Handgrip Strength and Cognitive Decline in Older Mexican Americans
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Background. Cognitive decline and dementia are associated with disability and premature death in old age. We examined whether low handgrip strength predicts subsequent cognitive decline in older Mexican Americans.

Methods. We worked with a 7-year prospective cohort of 2160 noninstitutionalized Mexican Americans aged 65 years or older from the Hispanic Established Population for the Epidemiological Study of the Elderly (H-EPESE) who had a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score ≥21 at baseline. Measures included: (i) sociodemographic factors (age, gender, and education), handgrip strength, and near and distant visual impairment from baseline interview; and (ii) MMSE, body mass index (BMI), and medical conditions (stroke, heart attack, diabetes, depression, and hypertension) from four waves of data collection.

Results. Using general linear mixed models, we found a significant trend with scores in the lowest quartile of handgrip strength at baseline to be associated with lower MMSE scores over time (estimate = −1.28, standard error = 0.16; p < .0001). There was a significant handgrip Strength-by-Time interaction with MMSE scores. Participants in the lowest handgrip strength quartile had a greater cognitive decline over time (estimate = −0.26, standard error = 0.07; p < .001) than did those participants in the highest quartile. This association remained statistically significant after controlling for potential confounding factors.

Conclusion. Older Mexican Americans with reduced handgrip strength at baseline demonstrated a statistically significant decline in cognitive function over a 7-year period. By contrast, participants in the highest handgrip strength quartile maintained a higher level of cognitive function.

Longitudinal community studies have demonstrated that individuals with memory complaints or mild cognitive impairment have a more than 50% chance of developing clinical dementia over a 4-year period (1). As a result, recent research has focused on identifying risk factors that are prognostic of future cognitive decline. Several studies have found that older age, lower education, self-reported physical health, lower income, poor sensory functioning, reduced activity level, and chronic health conditions including hypertension, stroke, diabetes, and depression are associated with cognitive decline (2–7).

Handgrip strength is also associated with individual differences in cognitive performance in cross-sectional studies of elderly adults (8,9). Anstey and Smith (8) reported that noncognitive markers, such as grip strength, explained differences in cognitive performance in 180 women aged 60–90 years. The idea of using noncognitive markers in models of cognitive aging was introduced by Birren and Cunningham (10). The nature of the association between muscle strength and cognition is still uncertain, but one of the most remarkable hypotheses has been the “brain-related common cause,” which suggests that noncognitive variables (such as muscle strength) are related to cognitive variables because they also have central nervous system involvement. Measures of muscle strength may be viewed as a general indicator of the integrity of the central nervous system as well as being sensitive to the aging process (11,12). What is unclear is whether noncognitive markers may also predict changes in cognitive function over time. Could reduced muscle strength be an early marker of a generalized decrease in nervous system processing with age that is reflected later in cognitive function? Conflicting results have been reported when data from longitudinal studies have been analyzed to determine whether handgrip strength was a predictor of cognitive decline (13–15). Albert and colleagues (13) did not find a significant association between handgrip strength and cognitive change over a 2.5-year period in 1192 older adults. By contrast, MacDonald and colleagues (15) reported that grip strength was associated with change in cognitive performance in 125 elderly persons over a 12-year period.

The theoretical rationale for this study is based on several considerations. First, it is still not known whether individuals who show marked decline in handgrip strength are at increased risk of subsequent cognitive decline over time. Second is the fact that cognitive functioning is vitally important for successful aging and independent living. Therefore, the identification of individuals at risk for
cognitive decline provides the opportunity to begin early therapeutic interventions to prevent further cognitive deficit. Finally, the third reason is the fact that no previous studies have addressed the potential confounding effects of ethnicity. Rantanen and colleagues have demonstrated that muscle strength differs in disabled elderly women by race (16). Similarly, using data from the Hispanic Established Population for the Epidemiological Study of the Elderly (H-EPESE) survey (4,17,18) we demonstrated that some factors that predict cognitive decline in Hispanic elderly persons appear to be different than those factors in non-Hispanic elderly persons (19,20). Older age, low education, living with others, and certain medical conditions has been shown to be predictors of cognitive deterioration among Hispanic elderly persons. To our knowledge, however, handgrip strength has not been analyzed over time as a potential predictor of cognitive decline in noncognitively impaired older Mexican Americans.

The purpose of this analysis was to examine whether lower handgrip strength predicts decline in cognitive function over a 7-year period in a large cohort of older Mexican Americans. We hypothesized that lower handgrip strength would be associated with higher risk of cognitive decline and that higher handgrip strength would be associated with preservation of cognitive function over a 7-year period.

Methods

Sample and Procedures

Data used are from the H-EPESE, a longitudinal study of Mexican Americans 65 years old or older, residing in Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, Arizona, and California. The sample and its characteristics have been described elsewhere (21,22). At the time of baseline (1993–1994) 2873 participants (94.2%) were interviewed in person and 177 (5.8%) were interviewed by proxy. The present study used baseline data and data obtained at 2-, 5-, and 7-year follow-up assessments.

Of the 3050 individuals interviewed at baseline, 449 had a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) <21 (15.7%) and 2403 had an MMSE score ≥21 (84.3%). We excluded from the analysis 395 persons due incomplete data on handgrip strength, 198 due missing values in MMSE, and 171 persons due incomplete data on covariates. Persons excluded were significantly more likely to be older; to have ever had a heart attack, stroke, diabetes, near or distant vision impairment; and to have lower levels of education, low body mass index (BMI), and low handgrip strength. Thus, the final sample consisted of 2160 participants with complete data on handgrip strength, MMSE ≥21 at baseline, and complete data on all covariates. At the end of the 7-year follow-up, 1303 were reinterviewed, 89 participants refused to be reinterviewed, 228 participants were lost to follow-up, and 540 participants were confirmed dead through the National Death Index (NDI) and reports from relatives. Of the 395 participants without handgrip strength data, 177 were interviewed via proxy, 69 had arm surgery, 66 refused to perform the task, 65 felt the procedure was unsafe, and 18 had missing values on the handgrip strength measure.

Measures

Grip strength test.—Using a handheld dynamometer (Jaymar Hydraulic Dynamometer, model 5030J; JA Preston Corp., Clifton, NJ), handgrip strength was measured in kilograms at baseline (1993–1994) as described elsewhere (23,24). The test was administered by a trained interviewer, and two trials were performed with the higher of the two handgrip scores used for scoring purposes. Because of gender differences in muscle strength, the analysis was conducted separately for men and women (25–27). Scores were divided into approximate quartiles, separately, for men and women. For men, grip strength of <22.00 kg received a score of 1, 22.01–30.00 kg a score of 2, 30.01–35.00 kg a score of 3, and ≥35.01 kg a score of 4. For women, grip strength of <14.00 kg received a score of 1, 14.01–18.20 kg a score of 2, 18.21–22.50 kg a score of 3, and ≥22.51 kg a score of 4. The handheld dynamometer has been shown to be a reliable and valid instrument in older persons (28,29).

Cognitive function.—Cognitive function was assessed with the MMSE (30). Interviewers fluent in both English and Spanish conducted all interviews. The choice of language depended on the respondent’s preference (22.2% of the interviews were conducted in English and 77.8% in Spanish). Interviewers were thoroughly trained in administering and scoring the MMSE, through both workshops and videotaped instruction. The English and Spanish versions of the MMSE were adopted from the Diagnostic Interview Scale (DIS) used in prior community surveys (31). This Spanish version of the MMSE has met standard criteria for development of translated tests, including formal translation, back-translation, and consensus by committee for final item content. Additionally, the Spanish MMSE has been successfully used in community surveys of Mexican Americans (32). Owing to reported poor item equivalency, however, the serial-sevens item was not used in the present version. As has been recommended in the literature (33), responses of “don’t know” and refusals were counted as errors. Scores range from 0 to 30, with lower scores indicating poorer cognitive ability. We divided the MMSE score based on two factors: the distribution in the 2731 participants at baseline assessments (34) and the cut points from past aging research in minority populations. We used MMSE score as a dichotomized variable (<21 for poor cognition vs ≥21 for good cognition), a cut point frequently used in past studies in cognitive aging research among populations with low educational attainment and low English literacy (31,35–38). In the current study, only participants with an MMSE score of ≥21 at baseline were included in the analysis.

Covariates.—Baseline sociodemographic variables included age, gender, and years of education. The presence of various medical conditions was assessed with a series of questions asking participants if they had ever been told by a doctor that they had diabetes, heart attack, stroke, or...
Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Sample (N = 2160)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanatory Variables</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMSE, mean ± SD</td>
<td>26.2 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD</td>
<td>71.9 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1242 (57.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>918 (42.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (married)</td>
<td>1,275 (59.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, mean ± SD</td>
<td>5.3 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²), mean ± SD</td>
<td>28.0 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength (kg), mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>18.9 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>29.2 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength (kg) quartiles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (≤14.00 kg)</td>
<td>271 (21.8), 10.6 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (14.01–18.20 kg)</td>
<td>300 (24.2), 16.4 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (18.21–22.50 kg)</td>
<td>347 (27.9), 20.5 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (≥22.51 kg)</td>
<td>324 (26.9), 26.8 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (≤14.00 kg)</td>
<td>217 (23.6), 16.0 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (14.01–30.00 kg)</td>
<td>238 (25.9), 27.1 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (30.01–35.00 kg)</td>
<td>222 (24.2), 32.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (≥35.01 kg)</td>
<td>241 (26.3), 40.1 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>103 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart attack</td>
<td>216 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>492 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (CES-D ≥ 16), mean ± SD</td>
<td>91.1 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>911 (42.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near vision impairment</td>
<td>199 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant vision impairment</td>
<td>101 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SD = standard deviation; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale.

Results

Table 1 presents baseline characteristics of the sample. The mean age was 71.9 years (standard deviation [SD] = 5.9), 57.5% were women, and 59% were married. The mean number of years of formal education was 5.3. The mean handgrip strength for men was 29.2 kg (SD = 9.3) and for women was 18.9 kg (SD = 6.2). Self-reported hypertension (42.2%), diabetes (22.8%), and heart attack (10%) were the most common medical conditions.

Table 2 shows the general linear mixed models estimates for MMSE score as a function of handgrip strength quartiles.
over a 7-year period. In Model 1, the rate of decline in cognitive function was 0.72 points per year. There was a significant association between the first quartile (lowest) of handgrip strength (at baseline) and a lower MMSE score at each follow-up after adjusting for age, gender, marital status, education, BMI at baseline, and time. Model 2 tests for the interaction between handgrip strength quartile and time of follow-up (slope of MMSE score over time). There were significant interactions in participants with poor handgrip strength (quartiles I and II) indicating that participants with lower handgrip strength had a significantly greater decline in MMSE score over time compared with participants in the highest quartile (IV). The parameter estimates were −0.26 points per year (SE = 0.07; p < .001) for participants in quartile I (lowest) and −0.28 points per year (SE = 0.06; p < .0001) for those in quartile II compared with participants in quartile IV (strongest). In Model 3, after controlling for all covariates, the interaction terms remained statistically significant. The association between Handgrip Strength-by-Time interaction (quartiles I, II, and III) and decline in the cognitive status over time remained statistically significant after controlling for all covariates. Other factors such as older age, stroke, high depressive symptoms, and distant vision impairment were associated with decline in MMSE score. Higher levels of education and being female were associated with lower decline in MMSE scores. The parameter estimate for MMSE score as a function of handgrip strength analyzed as a continuous variable was −0.07 points (SE = 0.07; p = .14) for participants in quartile IV. Model 3, after adjusting for all confounding variables. Participants in the lowest quartile (I) remained significant after controlling for relevant, potentially confounding variables. Participants in the lowest quartile showed a continuing decline in the slope from year 5 to year 7 of follow-up. Of 488 participants in the 1st quartile (lowest), 86 (26.1%) had MMSE scores <21 at 2-year follow-up, 111 (43.7%) at 5-year follow-up, and 85 (41.7%) at 7-year follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

Among initially noncognitively impaired Mexican American older adults, we found a statistically significant trend involving decreased handgrip strength and decline in cognitive function over a 7-year period. The association remained significant after controlling for relevant, potentially confounding variables. Participants in the lowest quartile of handgrip strength at baseline were significantly more likely to show a decrease in cognitive function over a 7-year period compared with participants in the highest (strongest) quartile. Participants in the highest handgrip strength quartile maintained a higher level of cognitive function over a 7-year period. Our findings are similar to the results from previous studies suggesting a relationship between handgrip strength and cognition (8.9,14,15). For example, Christensen and colleagues (14) reported that weaker handgrip strength was associated with greater variability in memory change in a sample of 426 elderly community dwellers over 3.5 years.
Unlike our findings, those of Albert and colleagues (13) did not show a significant association between a composite measure of physical performance (muscle strength, balance, and gait) and cognitive change over a 2.5-year period in 1192 older adults. One possible reason for the differences in their findings and ours may be our larger sample size and longer follow-up.

Several mechanisms might explain the relationship between handgrip strength and cognition. One possible explanation is that muscle strength may reflect the integrity of nervous system activity. Salthouse (44) demonstrated that slow reaction time was associated with poor cognitive function. More recently, Rosano and colleagues (45) found a significant correlation between poorer physical performance in gait speed, balance, and lower extremity muscle strength and poorer performance in cognitive function in 2893 older adults. Reduced muscle strength may be an early marker of a generalized decrease in nervous system processing with age that is reflected in cognitive function.

Another explanation for the association between low muscle strength and poor cognition functioning is the presence of some shared pathogenic factors like high oxidative stress, high inflammatory markers, and low sex steroid levels that might contribute to both muscle loss and cognitive decline (46–49). For example, Weaver and colleagues (46) reported a significant association between elevated plasma inflammatory markers and risk for subsequent cognitive decline in 779 older adults during a 2.5-year follow-up. Cesari and colleagues (47) reported an inverse relationship between high levels of inflammatory markers and lower handgrip strength in 1020 older adults. These results suggest the presence of a common mechanism shared by cognitive decline and muscle loss with age. However, a critical issue is whether muscle strength decline occurs early in the pathogenesis of cognitive decline and precedes the clinical cognitive stage. We were not able to examine this hypothesis due to the lack of biomarkers in the H-EPESE sample. Further studies that incorporate blood markers are needed to explore this hypothesis.

The identification of early predictors of cognitive decline has important practical implications, especially if the predictors are modifiable. An exercise program that improves muscle strength might also help to prevent or slow cognitive decline in older adults, particularly those...
with reduced grip strength. Lower handgrip strength may be an easy way to identify persons most likely to benefit from structured exercise programs. Several randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that exercise programs for older adults improve both physical strength and cognitive function (50,51). Other researchers, however, have found inconsistent results (52). This is an important area for future experimental research.

This study has some limitations in addition to the lack of biomarker data. First, we were limited to self-reports of medical conditions. Second, by including sample participants who were reinterviewed, we are examining the cohort of survivors over a 7-year period. This, theoretically, could lead to an underestimation of the effect of handgrip strength on the onset of decline in cognitive function, particularly for those participants in the lowest quartile. Participants with weaker handgrip strength are more frail and, as a result, may experience the onset of cognitive decline before attrition. This study has several strengths, including its large community sample size, the prospective design, and the 7-year period of follow-up.

Conclusion
This study demonstrated that poor handgrip strength in initially noncognitively impaired Mexican American older adults predicted decline in MMSE scores over a 7-year period, independent of confounding factors. Low handgrip strength may be an early indirect noncognitive marker of subsequent cognitive decline, independent of cultural and educational status. By contrast, higher handgrip strength was associated with a relative preservation of cognitive function over a 7-year period. Further studies are required to understand the possible shared mechanisms that might influence muscle strength and cognitive decline. A better understanding of how muscle strength and cognition are related may give us the opportunity to identify those individuals with early cognitive decline (the predementia state) who could benefit from intervention programs.
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