

---

The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Reports on  
Digital Media and Learning

# Young People, Ethics, and the New Digital Media

A Synthesis from the GoodPlay Project

Carrie James

with Katie Davis, Andrea Flores, John M. Francis, Lindsay  
Pettingill, Margaret Rundle, and Howard Gardner



**MACARTHUR**  
The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation

[www.macfound.org](http://www.macfound.org)

## Young People, Ethics, and the New Digital Media

This report was made possible by grants from the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation in connection with its grant making initiative on Digital Media and Learning. For more information on the initiative visit [www.macfound.org](http://www.macfound.org).

**The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Reports on Digital Media and Learning**

*The Future of Learning Institutions in a Digital Age* by Cathy N. Davidson and David Theo Goldberg with the assistance of Zoë Marie Jones

*New Digital Media and Learning as an Emerging Area and "Worked Examples" as One Way Forward* by James Paul Gee

*Living and Learning with New Media: Summary of Findings from the Digital Youth Project* by Mizuko Ito, Heather Horst, Matteo Bittanti, danah boyd, Becky Herr-Stephenson, Patricia G. Lange, C. J. Pascoe, and Laura Robinson with Sonja Baumer, Rachel Cody, Dilan Mahendran, Katynka Z. Martínez, Dan Perkel, Christo Sims, and Lisa Tripp

*Young People, Ethics, and the New Digital Media: A Synthesis from the Good-Play Project* by Carrie James with Katie Davis, Andrea Flores, John M. Francis, Lindsay Pettingill, Margaret Rundle, and Howard Gardner

*Confronting the Challenges of Participatory Culture: Media Education for the 21st Century* by Henry Jenkins (P.I.) with Ravi Purushotma, Margaret Weigel, Katie Clinton, and Alice J. Robison

*The Civic Potential of Video Games* by Joseph Kahne, Ellen Middaugh, and Chris Evans

**Young People, Ethics, and the New Digital Media:  
A Synthesis from the GoodPlay Project**

**Carrie James with Katie Davis, Andrea Flores, John M. Francis,  
Lindsay Pettingill, Margaret Rundle, and Howard Gardner**

**Project Zero  
Harvard Graduate School of Education**

**The MIT Press  
Cambridge, Massachusetts  
London, England**

© 2009 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or information storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from the publisher.

For information about special quantity discounts, please email [special\\_sales@mitpress.mit.edu](mailto:special_sales@mitpress.mit.edu).

This book was set in Stone Serif and Stone Sans by the MIT Press.  
Printed and bound in the United States of America.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

James, Carrie.

Young people, ethics, and the new digital media : a synthesis from the goodplay project / Carrie James ; with Katie Davis . . . [et al.].

p. cm.—(The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation reports on digital media and learning)

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 978-0-262-51363-0 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Information society—Moral and ethical aspects. 2. Digital media—Moral and ethical aspects. I. Title.

HM851.J36 2009 302.23'10835—dc22 2009014658

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

## Contents

Series Foreword vii

Acknowledgments ix

Abstract xiii

**Introduction 1**

**The “Good Play” Approach 11**

**Ethical Fault Lines in the New Digital Media 20**

**Conclusion: Toward Good Play 78**

Appendix A: Youth Engagement with the New Digital Media 91

Appendix B: Informant Interview Protocol 94

References 100



## Series Foreword

The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Reports on Digital Media and Learning, published by the MIT Press, present findings from current research on how young people learn, play, socialize, and participate in civic life. The Reports result from research projects funded by the MacArthur Foundation as part of its \$50 million initiative in digital media and learning. They are published openly online (as well as in print) in order to support broad dissemination and to stimulate further research in the field.





## Acknowledgments

This project was made possible thanks to a grant from the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation's digital media and learning initiative. We are thankful to Jessica Benjamin and Sam Gilbert for their helpful comments and excellent research support and to Linda Burch, Katie Clinton, Henry Jenkins, Barry Joseph, Elisabeth Soep, Margaret Weigel, and Connie Yowell for helpful comments on earlier drafts of this report. In preparation for writing this report, we consulted educators, academic experts, professionals in the new digital media industry, and youth participants. We are extremely grateful for the insights and stories that they shared with us. We also thank the following individuals:

Sonja Baumer, Digital Youth: Kids' Informal Learning with Digital Media Project, University of California, Berkeley, and University of Southern California, Los Angeles

Steve Bergen, chief information officer, The Chapin School, New York

Matteo Bittanti, Digital Youth: Kids' Informal Learning with Digital Media Project, University of California, Berkeley, and

University of Southern California, Los Angeles; PhD candidate, new technologies of communications program, Libera Università di Lingue e Comunicazioni, Milan, Italy

danah boyd, Digital Youth: Kids' Informal Learning with Digital Media Project, University of California, Berkeley, and University of Southern California, Los Angeles; Researcher, Microsoft Research; Fellow, Harvard Law School's Berkman Center for Internet and Society.

Don Buckley, director of information and communications technology, The School, Columbia University, New York

Lena Chen, "Sex and the Ivy" blog, <http://sexandtheivy.com>

Chris Dede, Timothy E. Wirth professor in learning technologies, Harvard Graduate School of Education, Cambridge, Massachusetts

Nate Dern, social networker and comedian, Brooklyn, New York

Judith Donath, associate professor of media arts and sciences, Asahi Broadcasting Corporation career development professor, and director of the Sociable Media Group at the MIT Media Lab, Cambridge, Massachusetts

Kevin Driscoll, Prospect Hill Academy, Cambridge, Massachusetts; cofounder, TeachForward

Megan Finn, Digital Youth: Kids' Informal Learning with Digital Media Project, University of California, Berkeley, and University of Southern California, Los Angeles; PhD candidate, School of Information, University of California, Berkeley

Tom Gerace, founder of and chief executive officer at [Gather.com](http://Gather.com)

Heather Horst, Digital Youth: Kids' Informal Learning with Digital Media Project, University of California, Berkeley, and University of Southern California, Los Angeles; postdoctoral researcher, Annenberg Center for Communication, University of Southern California, Los Angeles

Global Kids Digital Media Youth Advisory, New York

Barry Joseph, director of the Online Leadership Program, Global Kids, New York

Jason Kaufman, John L. Loeb associate professor of the social sciences and head tutor, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts

Peter Levine, director of the Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (CIRCLE), Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts

Kevin Lewis, PhD candidate, department of sociology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts

Derek Lomas, graduate researcher and codirector at the Social Movement Laboratory, California Institute of Telecommunications and Information Technology, University of California, San Diego

Jed Miller, Internet director, Revenue Watch Institute, New York; previous director of Internet programs, American Civil Liberties Union, Washington, DC

Mitchel Resnick, LEGO Papert professor of learning research, MIT Media Lab, Cambridge, Massachusetts

Rafi Santo, program associate, Online Leadership Program, Global Kids, New York

Christo Sims, Digital Youth: Kids' Informal Learning with Digital Media Project, University of California, Berkeley, and University of Southern California, Los Angeles; PhD candidate, School of Information, University of California, Berkeley

Nick Summers, cofounder of the *IvyGate* blog, <http://www.ivygateblog.com/>

Sarita Yardi, Digital Youth: Kids' Informal Learning with Digital Media Project, University of California, Berkeley, and University of Southern California, Los Angeles; PhD candidate, human-centered computing program, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta

Jonathan Zittrain, professor of Internet governance and regulation, Oxford University; Jack N. and Lillian R. Berkman visiting professor for entrepreneurial legal studies, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts; and cofounder and faculty codirector, Harvard Berkman Center for Internet and Society, Cambridge, Massachusetts

## Abstract

The new digital media are a frontier that is rich with opportunities and risks, particularly for young people. Through digital technologies, young people are participating in a range of activities, including social networking, blogging, vlogging, gaming, instant messaging, downloading music and other content, uploading and sharing their own creations, and collaborating with others in various ways.

In late 2006, our research team at Harvard Project Zero launched a three-year project funded by the MacArthur Foundation. The goals of the GoodPlay Project are twofold—(1) to investigate the ethical contours of the new digital media and (2) to create interventions to promote ethical thinking and, ideally, conduct. In the first year of the project, we conducted background research to determine the state of knowledge about digital ethics and youth and to prepare ourselves for our empirical study. This report describes our thinking in advance of beginning our empirical work. We expect to revisit the framework and arguments that are presented here after our empirical study is complete.

In this report, we explore the ethical fault lines that are raised by such digital pursuits. We argue that five key issues are at stake in the new media—identity, privacy, ownership and authorship, credibility, and participation. Drawing on evidence from informant interviews, emerging scholarship on new media, and theoretical insights from psychology, sociology, political science, and cultural studies, we explore the ways in which youth are redefining these five concepts as they engage with the new digital media. For each issue, we describe and compare offline and online understandings and then explore the particular ethical promises and perils that surface online.

We define *good play* as online conduct that is meaningful and engaging to the participant and is responsible to others in the community and society in which it is carried out. We argue that the new digital media, with all their participatory potentials, are a playground in which five factors contribute to the likelihood of good play—the technologies of the new digital media; related technical and new media literacies; person-centered factors, such as cognitive and moral development, beliefs, and values; peer cultures, both online and offline; and ethical supports, including the presence or absence of adult mentors and educational curricula. The proposed model sets the stage for an empirical study that will invite young people to share their personal stories of engagement with the new digital media.

## Young People, Ethics, and the New Digital Media





## Introduction

When *Time* magazine declared its 2006 person of the year to be “You” (Grossman 2006), the magazine was pointing to an undeniable reality: anyone with an Internet connection can be a reporter, political commentator, cultural critic, or media producer. Around the same time, media scholar H. Jenkins and colleagues (2006) published a white paper extolling the “participatory cultures” of creation and sharing, mentorship, and civic engagement that were emerging online, especially among teens. Although *Time* did not explicitly frame participation in the new media as a youth phenomenon, most of the fifteen “citizens of digital democracy” who were featured in its December 13 article (Grossman 2006) were under the age of thirty-five. And Jenkins et al. (2006) strongly suggest that young people are especially well-poised to take full advantage of Web 2.0. Indeed, many young people are using the digital media in impressive and socially responsible ways. Consider the following examples.

### TVNewser

In 2004, Brian Stelter, then a sophomore communications major at Towson University, started a blog called “TVNewser” that provides an

ongoing, detailed record of ratings, gossip, and events in the news media industry. Over the past three years, “TVNewser” has become a chief source of information for news industry executives. In fact, Stelter receives frequent calls from people like Jonathan Klein, president of CNN’s national news division. His youth and lack of credentials notwithstanding, Stelter is considered an extremely credible source (Bosman 2006). After graduating from college, Stelter was hired as a media reporter for the *New York Times*.

### **Global Kids**

Global Kids (<http://www.globalkids.org>) is a New York–based organization that is “committed to transforming urban youth into successful students as well as global and community leaders.” In 2000, Global Kids launched an Online Leadership Program (OLP) through which youth simultaneously build technical, new media literacy, leadership, and civic engagement skills. Youth participants engage in online dialogues about civic issues, regularly post comments on a blog, learn to design educational games and digital films, and play an active role in Teen Second Life, including its youth summer camp, which brings them together online to educate one another about global issues, such as child sex trafficking.

Yet for every digital superkid and for every example of good citizenship online, there seem to be many more examples of (intentional or naïve) misuses—or at least ethically ambiguous uses—of digital media. Consider these examples.

### **Lonelygirl15**

In June 2006, a series of video blogs posted on YouTube by a teenager called Lonelygirl15 began to capture a wide audience (“Lonelygirl15”

2007). The videos depicted a sixteen-year-old girl named Bree talking about her day-to-day existence, including her experiences being home-schooled and raised by strict, religious parents. After several months, Bree was revealed to be Jessica Rose, a twenty-something actress who was working with several filmmaker friends to produce the video series (Heffernan and Zeller 2006).

### **The Digital Public**

Aleksey Vayner, a senior at Yale University in 2006, became infamous after he submitted a résumé to the investment bank USB. Included with the résumé was his online, self-made video titled “Impossible Is Nothing,” which appeared to be a record of Vayner’s diverse talents and depicted him performing a variety of skills such as ballroom dancing and extreme weightlifting. The video link was circulated by email within the bank and soon beyond it. After it began making headlines in the blogosphere and in major newspapers, questions were raised about the authenticity of some of the footage. Vayner subsequently sought legal advice for what he considered to be an invasion of privacy (de la Merced 2006).

### **Speech in the Blogosphere**

On April 6, 2007, a technical writer and prominent blogger, Kathy Sierra, published an entry on her blog entitled “Death Threats against Bloggers Are NOT ‘Protected Speech.’” For several weeks, Sierra had received anonymous violent comments and death threats on her own blog and on two other blogs. Following Sierra’s alarming post, a heated controversy about the ethics of speech unfolded in the blogosphere. Calls for a blogger’s code of conduct were met with angry protests that indicated how deeply many participants cherish the openness and freedoms of cyberspace (Pilkington 2007).

Ever since digital technologies were made widely available, scholars, educators, policymakers, and parents have been debating their implications for young people's literacy, attention spans, social tolerance, and propensity for aggression. Considerable strides are now being made in scholarship in many of these areas. The educational benefits of video games, for example, are being convincingly documented by scholars such as Gee (2003), Johnson (2005), and Shaffer (2006). At the same time, debates persist about the relationship between video games and violence (Anderson et al. 2004; Gentile, Lynch, Linder, and Walsh 2004).

Concerns about ethical issues in the new media have also been expressed by journalists, politicians, ideologues, and educators but have received less attention from scholars. In response to concerns about online predators, illegal downloading, and imprudent posting of content online, a number of cybersafety initiatives have emerged online and in schools around the country. The Ad Council's YouTube videos entitled "Think before You Post" seek to "to make teen girls aware of the potential dangers of sharing and posting personal information online and of communicating with unfamiliar people to help reduce their risk of sexual victimization and abduction" (Ad Council 2007). Youth-driven outreach groups and anticyberbullying campaigns, such as Teenangels and StandUp!, are making their way into schools. Somewhat surprisingly though, objective, research-based accounts of the ethical issues raised by the new digital media are scarce.<sup>1</sup> This report attempts to fill this gap.

Some of the digital media's ethical fault lines that we have scrutinized are the nature of personal identities that are being formed online; the fate of personal privacy in an environment

where diverse types of information can be gleaned and disseminated; the meaning of authorship in spaces where multiple, anonymous contributors produce knowledge; the status of intellectual and other forms of property that are easily accessible by a broad public; the ways in which individuals (both known and anonymous) interact and treat one another in cyberspace; and the credibility and trustworthiness of individuals, organizations, and causes that are regularly trafficking on the Internet. We believe that five core issues are salient in the new media—identity, privacy, ownership and authorship, credibility, and participation. These issues have long been considered important offline as well. Yet in digital spaces, these issues may carry new or at least distinct ethical stakes. It thus seems critical to ask whether the new digital media are giving rise to new mental models—new “ethical minds”—with respect to identity, privacy, ownership and authorship, credibility, and participation and whether the new digital media require a reconceptualization of these issues and the ethical potentials they carry. As a starting point for considering these questions, we explore emerging data regarding how young people manage these five issues as they participate in virtual spaces. Our account considers the unique affordances inherent in the new digital media, and associated promises and perils are illustrated through each section’s vignettes. The five themes explored here are ethically significant in the digital age, but they are not necessarily the final defining ethical fault lines of this age. We expect that our subsequent empirical work will turn up new ethical issues and perhaps suggest different ways of understanding these themes and the relationships among them.

A note about terminology: in this report, we use the term *new digital media* (NDM) or simply *new media* to refer to the actual technologies that people use to connect with one another—including mobile phones, personal digital assistants (PDAs), game consoles, and computers connected to the Internet. Through these technologies, young people are participating in a range of activities, including social networking, blogging, vlogging, gaming, instant messaging, downloading music and other content, uploading and sharing their creations, and collaborating with others in various ways (see appendix A for a detailed overview of youth involvement in specific digital activities). Of principal interest to us are those activities that are interactive (such as multiplayer as opposed to single-player games), dialogical (online deliberation on Gather.com, for example), and participatory (user-contributed content, such as videos posted on YouTube). We use the terms *cyberspace*, *the Internet*, or simply *online* to denote the virtual realm in which such interactive activities are taking place. We also use the term *Web 2.0*, which refers to the second-generation Internet technologies that permit, indeed invite, people to create, share, and modify online content (O'Reilly 2005).

### **New Digital Frontiers**

The new digital media have ushered in a new and essentially unlimited set of frontiers (Gardner 2007b). Frontiers are open spaces: they often lack comprehensive and well-enforced rules and regulations and thus harbor both tremendous promises and significant perils. On the promising side, the new digital media

permit and encourage “participatory cultures.” As Henry Jenkins and colleagues define it, “a participatory culture is a culture with relatively low barriers to artistic expression and civic engagement, strong support for creating and sharing one’s creations, and some type of informal mentorship whereby what is known by the most experienced is passed along to novices. A participatory culture is also one in which members believe their contributions matter, and feel some degree of social connection with one another (at the least they care what other people think about what they have created)” (Jenkins et al. 2006, 3).

*Time’s* 2006 person of the year points to the power of Jenkins’s concept and suggests that the potential of the new media to empower ordinary citizens and consumers is being realized. Many cultural critics and social scientists (Jenkins among them) have argued that audiences of traditional media have never been passive (Lembo 2000; Radway 1985). Yet the new media invite a different level of agency. Blogs allow people to speak out about issues they care about, massive multiplayer online games invite players to modify them as they play, and social networking sites permit participants to forge new connections with people beyond their real-world cliques, schools, communities, and even countries. In the most idealistic terms, the new digital media hold great potential for facilitating civil society, civic engagement, and democratic participation (Ito 2004; Jenkins 2006a; Jenkins et al. 2006; Moore 2003; Pettingill 2007). If leveraged properly, the Internet can be a powerful tool for promoting social responsibility. At the same time, technologies themselves may be used for a range of purposes. The new media’s capacities to promote evil might be in equal proportion



to their capacities to promote good (Williams 1974). Indeed, the frontierlike quality of the new digital media means that opportunities for ethical lapses abound. There are innumerable ways—some barely conceivable—for the dishonest to perpetrate harms and, in turn, for the innocent to be victimized.

The potentials and perils of the new digital media are reflected in opposing discourses described as “digital faith” and “moral panics” (Green and Hannon 2007). Optimist Moore (2003) points to the “worldwide peace campaign” of millions of interconnected people who are working for social issues and human rights as a “beautiful” example of “emergent democracy” in cyberspace, while skeptic Keen describes the Internet as “a chaotic human arrangement with few, if any, formal social pacts. Today’s Internet resembles a state of nature—Hobbes’ dystopia rather than Rousseau’s idyll” (2007, 2). These disputes echo those that have raged for decades (if not longer) about traditional media, especially with respect to effects on children (Buckingham 2000). Yet the new media may pose qualitatively different risks and opportunities. The reality is that most online situations are rich with promises and risks, both of which often carry ethical consequences.

Like all frontiers, cyberspace will eventually be regulated in some fashion, but it is unclear how regulation will occur and who will gain and who will lose from the regulation. The Blogger’s Code of Conduct (2007) and the Deleting Online Predators Act (2006) are recent efforts in the direction of regulation that take two different tacks. The former, created by bloggers themselves, establishes guidelines for conduct; the latter, a bill introduced by legislators, restricts young people’s access to social

networking and other interactive sites. Moreover, because commercial interests have an ever-growing presence in digital spaces, the extent to which market forces will have a hand in regulation and the ethical implications of their involvement need to be considered. Now is the time to ask what a regulated World Wide Web would look like and how we can retain the openness and socially positive potentials of the new digital media while restraining unethical conduct. We believe that such a balance cannot be struck without a nuanced understanding of the distinct ethical fault lines in these rapidly evolving frontiers. Yet understanding is but a first step. Ultimately, for the promises of the new digital media to be positively realized, supports for ethical participation—indeed for the creation of “ethical minds” (Gardner 2007a)—must emerge.

In late 2006, our research team at Harvard Project Zero launched a three-year project funded by the MacArthur Foundation. The goals of the GoodPlay Project are twofold—(1) to investigate the ethical contours of the new digital media and (2) to create interventions to promote ethical thinking and conduct. In the first year of the project, we conducted background research to determine the state of knowledge about digital ethics and youth and to prepare ourselves for our empirical study. This report describes our thinking in advance of beginning our empirical work. We expect to revisit the framework and arguments presented here after our empirical study is complete.

Again, our objective in this report is to provide an overview of what is known about ethical issues that are raised by the new digital media, especially with respect to young people. We are motivated in our project by our concerns about the prevalence

of ideologically driven (as opposed to empirically based) accounts of youth's online activities. Therefore, we strive to provide a balanced account that counters both disempowering skepticism of the new media and its opposite—uncritical celebration or “digital faith” (Green and Hannon 2007). In writing this report, we have three further goals—(1) to stimulate conversations with informed readers, scholars, and other critical thinkers about digital media; (2) to establish a research agenda to help confirm, reject, or revise the understandings and hypotheses presented here; (3) to provide hints about the kinds of supports needed (that is, the key ingredients for successful outreach efforts) so that young people can reflect on the ethical implications of their online activities and ultimately engage in “good play.”

#### Note

1. Exceptions include UNESCO's 2007 report, *Ethical Implications of Emerging Technologies* (Rundle and Conley 2007). The report presents the potential positive and negative effects of technologies such as the semantic Web, digital identity management, biometrics, radio frequency identification, grid computing, and other technologies that are now being developed or adopted. By contrast, this report explores the broad issues that are suggested by the activities occurring through media technologies that are widely available and frequently used, particularly by young people. See also the Vatican's 2002 report on ethics and the Internet title “Ethics in Internet”: [http://www.vatican.va/roman\\_curia/pontifical\\_councils/pccs/documents/rc\\_pc\\_pccs\\_doc\\_20020228\\_ethics-internet\\_en.html](http://www.vatican.va/roman_curia/pontifical_councils/pccs/documents/rc_pc_pccs_doc_20020228_ethics-internet_en.html).

## References

Ad Council. 2007. "PSA Campaign: Online Sexual Exploitation." Retrieved November 20, 2007, from <http://www.adcouncil.org>.

Anderson, C. A., N. L. Carnagey, M. Flanagan, A. J. Benjamin, J. Eubanks, and J. C. Valentine. 2004. "Violent Video Games: Specific Effects of Violent Content on Aggressive Thoughts and Behavior." *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* 36: 199–249.

Bateson, G. 1972. *Steps to an Ecology of Mind*. San Francisco: Chandler.

Bazerman, M. H. 1990. *Judgment in Managerial Decision Making*. New York: Wiley.

BBC News. 2007. "Students Assessed with Wikipedia." BBC News, March 6. Retrieved December 11, 2007, from [http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/2/hi/uk\\_news/education/6422877.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/2/hi/uk_news/education/6422877.stm).

Benderoff, E. 2007. "Cheating a Real Problem in Club Penguin's Virtual World." *Chicago Tribune*. Retrieved February 1, 2008, from <http://www.chicagotribune.com/business/chi-0703080167mar08,0,4256114story?coll=chi-bizfont-hed>.

Bennett, W. L. 2007. "Changing Citizenship in the Digital Age." In *Civic Life Online*, ed. L. W. Bennett, 1–24. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Blogger's Code of Conduct. 2007. Retrieved April 24, 2007, from <http://radar.oreilly.com/archives/2007/04/draft-bloggers-1.html>.

- Bok, S. 1979. *Lying: Moral Choice in Public and Private Life*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Bosman, J. 2006. "The Kid with All the News about TV News." *New York Times*, November 20. Retrieved December 28, 2006, from <http://travel.nytimes.com/2006/11/20/business/media/20newser.html>.
- boyd, d. 2007a. "The Cost of Lying." *Apophenia*, January 8. Retrieved January 24, 2007, from [http://www.zephoros.org/thoughts/archives/2007/01/08/thecost\\_of\\_lyi.html](http://www.zephoros.org/thoughts/archives/2007/01/08/thecost_of_lyi.html).
- boyd, d. 2007b. "Why Youth (Heart) Social Networking Sites: The Role of Networked Publics in Teenage Social Life." In *Youth, Identity and Digital Media*, ed. D. Buckingham, 119–142. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- boyd, d., and J. Heer. 2006. "Profiles as Conversation: Networked Identity Performance on Friendster." In *Proceedings of the Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS-39)*. Kauai, HI: IEEE Computer Society. Retrieved April 16, 2009, from <http://www.danah.org/papers/HICSS2006.pdf>.
- Bradley, K. 2005. "Internet Lives: Social Context and Moral Domain in Adolescent Development." *New Directions for Youth Development* 108: 57–76.
- Buckingham, D. 2000. *After the Death of Childhood: Growing Up in the Age of Electronic Media*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Buckingham, D. 2003. *Media Education: Literacy, Learning and Contemporary Culture*. Cambridge, MA: Polity Press.
- Buckingham, D. 2007. "Introducing Identity." In *Youth, Identity, and Digital Media*, ed. D. Buckingham, 1–22. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Callahan, D. 2004. *The Cheating Culture: Why More Americans Are Doing Wrong to Get Ahead*. Orlando: Harcourt.
- Chavez, V., and E. Soep. 2005. "Youth Radio and the Pedagogy of Collegiality." *Harvard Educational Review* 75, no. 4: 409–434.

- Cooley, C. H. 1902. *Human Nature and the Social Order*. New York: Scribner's.
- Davidson, C. N. 2007. "We Can't Ignore the Influence of Digital Technologies." *Chronicle of Higher Education* 53, no. 2: B20–B20.
- de la Merced, M. J. (2006, October 21). "A Student's Video Résumé Gets Attention (Some of It Unwanted)." *New York Times*, October 21. Retrieved June 10, 2007, from <http://www.nytimes.com/20061021/business/21bank.html?ex=1181620800&en=2e3aef2615719766&ei=5070>.
- Deleting Online Predators Act. 2006. Retrieved April 26, 2007, from <http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bill.xpd?bill=h109-5319>.
- Digital Millennium Copyright Act of 1998. 1998. [http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=105\\_cong\\_public\\_laws&docid=f:publ304.105.pdf](http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=105_cong_public_laws&docid=f:publ304.105.pdf).
- Digital Natives. 2007. "What Is the Digital Natives Project?" Retrieved December 10, 2007, from <http://www.digitalnative.org>.
- Donath, J. 1999. "Identity and Deception in the Virtual Community." In *Communities in Cyberspace*, ed. M. A. Smith and P. Kollock, 29–59. New York: Routledge.
- Edelman. 2007. "Edelman Trust Barometer." Retrieved June 30, 2009, from [http://www.edelman.com/trust/2007/trust\\_final\\_1\\_31.pdf](http://www.edelman.com/trust/2007/trust_final_1_31.pdf).
- Erikson, E. H. 1968. *Identity, Youth, and Crisis*. New York: Norton.
- Erikson, E. H. 1980. *Identity and the Life Cycle*. New York: Norton.
- Fischman, W., B. Solomon, D. Greenspan, and H. Gardner. 2004. *Making Good: How Young People Make Moral Decisions at Work*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Florida, L., and J. W. Sanders. 2005. "Internet Ethics: The Constructionist Values of Homo Poieticus." In *The Impact of the Internet on Our Moral Lives*, ed. R. Cavalier, 195–214. Albany: SUNY Press.

- Gardner, H. 2007a. *Five Minds for the Future*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Gardner, H. 2007b. "The Unlimited Frontiers." MacArthur Spotlight blog, April 10. Retrieved June 10, 2007, from [http://spotlight.macfound.org/main/entry/unlimited\\_frontiers](http://spotlight.macfound.org/main/entry/unlimited_frontiers).
- Gardner, H., M. Csikszentmihalyi, and W. Damon. 2001. *Good Work: When Excellence and Ethics Meet*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gee, J. P. 2003. *What Video Games Have to Teach Us about Learning and Literacy*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Gee, J. P. 2004. *Situated Language and Learning: A Critique of Traditional Schooling*. New York: Routledge.
- Geertz, Clifford. 1972. "Deep Play: Notes on the Balinese Cockfight." In *Interpretation of Cultures*, 412–453. New York: Basic Books.
- Gentile, D. A., P. J. Lynch, J. R. Linder, and D. A. Walsh. 2004. "The Effects of Violent Video Game Habits on Adolescent Hostility, Aggressive Behaviors, and School Performance." *Journal of Adolescence* 27: 5–22. Retrieved May 15, 2007, from Ebsco.
- Glass, J. 1993. *Shattered Selves: Multiple Personality in a Postmodern World*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Goffman, E. 1959. *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life*. New York: Doubleday.
- Graft, K. 2006. "Nielson Study Reveals Gamer Habits." *Next Generation*, October 5. Retrieved June 7, 2007, from [http://www.next-gen.biz/index.php?option=com\\_content&task=view&id=3947&Itemid=2](http://www.next-gen.biz/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=3947&Itemid=2).
- Green, H., and C. Hannon. 2007. *Their Space: Education for a Digital Generation*. London: Demos.
- Green, J. and H. Jenkins. 2009. "The Moral Economy of Web 2.0: Audience Research and Convergence Culture." In *Media Industries: History,*

- Theory and Methods*, ed. J. Holt and A. Perren, 213–226. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Grossman, L. 2006. “Time’s Person of the Year: You.” *Time*, December 13. Retrieved April 26, 2007, from <http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,1569514,00.html>.
- Hall, G. S. 1904. *Adolescence: Its Psychology and Its Relations to Physiology, Anthropology, Sociology, Sex, Crime, Religion and Education*. New York: Appleton.
- Heffernan, V., and T. Zeller. 2006. “‘Lonely Girl’ (and Friends) Just Wanted Movie Deal.” *New York Times*, September 12. Retrieved June 10, 2007, from <http://www.nytimes.com/2006/09/12/technology/12cnd-lonely.html?ex=1315713600&en=abf28fc073b3c6e9&ei=5088&partner=rssnyt&emc=rss>.
- Huffaker, D. 2006. “Teen Blogs Exposed: The Private Lives of Teens Made Public.” Paper presented at the meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, St. Louis, Missouri, February 16–19.
- Huizinga, J. 1955. *Homo Ludens: A Study of the Play-Element in Culture*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Ito, J. 2004. *Weblogs and Emergent Democracy*. Twenty-first Chaos Communication Congress. Retrieved April 16, 2009, from [http://90.146.8.18/en/archiv\\_files/20041/FE\\_2004\\_joichiito\\_en.pdf](http://90.146.8.18/en/archiv_files/20041/FE_2004_joichiito_en.pdf).
- Jenkins, H. 2006a. *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. New York: New York University Press.
- Jenkins, H. 2006b. *Fans, Bloggers, and Gamers: Media Consumers in a Digital Age*. New York: New York University Press.
- Jenkins, H., K. Clinton, R. Purushotma, A. J. Robison, and M. Weigel. 2006. *Confronting the Challenges of Participatory Culture: Media Education for the Twenty-first Century*. MacArthur Foundation. Retrieved April 26, 2007, from <http://www.digitalllearning.macfound.org/site/c.enJLKQNI FiG/b,2108773,0240-4714>.



- Johnson, Steven. 2005. *Everything Bad Is Good for You*. New York: Riverhead Books.
- Josephson Institute of Ethics. 2006. *2006 Report Card on American Youth*. Press release. Retrieved May 29, 2007, from [http://www.josephsoninstitute.org/pdf/ReportCard\\_press-release\\_2006-1015.pdf](http://www.josephsoninstitute.org/pdf/ReportCard_press-release_2006-1015.pdf).
- Keen, A. 2007. "Can We Save the Internet? The WWW Is a Scary Introduction to Primeval Man." May 29. [http://www.jewcy.com/dialogue/2007-05-29/can\\_the\\_internet\\_be\\_saved](http://www.jewcy.com/dialogue/2007-05-29/can_the_internet_be_saved).
- Kegan, R. 1994. *In Over Our Heads: The Mental Demands of Modern Life*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Kohlberg, L. 1981. *Essays on Moral Development*. San Francisco: Harper and Row.
- Lembo, R. 2000. *Thinking through Television*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lenhart, A., and M. Madden. 2005. *Teen Content Creators and Consumers*. Pew Internet and American Life Project. November 2. Retrieved June 10, 2007, from [http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP\\_Teens\\_Content\\_Creation.pdf](http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Teens_Content_Creation.pdf).
- Lenhart, A., and M. Madden. 2007. *Social Networking Websites and Teens*. Pew Internet and American Life Project. January 7. Retrieved June 10, 2007, from [http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP\\_SNS\\_Data\\_Memo\\_Jan\\_2007.pdf](http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_SNS_Data_Memo_Jan_2007.pdf).
- Lessig, L. 2004. *Free Culture: How Big Media Uses Technology and the Law to Lock Down Culture and Control Creativity*. New York: Penguin Press.
- Lévy, Pierre. 1999. *Collective Intelligence: Mankind's Emerging World in Cyberspace*. Trans. Robert Bonomo. New York: Basic Books.
- Livingstone, S. M. 2002. *Young People and New Media: Childhood and the Changing Media Environment*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- "Lonelygirl15." 2007. Wikipedia. Retrieved June 10, 2007, from <http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Lonelygirl15&oldid=137300907>.
- Lynn, R. 2007. "Virtual Rape Is Traumatic, but Is It a Crime?" *Wired*, May 4. Retrieved June 20, 2007, from [http://www.wired.com/culture/lifestyle/commentary/sexdrive/2007/05/sexdrive\\_0504](http://www.wired.com/culture/lifestyle/commentary/sexdrive/2007/05/sexdrive_0504).
- Madden, M., S. Fox, A. Smith, and J. Vitak. 2007. *Digital Footprints: Online Identity Management and Search in the Age of Transparency*. Pew Internet and American Life Project. Retrieved December 17, 2007, from [http://www.pewintern.org/jpdfds/PIP\\_Digital\\_Footprints.pdf](http://www.pewintern.org/jpdfds/PIP_Digital_Footprints.pdf).
- Markus, H., and P. Nurius. 1986. "Possible Selves." *American Psychologist* 41, no. 9: 954–969.
- Martin, S., and K. Oppenheim. 2007. "Video Gaming: General and Pathological Use." *Trends and Tudes* 6, no. 3: 1–6.
- Mead, G. H. 1934. *Mind, Self, and Society, from the Standpoint of a Social Behaviorist*. Chicago: University of Chicago.
- Moore, J. F. 2003. *The Second Superpower Rears Its Beautiful Head*. Berkman Center for Internet and Society, Harvard University. Retrieved June 10, 2007, from <http://cypber.law.harvard.edu/people/jmoore/secondsuperpower.pdf>.
- Moser, J. 2007. "So, How Am I Doing?" *New York Times*, May 1. Retrieved May 3, 2007, from <http://thegraduates.blogs.nytimes.com/2007/05/01/so-hoow-am-i-doing/?ex=1178769600&en=9d6fde2b6fbc5cbb&ei=5070&emc=eta1>.
- Moshman, D. 2005. *Adolescent Psychological Development: Rationality, Morality, and Identity* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- MySpace. 2007. "MySpace Terms of Service." Retrieved June 18, 2007, from <http://www.myspace.com/index.cfm?fuseaction=misc.terms>.
- O'Reilly, T. 2005. "What Is Web 2.0? Design Patterns and Business Models for the Next Generation of Software." September 30. <http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/a/oreilly/tim/news/2005/09/30/what-is-web-20.html>.

- Pettingill, L. 2007. *Engagement 2.0? How the New Digital Media Can Invigorate Civic Engagement*. GoodWork Project Paper Series, No. 50. Retrieved November 17, 2007, from [http://www.pz.harvard.edu/ebookstore/detail.cfm?pub\\_id=391](http://www.pz.harvard.edu/ebookstore/detail.cfm?pub_id=391).
- Pilkington, E. 2007. "Howls of Protest as Web Gurus Attempt to Banish Bad Behaviour from Blogosphere." *The Guardian*, April 10. Retrieved June 10, 2007, from <http://technology.guardian.co.uk/news/story/0,,2053278,00.html>.
- Postigo, H. 2003. "From Pong to Planet Quake: Post-industrial Transitions from Leisure to Work." *Information, Communication, and Society* 6, no. 4: 593–607.
- Prensky, M. 2001. "Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants." *On the Horizon* 9, no. 5: 1–5.
- Putnam, R. 2000. *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Putter, J. 2006. "Copyright Infringement V. Academic Freedom on the Internet: Dealing with Infringing Use of Peer to Peer Technology on Campus Networks." *Journal of Law and Policy* 14: 419–470.
- Radway, J. 1985. *Reading the Romance: Women, Patriarchy, and Popular Culture*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina.
- Rainie, L., and B. Tancer. 2007. *Wikipedia Users*. Pew Internet and American Life Project. April 24. Retrieved June 10, 2007, from [http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIPP\\_Wikipedia07.pdf](http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIPP_Wikipedia07.pdf).
- Rundle, M., and C. Conley. 2007. *Ethical Implications of Emerging Technologies: A Survey*. Paris: UNESCO.
- Saslow, E. 2007. "Teen Tests Internet's Lewd Track Record." *Washington Post*, May 29, A01.
- Schwartz, S. J. 2001. "The Evolution of Eriksonian and Neo-Eriksonian Identity Theory and Research: A Review and Integration." *Identity* 1, no. 1: 7–58.

- Shaffer, D. 2006. *How Computer Games Help Children Learn*. New York: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Silverstone, R. 2007. *Media and Morality: On the Rise of the Mediapolis*. Cambridge, MA: Polity Press.
- Stern, S. 2007. "Producing Sites, Exploring Identities: Youth Online Authorship." In *Youth, Identity and Digital Media*, ed. D. Buckingham, 95–117. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Sunstein, C. R. 2007. *Republic.com 2.0*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Swains, H. 2007. "Dying for Attention: Why People Are Killing Themselves Online." *Columbia News Service*. February 27. Retrieved April 16, 2009, from <http://jscms.jrn.columbia.edu/cns/2007-02-27/swains-fakingdeath>.
- Thompson, E. P. 1971. "The Moral Economy of the English Crowd in the Eighteenth Century." *Past and Present* 50: 76–136.
- Turiel, E. 2006. "The Development of Morality." In *Handbook of Child Psychology*, Vol. 3, *Social, Emotional, and Personality Development*, ed. W. Damon and R. M. Lerner, 789–857. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Turkle, S. 1995. *Life on the Screen: Identity in the Age of the Internet*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Turkle, S. 1999. "Cyberspace and Identity." *Contemporary Sociology* 28, no. 6: 643–648.
- Turkle, S. 2004. "Whither Psychoanalysis in Computer Culture?" *Psychoanalytic Psychology: Journal of the Division of Psychoanalysis* 21 (Winter): 16–30.
- Turkle, S. 2008. "Always-on/Always-on-You: The Tethered Self." In *Handbook of Mobile Communications and Social Change*, ed. J. Katz, 121–138. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Valentine, G., and S. Holloway. 2002. "Cyberkids? Exploring Children's Identities and Social Networks in On-line and Off-line Worlds." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 92, no. 2: 302–319.

Vatican. 2002. "Ethics in Internet." Retrieved April 16, 2009, from [http://www.vatican.va/roman\\_curia/pontifical\\_councils/pccs/documents/rc\\_pc\\_pccs\\_doc\\_20020228\\_ethics-internet\\_en.html](http://www.vatican.va/roman_curia/pontifical_councils/pccs/documents/rc_pc_pccs_doc_20020228_ethics-internet_en.html).

Warren, S. D., and L. D. Brandeis. 1890. "The Right to Privacy." *Harvard Law Review* 4: 193–223.

Weber, S. 2006. "Sandra Weber Thinks That 'Public' Is Young People's New 'Private.'" MacArthur Spotlight blog, December 12. Retrieved December 14, 2006, from [http://spotlight.macfound.org/main/entry/Sandra\\_weber\\_public\\_young\\_people\\_private](http://spotlight.macfound.org/main/entry/Sandra_weber_public_young_people_private).

Williams, R. 1974. *Television: Technology and Cultural Form*. London: Routledge.

Woo, J. 2006. "The Right Not to Be Identified: Privacy and Anonymity in the Interactive Media Environment." *New Media and Society* 8, no. 6: 949–967.

YouTube. 2007. "Terms of Service." Retrieved June 20, 2007, from <http://www.youtube.com/t/terms>.

Zaslow, J. 2007. "The Most-Praised Generation Goes to Work." *Wall Street Journal* (Eastern ed.), April 20, W1.