Anesthesia-related Cardiac Arrest in Children

To the Editor—Morrow et al.1 are to be congratulated in trying to sort out some of the issues regarding intraoperative cardiac arrest in children. However, I am concerned with the conclusions regarding cardiac arrests related to anesthetic agents. The authors freely admit that they do not know the numerator or denominator and therefore cannot say that sevoflurane is safer than halothane, but they have suggested that because sevoflurane is reported to be less cardiac depressant than halothane, perhaps this is a contributory factor. I suggest another mechanism, and that is a systems issue, i.e., the minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) multiples that can be administered with most halothane vaporizers is approximately 5 in a newborn or infant, whereas the number of MAC multiples allowable with a sevoflurane vaporizer is only approximately 2½. Thus, the potential to deliver twice as much drug with halothane sets up the potential for rapid cardiovascular depression because of greater overpressurization at the beginning of anesthesia.

As one would expect, the majority of cardiac arrests reported occur during induction, and many of them occur only after control of respiration. It should be noted that the two patients who had arrests with sevoflurane also had controlled ventilation. Thus, the real culprit here may not be the drug but rather how the drug is used and the concentration of the drug that is used, i.e., high concentrations of halothane with controlled ventilation or even moderate concentrations of halothane or sevoflurane with controlled ventilation.

It would be interesting to determine how many cardiac arrests occur with low doses of halothane while allowing the patient to breathe spontaneously. In my experience, most patients autoregulate their depth of anesthesia, and as long as the bag is not squeezed too strongly, the patient is allowed to breathe spontaneously, it is rare to see significant cardiovascular depression with halothane. However, as soon as one begins to control ventilation, rapid cardiac depression may follow.

Examination of the original MAC studies of halothane and similar MAC studies of sevoflurane from Toronto shows that the incidence of hypotension was similar in both groups of neonates. In fact, there was a higher incidence of hypotension in the sevoflurane neonate group (8 of 12) compared with the halothane neonate group (4 of 12).2–5 Admittedly, these studies were performed many years apart, and perhaps there were some differences in patient population, but the degree of myocardial depression reported in the literature when comparing sevoflurane and halothane is quite minimal, especially in infants. These differences in cardiovascular effects are likely less in children younger than 3 yr of age, the most vulnerable group, because they tend not to have an increase in heart rate with sevoflurane compared with older children.3

My caution is to not condemn halothane at this time, particularly because this drug is the most widely used around the world, and sevoflurane is not affordable to many countries or hospitals. Instead of condemning the drug, perhaps we should teach people how to administer anesthesia more safely to infants. I was taught that it is difficult to ‘kill’ a spontaneously breathing patient.

Charles J. Coté, M.D., Children’s Memorial Hospital, Northwestern University Medical School, Chicago, Illinois. ccote@northwestern.edu
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Did Anesthetics Trigger Cardiac Arrests in Patients with Occult Myopathies?

To the Editor—We appreciate the excellent analysis by Morrow et al.1 of 150 anesthesia-related pediatric cardiac arrests reports submitted to the Pediatric Perioperative Cardiac Arrest Registry from 1994–1997. In his editorial, Rothstein2 suggests a multicenter prospective epidemiologic study to improve our understanding of the causes of pediatric perioperative anesthesia-related arrests and to develop a strategy to diminish their incidence.

We have some questions about the etiology of the hyperkalemic cardiac arrests reported to the Pediatric Perioperative Cardiac Arrest Registry. How many pediatric arrests were attributed to hyperkalemia? How many were unrelated to massive transfusion, liver reperfusion, and renal insufficiency? Did an additional three patients with unrecognized cardiomyopathy have arrests after halothane induction? Was skeletal muscle for histologic examination and dystrophin analysis obtained in any of these children? Was a positive family history of muscular dystrophy revealed when parents were interviewed after their child’s arrest? Do the reports indicate whether the etiology of occult myopathy was considered by the reporting institutions for these eight children and the eight additional children in whom no specific cardiovascular cause could be determined?

We ask because of our findings from a smaller study of all pediatric cardiac arrests (n = 25) reported predominately from community hospitals for the years 1990–1993 to the databases of The North American Malignant Hyperthermia Registry and the Malignant Hyperthermia Association of the United States Hotline.3 Our analysis showed the presence of unrecognized myopathy in 12 arresting patients (48%), with 8 of these 12 cardiac arrests associated with hyperkalemia. Only 5 patients (20%) in our series experienced a malignant hyperthermia event before arrest.

To understand the causes of pediatric perioperative cardiac arrest better, we proposed3 that postarrest evaluation should include analysis
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of body fluids for electrolyte abnormality and skeletal muscle for myopathy and absence of normal dystrophin. As a strategy to decrease the incidence of myopathy-associated cardiac arrest, we urged pediatricians to screen young male patients for occult myopathy before their referral for elective anesthesitcs. We also asked whether presumptuous diagnosis of Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophies might be accomplished with neonatal creatine kinase testing.5

Based on the study of Morray et al. and our findings, we concur that a large, multicenter prospective epidemiologic study that seeks to determine the etiology of all pediatric perioperative cardiac arrests would be of great value to the anesthesia community. We would be happy to collaborate in that venture.

Marilyn Green Larach, M.D., F.A.A.P.,* Henry Rosenberg, M.D., Gerald A. Gronert, M.D., Gregory C. Allen, M.D., F.R.C.P.C.

The North American Malignant Hyperthermia Registry, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and Penn State University College of Medicine, Hershey, Pennsylvania. mlarach@psu.edu

In Reply—Dr. Larach et al. have reported that of the 25 cardiac arrest patients reported to The North American Malignant Hyperthermia (MH) Registry and MH Association of the United States Hotline from 1990–1993, 48% showed evidence for a previously unrecognized myopathy, and 32% had hyperkalemia-associated arrests. In contrast, 6.7% (10/150) of the Pediatric Perioperative Cardiac Arrest Registry patients were hyperkalemic at the time of arrest. All but one of these cases was associated with either massive transfusion (n = 5), reperfusion after liver transplant (n = 2), or renal insufficiency (n = 2). In one patient, succinylcholine administration resulted in hyperkalemia and cardiac arrest. This was a patient with severe neurologic deterioration from subacute sclerosing panencephalitis. No laboratory data or muscle biopsy data were provided to confirm the presence of a myopathy. The same was true for the 3 children found to have cardiomyopathy and for the 18 children whose arrest was assumed to be cardiovascular in origin but could not have a specific cause assigned.

The fact that fewer hyperkalemia-associated arrests have been reported to the Pediatric Perioperative Cardiac Arrest Registry than to The North American MH Registry is not surprising, given the different focus and entry criteria of the two registries. In fact, no cases of MH have been reported to the Pediatric Perioperative Cardiac Arrest Registry, perhaps because we have become more knowledgeable about MH prevention and therapy such that few MH-susceptible patients progress to cardiac arrest. In addition, the decreasing use of succinylcholine during the past decade as faster-acting nondepolarizing relaxants have become available may have resulted in the diagnosis of fewer patients with occult myopathy or MH susceptibility.

We agree with Dr. Larach et al. that more information is required. As suggested in Dr. Rothstein’s editorial,6 this sort of information can come only with a prospective multicenter epidemiologic study of anesthesia-related cardiac arrest.

Dr. Cote argues that we should not condemn halothane because it remains the most widely used anesthetic agent for children around the world, has a long track record of safety, and is inexpensive relative to other agents, such as sevoflurane. His points are well-taken. However, pediatric anesthesiologists recognize that halothane can cause bradycardia and hypotension in their patients, occasionally to the degree that cardiopulmonary resuscitation is required. The impact of halothane on heart rate, blood pressure, and cardiac output has been well-documented in many animal5,6 and human6–7 studies. Recently, studies comparing the cardiovascular responses in newborns, infants, and children to whom either halothane or sevoflurane was administered have suggested important differences, particularly with respect to heart rate and cardiac contractility and output.8–10 As Dr. Cote points out, another important difference between these two agents is that it is possible to deliver roughly twice the number of minimum alveolar concentration multiples of halothane than of sevoflurane.

Halothane continues to be a frequently used agent in our institution, as in other institutions around the world that care for children. We hope that information provided by the Pediatric Perioperative Cardiac Arrest Registry about halothane’s role in contributing to occasional cardiac arrest will improve the safety of its use. As an example, the use of controlled ventilation was an associated factor in approximately half of the patients in which halothane-related cardiovascular depression caused cardiac arrest; the remainder had arrests during spontaneous or assisted ventilation. Thus, the classic teaching that spontaneously breathing patients do not have arrests caused by inhalation agent-induced cardiovascular depression is not always true.

As anesthetic agents with the promise of improved efficacy or fewer side effects become available, we would be remiss not to compare them with the gold standard. We do not know whether sevoflurane is associated with less risk compared with halothane, but there is reason to think this might be so. At the moment, each of us must apply an incomplete data set to our practices. Cost is certainly an issue and may be the decisive issue if sevoflurane is unaffordable. Those of us with the luxury of choice are charged with analyzing our outcomes as rigorously as possible and making the information available to others.

Jeffrey Morray, M.D., Children’s Hospital and Regional Medical Center, Seattle, Washington, and University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington. jmorray@chmc.org
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To the Editor:—It was with great interest that we read the article by Langeron et al.1 published in the May 2000 issue of Anesthesiology. This was a well-designed study evaluating the incidence of difficult mask ventilation during the induction of general anesthesia in a general adult population. The authors emphasize the significant frequency of difficult mask ventilation (5%) in their study and its potential relation with difficult intubation; they also define an easy and simple clinical criteria to detect difficult mask ventilation.2 This study was performed in a French university hospital. However, the authors provided no data regarding the degree of clinical experience or years of training of the anesthesiologists involved in the study. In addition, clinical case loads for individual anesthesiologists differ a great deal within France, from private institutions.3,4 I suggest that the rate of clinical activity of the practitioners may influence the “diagnosis” of difficult mask ventilation and perhaps may lead to an overestimate of its incidence in comparison with previous studies.5 Regardless of these concerns, the five criteria defined by Langeron et al.1 now must be considered along with others’ airway assessment in the preoperative assessment of patients.

Marc E. Gentili, M.D., Centre Medico-chirurgical Saint-Vincent, Sint-Gertrude, France. Marc.Gentili@wanadoo.fr
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To the Editor:—We read with great interest the article by Dr. Langeron et al.1 providing the first large study attempting to assess the incidence of difficult mask ventilation and to establish the difficult mask ventilation prediction score. The authors suggest two important factors contributing to difficulty in mask ventilation, which are inability to fit a face mask and inability to overcome obstruction of the upper airway after general anesthesia or muscle relaxation. Unfortunately, the difficult mask ventilation prediction score cannot sufficiently reflect factors related to the latter. The main causes of obstruction of the upper airway are known to be posterior displacement of the base of the tongue or the epiglottis and collapse of the laryngeal inlet.5–6 The jaw-thrust maneuver can lift these tissues and restore airway patency.5–6 Thus, we consider that assessment of ability to thrust the jaw fully is necessary to predict difficult mask ventilation. Calder et al.7 have suggested the mandibular protrusion test. The test evaluates the degree of protrusion of the mandible when the patient protrudes the mandible as far forward as possible. The degree of protrusion is classified as follows: class A: the lower incisors can be protruded anterior to the upper incisors; class B: the lower incisors can be brought edge to edge with the upper incisors but not anterior to them; class C: the lower incisors cannot be brought edge to edge with the upper incisors. This test is simple and has little interobserver variations because there is a clearer endpoint. Although Calder et al.7 have demonstrated that impaired mandibular protrusion is a good predictor of difficult laryngoscopy, we believe that the test may be useful for prediction of difficult mask ventilation.

Ichiro Takenaka, M.D.,* Kazuyoshi Aoyama, M.D., Tatsuo Kadoya, M.D. *Nippon Steel Yawata Memorial Hospital, Kitakyushu, Japan. itaken@44.dion.ne.jp
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Is Difficult Mask Ventilation Only Correlated to the Physical Status of the Patient?

To the Editor:—It was with great interest that we read the article by Langeron et al.1 published in the May 2000 issue of Anesthesiology. This was a well-designed study evaluating the incidence of difficult mask ventilation during the induction of general anesthesia in a general adult population. The authors emphasize the significant frequency of difficult mask ventilation (5%) in their study and its potential relation with difficult intubation; they also define an easy and simple clinical criteria to detect difficult mask ventilation.2 This study was performed in a French university hospital. However, the authors provided no data regarding the degree of clinical experience or years of training of the anesthesiologists involved in the study. In addition, clinical case loads for individual anesthesiologists differ a great deal within France, from private institutions.3,4 I suggest that the rate of clinical activity of the practitioners may influence the “diagnosis” of difficult mask ventilation and perhaps may lead to an overestimate of its incidence in comparison with previous studies.5 Regardless of these concerns, the five criteria defined by Langeron et al.1 now must be considered along with others’ airway assessment in the preoperative assessment of patients.

Marc E. Gentili, M.D., Centre Medico-chirurgical Saint-Vincent, Sint-Gertrude, France. Marc.Gentili@wanadoo.fr
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Mandibular Protrusion Test for Prediction of Difficult Mask Ventilation

To the Editor:—We read with great interest the article by Dr. Langeron et al.1 providing the first large study attempting to assess the incidence of difficult mask ventilation and to establish the difficult mask ventilation prediction score. The authors suggest two important factors contributing to difficulty in mask ventilation, which are inability to fit a face mask and inability to overcome obstruction of the upper airway after general anesthesia or muscle relaxation. Unfortunately, the difficult mask ventilation prediction score cannot sufficiently reflect factors related to the latter. The main causes of obstruction of the upper airway are known to be posterior displacement of the base of the tongue or the epiglottis and collapse of the laryngeal inlet.5–6 The jaw-thrust maneuver can lift these tissues and restore airway patency.5–6 Thus, we consider that assessment of ability to thrust the jaw fully is necessary to predict difficult mask ventilation. Calder et al.7 have suggested the mandibular protrusion test. The test evaluates the degree of protrusion of the mandible when the patient protrudes the mandible as far forward as possible. The degree of protrusion is classified as follows: class A: the lower incisors can be protruded anterior to the upper incisors; class B: the lower incisors can be brought edge to edge with the upper incisors but not anterior to them; class C: the lower incisors cannot be brought edge to edge with the upper incisors. This test is simple and has little interobserver variations because there is a clearer endpoint. Although Calder et al.7 have demonstrated that impaired mandibular protrusion is a good predictor of difficult laryngoscopy, we believe that the test may be useful for prediction of difficult mask ventilation.

Ichiro Takenaka, M.D.,* Kazuyoshi Aoyama, M.D., Tatsuo Kadoya, M.D. *Nippon Steel Yawata Memorial Hospital, Kitakyushu, Japan. itaken@44.dion.ne.jp
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To the Editor.—We applaud the recent landmark investigation by Langeron et al.1 regarding difficult mask ventilation (DMV). We find three of the established independent DMV risk factors (age > 55 yr, body mass index > 26 kg/m², and history of snoring) particularly interesting and believe they merit further discussion.

The current study by Langeron et al.1 has shown that DMV is correlated significantly with both obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) and difficult intubation (DI). Previous literature2,3 also indicated a significant correlation between DI and OSA. Therefore, although we recognize that DMV, DI, and OSA are complex clinical entities, each with their own multiple contributing anatomic or pathologic factors, DMV, DI, and OSA apparently are correlated with one another. It is then quite reasonable to postulate that they may share a common anatomic abnormality.

In 1983, the cephalometric analysis by Riley et al.4 showed that the distance from the mandibular plane to the hyoid (MPH) tended to be longer in patients with OSA. They suggested the presence of a relatively inferior hyoid. In 1993, we conducted a radiographic study5 of DI patients and found that the rostrocaudal position (in relation to the cervical vertebrae) and vertical distance between the mandible and hyoid (MHD) varied widely among the adult population. However, DI patients tended to have a longer MHD—a greater rostrocaudal separation of the mandible and hyoid—which indicated the presence of a relatively shorter mandibular ramus or more caudally positioned hyoid. We then proposed that the longer MHD and MP-H most likely signified the presence of a similar anatomic abnormality in both the DI and OSA patients.5

The fact that the laryngeal structure is positioned relatively more rostrally in children than in adults is well-known. Schwartz and Keller6 have documented that maturational descent of the epiglottis and larynx (in relation to the cervical vertebrae) occurs in a predictable pattern from infancy into adulthood. Maltas et al.7 have found that although the MPH is consistently longer in patients with OSA, the length of MPH also correlates with age; the older adults tend to have a longer MPH, indicating a more caudally positioned hyoid in the older population.

The clinical implication of these observations is that because the tongue muscle is hinged to the hyoid, the base of the tongue also tends to descend caudally with increase in age and to bring down a portion of the tongue from the oral cavity into the hypopharynx. The more caudally the hyoid is positioned, the larger the tongue mass that is collected in the hypopharyngeal space. This large hypopharyngeal tongue then tends to proportionally compromise the posterior airway space and create supraglottic soft tissue obstruction during sleep or anesthesia, thus resulting in various degrees of snoring, OSA, DMV, and DI. The effect of a large hypopharyngeal tongue on airway obstruction can be aggravated when combined with other unfavorable anatomic or pathologic factors, such as a small atlanto-occipital gap, temporomandibular joint problems, obesity, or lingual tonsil.

We have studied lateral cervical soft tissue radiographs of normal individuals and heavy snorers, OSA, DMV, and DI patients, which illustrate the effect of a relatively longer MHD and its associated large hypopharyngeal tongue. Here, because of space limitations, we will show only one patient (fig. 1). Interested readers are welcome to contact us privately to share more of our radiographs and for details about our radiographic methods.

The theoretical explanation and clinical evidence regarding the large hypopharyngeal tongue in OSA, DMV, and DI are in accordance with the findings by Langeron et al.1 that older, obese, or snoring individuals tend to have DMV and DI. However, a relatively longer MHD, with its associated large hypopharyngeal tongue, usually is not visible as an obvious external physical feature,7 such as a receding jaw, bucked teeth, or a long maxilla. Thus far, practitioners have not paid much attention to this anatomic characteristic. Langeron et al.1 also did not consider it a possible DMV risk factor in their study. Perhaps the unawareness of this important anatomic abnormality may account for the low prediction rate of DMV and DI. We have found that because the mandible and hyoid are identifiable anatomic landmarks, with experience, patients with a relatively longer MHD can be identified in a routine physical examination without cervical radiography. We believe that the role of a large hypopharyngeal tongue in the understanding and management of difficult airway should be duly assessed.

Hsiu-chin Chou, M.D., Tzu-lang Wu, M.D. "Kaiser Permanente Medical Center, Hayward, California. hsiuchin.chou@achi.com

Fig. 1. Cervical soft tissue study of a 63-yr-old morbidly obese man (125 kg, 170 cm, body mass index = 43 kg/m²) with obstructive sleep apnea, difficult mask ventilation, and difficult intubation. Atlanto-occipital gap = 0 mm; very long mandibulohyoid distance = 45 mm (normal male mean, 21 mm); unusually rostral mandibular angle at upper C2 (normal level, lower C2 or C2–C3); unusually caudally positioned hyoid at lower C4 (normal level, C3–C4). Radiography confirms the presence of a relatively short mandibular ramus and a caudally positioned hyoid. A large hypopharyngeal tongue is evident. Also note the markedly reduced posterior airway space and increased prevertebral soft tissue caused by the coexisting obesity.
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In Reply.—We greatly appreciate the interest expressed by Drs. Chou and Wu regarding our article.1 As reported previously,2 some subjects in whom the trachea is difficult to intubate are at increased risk of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). Moreover, patients who have difficult intubation (DI) and OSA may share upper airway anatomical abnormalities with a difficult mask ventilation (DMV) situation. In our study, we identified five independent factors (age > 55 yr, body mass index > 26 kg/m², beard, lack of teeth, and history of snoring) associated significantly with DMV. Some of these risk factors for DMV (age > 55 yr, body mass index > 26 kg/m², and history of snoring) are related to malproportion of overall body size or malproportion between the oropharyngeal free space and internal structures of the oropharynx, as in OSA. Our first comment in response to Drs. Chou and Wu is that the purpose of our study was to identify factors predicting DMV and not to correlate DMV to OSA. Second, Drs. Chou and Wu reported that a longer mandible and hyoid distance (MHD) indicated an increased tongue mass in the hypopharynx, compromising the posterior airway space.3 Unfortunately, measurement of MHD may be difficult because of its wide variation among the adult population and the need for experience as mentioned in their letter. Moreover in their previous study,3 MHD was obtained from lateral cervical spine radiograph measurements and not from clinical findings. Last, in a more recent study,2 MHD was not significantly different in DI and non-DI patients and was not related to OSA. Nevertheless, we are convinced that MHD could be valuable information in predicting an OSA-DMV-DI situation if it could be validated in a clinical setting without performing measurements from radiographs. Therefore, further studies are required to determine if MHD could be a reliable clinical predictor of OSA-DMV-DI cases.

We thank Dr. Takenaka et al. for the interest in our work and their valuable comments. We fully agree that the jaw-thrust maneuver can reopen the obstructed airway by lifting up the base of the tongue and the epiglottis in anesthetized patients. Moreover, Calder et al.4 reported that impaired mandibular protrusion was associated with a more difficult laryngoscopy in patients with cervical spine disease. Indeed, in patients with an occipito-atlanto-axial disease as in the study of Calder et al.,4 a poor mandibular protrusion is recognized. Consequently, we may assume that decreased mandibular protrusion in an awake patient is a good predictor of a reduced cervical spine mobility associated with impaired laryngoscopy. In addition, this test may not assess reliably a potential decreased airway patency in the anesthetized patient without spine disease. Therefore, we cannot extrapolate information related to difficult laryngoscopy and difficult intubation to those with DMV requiring specific investigation. In our opinion, the relation between a reduced mandibular protrusion in the awake state and DMV remains to be shown.

We thank Dr. Gentili for his interest in our work and the comments. The purpose of our study was to identify factors predicting DMV per se and not to determine the influence of the degree of clinical experience of the anesthesiologist in a DMV situation. Consequently, as mentioned in the article, this study involved staff anesthesiologists. Nevertheless, we agree with Dr. Gentili that the annual clinical case loads for an individual anesthesiologist may influence his or her clinical practice. However, this is true for any daily clinical activity for a physician and was not the purpose of our study. Last, incidence of DMV has been assessed rarely in previous studies related to airway management, and no specific investigation has been performed to study DMV. This point may explain largely the discrepancy for the DMV incidence in our study in comparison with other studies.

Olivier Langeron, M.D., Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France. olivier.langeron@psl.ap-hop-paris.fr
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An Intubating Airway with Teeth

To the Editor—An intubating airway is a useful aid in fiberoptic intubation. While recently preparing to perform an urgent awake oral fiberoptic intubation, a 10-cm Williams Fiberoptic Intubating Airway (Anesthesia Associates, Inc., San Marcos, CA) was readied for insertion. At this time, it was noted that the airway was defective, with two plastic tooth-like spikes protruding inside the airway’s lumen, approximately 2 cm from the flange (fig. 1). Two additional airways from the same package were found to have identical defects. A fourth airway without such a defect was used, and fiberoptic endotracheal intubation was accomplished easily with it.

Inspection of the Williams airway before placement prevented the use of this defective device. Later, an attempt to pass a 7.5-mm endotracheal tube through this airway resulted in a large tear of the endotracheal cuff and dislodgment of one of the protruding plastic pieces. In a patient, this could have resulted in two potential problems: a leaking endotracheal cuff and a foreign object in the airway. All of the defective Williams airways that we found came in the same package and were from the same batch. A careful check of our remaining stock did not reveal additional defective airways. The plastic spikes appeared to have been formed during the manufacturing process, perhaps as a result of a defective mold. Because several of our Williams airs had this defect, it is possible that other practitioners may encounter such manufacturing defects, and therefore, we wanted to alert readers to this potential problem. We have also informed the manufacturer about this defect. This experience illustrates that even under emergency situations, it is important to check equipment for unexpected flaws that may endanger the patient.

Fig. 1. Side-by-side comparison of a normal Williams intubating airway (left) and the faulty one (right). Note a protruding plastic piece (“tooth”) in the circular lumen of the faulty airway, at approximately the 2 o’clock position. A second “tooth” was located at the 10 o’clock position and has been dislodged after passing an endotracheal tube.

Luis G. Michelsen, M.D., Luis_Michelsen@emory.org
Honorio Valdes-Murua, M.D. *Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia.

*(Accepted for publication December 4, 2000.)
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In Reply—We commend Dr. Groudine for a thoughtful comment on competing interests in delivering institution-specific quality care. One goal of our article was to evaluate associated postoperative outcomes and expended nursing resources based on the anesthetic techniques used. Another purpose of our manuscript was to review the economic (time) impact of performing blocks in the holding area. These blocks were performed (most commonly) by a resident who was assigned for 6 weeks on a regional anesthesia resident rotation. This staffing detail was integral to our published discussion because we deemed that there was little use in describing a care process that did not comply with established care guidelines. However, we did not use the discussion as a forum to address the issue of compliance with such guidelines.

Indeed, the intent of our article was not to advise health care systems regarding compliance to guidelines established by Medicare or the Health Care Financing Administration as they relate to regional anesthesia care delivery. However, Dr. Groudine astutely notes that such issues were less relevant in the patient population of our article, as noted by the patients’ mean age of just less than 27 yr. Each institution should examine its own compliance with regard to Health Care Financing Administration regulations and personnel issues as they relate to the specific patient populations for which the institution provides care.

Brian A. Williams, M.D., M.B.A., * Michael L. Kentor, M.D., John P. Williams, M.D. *University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. williamsba@anes.upmc.edu

*(Accepted for publication January 3, 2001.)
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To the Editor—The 10-cm Williams Fiberoptic Intubating Airway is a disposable device manufactured by 549556 Alberta Inc. (Calgary, Alberta, Canada) and distributed in the United States by Anesthesia Associates, Inc., as well as by several other firms. The recent incident, referred to by Drs. Michelsen and Valdes-Murua in their letter, occurred with products that may have been distributed by Anesthesia Associates, Inc.

The products in question were reported to have been pulled from a hospital cart repackaged ziplock bag (not the original packaging) and no longer had any distributor lot number identification attached. However, apparently the bag did contain a copy of an Anesthesia Associates, Inc. product insert titled “Williams™ Airway Intubator.” It has been determined through the obsolete telephone area code shown on the product insert that the insert in question was printed for distribution with products that would have been shipped during the year 1996 or earlier. Although not a substitute for the missing lot number identification, it does indicate that it is probable that the products in question were shipped from our facility in 1996 or before.

It is of note that in 1995, a concern was acknowledged by the manufacturer of the airs (549556 Alberta Inc.) regarding manufacturing mold wear leading to internal “teeth” identical to those described by Drs. Michelsen and Valdes-Murua in their letter. At that time,
Head Extension Angle Required for Direct Laryngoscopy with the McCoy Laryngoscope Blade

To the Editor—In patients with cervical spine injuries, laryngoscopy is usually difficult because of reduced mobility of the neck. Recently, new devices for laryngoscopy, such as the Bullard (Circon AMCI, Stamford, CT) and McCoy (Penlon Ltd., Abingdon, UK) laryngoscopes and the WuScope (Pentax Precision Instruments, Orangeburg, NY), have been available in cases of difficult laryngoscopy. Hastings et al. compared head extension and laryngeal view obtained with the Bullard, Macintosh (Penlon Ltd.), and Miller (Penlon Ltd.) laryngoscopes and concluded that the Bullard laryngoscope caused less head extension than a conventional laryngoscope. The McCoy laryngoscope is a modification of the Macintosh laryngoscope. The hinged tip controlled by a lever causes elevation of the epiglottis, thus facilitating visualization of the larynx. In the current study, we compared the McCoy and Macintosh laryngoscopes with regard to head extension angles required for direct laryngoscopy.

Twenty adult patients (aged 24 ± 8 yr) with normal cervical spines who were scheduled to undergo elective oral and maxillofacial surgery with general anesthesia participated in this study after institutional review board approval and written informed consent. After intravenous administration of thiamylal and vecuronium, laryngoscopy was performed using the McCoy blade or the Macintosh blade at random in the same patient. The patient’s head was placed on a flat board in a neutral position so that the eye–ear plane (Frankfort horizontal plane) was perpendicular to the board. Then, head extension angle, based on the tilt of the eye–ear plane, was measured during laryngoscopy. In each trial, head extension angle was recorded in grade 1 (most of the head and neck stabilization visible) of the Cormack and Lehane classification of laryngoscopic view. Cricoid pressure was not applied. Head and neck stabilization were not used, but the laryngoscopist was not allowed to lift the patient’s head off the board during laryngoscopy.

Values were expressed as mean ± SD. The differences in head extension angles produced by the two laryngoscope blades were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Head extension angles required for arytenoid exposure were 15.4 ± 3.8° for the Macintosh blade and 9.3 ± 4.1° for the McCoy blade. The angles needed to obtain the best view of the vocal cords were 26.3 ± 3.6° for the Macintosh blade and 18.0 ± 4.4° for the McCoy blade. These results showed that the McCoy laryngoscope reduced the head extension angle required to visualize the arytenoid cartilages and vocal cords approximately 6–8° in comparison with the Macintosh laryngoscope (P < 0.0001). Several investigators reported that the McCoy laryngoscope improved the view of the larynx in patients with simulated cervical injuries. With regard to head extension angle, our study showed that the McCoy blade was more useful than the Macintosh blade in patients with limited neck extension.

Kazuma Sugiyama, D.D.S., Ph.D.,* Kozo Yokoyama, D.D.S., Ph.D., *Kagoshima University Dental Hospital, Kagoshima, Japan. sugi@dentc.hal.kagoshima-u.ac.jp
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(Received for publication November 29, 2000.)
To the Editor:—Patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) machines are used commonly for postoperative pain management, largely because of their tremendous clinical benefits. A typical PCA machine contains an embedded computer that is programmed using a keypad to give, for example, 1 mg morphine every time the patient pushes the analgesic demand push button (pain pendant). This is a push button on the end of a cable that usually looks and feels much like a nurse call button.) To help prevent excessive drug administration, the on-board computer ignores further patient demands until a “lockout” period has passed. This period usually is set at between 5 and 10 min.

Unfortunately, misadventures have been attributed to inadvertent misprogramming or other problems associated with these devices.1–8 We report herein a case in which intermittent short circuits from frayed wires in the pendant resulted in a drug unintentionally being delivered automatically.

A 62-yr-old retired grain inspector was diagnosed with carcinoma of the prostate after a transurethral resection of prostate (TURP) procedure and subsequently presented to the hospital for radical prostatectomy. His previous medical health had been unremarkable except for a 20-yr history of smoking and remote appendectomy. He took no regular medications and had no allergies. Routine preoperative laboratory studies were unremarkable except for some unifocal ventricular premature beats on the electrocardiograph.

The anesthesia and surgery were uneventful, as was his stay in the postanesthesia care unit, where he was given an Abbott Lifecare 4100 PCA Plus II PCA machine (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) that was programmed to deliver conditionally 1 mg morphine intravenously each time the pain pendant was activated. The lockout period was set at 6 min. After his stay in the postanesthesia care unit, the patient was admitted to the general urology ward, where he spent an uneventful night.

Late the following morning, the nursing staff was alerted by the patient’s wife, who indicated that she was unable to wake her husband. The nurses found the patient to be “unresponsive, diaphoretic, and with labored breathing.” A “code blue” was called. The responding team noted the following vital signs: blood pressure, 152/70 mmHg; heart rate, 62 beats/min; and respiratory rate, 8 breaths/min. The pupils were noted to be miotic. Pulse oximetry was not immediately available. Naloxone, 0.4 mg, was administered intravenously, resulting in a marked clinical improvement. No harm came to the patient. The patient subsequently was taken to the operating room (OR) table to facilitate surgery. While moving the table, the head attachment of the table came off, causing the patient’s immediate neck to extend to an extreme angle. The neck was immediately supported in a neutral position and the patient was returned to his bed where he was given an identical signal. Finally, we emphasize that by disseminating these safety concepts widely through this communication, we are making the idea unpatentable and thus freely available for all to use at no cost.
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head to drop into an extreme extended position. When the headpiece was repositioned, cervical and upper thoracic spine radiography was performed, and the patient was allowed to awaken until he was seen to be moving all four limbs. An emergency neurosurgical consult was sought, and serious injury was ruled out. The incident was discussed with the family, and surgery was performed without complications. The postoperative course was uneventful.

A 3-yr-old boy with American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I presented for tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy with general anesthesia. Again, while rotating the OR table to facilitate surgery; the headpiece of the table came away, resulting in hyperextension of the patient’s neck. Radiographs of the cervical and thoracic spine were examined by a neuroradiologist, who detected no abnormalities. The depth of anesthesia was decreased to facilitate neurologic examination.

After confirming absence of injury, anesthesia depth was increased, and surgery was completed. The postoperative course was uneventful.

The operating table used in our OR (Quantum 3080; Steris Ltd., Mentor, OH) has the headpiece attached with two polished stainless steel pins. The manufacturer does not recommend moving the OR table while holding the headpiece. Obviously, in these two cases, the fixating screws were not tight enough, permitting the head attachment to come off during table repositioning. This situation has a potential for traumatic extubation, cervical spinal cord injury, and head trauma. Operating room personnel should be aware of the possibility of this complication, which can occur with any OR table with a detachable headpiece, and they are encouraged to verify attentively the integrity of the OR table.
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