For herbivores, nutrient intake is limited by the relatively low nutritional quality of plants and high concentrations of potentially toxic defensive compounds (plant secondary metabolites [PSMs]) produced by many plants. In response to phytochemical challenges, some herbivores selectively forage on plants with higher nutrient and lower PSM concentrations relative to other plants. Pygmy rabbits (*Brachylagus idahoensis*) are dietary specialists that feed on sagebrush (*Artemisia* spp.) and forage on specific plants more than others within a foraging patch. We predicted that the plants with evidence of heavy foraging (browsed plants) would be of higher dietary quality than plants that were not browsed (unbrowsed). We used model selection to determine which phytochemical variables best explained the difference between browsed and unbrowsed plants. Higher crude protein increased the odds that plants would be browsed by pygmy rabbits and the opposite was the case for certain PSMs. Additionally, because pygmy rabbits can occupy foraging patches (burrows) for consecutive years, their browsing may influence the nutritional and PSM constituents of plants at the burrows. In a post hoc analysis, we did not find a significant relationship between phytochemical concentrations, browse status, and burrow occupancy length. We concluded that pygmy rabbits use nutritional and chemical cues while making foraging decisions.
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Selecting diets consisting of higher quality plants is a behavioral adaptation through which herbivores can maximize nutritional intake and minimize PSM intake. Many herbivores select plants with either higher crude protein content (Somers et al. 2008) or lower PSM concentrations (Guglielmo et al. 1996; Sorensen et al. 2005a), and recent studies have explored how protein content and PSMs simultaneously affect diet selection and habitat selection in free-living animals (Moore et al. 2010).

As a consequence of selective browsing pressure on more nutritious plants, herbivores have the potential to change the nutritional quality, PSM concentrations, and morphology of some plants (as an example of positive feedback loop—Bryant et al. 1992; Stolter 2008). For example, in response to simulated and natural browsing pressure from snowshoe hares (*Lepus americanus*), white spruce (*Picea glauca* produces higher concentrations of the PSM camphor (Sharam and Turkington 2009). Such interactions are relatively understudied in natural systems, in part because of the difficulty of reliably observing foraging choices in the field and the complex interactions between plant nutrients and PSMs. Burrowing animals can affect root composition, nutrient availability, and species composition of plants surrounding the burrow system (Brum et al. 2005). Mammalian browsers often remove apical meristems of plants, which leads to rapid growth of new leaves that are frequently higher in nitrogen content (Orians et al. 2010). Additionally, constant browsing pressure may increase concentrations of PSMs, such as monoterpenes and phenolics, depending on the plant species (Bryant et al. 1992; Karban 2011).

The sagebrush (*Artemisia* spp.)–pygmy rabbit (*Brachylagus idahoensis*) system in western North America is an ideal model system for investigating how nutritional and chemical factors shape diet selection by a specialist mammalian herbivore. First, although sagebrush is highly digestible compared to other plants, it contains a diverse suite of PSMs including monoterpenes, sesquiterpene lactones, and phenolic compounds that deter herbivores (Personius et al. 1987; Frye et al. 2013). Moreover, concentrations of protein and PSMs in sagebrush vary among seasons, subspecies, and individual sagebrush plants within and among populations (Welch and McArthur 1979, 1981) and dietary selection of specific sagebrush plants in the field can be documented readily by identifying bite marks that are characteristic only of pygmy rabbits. Moreover, pygmy rabbits prefer to feed on plants that are more heavily browsed in the field; captive pygmy rabbits ate 2.4 times more leaves collected from browsed versus unbrowsed plants in a choice feeding trial (Ulappa 2011). Third, although a few mammals such as pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*) and mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) feed on sagebrush seasonally as part of their diet, the pygmy rabbit is the only facultative mammalian specialist of sagebrush (Shipley et al. 2009), because sagebrush constitutes up to 99% of its winter diet (Green and Flinders 1980). Although pygmy rabbits have a high tolerance to PSMs in sagebrush compared to other vertebrate herbivores such as cottontail rabbits (*Sylvilagus floridanus*), PSMs do reduce sagebrush intake by pygmy rabbits (Shipley et al. 2006). These results suggest that pygmy rabbits might not be able to meet energetic demands solely by consuming a larger quantity of sagebrush as a behavioral strategy because of the presence of relatively high concentrations of PSMs, and they may instead select higher quality plants.

Our objectives were to identify if nutritional and chemical factors explain differential foraging of sagebrush by free-living pygmy rabbits, and to investigate whether duration of burrow system occupancy by pygmy rabbits affects sagebrush chemistry. Plant protein was used as a measure of nutritional quality. We predicted that higher protein content combined with lower concentrations of specific PSMs would best explain diet selection in pygmy rabbits, and that sagebrush plants at burrow systems occupied for longer durations would have higher concentrations of protein or PSMs, or both. To test these predictions, we analyzed the phytochemistry of browsed and unbrowsed plants at burrow systems that had been occupied continuously by pygmy rabbits for shorter (1–2 years) versus longer (6–7 years) durations. We applied model selection to identify the combination of nutrient and PSM variables that best explained diet selection by pygmy rabbits. Finally, we conducted a post hoc analysis to examine the relationship between specific phytochemical variables and duration of occupancy of burrow systems by pygmy rabbits.

**Materials and Methods**

*Study areas.*—We conducted the study at 2 sites in Idaho, all our work followed the guidelines set by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes et al. 2011), and the research was conducted through Boise State University, Idaho. The Leadore site (Leadore County, Idaho; 44°41′57″N, 113°17′12″W; elevation: 1,942 m) was dominated by sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata wyomingensis*) and included mountain big sagebrush (*A. t. vasyana*), black sagebrush (*A. nova*), and grasses. The Camas Prairie site (Lincoln County, Idaho; 44°14′28″N, 114°19′04″W; elevation: 1,472 m) was dominated by Wyoming big sagebrush but also included low sagebrush (*A. arbuscula*) and grasses. Both sites were dominated by mima-mounds, earthen mounds with relatively large, dense sagebrush and deeper soils that pygmy rabbits used for burrow excavation and foraging.

*Sample collection.*—During October and November 2009, we identified burrow systems occupied by pygmy rabbits at each site. We considered burrows to be currently occupied by a pygmy rabbit if the following 3 criteria were fulfilled: open and used for burrow excavation and foraging.
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as “short occupancy” (Price 2009). Occupancy data were not available for burrows at the Camas Prairie site.

To identify variables that best explained differential foraging on sagebrush by pygmy rabbits, we collected browsed and unbrowsed plants during 1 field season at 20 occupied burrow systems at the Leadore site and 15 at the Camas Prairie site. We defined browsed plants as those with \( \geq 75\% \) of available stems showing evidence of clipping by pygmy rabbits. We defined unbrowsed plants as those with \( \leq 25\% \) of stems showing evidence of clipping. Foraging by pygmy rabbits was characterized by slanted (approximately 45°) angles on the stems and lack of foliated branches left on the ground below clipped sagebrush plants (foliated branches remaining on the ground are characteristic of clipping by other lagomorph species in the area). We collected only the dominant sagebrush type at each site (Wyoming big sagebrush) for consistency. We designated a center point for each burrow system as the geometric center of all burrow entrances. Beginning at the center point and circling outward, we collected the 1st and 3rd browsed plants we encountered. The nearest unbrowsed plant of the same subspecies (generally \( < 1 \text{ m away} \)) was paired with each identified browsed plant (Leadore = 2 pairs from each of 20 burrows; Camas Prairie = 2 pairs from each of 15 burrows). We sampled branches from all sides of the plant from each plant with pruning shears. Branches were stored in plastic bags on ice in the field, and then stored at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) until analysis.

**Sample analysis.**—We separated sagebrush leaves from stems by freezing samples using dry ice to make them brittle, dislodging the leaves, and then removing stems, dead leaves, and debris. We ground each sample of leaves in liquid nitrogen with a mortar and pestle to an average particle size of \( 2 \text{ mm} \). A subsample was stored at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) for monoterpene and total phenolic analysis, and the remaining sample was weighed and dried to a constant weight at \( 50^\circ\text{C}\) to determine dry weight. We calculated percent dry matter and analyzed the dried sample for protein content.

We determined crude protein content and crude protein digestibility for each sample. Samples were digested with an in vitro digestion assay using pepsin and cellulase to simulate digestion in a hindgut fermenter (DeGabriel et al. 2008). Our preliminary results (Ulappa 2011) and those of Thines et al. (2007) indicated that tannins were not present in the sagebrush samples examined. Therefore, we did not include analysis of crude protein digestibility with tannin inhibitors. We used the Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen method (Dairy One Forage Labs, Ithaca, New York) to quantify protein content from a subsample before digestion (predigest sample) and protein content of remaining material from a subsample after digestion (postdigest sample). All predigestion and postdigestion samples were analyzed in duplicate and averaged. We estimated crude protein content by multiplying total nitrogen content by 6.25 (Robbins 1983). For each sample, we subtracted average postdigestion crude protein amount from average predigestion crude protein amount.

To address the effect of monoterpenes on browsing, we analyzed monoterpane concentration using gas chromatography. For each sample \( \sim 0.10 \text{ g} \) (wet weight) of sample was weighed into a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge vial and extracted for 24 h at room temperature in 1 ml of methylene chloride (high-performance liquid chromatography grade) spiked with an internal standard of fenchone (\( > 98\% \) purity, CAS # 4695-62-9, not naturally present in sagebrush samples) at a concentration of 50 \( \mu\text{g/ml} \). We then dried each sample for 1 h with 0.25 g of anhydrous sodium sulfate (granular). Supernatant was removed from each vial with a glass Pasteur pipette and filtered through glass wool into 1.5-ml amber vials. Each vial was processed by an HP 6890 series II gas chromatograph with flame ionization detector (Hewlett Packard, currently Agilent Technologies). Two microliters of each sample was injected into an HP 5890 series II gas chromatograph with flame ionization detector (Hewlett Packard, currently Agilent Technologies). The stationary phase was a DB-5 Agilent silica column (30 m \( \times 0.25 \text{ mm} \); Agilent Technologies) with a 0.25-\( \mu\text{m} \) coating. The initial oven temperature was 40°C for 2 min, then increasing 3°C/min until reaching 60°C, increasing at 5°C until 120°C, and finally increasing at 20°C/min to 300°C and held for 7 min. Injector and detector temperatures were 250°C and 300°C, respectively. The make-up and carrier gases were helium. The inlet pressure was 80 kPa with a flow rate of 1.00 ml/min.

Monoterpene retention times and peak areas were calculated by HP ChemStation version B.01.00 (Enhanced ChemStation G1701BA B.01.00; Hewlett Packard, currently Agilent Technologies). To identify individual compounds for our analysis, we integrated all peaks on chromatograms from a random subset of browsed and unbrowsed samples to derive estimates of area under the peak curve (AUC) for each peak. Peaks that were greater than 1% of the total AUC and present in 4 of the 6 plants in each subset (browsed and unbrowsed) were selected for further analysis. Peaks were identified by running 1 representative sample from each site through an HP 5972 Series Mass Selective Detector (Hewlett Packard, currently Agilent Technologies) using the same column and temperature program used previously to separate and quantify compounds. Mass spectral data were generated for each peak and compared to published libraries of mass spectra (Adams 2007; National Institute of Standards and Technology 2008). To ensure correct peak identification, we verified the relative retention times of compounds with cochromatography using standards of camphene (CAS # 79-92-5), camphor (76-22-2), terpineol (98-55-5), borneol (464-45-9), cymene (99-87-6), 1,8-cineole (470-67-7), \( \alpha \)-pinene (7785-26-4), and \( \beta \)-pinene (18172-67-3). Monoterpane concentrations were quantified as fenchone equivalents. Amounts were calculated for each monoterpene in each sample by dividing the AUC of the monoterpene by the AUC of fenchone, and then multiplying by the concentration of fenchone present in the sample (50 \( \mu\text{g/ml} \)). The concentration of compound extracted was then divided by the amount of dry matter extracted. Monoterpane amounts are expressed as...
Table 1.—Model selection results for plant characteristics influencing browsing by pygmy rabbits (Brachylagus idahoensis) on sagebrush at the Leadore study site, Idaho, and Camas Prairie study site, Idaho. Shown are number of parameters (K), Akaike’s information criterion corrected for small sample size (AICc), change in AICc from the top model (ΔAICc), and model weights (wi), from top conditional logistic regression models and the null model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>AICc</th>
<th>ΔAICc</th>
<th>wi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leadore</td>
<td>Crude protein + artemiseole</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51.64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude protein + artemiseole + 7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53.52</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude protein + artemiseole + total phenolics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53.59</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude protein + artemiseole + unknown 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53.61</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Null</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61.50</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camas Prairie</td>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude protein + β-pinene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53.72</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Null</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53.75</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-pinene</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53.94</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To address the effect of phenolics on browsing, we analyzed each sample for total phenolic content using a colorimetric assay (Ainsworth and Gillespie 2007). Each sample was extracted in 95% methanol for 24 h. From the supernatant, 50 μl of sample was diluted 1:5 and then mixed with 200 μl of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (20%; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri) and 800 μl of 700 mM sodium bicarbonate in distilled water. The color intensity was immediately measured using a BioTek Synergy MX multi-mode plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, Vermont) at an absorbance of 765 nm at room temperature. Values were quantified in gallic acid equivalents (μmol/g dry weight).

Statistical analysis.—We analyzed data from the 2 field sites independently because they were separated by approximately 180 km, the dominant sagebrush at each site had different chemical profiles (Ulappa 2011), and pygmy rabbits from each site were far enough apart to be considered genetically distinct (Estes-Zumpf et al. 2010). We analyzed all 30 pairs of plants from the Camas Prairie site, whereas we analyzed 35 of the 40 pairs from the Leadore site because 5 pairs from the latter were damaged during Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen analysis. We used conditional logistic regression in a discrete choice analysis of the variance that included the main effect of browse status (browsed or unbrowsed) and occupancy duration (short [15 burrows] or long [20 burrows]), and their interaction on plant chemistry, with variables accounting for burrow system identification and the paired sample design nested within the burrow system included as random effects. We focused on crude protein and artemiseole because they were the phytochemicals most influential in the univariate models that were ranked below null models (i.e., those that did not improve model likelihood over a model with a constant predictor) were removed from further analysis. Similarly, so as not to include both crude protein and digestible protein in the same models, we compared univariate AICc values and removed the one with the lower rank (digestible protein) from further consideration.

After screening variables, we used correlation coefficient (r) to identify correlated pairs of predictor variables (|r| > 0.6), and we removed the variable with the higher univariate AICc value from each correlated pair. To check for multicollinearity among predictors, we examined variance inflation factors (VIFs) for all possible subsets of remaining variables. Variance inflation factors did not indicate problems with multicollinearity (i.e., all VIFs < 3.0). Finally, we used all possible combinations of the remaining variables to fit binary conditional logistic regression models. We included these models in an information-theoretic analysis using AICc as the selection criterion. We then weighted final models within 2 AICc units of the top model (i.e., ΔAICc ≤ 2) by Akaike model weight (wi) to derive model-averaged parameter estimates, and we used unconditional variance for estimating 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs—Burnham and Anderson 2002).

In addition to modeling phytochemical differences among plants within a given burrow system, we conducted a post hoc analysis to evaluate effects of duration of burrow system occupancy on the 2 variables with the best parameter estimates from the Leadore site. We constructed a 2-way analysis of variance that included the main effect of browse status (browsed or unbrowsed) and occupancy duration (short [15 burrows] or long [20 burrows]), and their interaction on plant phytochemistry, with variables accounting for burrow system identification and the paired sample design nested within the burrow system included as random effects. We focused on crude protein and artemiseole because they were the phytochemicals identified as most influential in the 1st portion of the analysis. We compared mean values for browsed and unbrowsed plants at short-occupancy burrow systems (i.e., occupied for 1–2 years) to those at long-occupancy burrow systems (i.e., those occupied for 6–7 years; α = 0.05).
Statistical analyses were conducted in R version 2.14 (R Development Core Team 2011).

RESULTS

Protein content.—Sagebrush plants that were higher in crude protein content were more likely to be browsed by pygmy rabbits (Leadore: browsed = 13.47% ± 0.26% SE, unbrowsed = 12.49% ± 0.22%, n = 35 pairs; Camas Prairie: browsed = 11.61% ± 0.18%, unbrowsed = 11.23% ± 0.21%, n = 30 pairs). At the Leadore site, 4 models (including the top model with the lowest ΔAICc) predicting the probability of a plant being browsed (out of 33 possible models) had ΔAICc ≤ 2, and all 4 contained total crude protein as a covariate (Table 1). Model-averaged parameter estimates indicated that the odds of browsing increased by a factor of 1.70 with every 1% increase in crude protein (Table 2).

At the Camas Prairie site, 3 models (out of 16 possible models) had ΔAICc ≤ 2. However, only 2 of those models were ranked above the null model and thus used to derive model-averaged parameter estimates; both of these models contained crude protein as a covariate (Table 1). Model-averaged parameter estimates suggested that the odds of browsing increased by a factor of 1.64 with every 1% increase in crude protein. However, parameter estimates were less precise than at the Leadore site, with 95% CIs for odds ratios overlapping 1 (Table 2).

Monoterpene and phenolic content.—We detected 17 major monoterpenes from the Leadore site, of which we were able to identify 5 (Table 3), and we detected and identified 6 major monoterpenes from the Camas Prairie site (Table 4). Total phenolic content was not an important covariate at either site (Tables 1 and 2). At the Leadore site, artemiseole was negatively associated with the odds of a plant being browsed (Table 2). Unknown monoterpene 3, unknown monoterpene 7, and total phenolic content appeared in top models but had 95% CIs that overlapped 1 (Table 2), so we did not consider them to have explanatory value. Model-averaged parameter estimates indicated that for every 100-μg/g dry weight increase in artemiseole, a plant was 1.03 times less likely to be browsed by pygmy rabbits. At the Camas Prairie site, β-pinene was the only PSM covariate in the top models; however, it was poorly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Covariate</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>Lower 95% CI</th>
<th>Upper 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leadore</td>
<td>Crude protein (%)</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Artemiseole</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total phenolics</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown 3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown 7</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camas Prairie</td>
<td>Crude protein (%)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-pinene</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.—Model-averaged odds ratio estimates and 95% CIs for covariates in top conditional logistic regression models of pygmy rabbit (Brachylagus idahoensis) forage selection at the Leadore site, Idaho, and the Camas Prairie site, Idaho. Covariates with 95% CIs overlapping 1.0 were considered to lack explanatory value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Browsed</th>
<th>Unbrowsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methacrolein</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3,041 ± 127</td>
<td>3,391 ± 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 2</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>1,013 ± 135</td>
<td>1,266 ± 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Unknown 3</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>771 ± 71</td>
<td>947 ± 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camphene</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>2,722 ± 411</td>
<td>2,540 ± 379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Artemiseole</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>6,014 ± 367</td>
<td>7,242 ± 449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,8-cineole</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>1,774 ± 210</td>
<td>1,523 ± 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Unknown 7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>511 ± 54</td>
<td>606 ± 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 8</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>1,028 ± 99</td>
<td>1,128 ± 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 10</td>
<td>21.09</td>
<td>3,837 ± 234</td>
<td>4,426 ± 268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camphor</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>2,592 ± 331</td>
<td>2,607 ± 407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 13</td>
<td>21.64</td>
<td>984 ± 54</td>
<td>1,039 ± 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 14</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>3,560 ± 259</td>
<td>3,537 ± 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 15</td>
<td>23.49</td>
<td>484 ± 29</td>
<td>567 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 16</td>
<td>23.65</td>
<td>621 ± 54</td>
<td>740 ± 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 17</td>
<td>23.95</td>
<td>1,160 ± 58</td>
<td>1,182 ± 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Total phenol content (μmol/g)</td>
<td></td>
<td>129 ± 4.4</td>
<td>140.7 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Identified with mass spectroscopy.
# Identified with cochromatography.
estimated, with 95% CIs overlapping 1, so we did not consider it to have explanatory value (Table 2).

**Effects of occupancy.**—Mean levels of crude protein were higher in browsed than unbrowsed plants, and there was a significant effect of browse status (\(\chi^2_{1(\text{deviance})} = 10.7, P = 0.001\)) on protein content but not an effect of the duration of burrow system occupancy (\(\chi^2_{1(\text{deviance})} = 0.01, P = 0.937\)) or an interaction between the two (\(\chi^2_{1(\text{deviance})} = 1.9, P = 0.168\); Fig. 1a). Likewise, concentrations of artemiseole were significantly higher in unbrowsed plants than in browsed plants (\(\chi^2_{1(\text{deviance})} = 9.92, P = 0.002\)) but did not differ by occupancy length (\(\chi^2_{1(\text{deviance})} = 2.21, P = 0.137\)), nor was there an interaction between the two (\(\chi^2_{1(\text{deviance})} = 0.14, P = 0.706\); Fig. 1b).

**DISCUSSION**

Results from this study suggest that both crude protein content and individual PSMs in sagebrush influence browsing choices for foraging pygmy rabbits. Pygmy rabbits were more likely to browse sagebrush with higher crude protein content and lower concentrations of specific monoterpenes. Our data did not support the hypothesis that long-term browsing alters the phytochemistry of sagebrush or that browse status and burrow occupancy length interact. In fact, browsed plants all showed evidence of recent and chronic browsing, indicating that they had not induced responses as a defensive strategy and had always been preferred to the unbrowsed plants.

As predicted, crude protein content of sagebrush influenced diet selection in free-living pygmy rabbits. Plants browsed by pygmy rabbits had higher concentrations of crude protein among the existing variation at a given site. Although that pattern was less clear at the Camas Prairie site, the trend was in the same direction and was supported by the significant effect at the Leadore site. Variation at both sites (Leadore: range = 9.46–16.53%, \(\bar{X} = 12.97\%) and Camas Prairie: range = 9.43–14.92%, \(\bar{X} = 11.42\%) was consistent with known variation of protein in sagebrush (Welch and McArthur 1979). In captivity, pygmy rabbits require 3.45 g of crude protein/day to maintain nitrogen balance (Shipley et al. 2006). Based on observed values of crude protein in browsed and unbrowsed plants plus the actual digestibility of protein, if pygmy rabbits only consumed unbrowsed plants at either site, they would need to eat nearly 3 g more of plant matter per day to meet protein requirements than if they ate browsed plants. By foraging more efficiently on plants with more protein (browsed plants), pygmy rabbits may spend less time exposed to predation, which can account for up to 88.9% of mortality (Crawford et al. 2010). In addition, pygmy rabbits are constrained by high energy needs and strict energy budgets (Katzner et al. 1997; Shipley et al. 2006), and decreasing the number and duration of foraging bouts could play an important role in reducing energy expended on foraging and associated energetically expensive activities such as evading predators while active (Camp et al. 2012).

Individual monoterpane compounds from the Leadore site seemed to influence browsing by pygmy rabbits, whereas none were tightly linked to foraging at the Camas Prairie site. The Leadore site had a more diverse monoterpene profile and contained specific chemicals absent at the Camas Prairie site, and the monoterpenes identified and the concentrations measured at both sites were comparable to those in previous studies (Welch and McArthur 1981; Utz 2012; Frye et al. 2013). Further studies examining variation in intake, absorption, and metabolism of PSMs identified in our models would contribute to a better understanding of mechanisms by which these chemicals affect diet selection by pygmy rabbits and other herbivores. Concentrations of specific individual monoterpenes influence browsing more than total monoterpene concentration. In addition to monoterpenes, PSMs such as phenolics and sesquiterpene lactones are present in plants and may affect animal behavior. Individual phenolic compounds or sesquiterpene lactones, which were not measured, may influence browsing by pygmy rabbits and warrant further investigation. Other factors that we did not measure in this study, such as protective cover, interact with the phytochemistry of foraging patches to influence plant use by pygmy rabbits (Utz 2012).

Although herbivores seem to browse selectively to maximize protein acquisition and minimize exposure to PSMs, some plants are capable of chemically responding to browsing pressure, such as birch (Bryant et al. 1992) and willow (Stolter et al. 2005). In contrast, we observed lower or

---

**Table 4.** Retention times (min) and mass (μg/g dry weight, mean ± SE) of major monoterpenes (in fenchone equivalents) and total phenolics (in gallic acid equivalents) from 30 browsed and 30 unbrowsed plants from the Camas Prairie site. An asterisk (*) indicates chemical variable used in the information-theoretic analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Browsed</th>
<th>Unbrowsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-pinene&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;,&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.34</td>
<td>1.506 ± 120</td>
<td>1.369 ± 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camphene&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;,&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>8.109 ± 409</td>
<td>8.106 ± 552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αβ-pinene&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;,&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>1.179 ± 55</td>
<td>1.417 ± 176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,8-cineole&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;,&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>7.361 ± 758</td>
<td>6.595 ± 510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camphor&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;,&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.41</td>
<td>41.147 ± 1.874</td>
<td>40.805 ± 2.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bornol&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>928 ± 73</td>
<td>999 ± 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phenol content (μmol/g)</td>
<td></td>
<td>111.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>114.1 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Identified with mass spectroscopy.

<sup>b</sup> Identified with cochromatography.
equal concentrations of most PSMs in browsed sagebrush plants compared to unbrowsed plants, suggesting that sagebrush did not induce immediate chemical defenses in response to pygmy rabbit browsing. Although sagebrush plants induce PSMs in response to leaf damage (Shiojiri and Karban 2008), the type of damage caused by pygmy rabbit browsing may not induce plant chemical defenses because they do not damage leaves. In general, sagebrush does not respond to damage by browsers through vascular signaling. Instead, leaves release volatile organic compounds when damaged, and nearby branches detect volatile organic compounds released into the air and subsequently induce defenses (Karban et al. 2006; Shiojiri and Karban 2008). Pygmy rabbits typically remove an entire branch while foraging and eat all the leaves and branch that they clip off the plant. In contrast to direct leaf damage, stem clipping by rabbits may reduce the release of volatiles so that remaining branches may not detect the damage, and thus plants may not immediately induce PSMs in response to short-term browsing by pygmy rabbits. Although not statistically significant, our results showed a trend that certain volatile compounds in sagebrush may be induced over an extended long-term period (> 6 years) in response to burrow occupancy length (Fig. 1b).

**Fig. 1.—**a) Average crude protein (% ± SE) and b) artemiseole (µg/g dry weight ± SE) between browsed (closed circles) and unbrowsed (open circle) plants on burrows that have been occupied by pygmy rabbits (*Brachylagus idahoensis*) for short (1–2 years) and long (6–7 years) amounts of time in October 2009 at the Leadore site in Idaho. Crude protein (%) for short occupancy, browsed: 13.22 ± 0.41; short occupancy, unbrowsed: 12.71 ± 0.29; long occupancy, browsed: 13.66 ± 0.33; and long occupancy, unbrowsed: 12.32 ± 0.31. Artemiseole (µg/g dry weight) for short occupancy, browsed: 5,444.77 ± 550; short occupancy, unbrowsed: 6,502.81 ± 537; long occupancy, browsed: 6,441.15 ± 481; and long occupancy, unbrowsed: 7,796.31 ± 658.
Pygmy rabbits repeatedly forage on the same plants, which may subject the plant to a state of chronic stress as it replaces the large amount of biomass repeatedly removed by pygmy rabbits over time. Some plants preferentially allocate resources to new growth over elevated PSMs when resources are limited (Orians et al. 2010), and animal browsing has been shown to increase nitrogen content in the new growth (Danell and Huss-Danell 1985). Although not statistically significant, browsed plants at long-occupancy burrows tended to have higher amounts of crude protein, and these plants may be responding nondefensively with increased growth and higher nitrogen. The PSM concentrations trended higher in long-occupancy compared to short-occupancy burrows. The sagebrush–pygmy rabbit system offers an excellent stage to further investigate trade-offs in resource allocation between growth and PSMs under acute and long-term chronic browsing pressure that varies in a natural system.

Results from our study offer insights into the importance of diet quality for specialist mammalian herbivores. Nutrient and PSM content of plants interact to influence diet selection. Both nutritional and defensive phytochemicals should be considered when comparing the quality of plants for foraging herbivores. For instance, in habitats dominated by lower-quality plants, herbivores may need to increase plant consumption to maintain energy budgets, but consequently increase exposure to PSMs. Researchers and land managers should consider the importance of dietary quality to specialist herbivores when studying habitat use or prioritizing land for species conservation. Searle et al. (2007) argue that animals likely perceive landscapes on gradients of differing forage quality. Scaling diet selection at the plant scale up to the landscape scale is critical for conservation and restoration of habitat for specialized herbivores inhabiting chemically complex landscapes.
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