosystems is increasingly well known from the Cretaceous onward, their earlier history remains poorly understood and brachiopods are considered to have played a dominant role during the Paleozoic and Mesozoic. Here we report five new hydrocarbon-seep deposits from the Upper Triassic Kasımlar shales in southern Turkey. The pyritiferous seep limestones predominantly consist of $^{13}$C-depleted micrite with $\delta^{13}$C values as low as $-10.4\%$, and contain only sparse $^{13}$C-depleted rim cement ($\delta^{13}$C as low as $-12.0\%$), interpreted to result from the recrystallization of banded and botryoidal crystal aggregates of fibrous cement. The geologic ages of the studied seep deposits were determined as late Carnian and early Norian using conodonts. The associated fauna is dominated by modiomorphid and anomalodesmatan bivalves, and also includes a diversity of gastropods and the dimerelloid brachiopod Halorella. These faunal assemblages allow a comparison between seep faunas from the two major Triassic ocean basins—the present assemblages being from Tethys, and the only previously known examples being from eastern Panthalassa—and indicate that a cosmopolitan, seep-restricted fauna as in the present-day oceans has existed since the Late Triassic. With almost 20 species, the seep fauna of the Kasımlar shales approaches the diversity of Cretaceous to present-day seep faunas, further emphasizing the ecological similarity of seep faunas since the early Mesozoic. Our findings also highlight that brachiopods and bivalves had a more complex history of coexistence at seeps than currently appreciated.

INTRODUCTION

The discovery of hydrothermal vents and methane seeps on the deep-sea floor has significantly altered our understanding of the limits of life and the diversity of food webs on Earth. The majority of the macrofauna inhabiting these environments depend on symbiotic, chemotrophic bacteria from which they acquire most, if not all, of their nutrition. Hence these ecosystems differ radically from others in being based on chemosynthesis rather than photosynthesis (Sibuet and Olu, 1998). Their evolution may therefore be buffered from mass extinctions and other perturbations of photosynthetic primary production (Tunnicliffe, 1992; Kiel and Little, 2006) and may instead be driven by events affecting the discharge of the reduced chemicals that form the base of the chemosynthesis-based food web (Kiel, 2015).

The fossil record of vent communities, and in particular the much more complete record of seep communities, has provided important insights into the evolution of these ecosystems (Little et al., 1997; Kiel and Little, 2006) and indicates a turnover from brachiopod-dominated communities in the Paleozoic and Mesozoic to bivalve-dominated communities in the Late Cretaceous (Campbell and Bottjer, 1995a). Although the fossil history of seeps is increasingly well understood from the Cretaceous onward (Kiel, 2009), Triassic examples are known only from eastern Oregon, USA (Peckmann et al., 2011). Here we report five new hydrocarbon-seep deposits from the Upper Triassic Kasımlar shales of southern Turkey that are dominated by bivalves and also contain the dimerelloid brachiopod Halorella.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five limestone deposits from the Kasımlar Formation (Kasımlar shales or the Kasımlar Formation (Gutun et al., 1979). The limestone deposits reported here were found among those accumulations. Two were found ~6 km northwest of the village of Dumanlı (37°36′0.2″N, 31°20′55.6″E, and 37°35′27.1″N, 31°20′58.2″E), each consisting of several loose boulders reaching 50 cm across, and three on a slope on the north side of the road just west of the village of Yakaafar, at the turnoff to Terziler (37°44′33.5″N, 31°09′40.5″E). One of the Terziler deposits was in situ (Fig. DR1 in the GSA Data Repository1), measuring ~1.5 × 1 m, and the other two were loose boulders not more than 50 cm across.

Thin sections 150–100 mm in size were prepared from each deposit for petrographic analyses. Samples for carbon and oxygen stable isotope analyses were drilled from the rock slabs from which the thin sections were made. For stable isotope analyses, carbonate powders were reacted with 100% phosphoric acid at 75 °C using a Kiel III online carbonate preparation line connected to a ThermoFinnigan 252 mass spectrometer. All values are reported in per mil relative to the Vienna PeeDee belemnite (VPDB) standard by assigning a $\delta^{13}$C value of $+1.95\%$.

© 2017 The Authors. Gold Open Access: This paper is published under the terms of the CC-BY license.

Figure 1. Map of study area (Turkey); two sample localities are indicated by stars.

Kasımlar shales or the Kasımlar Formation (Gutnic et al., 1979). The limestone deposits reported here were found among those accumulations. Two were found ~6 km northwest of the village of Dumanlı (37°36′0.2″N, 31°20′55.6″E, and 37°35′27.1″N, 31°20′58.2″E), each consisting of several loose boulders reaching 50 cm across, and three on a slope on the north side of the road just west of the village of Yakaafar, at the turnoff to Terziler (37°44′33.5″N, 31°09′40.5″E). One of the Terziler deposits was in situ (Fig. DR1 in the GSA Data Repository1), measuring ~1.5 × 1 m, and the other two were loose boulders not more than 50 cm across.

Thin sections 150–100 mm in size were prepared from each deposit for petrographic analyses. Samples for carbon and oxygen stable isotope analyses were drilled from the rock slabs from which the thin sections were made. For stable isotope analyses, carbonate powders were reacted with 100% phosphoric acid at 75 °C using a Kiel III online carbonate preparation line connected to a ThermoFinnigan 252 mass spectrometer. All values are reported in per mil relative to the Vienna PeeDee belemnite (VPDB) standard by assigning a $\delta^{13}$C value of $+1.95\%$.

1GSA Data Repository item 2017247: Figure DR1 (outcrop image), Figures DR2 and DR3 (photomicrographs of thin sections of the Dumanlı and Terziler seep deposits), and Figure DR4 (SEM images of age diagnostic conodonts), is available online at http://www.geosociety.org/datarepository/2017/ or on request from editing@geosociety.org.
and a δ¹⁸O value of −2.20‰ to NBS19 limestone reference material. Reproducibility was checked by replicate analysis of laboratory standards and was found to be better than ±0.05‰.

RESULTS

The two Dumanlı deposits (Dumanlı I and II) are petrographically similar and the abundant fossils of large bivalves are mostly articulated, as are the less-abundant fossils of *Haloparella*. The matrix of the dark-gray limestones consists of homogenous, pyritiferous micrite to micropor that contains abundant detritus, mostly quartz grains, skeletal carbonate debris, wood fragments, and fecal pellets. The limestones reveal a nodular fabric (Fig. DR2), resembling the Jurassic seep deposits of Beauvoisin, France (cf. Peckmann et al., 1999). Nodules consist of homogeneous micrite too, but contain far less detritus than the rock matrix (Fig. 2A). Dispersed framboidal pyrite is particularly common within the nodules. Seams of pyrite line some nodules (Fig. 2A) and corroded carbonate shells. Caverns are sparse and are mostly filled by late diagenetic equant calcite. The Dumanlı I deposit contains little early diagenetic rim cement in addition to the pore space occluding equant calcite. Ghost structures after fibrous crystals suggest that the rim cement was originally marine aragonite or calcite cement that probably corresponds to banded and botryoidal cement, which is a common constituent of many Phanerozoic seep deposits (e.g., Savard et al., 1996).

The three Terziler deposits (Terziler I, II, and III) are gray limestones that contain abundant skeletal carbonate debris, significantly more than the Dumanlı deposits (Fig. DR3). Apart from unidentified minerals, bivalve, brachiopod, gastropod, echinoderm, and ammonoid fragments have been recognized. The matrix of the rock is a homogeneous, pyritiferous micrite to micropor. It encloses detrital minerals, mostly quartz, nodules, fecal pellets, and wood fragments. Articulated specimens of *Haloparella* are found in the Terziler I deposit, whereas articulated bivalves are common in the Terziler II and, particularly, Terziler III deposits. Abundant corrosion surfaces on skeletal carbonate and on carbonate clasts are partially lined by pyrite. Centimeter-sized caverns were found only in the Terziler I deposit. Most of the cavity volume is filled by equant calcite cement. The presence of idiomorphic dolomite rhombs and stylolites reveals that the Terziler limestones have been affected by late-stage dolomitization and pressure solution.

All carbonate phases sampled from the Dumanlı and Terziler deposits revealed low δ¹⁸O values (−10.7‰ to −6.8‰; Fig. 3), resulting from a resetting of the distribution of stable oxygen isotopes during late diagenesis (e.g., Tong et al., 2016). Carbon stable isotopes of carbonate phases are much less affected by late diagenetic alteration processes (Tong et al., 2016). The δ¹³C values of carbonate phases from the Dumanlı and Terziler deposits scatter widely, reflecting only insignificant resetting and, thus, close to primary isotope patterns. Micrite from the Dumanlı deposits yielded δ¹³C values from −10.4‰ to −4.0‰, while values of micrite from the Terziler deposits fall between −9.5‰ and −1.2‰. Two samples of rim cement from the Dumanlı I deposit, apparently representing banded and botryoidal cement, yielded less-negative δ¹³C values (−1.2‰ and −0.7‰), whereas its counterpart from the Terziler I deposit is more ¹³C depleted (−12.0‰ to −7.0‰). The δ¹⁸O values of three samples of equant calcite from the Dumanlı I and Terziler deposits range from −2.6‰ to +0.6‰.

At Dumanlı, the conodont *Epigondolella triangularis* indicates a middle to late early Carnian age; at the Terziler sites, the conodont *Quadralella polygonatiformis* indicates a late Carnian age (Fig. DR4) (Tekin, 1999; Chen et al., 2015). Four of the five deposits are dominated by bivalves with the brachiopod *Haloparella amphitoma* (Figs. 4R and 4S) being a subordinate faunal element; only in the Terziler I deposit is *Haloparella amphitoma* more common than the bivalves (Table 1). The dominant bivalves belong to three genera:

(1) A new genus of the Anomalodesmata that reaches 82 mm in length and dominates the deposits near Dumanlı, and is smaller and less abundant in the Terziler deposits (Fig. 4A); the “nuculanid” from a Late Triassic seep deposit in Oregon (Peckmann et al., 2011) is very similar in shape and belongs to the same new genus.

(2) A modiomorphid resembling *Caspiunconcha* and reaching 33 mm in length, which dominates the Terziler III deposit and is rare in the other deposits (Figs. 4B and 4C); the “permorphorid” reported from a Late Triassic seep deposit in Oregon (Peckmann et al., 2011) is very similar in shape and belongs to the same genus.

(3) A new genus of the Modiromorphida that is strongly inflated and reaches 57 mm in length, which is present in the Terziler deposits (Fig. 4D).

Gastropods are diverse but poorly preserved (Figs. 4G–4Q); 12 different taxa were identified in the Terziler deposits, and one in the Dumanlı deposits. In addition, a small, unidentified rhynchonellid brachiopod with a deep sulcus is common in the Terziler III deposit (Figs. 4T–4V), ammonoids (*Arcestes* sp.) were found in the Terziler I deposit, and a few small protobranch bivalves occur in both the Dumanlı and Terziler deposits (Figs. 4E and 4F).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The Dumanlı and Terziler limestones reveal a number of characteristics that are typical of hydrocarbon-seep deposits. Most seep limestones reveal a matrix of pyritiferous micrite like in the studied deposits from the Kasımlar shales of southern Turkey (e.g., Peckmann et al., 1999; Campbell et al., 2002). Beyond that, the Dumanlı limestones share carbonate mesofabric with other seep deposits, including nodules made of pure authigenic micrite that are partially lined by an outer rim of pyrite (Peckmann et al., 1999). Similarly, pyrite rims lining corrosion surfaces are abundant in the Dumanlı and Terziler limestones, a feature shared with many seep limestones (Campbell et al., 2002). Although only a minor constituent of the limestones from the Kasımlar shales, banded and botryoidal aggregates of formerly fibrous marine cement are interpreted to be analogous to the characteristic banded and botryoidal cements typifying many Phanerozoic seep deposits (e.g., Savard et al., 1996; Peckmann et al., 2007). The listed carbonate fabrics are by no means restricted to seep deposits, but their co-occurrence with low-diversity but high-abundance faunal assemblages of bivalve taxa known from other Mesozoic seep deposits (Peckmann et al., 2011) and dimerelloid brachiopods (Sandy, 2010) is best explained by a paleoenvironmental setting shaped by hydrocarbon seepage. The great number of articulated bivalve and brachiopod specimens is in line with an autochthonous assemblage, allowing us to reconstruct the paleoenvironment on the basis of the associated authigenic carbonate phases of the Dumanlı and Terziler limestones. Moderately 13C-depleted micrite and banded and botryoidal cement reflect the incorporation of organic carbon during mineral formation. The low 18O values, local dolomitization, and pressure solution. Other seep limestones with lowest 13C values of −12‰ have been interpreted as oil-seep deposits, supported by the occurrence of pyrobitumen (i.e., metamorphosed crude oil; Peckmann et al., 2007) or a petroliferous odor (Kiel and Peckmann, 2007). No such additional evidence for oil seepage has been observed for the Dumanlı and Terziler limestones.

The faunal assemblages associated with the Kasımlar shales seep deposits provide a wealth of new insights into the evolutionary history of seep faunas. For example, the new genus of the Anomalodesmata appears to be the first seep-restricted member of its clade, showing that the adaptation to this environment is widespread throughout the class Bivalvia and especially among the Heterodonta. This anomalodesmatan
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 Whereas the Triassic seep faunas in Oregon consist of millions of specimens of the brachiopod Halorella and very few other species (four species represented by a total of 18 specimens; Peckmann et al., 2011), the Kas멀 shales seep fauna consists of 19 species, including 17 mollusks (Table 1). This approaches the diversity of modern, Cenozoic, and Cretaceous seep sites (Campbell, 2006) and further emphasizes the ecological similarity among seep faunas since the early Mesozoic. The abundance and diversity of bivalves at the Turkish seep sites also challenge the paradigm that Paleozoic and Mesozoic seeps were dominated by brachiopods that were replaced by the modern bivalve-dominated fauna in the Late Cretaceous (Campbell and Bottjer, 1995a). Indeed, bivalves with morphologies similar to those of extant vesicomyids and Mesozoic seep-inhabiting mollusks have been reported from Devonian seeps in Morocco (Hryniewicz et al., 2017), and seep deposits lacking brachiopods are known from the Carboniferous (Buggisch and Krumm, 2005; Himmel et al., 2008). Thus brachiopods and bivalves appear to have had a complex history of coexistence at seeps through the Phanerozoic that requires further investigation.