

Diabetes Self-Care Behaviors: A Diagnostic Dilemma

Tom A. Elasy, MD, MPH, Editor-in-Chief

One of the defining skills of a young physician is the ability to generate a differential diagnosis for the set of signs and symptoms with which a patient presents. This clinical skill is first introduced in the third year of medical school, when physicians-in-training are expected to know the various causes of fever, anemia, hyponatremia, acid-base disorders, abdominal pain, and the

like. Indeed, young internists practice this during “morning report,” when they are asked to generate a differential diagnosis for a complex patient who presented the night before and describe the process by which they would narrow this differential. After all, the goal is a diagnosis, not a differential.

This is one of the crucial cognitive skills that differentiates

physicians from other caregivers. Whereas implementing a strategy for managing patients is relatively straightforward once a diagnosis is made, generating a strategy depends on an accurate diagnosis. Without the right diagnosis, it is unlikely that a physician will be able to help a patient.

This same rigorous approach to generating a differential diagnosis

Clinical Diabetes

Editor
Tom A. Elasy, MD, MPH

Associate Editors
Michael J. Fowler, MD
Martha M Funnell, MS, RN, CDE
Davida F. Kruger, MSN, APRN-BC, BC-ADM
Michael Pignone, MD, MPH
Russell L. Rothman, MD, MPP
Mark E. Splaine, MD, MS

Advertising Representatives

Pharmaceutical/Medical Accounts
B. Joseph Jackson, President; Paul Nalbandian, Vice President, Business Development; Charles Novak, Vice President, Sales; The Jackson Gaeta Group, Inc., 33 Smull Avenue, Caldwell, NJ 07006, (973) 403-7677

Editorial Board
Robert M. Malone II, BSPHarm, PharmD, CDE, CPP
David G. Marrero, PhD
Theodore Speroff, PhD
A. Brian Thomson, MD

Vice President, Publications
Martha Ramsey
Director, Scholarly Journals
Christian S. Kohler

Manager, Periodicals Production
Keang Hok

Managing Editor
Debbie Kendall

Associate Publisher
Howard Richman

Advertising Production Specialist
Julie DeVoss

Director, Membership/Subscription Services
James Skowrenski

Manager, Membership/Subscription Services
Jeremy N. Baird

Associate Director, Billing & Collections
Laurie Ann Hall



American Diabetes Association Officers

Chair of the Board
George J. Huntley, CPA

President, Medicine & Science
R. Paul Robertson, MD

President, Health Care & Education
Sue McLaughlin, BS, RD, CDE, CPT

Secretary/Treasurer
T. Edwin Stinson, Jr.

Chair of the Board-Elect
Nash M. Childs, PE

President-Elect, Medicine & Science
Richard M. Bergenstal, MD

President-Elect, Health Care & Education
Christine T. Tobin, RN, MBA, CDE

Secretary/Treasurer-Elect
Gerard B. Nee, CPA

Vice Chair of the Board
John W. Griffin, Jr.

Vice President, Medicine & Science
Robert R. Henry, MD

Vice President, Health Care & Education
Elizabeth Mayer-Davis, MSPH, PhD, RD

Vice Secretary/Treasurer
Dwight Holing

Chief Executive Officer
Larry Hausner

Clinical Diabetes

A PUBLICATION OF THE AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION®, INC. TM

Clinical Diabetes Mission Statement

The mission of *Clinical Diabetes* is to provide primary care providers and all clinicians involved in the care of people with diabetes with information on advances and state-of-the-art care for people with diabetes. *Clinical Diabetes* is also a forum for discussing diabetes-related problems in practice, medical-legal issues, case studies, digests of recent research, and patient education materials.

ADA Mission Statement

The mission of the American Diabetes Association is to prevent and cure diabetes and to improve the lives of all people affected by diabetes.

Clinical Diabetes (Print ISSN 0891-8929, Online ISSN 1945-4953) is published quarterly by the American Diabetes Association®, Inc., 1701 N. Beauregard St., Alexandria, VA 22311. Single issue rates are \$45 in the U.S., \$65 in Canada and Mexico (for Canada GST included), and \$75 in all other countries. Periodical postage paid at Alexandria, VA, and additional mailing offices. For subscription information, call toll-free (800) 232-3472, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST, Monday through Friday. Outside the U.S., call (703) 549-1500.

Claims for missing issues must be made within six months of publication. The publisher expects to supply missing issues free of charge only when losses have been sustained in transit and when the reserve stock permits.

Postmaster: Send change of address to *Clinical Diabetes* COA, 1701 N. Beauregard St., Alexandria, VA 22311-1717.

©American Diabetes Association®, Inc., 2009.
Printed in the USA.

Opinions expressed in signed articles are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the American Diabetes Association.

is rarely applied to patients who are struggling with self-care management. Indeed, our approach rarely attempts to understand, but rather merely describes, the phenomenon. Often, our notes simply state that a patient is “nonadherent.” It is hard to imagine writing a note simply stating that a patient is febrile without commenting on possible causes. The patient has stopped monitoring. The patient is no longer taking his or her metformin. The patient is no longer following his or her diet. The patient is has stopped being active (assuming he or she ever was active).

And our solution? We encourage these patients to resume taking their medication, follow their diet, and start monitoring. This seems to be a peculiar approach for a profession that is used to classifying anemia by the size of the red cell and generating three to five possible causes for each classification before ordering a colonoscopy to evaluate the microcytic anemia that is accompanied by low iron stores. Few of us, on the other hand, could state that knowledge/skills, environmental constraints, emotion, social norms, individual norms, perceived cost/benefit, self-efficacy, intent, cues to action, and other factors are common determinants of behavior variation, let alone have a plan to narrow that differential.

Part of the problem lies with our lack of training in behavioral theory. Whereas young physicians can quickly rattle off a differential for a gap acidosis, they are less likely to know the causes of behavioral variation. We still do not present common behavioral theories (many of which are simply different ways to capture the same differential diagnosis) to physicians-in-training, and certainly most of our physician teachers could

not state the components of, for example, social cognitive theory. This seems to be an undesirable state for a society in which behavioral variation (whether in eating, physical activity, seatbelt use, gun use, unsafe sexual activity, or alcohol/drug use) is such a powerful determinant of health outcomes.

In this issue of *Clinical Diabetes*, Shelagh A. Mulvaney, PhD, touches on this issue by describing problem solving (a method to address behavioral variation) for the purpose of reducing barriers to diabetes self-management (ostensibly the cause) (p. 99). This carefully written article describes an attempt to get at the causes of behavioral difficulties by questioning patients and then taking a trial-and-error approach to possible options for correcting those causes. Although I believe that it is best to start by defining the behavior (for example, medication adherence) that is upstream from the clinical variable (for example, A1C) as the problem and then proceed to determining the cause of that problem, the rest of the article provides a nice summary of how one would diagnose and treat these seemingly refractory behavioral challenges that so frequently appear as part of clinical care. This approach is very useful, and more clinicians should be familiar with its application to address behavioral variation.

There are significant challenges to this approach, of which I will mention a few. First, as noted above, one ideally needs to know the universe of possible causes of behavior variation. Although one may stumble on a correct diagnosis, the process is simpler if you know what you are looking for.

Second, we tend to make easy diagnoses. It is much easier to con-

clude that patients lack knowledge or are depressed because there are relatively straightforward approaches to dealing with lack of knowledge (education) or depression (medication or counseling). At times, finding depression seems as easy as finding chest pain in an elderly veteran: ask and ye shall find.

Third, Ockham’s razor tends to be dull. Whereas, inborn errors of metabolism or infectious diseases have one cause, behavioral variation is much more likely to have multiple simultaneous causes (in that way, anemia of chronic disease is a better analogy). One can be depressed, lack financial resources, and have a fatalistic outlook simultaneously.

Fourth, many of the etiologies do not lend themselves to easy solutions. Whereas postpartum hypothyroidism is a dream diagnosis (simple to make and easy to treat), patients who eat poorly because they are financially poor are not easy to treat.

Finally, the brainstorming sessions that are ideally employed to consider solutions for some of the barriers (see Mulvaney’s Table 1 on p. 100 of this issue), are much more fluid than some of the other problem-solving processes and very operator-dependant. More than any other aspect of this paradigm, this aspect resembles surgery in that experience matters a lot.

Notwithstanding these challenges, many of which can be overcome, we need to expand our repertoire of skills to meet the ever-changing needs of our patients. Given the extraordinary effect of self-management behaviors on diabetes outcomes, any attempt to care for patients without a careful consideration of these variables will, at best, incompletely serve those who seek our care.