

deborah lynn guber

the  
**grassroots**  
of a **green revolution**

**polling america on the environment**

---

# The Grassroots of a Green Revolution



---

# The Grassroots of a Green Revolution

## Polling America on the Environment

Deborah Lynn Guber

The MIT Press  
Cambridge, Massachusetts  
London, England

© 2003 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or information storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from the publisher.

This book was set in Sabon on 3B2 by Asco Typesetters, Hong Kong.  
Printed on recycled paper and bound in the United States of America.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Guber, Deborah Lynn.

The grassroots of green revolution : polling America on the environment /  
Deborah Lynn Guber.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-262-07238-6 (hc. : alk. paper) — ISBN 0-262-57160-9 (pbk. : alk.  
paper)

1. Environmentalism—United States—Public opinion—History—20th  
century. 2. Environmental protection—United States—Public opinion—  
History—20th century. 3. Public opinion—United States. I. Title.

GE197 .G84 2003

363.7'0525—dc21

2002070329

For my parents,  
for reasons more than words can say.

And for my nephew Ethan,  
who reminds me  
so much of his mother.



---

# Contents

Acknowledgments	ix
Introduction: Environmental Concern and the Politics of Consensus	1
<b>I Attitudes</b>	<b>17</b>
1 Direction: Do Americans Favor Environmental Protection?	19
2 Strength: How Deep Is Public Commitment to the Environment?	37
3 Stability: Have Environmental Attitudes Changed over Time?	57
4 Distribution: Is Environmentalism Elitist?	71
5 Constraint: Are Environmental Attitudes Inconsistent?	89
<b>II Behavior</b>	<b>103</b>
6 The Ballot Box I: Issue Voting and the Environment in Presidential Elections	105
7 The Ballot Box II: Environmental Voting on Statewide Ballot Propositions	125
8 The Marketplace: Motivating the Citizen-Consumer	153
Conclusion: Rethinking Environmentalism	175
Appendix: A Note on Data Sources	189
Notes	205
References	245
Index	273





---

## Acknowledgments

As with almost any project that demands a level of dedication best measured in years, the evolution of this book has followed a long and circuitous path. While I was a graduate student at Yale University in the mid-1990s, a project that was conceived of initially as a seminar paper quickly grew into a series of conference presentations and finally into a doctoral dissertation. With the invaluable input of academic advisors, panel discussants, anonymous manuscript referees, faculty colleagues, and students, that work eventually matured into a series of articles published in scholarly journals, which were transformed yet again with fresh data and better ideas into what appears on paper here. Any remaining errors are, of course, mine alone.

I laud the efforts of Clay Morgan, Sara Meirowitz, and Deborah Cantor-Adams at the MIT Press. Their collective and keen attention to detail has improved this manuscript in a myriad of ways. I am indebted to Riley E. Dunlap and Christopher J. Bosso for their detailed comments and enthusiastic suggestions. Without their guidance this project would be far less satisfying. I am grateful also to my colleagues at the University of Vermont for creating an atmosphere in which I have felt challenged intellectually and welcomed personally. In particular, I would like to thank Candace Smith and Carol Tank-Day for their gracious administrative support; Howard Ball, Phillip Cooper, and Robert Taylor for their willingness to read my manuscript while it was in various stages of disorder; Caroline Beer, for her methodological and statistical savvy; Frank Bryan for his lightning wit and boundless wisdom; Gregory Gause for countless hours of advice, much of which (to my discredit) I did not

follow; and Robert Kaufman, who likes to think of himself as my tormentor but who is in secret one of the nicest men I know.

I would also like to recognize those teachers who have inspired me throughout the years to think hard and work harder and who have been mentors in the truest sense of the word, including John Salamone, Donald C. Baumer, Roger T. Kaufman, Catherine Rudder, Donald P. Green, John P. Wargo, and Sarah McMahan. And finally, I am grateful beyond words to family and friends who persevered alongside me in this journey, most especially to those two who did not live to see its end. By supporting me always with great forbearance and a healthy sense of humor, this book is in many ways yours as well as mine.

---

# The Grassroots of a Green Revolution



## Introduction

---

# Environmental Concern and the Politics of Consensus

In the main, the notion of consensus has sprung from the inventive minds of theorists untainted by acquaintance with mass attitudes.

—V. O. Key, Jr.<sup>1</sup>

In the generation that has passed since the first Earth Day in 1970, environmentalism has become woven into the fabric of American life. Concern for environmental quality has spawned extraordinary changes in how we think, work, and recreate, in what we buy, and how we govern. Words like “ecology,” “acid rain” and “global warming” have become common in the lexicon of our language, sorting newspapers, bottles, and cans into bins a daily household ritual. Indeed, society has been so altered by environmentalism it is easy to overlook the distance of thirty years, backwards in time to the nascent social movement that was, and forward again to the mature evolution of science and law it has become.

Today, environmentalism is a part of our popular culture and a reflection of modern sensibility, reinforced by what we read, see, and hear in books and magazines, on television, and at the movie theater. Our collective consciousness has been raised by the writings of those Charles Rubin calls “popularizers,” including Rachel Carson, Barry Commoner, Paul Ehrlich, and the Club of Rome.<sup>2</sup> The authors of children’s books promote environmental themes,<sup>3</sup> product placements on popular television shows send subtle environmental cues,<sup>4</sup> motion pictures like *Erin Brockovich* and *A Civil Action* remind us of what we fear and who we should blame.<sup>5</sup> As one newspaper columnist wryly observed, “Motherhood and apple pie, baseball and the flag—all may be subjects of controversy. But the environment is almost beyond debate these days.”<sup>6</sup>

V. O. Key, arguably the founding father of modern scholarship on public opinion, had a word for such a pattern. He called it (simply enough) *consensus*, although he also warned that it was a “nebulous term” with many meanings, full of uncertainty, with the potential to obscure as much as it illuminates.<sup>7</sup> For Key, however, identifying the presence or absence of consensus was only the first step, and a relatively straightforward one at that, at least in comparison to the true task of determining its impact on the political system. While he found that under some conditions overwhelming public agreement on an issue performed a “decisive” function, forcing change in existing policies and programs, at other times its influence was less pronounced. In some cases, he speculated, the function of consensus was merely “supportive” of government; on other occasions it was surprisingly “permissive,” allowing government to act largely without fear of popular dissent or electoral reprisal. In some ways, too, the appearance of consensus might even be “contrived” to suit political needs and purposes. The product of many different things, all consensus was not created equal.

Within that context, acknowledging that public attitudes on the environment approach consensus is clearly not enough. Given the need to translate words into action, to use the word *consensus* (even judiciously) reveals little about its long-term political impact, at least from the bottom up. On that note, confusion abounds. Since 1970, surveys have demonstrated widespread public concern for a growing list of environmental problems, including air and water pollution, nuclear power, energy conservation, deforestation, and urban sprawl. Public opinion polls likewise show that the environmental movement has earned the sympathetic support of a large majority of Americans, many of whom claim the label *environmentalist* as their own. But what do the numbers that underlie such research ultimately mean? Is environmentalism a shallow consensus likely to soften in the face of ambivalence, as American voters and consumers experience the costs of reform firsthand? Is it an enduring social concern or a fleeting political fashion subject to the nature-of-the-times as the economy shifts from prosperity into recession? Does growing support for environmental protection indicate a fundamental shift in American values and lifestyles, or is it merely an uncontroversial “motherhood” issue that engenders automatic support without personal commitment or lasting political consequences?

Satisfying answers are not immediately apparent—to environmentalists committed to translating public sympathy into political currency, politicians held responsible for answering constituent demands, scientists and policymakers frustrated by public misperceptions and misdirected fears, marketing executives charged with identifying and satisfying environmentally concerned consumers, or academics who continue to disagree. No one quite seems to know what to make of the environment.

### The State of the Movement

If the celebrations marking the thirtieth anniversary of Earth Day are any indication, the news for environmentalists is both good and bad. Environmental causes resonate with most Americans, to be sure—83 percent of those polled in an April 2000 Gallup poll readily agreed with the broadest goals of the environmental movement—but when asked to rate their own commitment to the cause, just 16 percent said they were “active participants,” while more than half admitted they were sympathetic but uninvolved.<sup>10</sup> These statistics run parallel with broader trends in declining membership among some national environmental organizations since 1990.<sup>9</sup>

Moreover, when asked to rate the seriousness of various problems on the national agenda in a comparative sense, respondents ranked the environment well behind other issues and concerns, including drug use, crime and violence, health care, and homelessness. If Americans are environmentalists, Hal Rothman suspects they are “half-hearted” ones, at best, and are unwilling to face difficult choices and altered lifestyles. In fact, he writes in *The Greening of a Nation?* (1998) that the contemporary environmental movement has become, ironically, a “victim of its own successes.” By finding appeal in popular culture, he warns, it has become too easy, to pay “lip service to the concepts of environmentalism without engaging in the behaviors necessary to turn concepts into action.”<sup>10</sup>

Motivating and sustaining the political activism of average Americans has been an uphill battle for the environmental movement from the start. Communicating the complex nature of environmental destruction to a lay public that is not expert in science and technology required “popularizers” like Rachel Carson and Barry Commoner to resort to stories



and simplifications. According to Charles Rubin, the unintended consequence of this approach was to create a “public taste” for grand tales of ecological disaster. Books like Carson’s *Silent Spring* (1962) and Commoner’s *The Closing Circle* (1971), he says, became

the intellectual equivalent of a gothic romance, with a large cast of characters, involuted relationships, and a lurking menace. But the public’s ability to appreciate the delicate balances and interrelationships of political and social structures has undergone a corresponding debasement, evident in rampant sloganeering, shameless emotionalism, and mindless panic and pessimism whenever “what is wrong with our society” comes under discussion. In this realm, only the crudest morality tales satisfy. Carson and Commoner have alerted us to matters that may well demand our attention. But they have done so at the cost of our ability to give that attention in a thoughtful way.<sup>11</sup>

In short, by downplaying environmental progress and by using exaggerated doomsday warnings to motivate public awareness and concern, the environmental movement has sacrificed its own credibility by giving in to the politics of chicken little.<sup>12</sup>

It is a common complaint among recent critics of the environmental movement, one voiced by Mark Dowie in *Losing Ground* (1995) and even more forcefully by Gregg Easterbrook in *A Moment on the Earth* (1995) and Bjorn Lomborg in *The Skeptical Environmentalist* (2001), but it is, in many ways, difficult to deny.<sup>13</sup> As David Brower, former executive director of the Sierra Club, remarked in an Earth Day 2000 interview: “All I’ve done in my career is slow the rate at which things get worse. Basically, that’s all the environmental movement has done during the past thirty years.”<sup>14</sup> Or as Donella Meadows, of *Limits to Growth* fame, recently wrote in her syndicated newspaper column: “If in the 30 Earth Day celebrations since 1970, the human population and economy have become any more respectful of the Earth, the Earth hasn’t noticed.” Ultimately, she too refuses to give in to the “die-hard optimists.”<sup>15</sup>

In the end, the occasion marked by both Brower and Meadows—the annual celebration of Earth Day—is a prime example of the fundamental tension between popularity and ideology.<sup>16</sup> While environmentalists continue to blame many of the earth’s problems on rampant overconsumption, organizers of recent Earth Day events were nonetheless quick to offer T-shirts, tote bags, coffee mugs, solar calculators, and hemp backpacks for sale to an appreciative audience, the gross sum of

which prompted some observers to complain that Earth Day had become little more than a commercial occasion, overrun by “vacuous celebrities.”<sup>17</sup> In the past, too, others have noted the hypocrisy of allowing polluters the privilege of “greenwashing” their records by signing on as corporate sponsors of Earth Day events.<sup>18</sup> Following Earth Day 1990 in New York City, during which two hundred thousand people gathered in Central Park, creating almost forty-five tons of garbage, Rothman complained, “It is entirely possible that the planet might have been better off if they had just stayed home.”<sup>19</sup>

### The Political Arena

If environmentalists have struggled in a public relations war, politicians have not fared much better in gauging their voting leeway on an issue marked by a combination of chronic low salience and high issue support.<sup>20</sup> It was low salience that led the Reagan administration in the early 1980s to assume that the public would be willing to back away from strict environmental regulations to revitalize the economy. Yet environmental concern and public furor soon galvanized over political appointees such as James Watt and Anne Burford, eventually forcing Reagan to change course by substituting administrators more sensitive to environmental causes.

After a sustained period of public outrage, however, the environment failed to materialize as a significant issue during Reagan’s campaign for reelection in 1984. Looking at his meager environmental record, his unrivaled attention to deregulation and economic growth, and the lingering controversy over his appointments of Watt and Burford, many environmentalists believed the Reagan record would lead to political liability at the ballot box.<sup>21</sup> Even though polls suggested that voters were both aware and disapproving of Reagan’s record on the environment, in the end the issue had little effect on his political success.<sup>22</sup>

Nearly every politician on the national scene has had to contend with the public’s mixed signals on the environment ever since. Campaigning for president in 1988, Republican candidate George Bush pledged to be an “environmental president” in the grand tradition of Teddy Roosevelt, and yet during an economic slump just four years later Bush seemed to

reverse course, insisting instead that it was “time to put people ahead of owls.”<sup>23</sup> Even Al Gore, whose strong environmental convictions made him an attractive running mate for Democrats in 1992, has since turned cautious, tempering his environmental views during his own quest for the White House during the 2000 presidential campaign.<sup>24</sup> As *The Economist* noted: “Despite his talk of bold measures and radical solutions . . . what he offers is virtue without sacrifice. As a political programme this is hard to beat,” but it does little to help the environment.<sup>25</sup>

Gore’s political dithering notwithstanding, Republicans have traditionally faced the most significant ideological challenge on environmental issues.<sup>26</sup> An overwhelming number of Americans favor environmental protection through government intervention in the market economy, a principle resisted by fiscal conservatives. At the same time, however, “a strong backlash” has developed against environmental regulations that are viewed as “intrusive, bureaucratic, and overly protective,” opening to the door to an odd triangulation.<sup>27</sup> Following a Republican sweep in Congress in 1994, the new partisan majority was quick to propose cuts in the budget for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and amendments that would have weakened the Clean Water Act and the Endangered Species Act. Republicans moved to safeguard private property rights, close parts of the national park system, and increase oil drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Yet under pressure not long after, House Speaker Newt Gingrich (Republican-Georgia) sought a rapid public relations retreat, admitting that Republican proposals were in fact “strategically out of position on the environment.”<sup>28</sup>

## Understanding Risk

Confusion and frustration over the political impact of environmental concern extends well beyond the strategies used by candidates to win elections. Given the need to justify federal regulatory decisions on the basis of science, it also includes the growing field of risk communication. “Any one of us might be harmed by almost anything,” writes Stephen Breyer in *Breaking the Vicious Circle* (1993)—“a rotten apple, a broken sidewalk, an untied shoelace, a splash of grapefruit juice, a dishonest lawyer.”<sup>29</sup> It is, of course, the responsibility of government (and by ex-

tension the experts they employ) to decide which of those multitude of risks merit regulation and which do not. According to Breyer, however, several factors intrude on our ability to rank those risks wisely, not the least of which involve public misperceptions and exaggerated fears, factors he says “impede rational understanding.”<sup>30</sup>

Scholars have long recognized that public perceptions of risk often collide with what experts judge to be objective probabilities of harm. While scientists cite motor vehicle use, smoking, and alcohol consumption as three of the riskiest activities of modern life, lay people instead believe nuclear power to top that list, often underestimating fatalities caused by less “dramatic” accidents and diseases, while overemphasizing the magnitude of danger to be found in new technologies.<sup>31</sup>

The same gap between the concerns of average Americans and those of policymakers can be found across a wide range of environmental problems. While environmentalists promote global warming, ozone depletion, deforestation, and loss of habitat for endangered wildlife, respondents in public opinion polls are far more likely to worry about mainstay issues like air and water pollution. As Jonathan Rauch, a columnist for the *National Journal*, recently observed: “The public’s priorities almost perfectly invert the environmental movement’s priorities. Perversely, the aspirations of Gore-era environmentalism are now blocked by the public’s commitment to Nixon-era environmentalism.”<sup>32</sup>

In the end, this disjunction has significant consequences for policymakers. According to Breyer, without better risk communication from the top down, public attitudes toward environmental risks often remain stubborn and unyielding, warping political priorities and pressuring scarce resources of time and money into all the wrong places.<sup>33</sup>

### In Search of the Green Consumer

While environmentalists measure support for the environment using membership rolls, politicians rely on votes, and scientific experts depend on public trust, corporate America has hoped to translate rising environmental concern into increased sales in the marketplace. Driven by the desire of American businesses to exploit consumer demand, great effort over the past two decades has been spent on identifying and targeting

the “ecologically concerned consumer.” Despite what some environmentalists feel is an obvious oxymoron, today’s eco-market offers an unusually diverse collection of products and services—from phosphate-free detergents and recycled paper products, to electric cars, environmentally responsible mutual funds, solar mosquito repellents, and herbal flea collars.<sup>34</sup>

The results have been decidedly mixed, despite the involvement of visible corporate giants like Proctor & Gamble, Wal-Mart, and McDonald’s. While most studies find that deep commitment to the environment is concentrated in the hands of a privileged few—ranging from 5 to 25 percent of the U.S. population, depending on the stringency of the criteria used<sup>35</sup>—public willingness to purchase certain environmentally friendly products runs surprisingly deep, even at slightly higher cost. A 1992 survey, for example, found that nearly three-quarters of consumers were “at least sometimes” influenced by environmental claims in the marketplace, and most appeared willing to pay at least 5 percent more for products known to be environmentally safe.<sup>36</sup>

While some have welcomed environmentalism as “the political, economic, and social trend of the ’90s,” others suspect that when pressed Americans fail to put their money where their mouth is.<sup>37</sup> For example, despite evidence that many Americans prefer and indeed are willing (when asked in surveys) to pay a premium price for environmentally safe products, Universal Product Code (UPC) scanner data and panel studies that trace actual buying behavior often paint a more lackluster picture. The “Study of Media and Markets” by Simmons Market Research Bureau finds that the products that consumers purchase “most often” (such as aerosol sprays and radial tires) are frequently at odds with their environmental preferences and their stated willingness to purchase substitutes.<sup>38</sup> As one observer put it, environmental concern alone does not always “make the cash register ring.”<sup>39</sup>

### **Getting It Right**

The four brief vignettes presented above crisscross a wide range of experiences and disciplines, and yet all illustrate the importance of

achieving a better understanding of public opinion on environmental issues.

First and foremost, to study the success of the environmental movement from the bottom up means confronting a movement that is, paradoxically, both strong and weak. Widespread and well-meaning public concern at the grassroots level has become one of the most impressive findings in recent survey research, and yet it is a resource environmentalists have been unable (or unwilling) to capitalize on fully. On the one hand, the discomfort some environmentalists feel toward the ideological impurity of their rank and file leads, at times, to evangelical and exclusionary rhetoric. On the other, motivating public outrage by instilling fear seems a short-sighted solution to a long-range problem, one that ultimately risks the credibility of the movement itself and leaves sympathetic supporters feeling demoralized about the insignificance of their efforts.

As Matt Ridley and Bobbi Low remind us, “At the center of all environmentalism lies a problem: whether to appeal to the heart or to the head—whether to urge people to make sacrifices on behalf of the planet or to accept that they will not, and instead rig the economic choices so that they find it rational” to behave responsibly in any event.<sup>40</sup> Environmentalists, they believe, will never achieve their goals simply by occupying the moral high ground. If they are to motivate and mobilize latent support among average Americans, environmentalists need to become more proficient at communicating with the public by first understanding the root of its concerns.<sup>41</sup>

Second, politicians unsure of whether to court, fear, or ignore the “green” vote would also do well to scrutinize the factors that underlie public attitudes toward the environment. As politicians from both sides of the political fence learn to embrace the environmental issue as their own, the ability to harness latent public concern seems likely to become an increasingly important political and electoral skill, creating, in Christopher Bosso’s words, “opportunities for leadership that may or may not be exploited.”<sup>42</sup> While motivating environmentally conscious voters at the ballot box may not be easy or fail-safe, it is surely a strategy worth research and investigation, especially in close races, where victory is won at the margins.

On other political fronts, as the arena of science and policymaking becomes ever more democratic, the need for effective risk communication between experts and the lay public likewise becomes critical. Faced with a public that is unable to distinguish good science from “junk science,” the political process designed to mediate between both sources of information is frequently plagued by uncertainty and distrust. As Breyer notes, “To change public reaction, one would either have to institute widespread public education in risk analysis or generate greater public trust in some particular group of experts or the institutions that employ them.”<sup>43</sup> But to achieve either goal requires a firm understanding of how attitudes develop and how they adapt in response to new knowledge and information.

Finally, understanding environmental attitudes as a stimulus to marketplace behavior is clearly important to Madison Avenue, where advertisers struggle to hone and refine their environmental messages on product labels. With a number of visible false starts and missteps, corporations looking to expand their markets further need to understand more than narrow consumer preferences. Given that many environmental issues span the chasm between public and private, blurring lines of distinction between citizen and consumer, political attitudes toward the environment will likely become important in understanding individual economic decisions.

### The Academic Divide

Given increased attention and a continued state of controversy, it might seem reasonable to assume that in the academic disciplines considerable progress already has been made toward understanding the origins and importance of public opinion on environmental issues. “Instead,” as Kent Van Liere and Riley Dunlap deplore, even on relatively simple questions, such as the social and demographic bases of environmental concern, “one finds considerable dissensus with respect to both the evidence itself and its interpretation.”<sup>44</sup>

For instance, in *Progress and Privilege: America in the Age of Environmentalism* (1982), William Tucker insists that “At heart,

environmentalism favors the affluent over the poor, the haves over the have-nots.”<sup>45</sup> Yet Mark Sagoff disagrees, despite a lingering misperception, and argues that environmentalism “serves as a common rallying ground for groups usually thought to be at odds with one another: educated professionals and the lower middle class; affluent suburbanites and inhabitants of small towns in the American heartland.”<sup>46</sup> As such, he adds, environmentalism represents an entirely new breed of populism. Strengthened by cross-cutting cleavages, it is a movement centered not on elitist principles but rather around a sense of community and the integrity of place.

Other disagreements continue to be fought in the pages of scholarly books and journals as well. A few examples illustrate the point:

- David Gelernter contends that “There is no such thing on the political scene as an ‘anti-environmentalist,’ no cogent intellectual position by that name,”<sup>47</sup> and yet Jacqueline Vaughn Switzer charts a growing ideological challenge from the grassroots—a resentment and distrust of political and environmental leaders that she believes amounts to an effective “green backlash.”<sup>48</sup>
- Anthropologists Willett Kempton, James Boster, and Jennifer Hartley believe that environmental activists “have more or less the same beliefs as other Americans,” despite media stereotypes to the contrary.<sup>49</sup> Richard Ellis and Fred Thompson, however, disagree. They find that “Americans do not behave more like environmental activists because culturally they are quite unlike them.” To suppose otherwise, they say, is to “miss the rival value systems that undergird environmental policy debates.”<sup>50</sup>
- Laura Lake comments on the “depth and longevity of the environmental mandate,”<sup>51</sup> and yet polling expert William Schneider speculates that “because the consensus is so broad, it is not likely to have much impact on politics.”<sup>52</sup> Like Lake, Riley Dunlap insists that success on key environmental initiatives and referendums proves that Americans are willing to take a stand on environmental issues, especially when elected representatives fail to do so,<sup>53</sup> but in *Earth Rising* (2000) Philip Shabecoff notes that the environment has been a “minor, rather ineffectual player in the electoral process.”<sup>54</sup>



In short, despite a growing field of academic expertise spanning politics, economics and the sciences, few uncontested answers have emerged to a ever growing laundry list of questions.

### **An Overview of This Book**

“To speak with precision of public opinion,” said V. O. Key, is a task not unlike coming to grips with the Holy Ghost.<sup>55</sup> This book faces that challenge head on—by exploring the ironies, myths, inconsistencies, and tensions that characterize public thinking on environmental issues. To reach that goal, the essays that follow use original analyses of public opinion polls to break the problem into component parts—into those descriptive pieces Key referred to as “properties” or “qualities” of public opinion. Then, much like a jigsaw puzzle, the conclusion of this book reassembles those pieces back into a coherent whole, one that ultimately weighs the significance of environmental concern in the arena of U.S. politics and policy and provides some pragmatic advice for decision makers. It proceeds in the following order.

#### **Direction**

Chapter 1 opens with the deceptively simple task of characterizing the direction of public attitudes on environmental issues. Surely we might expect clear answers and little variance here, since a preponderance of surveys demonstrate that almost “everybody is an environmentalist these days.”<sup>56</sup> But in the end, results are surprisingly complex, demonstrating that while environmental consensus exists on the idea of environmental protection, it does not always extend to the means used to achieve those goals. Conclusions here also reinforce the need to pay careful attention to potential biases embedded in survey questions and design, particularly in those polls sponsored by organizations with a vested interest in the outcome.

#### **Strength**

The direction of opinion is refined further by adding attitude strength into the mix in chapter 2. A crucial consideration to early scholars such as James Bryce and A. Lawrence Lowell, as well as to more modern

academics like V. O. Key, Howard Schuman, and Stanley Presser, the question of intensity, says Key, clearly “puts us on the trail of a significant aspect of the place of opinion in the governing process.”<sup>57</sup> Using measures of willingness-to-pay (WTP) as well as counterarguments and trade-offs that force respondents to consider the costs of achieving environmental goals relative to other priorities, survey data here largely confirm what scholars have suspected all along: Americans are quick to embrace environmental issues but reluctant to accept the consequences of their demands.

### **Stability**

To probe the limits of popular support further, chapter 3 tracks the aggregate stability of environmental attitudes over time, especially in the face of economic recession and rising energy costs. Here survey data are used to explore the conventional wisdom that public enthusiasm for the environment is transient and that it responds to trends in the business cycle, rising policy costs, media attention, or simple boredom as new issues rise to compete for scarce public attention.<sup>58</sup>

### **Distribution**

The distribution of public opinion on the environment among social and demographic groups is described in chapter 4, revisiting long-standing debates about the elitist character of the environmental movement and its key supporters.<sup>59</sup> Factors such as age, education, income, race, gender, and partisanship are all used in empirical models to explain variance in a variety of measures of environmental concern, ultimately with little effect. Making up in breadth what it lacks in depth, environmentalism on the surface appeals to nearly everyone.

### **Constraint**

Taken together, do all of these pieces suggest (at least in nascent stages) the development of a fundamentally new social paradigm or belief system—one that might grow in commitment with time and patience? Chapter 5 draws attention to that issue by examining the consistency of environmental attitudes—what Key called “interrelations of opinion.”<sup>60</sup> Scholars have long puzzled over low correlations between different

environmental measures used in surveys, with some suggesting that public attitudes on the environment are rather crude, disconnected, and narrowly focused.<sup>61</sup> Yet after developing a more sophisticated model to test the dimensionality of environmental concern, results presented in this chapter largely demonstrate the opposite. Although notably lacking in knowledge and sophistication, public opinion on the environment is surprisingly consistent and “constrained.”<sup>62</sup>

### **Behavior**

Part II of the book moves one step further toward understanding the real impact of consensus by exploring the link between public opinion and political behavior. Chapter 6 examines the impact of environmental attitudes on American political parties and their candidates. That focus is expanded in chapter 7 to include other electoral arenas and political forums, including statewide referendums and initiatives. Finally, chapter 8 examines the impact of environmental concern on consumer decisions in the marketplace. That Americans prefer activism on the consumer front is surprising to scholars, perhaps, but this pattern of behavior reveals much about the factors that motivate citizens to act in an environmentally responsible way.

“In its most uncomplicated form,” wrote Key, “‘consensus’ means an overwhelming public agreement upon a question of public policy.” Yet, he added, it is also a “magic word” full of the uncertainty of interpretation.<sup>63</sup> With high issue support but low intensity of belief, with broad appeal but narrow participation, and with consistent opinions nonetheless ungrounded by clear knowledge and understanding, the long-term success of the U.S. environmental movement from the bottom up remains unclear. Growing environmental consensus may force elected officials from both sides of the political fence into action, but it might also allow political leaders a considerable degree of latitude in designing environmental policies free from a watchful public eye. Sympathetic public support may invest the environmental movement with valuable political currency, but an environmental consensus based on broad symbolism alone might prove to be shallow and manipulable in the long run, endangering the legitimacy and political base of environmentalists who place too much faith in public mandates and grassroots support.

Ultimately, the importance of these lingering, unresolved issues ensure that the legacy of American environmentalism will be determined not only by the success of its legislative record but also by its ability to persuade average citizens to change their voting patterns, buying habits, and lifestyles. As Pogo the Possum once said in a famous cartoon: “We have met the enemy and he is us.” Whether or not those enemies have in fact become allies in the shadow of this “great environmental awakening” will be a matter debated throughout the coming pages.<sup>64</sup>



---

## References

- Achen, Christopher. 1975. Mass Political Attitudes and the Survey Response. *American Political Science Review*, 69: 1218–1231.
- Albrecht, Don, Gordon Bultena, Eric Hoiberg, and Peter Nowak. 1982. The New Environmental Paradigm Scale. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 13: 39–43.
- Albrecht, Stan L., and Armond L. Mauss. 1975. The Environment as a Social Problem. In Armond L. Mauss, ed., *Social Problems as Social Movements* (556–605). Philadelphia: Lippincott.
- Aldrich, John H., and Forrest D. Nelson. 1984. *Linear Probability, Logit, and Probit Models*. Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Aldrich, John H., John L. Sullivan, and Eugene Borgida. 1989. Foreign Affairs and Issue Voting: Do Presidential Candidates “Waltz before a Blind Audience?” *American Political Science Review*, 83(1): 123–141.
- Alexander, Charles P. 1992. Gunning for the Greens. *Time* (February 3): 50.
- Allan, Stuart, Barbara Adam, and Cynthia Carter, eds. 2000. *Environmental Risks and the Media*. New York: Routledge.
- Allen, Scott. 1992. High Supply, Low Demand Hurt Effort to Recycle. *Boston Globe*, August 30, 27.
- Allswang, John M. 1991. *California Initiatives and Referendums, 1912–1990: A Survey and Guide to the Research*. Los Angeles: Edmund G. “Pat” Brown Institute of Public Affairs, California State University.
- Alpert, Bruce. 1995. Voters Support Rules on Pollution; GOP Attacks Not Popular. *Times-Picayune*, July 24, A1.
- Anderson, Robert. 1991. Market Ecology Approach Hailed: Conservatives Support Incentives, Not Rules. *Seattle Times*, August 30, C7.
- Anthony, Carl. 1999. Race, Justice, and Sprawl. *Forum for Applied Research and Public Policy*, 24: 97.
- Ayres, Ed. 1999. The Worldwatch Report: Why Are We Not Astonished? *ENN News* (May 12). <[http://www.enn.com/enn-features-archive/1999/05/051299/worldwatch\\_3141.asp](http://www.enn.com/enn-features-archive/1999/05/051299/worldwatch_3141.asp)>.

- Baldassare, Mark, and Cheryl Katz. 1992. The Personal Threat of Environmental Problems as Predictor of Environmental Practices. *Environment and Behavior*, 24: 602–616.
- Baus, H. M., and W. B. Ross. 1968. *Politics Battle Plan*. New York: Macmillan Press.
- Beck, Paul Allen, and Thomas R. Dye. 1982. Sources of Public Opinion on Taxes: The Florida Case. *Journal of Politics*, 44: 172–182.
- Bedard, Paul. 1998. Vote Green: Democratic Tactics to Win Voters. *U.S. News & World Report* (October 19): 9.
- Begley, Sharon, Mary Hager, and Lynda Wright. 1990. The Selling of Earth Day. *Newsweek* (March 26): 60.
- Belden and Russonello. 1996. The Ecology Poll (USBELDEN96-ECOLOGY) [datafile]. February 29–March 12,  $n = 2,005$ . Washington, DC: Belden and Russonello, sponsored by Consultative Group on Biological Diversity.
- Bennett, Stephen Earl. 1973. Consistency among the Public's Social Welfare Policy Attitudes in the 1960s. *American Journal of Political Science*, 17: 544–570.
- Berelson, Bernard R., Paul F. Lazarsfeld, and William N. McPhee. 1954. *Voting: A Study of Opinion Formation in a Presidential Campaign*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Berger, Ida E., and Ruth M. Corbin. 1992. Perceived Consumer Effectiveness and Faith in Others as Moderators of Environmentally Responsible Behaviors. *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 11: 79–89.
- Berinsky, Adam, and Steven Rosenstone. 1996. Evaluation of Environmental Policy Items on the 1995 NES Pilot Study. *1995 Pilot Study Reports*. Ann Arbor, MI: National Election Studies. <<http://www.umich.edu/~nes/resources/psreport/abs/95a.htm>>.
- Bernstein, Sharon. 1992. Planet Hollywood: The Hits and Misses of Television's Environmental Activism. *Los Angeles Times*, February 16, 4.
- Berry, William D., and Mitchell S. Sanders. 2000. *Understanding Multivariate Research: A Primer for Beginning Social Scientists*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Bishop, George F., Alfred F. Tuchfarber, and Robert W. Oldendick. 1986. Opinions on Fictitious Issues: The Pressure to Answer Survey Questions. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 50: 240–250.
- Bishop, Richard. 1982. Option Value: An Exposition and Extension. *Land Economics*, 58: 1–15.
- Black, J. S. 1978. Attitudinal, Normative, and Economic Factors in Early Response to an Energy-Use Field Experiment. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- Blalock, Hubert M., Jr. 1965. Some Implications of Random Measurement Error for Causal Inferences. *American Journal of Sociology*, 71: 37–47.

- Blalock, Hubert M., Jr. 1969. Multiple Indicators and the Causal Approach to Measurement Error. *American Journal of Sociology*, 75: 264–272.
- Blalock, Hubert M., Jr. 1970. A Causal Approach to Nonrandom Measurement Errors. *American Political Science Review*, 64: 1099–1111.
- Blocker, T. Jean, and Douglas Lee Eckberg. 1989. Environmental Issues as Women's Issues: General Concerns and Local Hazards. *Social Science Quarterly*, 70(3): 586–593.
- Blocker, T. Jean, and Douglas Lee Eckberg. 1997. Gender and Environmentalism: Results from the 1993 General Social Survey. *Social Science Quarterly*, 78: 841–858.
- Bollen, Kenneth A. 1989. *Structural Equations with Latent Variables*. New York: Wiley.
- Bollen, Kenneth A., and J. Scott Long. 1993. *Testing Structural Equation Models*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Bone, Hugh A., and Robert C. Benedict. 1975. Perspectives on Direct Legislation: Washington State's Experience, 1914–1973. *Western Political Quarterly*, 28: 30–51.
- Bord, Richard J., and Robert E. O'Connor. 1997. The Gender Gap in Environmental Attitudes: The Case of Perceived Vulnerability to Risk. *Social Science Quarterly*, 78: 830–840.
- Borosage, Robert L., and Stanley B. Greenberg. 1997. Why Did Clinton Win? *The American Prospect*, no. 31: 17–21. <<http://epn.org/prospect/31/31-cnt.html>>.
- Bosso, Christopher J. 1994. After the Movement: Environmental Activism in the 1990s. In Norman J. Vig and Michael E. Kraft, eds., *Environmental Policy in the 1990s* (2nd ed., 31–50). Washington, DC: CQ Press.
- Boudreaux, Donald J., Roger E. Meiners, and Todd J. Zywicki. 1999. Talk Is Cheap: The Existence Value Fallacy. *Environmental Law*, 29: 765.
- Bowler, Shaun, and Todd Donovan. 1994. Economic Conditions and Voting on Ballot Propositions. *American Politics Quarterly*, 22(1): 27–40.
- Bowler, Shaun, Todd Donovan, and Trudi Happ. 1992. Ballot Propositions and Information Costs: Direct Democracy and the Fatigued Voter. *Western Political Quarterly*, 45: 559–568.
- Bowman, Karlyn. 1998. Polluted Polling on Global Warming. *AEI on the Issues* (March). <<http://www.aei.org/oti/oti8852.htm>>.
- Brady, Henry E., Sidney Verba, and Kay Lehman Scholzman. 1995. Beyond SES: A Resource Model of Political Participation. *American Political Science Review*, 89(2): 271–285.
- Bragdon, Peter, and Beth Donovan. 1990. Voters' Concerns Are Turning the Political Agenda Green. *Congressional Quarterly Weekly Report* (January 20): 186–187.



- Braile, Robert. 2000. Book Review: The Land That Could Be. *Boston Globe*, May 14, Books-3.
- Breyer, Stephen. 1993. *Breaking the Vicious Circle: Toward Effective Risk Regulation*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Broder, David. 1990. Beyond Folk Songs and Flowers. *Washington Post*, April 22, B7.
- Brodsky, David M., and Edward Thompson III. 1993. Ethos, Public Choice, and Referendum Voting. *Social Science Quarterly*, 74(2): 286–299.
- Brody, Charles J. 1984. Differences by Sex in Support for Nuclear Power. *Social Forces*, 63(1): 209–228.
- Brody, Richard A., and Benjamin I. Page. 1972. Comment: The Assessment of Issue Voting. *American Political Science Review*, 66: 450–458.
- Bryce, James. 1981. The Nature of Public Opinion. In Morris Janowitz and Paul M. Hirsch, eds., *Reader in Public Opinion and Mass Communication* (3rd ed., 3–9). New York: Free Press.
- Buchanan, James M. 1954. Individual Choice in Voting and the Market. *Journal of Political Economy*, 62: 334–343.
- Buchanan, James M., and Gordon Tullock. 1962. *The Calculus of Consent: Logical Foundations of Constitutional Democracy*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Bullard, Robert D. 1990. *Dumping in Dixie: Race, Class, and Environmental Quality*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Burns, Nancy, Donald R. Kinder, Steven J. Rosenstone, Virginia Sapiro, and the National Election Studies. 2001. *National Election Studies, 2000: Pre- / Post-Election Study* [dataset]. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies.
- Butler, David, and Austin Ranney, eds. 1978. *Referendums: A Comparative Study of Practice and Theory*. Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute.
- Butler, David, and Austin Ranney, eds. 1994. *Referendums around the World: The Growing Use of Direct Democracy*. Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute.
- Buttel, Frederick H. 1975. Class Conflict, Environmental Conflict, and the Environmental Movement: The Social Bases of Mass Environmental Beliefs, 1968–1974. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- Buttel, Frederick H. 1979. Age and Environmental Concern: A Multivariate Analysis. *Youth and Society*, 10: 237–256.
- Buttel, Frederick H., and William L. Flinn. 1976. Environmental Politics: The Structuring of Partisan and Ideological Cleavages in Mass Environmental Attitudes. *Sociological Quarterly*, 17: 477–490.
- Buttel, Frederick H., and William L. Flinn. 1978. The Politics of Environmental Concern: The Impacts of Party Identification and Political Ideology on Environmental Attitudes. *Environment and Behavior*, 10: 17–36.

- Buttel, Frederick H., and Donald E. Johnson. 1977. Dimensions of Environmental Concern: Factor Structure, Correlates Implications for Research. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 9: 49–64.
- Callahan, Deb. 2000. Environment Is “Sleeper” Issue of 2000 Campaign. *Environmental Network News* (March 9). <[http://www.enn.com/enn-features-archive/2000/03/03092000/callahan\\_10788.asp](http://www.enn.com/enn-features-archive/2000/03/03092000/callahan_10788.asp)>.
- Calvert, Jerry W. 1979. The Social and Ideological Bases of Support for Environmental Legislation: An Examination of Public Attitudes and Legislative Action. *Western Political Quarterly*, 32: 327–337.
- Cambridge Reports Research International. Various years. Omnibus Survey [datafile]. September 1991; September 1992; July 15–27, 1993; September 1993; July 1994,  $n = 1,250$ ; September 1994,  $n = 1,250$ . Cambridge, MA: Cambridge Reports Research International.
- Campbell, Angus, Philip E. Converse, Warren E. Miller, and Donald E. Stokes. 1960. *The American Voter*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Carlin, Alan, Paul F. Scodari, and Don H. Garner. 1992. Environmental Investments: The Cost of Cleaning Up. *Environment*, 34: 12–27.
- Carlson, Les, Stephen J. Grove, and Norman Kangun. 1993. A Content Analysis of Environmental Advertising Claims: A Matrix Method Approach. *Journal of Advertising*, 22(3): 27–39.
- Carmines, Edward G., and James A. Stimson. 1980. The Two Faces of Issue Voting. *American Political Science Review*, 74: 78–91.
- Carson, Patrick, and Julia Moulden. 1991. *Green Is Gold: Business Talking to Business about the Environmental Revolution*. Toronto: HarperBusiness.
- Carson, Rachel. 1962. *Silent Spring*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Casuso, Jorg. 1990. Black Tuesday for Big Green Backers. *Chicago Tribune*, November 11, 6.
- Catton, William R., and Riley E. Dunlap. 1978. Environmental Sociology: A New Paradigm. *American Sociologist*, 13: 41–49.
- CBS News/New York Times. 1997. Survey [datafile]. November 23–24,  $n = 953$ . New York: CBS and New York Times.
- Charlton Research Company. 1997. Research Poll [datafile]. November 17–20,  $n = 800$ . Washington, DC: Charlton Research.
- Choudhury, Askar H., Robert Hubata, and Robert D. St. Louis. 1999. Understanding Time-Series Regression Estimators. *American Statistician*, 53: 342.
- Citrin, Jack, Beth Reingold, and Donald P. Green. 1990. American Identity and the Politics of Ethnic Change. *Journal of Politics*, 52: 1124–1154.
- Citrin, Jack, Beth Reingold, Evelyn Walters, and Donald P. Green. 1990. The “Official English” Movement and the Symbolic Politics of Language in the United States. *Western Political Quarterly*, 43: 535–559.

- Coddington, Walter. 1993. *Environmental Marketing: Positive Strategies for Reaching the Green Consumer*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Commoner, Barry. 1971. *The Closing Circle: Nature, Man, and Technology*. New York: Knopf.
- Connelly, John, 1996. The Politics of the Environment. *Atlanta Journal and Constitution*, February 4, H1.
- Constantini, Edmond, and Kenneth Hanf. 1972. Environmental Concern and Lake Tahoe: A Study of Elite Perceptions, Backgrounds, and Attitudes. *Environment and Behavior*, 4: 209–242.
- Converse, Philip E. 1964. The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics. In D. E. Apter, ed., *Ideology and Discontent*. New York: Free Press.
- Conway, M. Margaret. 1991. *Political Participation in the United States* (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: Congressional Quarterly Press.
- Cooley, Richard, and Geoffrey Wandesforde-Smith, eds. 1970. *Congress and the Environment*. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Crosby, Lawrence A., James D. Gill, and James R. Taylor. 1981. Consumer/Voter Behavior in the Passage of the Michigan Container Law. *Journal of Marketing*, 45 (Spring): 19–32.
- Daley, Patrick, and Dan O'Neill. 1991. Sad Is Too Mild a Word: Press Coverage of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill. *Journal of Communication*, 41: 53.
- Darcy, R., and Ian McAllister. 1990. Ballot Position Effects. *Electoral Studies*, 9: 5–17.
- Davidson, Debra J., and William R. Freudenburg. 1996. Gender and Environmental Risk Concerns: A Review and Analysis of Available Research. *Environment and Behavior*, 28: 302–339.
- Dawson, Bill. 1996. Poll Shows Texans Wanting to Recycle. *Houston Chronicle*, January 3, 12.
- Deacon, Robert, and Perry Shapiro. 1975. Private Preference for Collective Goods Revealed through Voting on Referenda. *American Economic Review*, 65 (December): 943–955.
- deHaven-Smith, Lance. 1988. Environmental Belief Systems: Public Opinion on Land-Use Regulation in Florida. *Environment and Behavior*, 20: 176–199.
- deHaven-Smith, Lance. 1989. Toward a Communicative Theory of Environmental Opinion. *Environment and Behavior*, 21: 630–635.
- deHaven-Smith, Lance. 1991. *Environmental Concern in Florida and the Nation*. Gainesville: University of Florida Press.
- De Young, R. 1985–1986. Encouraging Environmentally Appropriate Behavior: The Role of Intrinsic Motivation. *Journal of Environmental Systems*, 15: 281–292.
- De Young, R. 1986. Some Psychological Aspects of Recycling: The Structure of Conservation Satisfactions. *Environment and Behavior*, 18: 435–449.

- Diekmann, Andreas, and Axel Franzen. 1999. The Wealth of Nations and Environmental Concern. *Environment and Behavior*, 31: 540.
- Dietz, Thomas, Paul C. Stern, and Gergory A. Guagnono. 1998. Social Structural and Social Psychological Bases of Environmental Concern. *Environment and Behavior*, 30: 450–481.
- Dillman, Don A., and James A. Christenson. 1972. The Public Value for Pollution Control. In William R. Burch, Jr., Neil H. Cheek, Jr., and Lee Taylor, eds., *Social Behavior, Natural Resources, and the Environment* (237–256). New York: Harper and Row.
- Douglas, Mary T., and Aaron Wildavsky. 1982. *Risk and Culture: An Essay on the Selection of Technical and Environmental Dangers*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Dowie, Mark. 1991–1992. American Environmentalism: A Movement Courting Irrelevance. *World Policy Journal*, 9(1): 67–92.
- Dowie, Mark. 1995. *Losing Ground: American Environmentalism at the Close of the Twentieth Century*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Downs, Anthony. 1957. *An Economic Theory of Democracy*. New York: Harper.
- Downs, Anthony. 1972. Up and Down with Ecology: The Issue-Attention Cycle. *The Public Interest*, 28 (Summer): 38–50.
- Drum, David. 1997. Product Placement Matures into Placement of Nonprofit Causes. *Variety*, 369 (November 17): S27–S28.
- Dumanoski, Dianne. 1992a. Advertising Blitz Erodes Support for Question 3. *Boston Globe*, October 24, 17.
- Dumanoski, Dianne. 1992b. Environment Not Gaining Ground during Campaign. *Boston Globe*, October 4, 1.
- Dunlap, Riley E. 1975. The Impact of Political Orientation on Environmental Attitudes and Action. *Environment and Behavior*, 7: 428–454.
- Dunlap, Riley E. 1987. Polls, Pollution, and Politics Revisited: Public Opinion on the Environment in the Reagan Era. *Environment*, 29 (July–August): 6–11, 32–37.
- Dunlap, Riley E. 1989. Public Opinion and Environmental Policy. In James P. Lester, ed., *Environmental Politics and Policy: Theories and Evidence* (87–135). Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Dunlap, Riley E. 1991a. Public Opinion in the 1980s: Clear Consensus, Ambiguous Commitment. *Environment*, 33(8): 10–15, 32–37.
- Dunlap, Riley E. 1991b. Trends in Public Opinion Toward Environmental Issues: 1965–1990. *Society and Natural Resources*, 4: 285–312.
- Dunlap, Riley E. 1998. Lay Perceptions of Global Risk: Public Views of Global Warming in Cross-National Context. *International Sociology*, 13: 473–498.

- Dunlap, Riley E. 2000. Americans Have Positive Image of the Environmental Movement. *Gallup Poll Monthly*, 415 (April): 19–25.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Michael Patrick Allen. 1976. Partisan Differences on Environmental Issues: A Congressional Roll-Call Analysis. *Western Political Quarterly*, 29: 384–397.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Curtis E. Beus. 1992. Understanding Public Concerns about Pesticides: An Empirical Examination. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 26: 418–438.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Don A. Dillman. 1976. Decline in Public Support for Environmental Protection: Evidence from a 1970–1974 Panel Study. *Rural Sociology*, 41: 382–390.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Richard Gale. 1974. Party Membership and Environmental Politics: A Legislative Roll-Call Analysis. *Social Science Quarterly*, 55: 670–690.
- Dunlap, Riley E., J. Keith Grieneeks, and Milton Rokeach. 1983. Human Values and Pro-Environmental Behavior. In W. David Conn, ed., *Energy and Material Resources: Attitudes, Values, and Public Policy* (145–168). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Angela G. Mertig. 1991. The Evolution of the U.S. Environmental Movement from 1970 to 1990: An Overview. *Society and Natural Resources*, 4: 209–218.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Angela G. Mertig. 1995. Global Concern for the Environment: Is Affluence a Prerequisite? *Journal of Social Issues*, 31: 121–137.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Rik Scarce. 1991. The Polls: Environmental Problems and Protection. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 55: 651–672.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Kent D. Van Liere. 1977. Further Evidence of Declining Public Concern with Environmental Problems: A Research Note. *Western Sociological Review*, 8: 108–112.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Kent D. Van Liere. 1978. The “New Environmental Paradigm.” *Journal of Environmental Education*, 4: 10–19.
- Dunlap, Riley E., and Kent D. Van Liere. 1984. Commitment to the Dominant Social Paradigm and Concern for Environmental Quality. *Social Science Quarterly*, 65(4): 1013–1028.
- Dunlap, Riley E., Kent D. Van Liere, and Don A. Dillman. 1979. Evidence of Decline in Public Concern with Environmental Quality: A Reply. *Rural Sociology*, 44: 204–212.
- Dunlap, Riley E., Kent D. Van Liere, Angela G. Mertig, and Robert Emmet Jones. 2000. Measuring Endorsement of the New Ecological Paradigm: A Revised NEP Scale. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56: 425–442.
- Durr, Robert H. 1993. What Moves Policy Sentiment? *American Political Science Review*, 87: 158–170.

- Dwyer, John F. 1980. Economic Benefits of Wildlife-Related Recreation Experiences. In William W. Shaw and Ervin H. Zube, eds., *Wildlife Values* (62–69). Tucson: Center for the Assessment of Noncommodity Natural Resource Values.
- Easterbrook, Gregg. 1995. *A Moment on the Earth: The Coming Age of Environmental Optimism*. New York: Viking.
- Easterbrook, Gregg. 1999. Suburban Myth. *New Republic* (March 15): 18.
- Eddy, Mark. 1998. Wilderness Expansion Backed; 80 percent Favor Land Protection in Colorado Poll. *Denver Post*, April 8, B6.
- Eddy, Mark. 1999. Loveland Sets Bar High for Recycling: “Pay as You Throw” Plan Gaining Fame. *Denver Post*, March 7, A17.
- Ellen, Pam Scholder, Joshua Lyle Wiener, and Cathy Cobb-Walgreen. 1991. The Role of Perceived Consumer Effectiveness in Motivating Environmentally Conscious Behaviors. *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 10(2): 102–117.
- Elliott, Euel, James L. Regens, and Barry J. Seldon. 1995. Exploring Variation in Public Support for Environmental Protection. *Social Science Quarterly*, 76: 41–52.
- Elliott, Euel, Barry J. Seldon, and James Regens. 1997. Political and Economic Determinants of Individuals’ Support for Environmental Spending. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 51: 15–27.
- Ellis, Richard J., and Fred Thompson. 1997. Culture and the Environment in the Pacific Northwest. *American Political Science Review*, 91: 885–897.
- Enelow, James M., and Melvin J. Hinich. 1984. *The Spatial Theory of Voting: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Environmental Protection: Is the Public Willing to Pay? 1995. *EPA Journal* (Winter): 15.
- Environmental Protection Agency. 1990. *Environmental Investments: The Cost of a Clean Environment*. Washington, DC: Environmental Protection Agency.
- Epstein, Tom. 1986. Campaign to Defeat Proposition 65. *Los Angeles Times*, November 27, II-6.
- Erikson, Robert S., Norman R. Luttbeg, and Kent L. Tedin. 1991. *American Public Opinion* (4th ed.). New York: Macmillan.
- Erskine, Hazel. 1972. The Polls: Pollution and Its Costs. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 35: 120–135.
- Etzioni, Amitai. 1988. *The Moral Dimension*. New York: Free Press.
- Fenly, Leigh. 1990. “New Books Tell Children about Environment and Their Future.” *San Diego Union-Tribune*, April 15, Books-5.
- Fenno, Richard F. 1978. *Home Style: House Members in Their Districts*. Boston: Little, Brown.
- Field Institute. 1986. Field (California) Poll [datafile]. July 24–August 4, 1986 (USCA 86-04),  $n = 1,028$ ; September 24–October 2, 1986,  $n = 1,023$  (USCA

- 86-05); October 29–October 30, 1986,  $n = 701$  (USCA 86-06). San Francisco: Field Institute, archived at University of California and at Roper Research Center.
- Finger, Matthias. 1994. From Knowledge to Action? Exploring the Relationships between Environmental Experiences, Learning, and Behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 50: 141–160.
- Firebaugh, Glenn, and Kenneth E. Davis. 1988. Trends in Anti-Black Prejudice, 1972–1984: Region and Cohort Effects. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94: 251–272.
- Fischer, David W. 1975. Willingness to Pay as a Behavioral Criterion for Environmental Decision-making. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 3: 29–41.
- Fishbein, Martin, and Icek Ajzen. 1975. *Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Fried, Amy. 1998. U.S. Environmental Interest Groups and the Promotion of Environmental Values: The Resounding Success and Failure of Earth Day. *Environmental Politics*, 7: 1–2.
- Friedman, Monroe. 1995. On Promoting a Sustainable Future through Consumer Activism. *Journal of Social Issues*, 51: 197–215.
- Funkhouser, G. R. 1973. The Issues of the Sixties: An Exploratory Study in the Dynamics of Public Opinion. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 33: 62–75.
- Furman, Andrzej, and Oguz Erdur. 1999. Are Good Citizens Environmentalists? *Human Ecology: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 27: 181–188.
- Gallup Organization. Various years. The Gallup Poll [datafile]. April 5–8, 1990,  $n = 1,223$ ; April 3–9, 2000,  $n = 1,004$ ; May 23–24, 2000,  $n = 1,032$ ; March 5–7, 2001,  $n = 1,060$ . Princeton, NJ: Gallup.
- Garland, Susan B. 1996. Come Winter, a Greener Hill? *Business Week* (April 15): 66.
- Gelernter, David. 1994. The Immorality of Environmentalism. *City Journal* (Autumn): 14.
- Geller, E. Scott, Richard A. Winett, and Peter B. Everett. 1982. *Preserving the Environment: Strategies for Behavioral Change*. New York: Pergamon.
- Geller, Jack M., and Paul Lasley. 1985. The New Environmental Paradigm Scale: A Reexamination. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 10: 9–12.
- Gerlak, Andrea K., and Susan M. Natali. 1993. *Taking the Initiative, II*. Washington, DC: Americans for the Environment.
- Gerstenzang, James. 1997. Survey Bolsters Global Warming Fight. *Los Angeles Times*, November 21, A4.
- Gillroy, John M., and Robert Y. Shapiro. 1986. The Polls: Environmental Protection. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 50: 270–279.
- Gilmore, Susan. 1990. Nation's Voters Decide to Keep Their Wallets Green: Environmental Defeats Blamed on Economy. *Seattle Times*, November 8, A5.

- Glenn, Norval B. 1975. Trend Studies with Available Survey Data: Opportunities and Pitfalls. In *Survey Data for Trend Analysis: An Index to Repeated Questions on U.S. National Surveys Held by the Roper Public Opinion Research Center* (6–48). Williamstown, MA: Roper Center.
- Goodrich, Chris. 1995. Just a Few Words of Optimism from Green Enemy No. 1. *Los Angeles Times*, June 16, E6.
- GOP Hears Nature's Call: The Party Scrambles on Environmental Policy. 1996. *Time*, 146 (March 4), 57.
- Gore, Al. 1992. *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Gottlieb, Robert. 1993. *Forcing the Spring: The Transformation of the American Environmental Movement*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Gottlieb, Robert, and Helen Ingram. 1988. The New Environmentalists. *The Progressive*, 52: 14–15.
- Graham, Virginia. 1978. *A Compilation of Statewide Initiative Proposals Appearing on Ballots through 1976*. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress.
- Gray-Lee, Jason W., Debra L. Scammon, and Robert N. Mayer. 1994. Review of Legal Standards for Environmental Marketing Claims. *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 13(1): 155–159.
- Green Choices, Hard Choices: The Environmental Risks That Frighten People Most Rarely Matter Most. 1991. *The Economist*, 318 (March 16): 11.
- Green, Donald Philip. 1988. On the Dimensionality of Public Sentiment toward Partisan and Ideological Groups. *American Journal of Political Science*, 32: 758–780.
- Green, Donald Philip. 1992. The Price Elasticity of Mass Preferences. *American Political Science Review*, 86 (March): 128–148.
- Green, Donald Philip, and Irene V. Blair. 1995. Framing and the Price Elasticity of Private and Public Goods. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 4(1): 1–32.
- Green, Donald Philip, and Jack Citrin. 1994. Measurement Error and the Structure of Attitudes: Are Positive and Negative Judgments Opposites? *American Journal of Political Science*, 38: 256–281.
- Green, Donald Philip, Daniel Kahneman, and Howard Kunreuther. 1994. How the Scope and Method of Public Funding Affect Willingness to Pay for Public Goods. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 58: 49–67.
- Guber, Deborah Lynn. 1996. Environmental Concern and the Dimensionality Problem: A New Approach to an Old Predicament. *Social Science Quarterly*, 77(3): 644–662.
- Gup, Ted. 1990. Owl vs. Man. *Time* (June 25): 56–62.
- Halverson, Richard. 1991. Big Three Take High Road on Environmental Front. *Discount Store News*, 30 (March 18): 72.



- Hardin, Garrett. 1968. The Tragedy of the Commons. *Science* (December 13): 1243–1248.
- Harris, Jack. 1993. Experts in Everything and Nothing. *New Scientist*, 138: 45.
- Harris, John F., and Ellen Nakashima. 2000. Gore's Greenness Fades: Political Caution Has Tempered His Environmentalism. *Washington Post*, February 28, A1.
- Harris, Louis, and Associates, Inc. 1993. The Harris Poll [datafile]. April 28–May 4, 1993,  $n = 1,252$ .
- Harris, Louis, and Associates, Inc. 1989. *Public and Leadership Attitudes to the Environment in Four Continents: A Report of a Survey in Sixteen Countries*. New York: Louis Harris and Associates, for the United Nations Environmental Programme.
- Hayduk, Leslie A. 1987. *Structural Equation Modeling with LISREL: Essentials and Advances*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Hays, Samuel P. 1987. *Beauty, Health, and Permanence: Environmental Politics in the United States, 1955–1985*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Helvarg, David. 1994. *The War against the Greens: The "Wise-Use" Movement, the New Right, and Anti-Environmental Violence*. San Francisco: Sierra Club Books.
- Herndl, Carl G., and Stuart C. Brown. 1996. *Green Culture: Environmental Rhetoric in America*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Hershkowitz, Allen. 1991. Green vs. Greenbacks. *Advertising Age* (January 29): GR10–GR11.
- Hetherington, Mark. 1996. The Media's Role in Forming Voters' National Economic Evaluations in 1992. *American Journal of Political Science*, 40: 372–395.
- Hibbing, John R., and Elizabeth Theiss-Morse. 1995. *Congress as Public Enemy: Public Attitudes toward American Political Institutions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Holmes, Thomas. P. 1990. Self-Interest, Altruism, and Health-Risk Reduction: An Economic Analysis of Voting Behavior. *Land Economics*, 66(2): 140–149.
- Holusha, John. 1990. Talking Deals: Unusual Alliance for McDonald's. *New York Times*, August 9, D2.
- Honnold, Julie A. 1984. Age and Environmental Concern: Some Specification of Effects. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 16: 4–9.
- Hopper, Joseph R., and Joyce McCarl Nielsen. 1991. Recycling as Altruistic Behavior: Normative and Behavioral Strategies to Expand Participation in a Community Recycling Program. *Environment and Behavior*, 23: 195–220.
- Hornback, Kenneth E. 1974. *Orbits of Opinion: The Role of Age in the Environmental Movement's Attentive Public, 1968–1972*. Ph.D. dissertation, Michigan State University, East Lansing.

- Hotelling, H. 1947. Letter to Director of National Park Service. In *An Economic Study of the Monetary Evaluation of Recreation in the National Parks*. U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service and Recreational Planning Division.
- How Green Is Al Gore? 2000. *The Economist* (April 22): 30.
- Huber, Peter. 1996. How to Love Butterflies and Be a Conservative. *Forbes*, 157 (April 22): 216–217.
- Hume, Scott. 1991. Consumer Doubletalk Makes Companies Wary: “Preferring” to Buy Green Isn’t Proven by Sales. *Advertising Age* (October 28): GR4.
- Hungerford, Don, and Barbara Lewis. 1997. Is Environmental Education Scaring Our Children to Death? *NEA Today*, 16: 43.
- Immerwahr, John. 1999. *Waiting for a Signal: Public Attitudes toward Global Warming, the Environment and Geophysical Research*. American Geophysical Union, Electronic Publication. <[http://www.agu.org/sci\\_soc/attitude\\_study.html](http://www.agu.org/sci_soc/attitude_study.html)>.
- Inglehart, Ronald. 1981. Post-Materialism in an Environment of Insecurity. *American Political Science Review*, 75: 880–899.
- Jacobs, Paul. 1986. Prop. 65: Toxics Calamity or Legal Catalyst? *Los Angeles Times*, October 13, 1.
- Janowitz, Morris, and Paul M. Hirsch, eds. 1981. *Reader in Public Opinion and Mass Communication* (3rd ed., 3–9). New York: Free Press.
- Jensen, Elizabeth. 2000. DiCaprio and “Planet Earth” Rank Fourth in Time Slot. *Los Angeles Times*, April 24, F2.
- Johnson, John Mark. 1990. Citizens Initiate Ballot Measures. *Environment*, 32(7): 4–5, 43–45.
- Jones, Robert Emmet, and Lewis F. Carter. 1994. Concern for the Environment among Black Americans: An Assessment of Common Assumptions. *Social Science Quarterly*, 75(3): 560–579.
- Jones, Robert Emmet, and Riley E. Dunlap. 1992. The Social Bases of Environmental Concern: Have They Changed over Time? *Rural Sociology*, 57: 28–47.
- Jöreskog, Karl G., and Dag Sörbom. 1989. *LISREL 7: A Guide to the Program and Applications*. Chicago: SPSS.
- Kachigan, Sam Kash. 1991. *Multivariate Statistical Analysis: A Conceptual Introduction* (2nd ed.). New York: Radius Press.
- Kahn, M. E., and J. G. Matsusaka. 1997. Demand for Environmental Goods: Evidence from Voting Patterns on California Initiatives. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 40: 137–173.
- Kamieniecki, Sheldon. 1995. Political Parties and Environmental Policy. In James P. Lester, ed., *Environmental Politics and Policy: Theories and Evidence* (2nd ed., 146–167). Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

- Kanagy, Conrad L., Craig R. Humphrey, and Glenn Firebaugh. 1994. Surging Environmentalism: Changing Public Opinion or Changing Publics? *Social Science Quarterly*, 75: 804–819.
- Karlberg, Michael. 1997. News and Conflict: How Adversarial News Frames Limit Public Understanding of Environmental Issues. *Alternatives Journal*, 23: 22–28.
- Kasper, Raphael G. 1980. Perceptions of Risk and Their Effects on Decision Making. In Richard C. Schwing and Walter A. Albers Jr., eds., *Societal Risk Assessment: How Safe Is Safe Enough?* (71–80). New York: Plenum Press.
- Kates, Robert W. 2000. Has the Environment Improved? *Environment*, 42: FC.
- Katosh, John P., and Michael W. Traugott. 1981. The Consequences of Validated and Self-reported Voting Measures. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 45: 519–535.
- Keeter, Scott. 1984. Problematical Pollution Polls: Validity in the Measurement of Public Opinion on Environmental Issues. *Political Methodology*, 10: 267–291.
- Kelman, Steven. 1981. *What Price Incentives? Economists and the Environment*. Boston: Auburn House.
- Kempton, Willett, James S. Boster, and Jennifer A. Hartley. 1995. *Environmental Values in American Culture*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kennedy, Peter. 1993. *A Guide to Econometrics* (3rd ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kerlinger, Fred N. 1979. *Behavioral Research: A Conceptual Approach*. Dallas: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Key, V. O., Jr. 1961. *Public Opinion and American Democracy*. New York: Knopf.
- Kinder, Donald R., and D. Roderick Kiewiet. 1981. Sociotropic Politics: The American Case. *British Journal of Political Science*, 11: 129–161.
- Kinder, Donald R., and Lynn M. Sanders. 1990. Mimicking Political Debate with Survey Questions: The Case of White Opinion on Affirmative Action for Blacks. *Social Cognition*, 8: 73–103.
- King, Gary. 1989. *Unifying Political Methodology: The Likelihood Theory of Statistic Inference*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kingdon, John W. 1984. *Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies*. Boston: Little, Brown.
- Kinnear, Thomas C., James R. Taylor, and Sadrudin A. Ahmed. 1974. Ecologically Concerned Consumers: Who Are They? *Journal of Marketing*, 38: 20–24.
- Klein, Gil. 1995. Environment Challenges Public Mood. *Tampa Tribune*, July 22, 4.
- Klineberg, Stephen L., Matthew McKeever, and Bert Rothenbach. 1998. Demographic Predictors of Environmental Concern: It Does Make a Difference How It's Measured. *Social Science Quarterly*, 79: 734–753.

- Kluegel, James R., and Eliot R. Smith. 1982. Whites' Beliefs about Blacks' Opportunity. *American Sociological Review*, 47: 518–532.
- Knickerbocker, Brad. 1995a. Americans Go “Lite Green.” *Christian Science Monitor*, April 18, 1.
- Knickerbocker, Brad. 1995b. Is It Wrong to Be Optimistic about the Environment? *Christian Science Monitor*, June 13, 14.
- Knopman, Debra S., Megan M. Susman, and March K. Landy. 1999. Civic Environmentalism: Tackling Tough Land-Use Problems with Innovative Governance. *Environment*, 41: 24.
- Kriz, Margaret E. 1995. The Green Card. *National Journal*, 27(37): 2262.
- Kriz, Margaret. 1996. Slings Earth. *National Journal*, 28(17): 958.
- Kuhn, Richard G., and Edgar L. Jackson. 1989. Stability of Factor Structures in the Measurement of Public Environmental Attitudes. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 20: 27–32.
- Lacayo, Richard. 1990. Green Ballots vs. Greenbacks. *Time* (November 19): 44.
- Ladd, Everett Carl. 1979. The New Lines Are Drawn: Class and Ideology in America. *Public Opinion* (July–August): 52–53.
- Ladd, Everett Carl. 1982. Clearing the Air: Public Opinion and Public Policy on the Environment. *Public Opinion* (August–September): 16–20.
- Ladd, Everett Carl. 1990. What Do Americans Really Think about the Environment? *Public Perspective*, 1(4): 11.
- Ladd, Everett Carl, and Karlyn H. Bowman. 1995. *Attitudes toward the Environment: Twenty-Five Years after Earth Day*. Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute Press.
- Lake, Laura M. 1983. The Environmental Mandate: Activists and the Electorate. *Political Science Quarterly*, 98(2): 215–233.
- La Trobe, Helen L., and Tim G. Acott. 2000. A Modified NEP/DSP Environmental Attitudes Scale. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 32(1): 12.
- Lau, Richard R. 1985. Two Explanations for Negativity Effects in Political Behavior. *American Journal of Political Science*, 29: 119–138.
- Lauter, David, and Douglas Jehl. 1992. Bush, Clinton Clash over Jobs, Environment. *Los Angeles Times*, September 15, A1.
- LaVally, Rebecca. 1987. Proposition 65 Opponents Outspent Successful Foes. United Press International, February 5.
- Leaversuch, Robert D., ed. 1992. Massachusetts Votes Down Drastic Measure to Reduce Package Waste. *Modern Plastics*, 69(13): 37.
- Lee, Eugene. 1978. California. In David Butler and Austin Ranney, eds., *Referendums: A Comparative Study of Practice and Theory*. Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute.
- Leroux, Kivi. 1999. Subliminal Messages. *E*, 10 (July): 14.

- Lester, James P., ed. 1995. *Environmental Politics and Policy: Theories and Evidence*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Levitt, Norman. 1999. *Prometheus Bedevilled: Science and the Contradictions of Contemporary Culture*. Piscataway, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Locke, Robert. 1986a. California Oil Industry Joins Others in Wariness over Proposition 65. *Oil Daily*, September 18, 8.
- Locke, Robert. 1986b. Success of Proposition 65, Approval of Restriction Cloud Election Picture. *Oil Daily*, November 20, 8.
- Lomborg, Bjorn. 2001. *The Skeptical Environmentalist: Measuring the Real State of the World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Loth, Renee. 1991. Bringing Earth Day Back down to Earth: Grass-Roots Activists Tweak "Elitist" Brethren. *Boston Globe*, April 21, A33.
- Lounsbury, J. W., and L. G. Tornatzky. 1977. A Scale for Assessing Attitudes toward Environmental Quality. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 101: 299–305.
- Lovett, Richard A. 1994. Proposition 65 Comes of Age. *California Journal* (November 1): 25–28.
- Lowe, George D., Thomas K. Pinhey, and Michael D. Grimes. 1980. Public Support for Environmental Protection: New Evidence from National Surveys. *Pacific Sociological Review*, 23(4): 423–445.
- Lowell, A. Lawrence. 1981. Public Opinion. In Morris Janowitz and Paul M. Hirsch, eds., *Reader in Public Opinion and Mass Communication* (3rd ed., 10–16). New York: Free Press.
- Lowenstein, D. H. 1982. Campaign Spending and Ballot Propositions: Recent Experience, Public Choice Theory and the First Amendment. *U.C.L.A. Law Review*, 29: 505–641.
- MacDonald, Stuart Elaine, George Rabinowitz, and Ola Listhaug. 1995. Political Sophistication and Models of Issues Voting. *British Journal of Political Science*, 25(4): 453–483.
- MacDonald, Stuart Elaine, George Rabinowitz, and Ola Listhaug. 1998. On Attempting to Rehabilitate the Proximity Model: Sometimes the Patient Just Can't Be Helped. *Journal of Politics*, 60(3): 653–690.
- MacKuen, Michael B. 1984. Reality, the Press, and Citizens' Political Agendas. In Charles F. Turner and Elizabeth Martin, eds., *Surveying Subjective Phenomena*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Magleby, David B. 1984. *Direct Legislation: Voting on Ballot Propositions in the United States*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Magleby, David B. 1989. Opinion Formation and Opinion Change in Ballot Proposition Campaigns. In M. Margolis and G. Mauser, eds., *Manipulating Public Opinion* (95–115). Belmont, CA: Brooks Cole.
- Magleby, David B. 1994. Direct Legislation in the American States. In David Butler and Austin Ranney, eds., *Referendums around the World: The Growing Use of Direct Democracy* (218–257). Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute.

- Mainieri, Elaine, G. Barnett, Trisha R. Valdero, John B. Unipan, and Stuart Oskamp. 1997. Green Buying: The Influence of Environmental Concern on Consumer Behavior. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 137: 189–204.
- Malik, Kenan. 1999. Why Science Needs Protection. *The Independent* (July 11): 13.
- Malkis, A., and H. G. Grasmick. 1977. Support for the Ideology of the Environmental Movement: Tests of Alternative Hypotheses. *Western Sociological Review*, 8: 25–47.
- Maloney, Michael P., Michael P. Ward, and G. Nicholas Braucht. 1975. A Revised Scale for the Measurement of Ecological Attitudes and Knowledge. *American Psychologist*, 30: 787–790.
- Mantese, Joe. 1991. New Study Finds Green Confusion. *Advertising Age* (October 21): 1.
- Margolis, Michael. 1977. From Confusion to Confusion: Issues and the American Voter (1956–1972). *American Political Science Review*, 71: 31–43.
- Marsh, C. Paul, and James A. Christenson. 1977. Support for Economic Growth and Environmental Protection, 1973–1975. *Rural Sociology*, 42: 101–107.
- Martin, Glen. 2000a. Earth Day Report Card: We Still Care, Sort of. *San Francisco Chronicle*, April 22, A1.
- Martin, Glen. 2000b. Environment Is a Big Concern for Californians, Poll Shows. *San Francisco Chronicle*, June 21, A3.
- Martinez-Vazquez, Jorge. 1981. Selfishness versus Public “Regardingness” in Voting Behavior. *Journal of Public Economics*, 15: 349–361.
- Marttila & Kiley, Inc. 1992. Survey (MK 92110) [datafile]. February 11–13,  $n = 402$ . Boston: Marttila & Kiley, for Massachusetts Public Interest Research Group (Mass PIRG).
- Maslow, Abraham H. 1970. *Motivation and Personality* (2nd ed.). New York: Harper and Row.
- Mastio, David. 2000. The GOP’s Enviro-Rut. *Policy Review* (June): 19.
- Mathews, Jessica. 1990. The Big, the Green, the Political. *Washington Post*, November 16, A19.
- Mathews, Jessica. 1995. Outlook Not So Gloomy. *Plain Dealer*, April 24, B9.
- McCloskey, Herbert. 1964. Consensus and Ideology in American Politics. *American Political Science Review*, 48: 361–382.
- McCloskey, Michael. 1987. A Second-Order Issue. *Environment*, 29: 2.
- Meadows, Donella H. 2000. Looking Back at Thirty Earth Days. *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, April 25, B7.
- Meadows, Donella H., Dennis L. Meadows, Jorgens Randers, and William W. Behrens III. 1972. *The Limits to Growth*. New York: Universe Books.
- Mellman Group. 1997. State of the Climate [datafile]. August 11–14,  $n = 800$ . Washington, DC: Mellman, for World Wildlife Fund.

- Mertig, Angela G., and Riley E. Dunlap. 1995. Public Approval of Environmental Protection and Other New Social Movement Goals in Western Europe and the United States. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 7: 145–156.
- Michaels, Patrick. 1992. Reporters Cry Wolf about Environment. *Insight on the News*, 8 (November 23): 20–21.
- Milbrath, Lester W. 1965. *Political Participation*. Skokie, IL: Rand McNally.
- Milbrath, Lester W. 1984. *Environmentalists: Vanguard for a New Society*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Milbrath, Lester W., and M. L. Goel. 1977. *Political Participation* (2nd ed.). Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Miller, Warren E., Donald R. Kinder, Steven J. Rosenstone, and the National Election Studies. 1999. *National Election Studies, 1991 Pilot Election Study* [dataset]. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies.
- Mr. Nader's Electoral Mischief. 2000. *New York Times*, October 26, A34.
- Mr. Nader's Misguided Crusade. 2000. *New York Times*, June 30, A24.
- Mitchell, Robert C. 1979. Silent Spring/Solid Majorities. *Public Opinion*, 2 (August–September): 16–20, 55.
- Mitchell, Robert C. 1984. Public Opinion and Environmental Politics in the 1970s and 1980s. In Norman J. Vig and Michael E. Kraft, eds., *Environmental Policy in the 1980s* (57–74). Washington, DC: Congressional Quarterly Press.
- Mitchell, Robert C. 1990. Public Opinion and the Green Lobby: Poised for the 1990s? In Norman J. Vig and Michael E. Kraft, eds., *Environmental Policy in the 1990s* (81–88). Washington: CQ Press.
- Mitchell, Robert C., and Richard T. Carson. 1989. *Using Surveys to Value Public Goods: The Contingent Valuation Method*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, for Resources for the Future.
- Mitchell, Robert C., Angela A. Mertig, and Riley E. Dunlap. 1992. Twenty Years of Environmental Mobilization: Trends among National Environmental Organizations. In Riley E. Dunlap and Angela G. Mertig, eds., *American Environmentalism: The U.S. Environmental Movement, 1970–1990* (11–26). Washington, DC: Taylor & Francis.
- Mohai, Paul. 1985. Public Concern and Elite Involvement in Environmental-Conservation Issues. *Social Science Quarterly*, 66: 820–838.
- Mohai, Paul. 1990. Black Environmentalism. *Social Science Quarterly*, 71(4): 744–765.
- Mohai, Paul. 1992. Men, Women, and the Environment: An Examination of the Gender Gap in Environmental Concern and Activism. *Society and Natural Resources*, 5: 1–19.
- Mohai, Paul, and Bunyan Bryant. 1998. Is There a “Race” Effect on Concern for Environmental Quality? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 62: 475–477.

- Mohai, Paul, and Ben W. Twight. 1987. Age and Environmentalism: An Elaboration of the Buttel Model Using National Survey Evidence. *Social Science Quarterly*, 68: 798–815.
- Monroe, Kristen Renwick. 1991. John Donne's People: Explaining Differences between Rational Actors and Altruists through Cognitive Frameworks. *Journal of Politics*, 53: 394–433.
- Morris, Louis A., Manoj Hastak, and Michael B. Mazis. 1995. Consumer Comprehension of Environmental Advertising and Labeling Claims. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 29: 328–350.
- Morrison, Denton E. 1986. How and Why Environmental Consciousness Has Trickled Down. In Allan Schnaiberg, Nicholas Watts, and Klaus Zimmermann, eds., *Distributional Conflict in Environmental-Resource Policy (187–220)*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Morrison, Denton E., and Riley E. Dunlap. 1986. Environmentalism and Elitism: A Conceptual and Empirical Analysis. *Environmental Management*, 10: 581–589.
- Mueller, John E. 1969. Voting on the Propositions: Ballot Patterns and Historical Trends in California. *American Political Science Review*, 63: 1197–1213.
- Munton, Donald, and Linda Brady. 1970. American Public Opinion and Environmental Pollution. *The Behavioral Science Laboratory Research Report*. Columbus: Ohio State University.
- National Environmental Education and Training Foundation (NEETF). Various years. *National Report Card on Environmental Attitudes, Knowledge, and Behavior*. Conducted by Roper Starch Worldwide. Washington, DC: NEETF. <<http://www.neetf.org>>.
- National Opinion Research Center. 1994. General Social Survey [datafile].  $n = 1,334$ . Chicago: NORC, University of Chicago.
- National Science Foundation (NSF). 1997. Ohio State University Survey. September 1–October 5,  $n = 688$ . Sponsored by Resources for the Future, Washington, DC. Arlington, VA: NSF.
- NBC News/Wall Street Journal. 1997. Survey [datafile]. October 28–28,  $n = 1,214$ . New York.
- Nelkins, Dorothy. 1981. Nuclear Power as a Feminist Issue. *Environment*, 23: 14–39.
- Nicholl, Jack. 1989. Son of David and Goliath: Employing Strategy, Little Guys with Initiative Can Beat Big Bucks. *Campaigns and Elections* (March–April): 16–17.
- Nie, Norman, Sidney Verba, and John R. Petrocik. 1976. *The Changing American Voter*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Nieves, Evelyn. 2001. Conversation: Ralph Nader. A Party Crasher's Lone Regret: That He Didn't Get More Votes. *New York Times*, February 18, D7.
- Noe, Francis P., and Rob Snow. 1990. The New Environmental Paradigm and Further Scale Analysis. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 21: 20–26.



- Ogden, D. M., Jr. 1971. The Future of the Environmental Struggle. In Roy L. Meek and John A. Straayer, eds., *The Politics of Neglect: The Environmental Crisis* (243–250). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Olson, Mancur, Jr. 1965. *The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Oskamp, Stuart, Maura J. Harrington, Todd C. Edwards, Deborah L. Sherwood, Shawn M. Okuda, and Deborah C. Swanson. 1991. Factors Influencing Household Recycling Behavior. *Environment and Behavior*, 23 (July): 494–519.
- Ostrom, Charles W., Jr. 1978. *Time Series Analysis: Regression Techniques*. Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Ottman, Jacquelyn. 1992. Environmentalism Will Be the Trend of the '90s. *Marketing News* (December 7): 13.
- Ourlan, Robert. 2000. Anti-Green Measures Are Riders in the Storm. *National Journal*, 32 (January 22): 243.
- Paehlke, Robert C. 1989. *Environmentalism and the Future of Progressive Politics*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Page, Benjamin I., and Robert Y. Shapiro. 1982. Changes in Americans' Policy Preferences, 1935–1979. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 46: 24–42.
- Page, Benjamin I., and Robert Y. Shapiro. 1983. Effects of Public Opinion on Policy. *American Political Science Review*, 77: 175–190.
- Page, Benjamin I., Robert Y. Shapiro, and Glenn R. Dempsey. 1987. What Moves Public Opinion? *American Political Science Review*, 81: 23–44.
- Paige, Sean. 1998. The “Greening” of Government. *Insight on the News* (December 14): 16.
- Parlour, J. W., and S. Schatzow. 1978. The Mass Media and Public Concern for Environmental Problems in Canada, 1960–1972. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 13: 9–17.
- Peffley, Mark, Stanley Feldman, and Lee Sigelman. 1987. Economic Conditions and Party Competence: Processes of Belief Revision. *Journal of Politics*, 49: 100–121.
- Pew Research Center for the People and the Press. 1997. *November 1997 News Interest Index* [datafile]. November 12–16,  $n = 1,200$ . Washington, DC: Pew Research Center.
- Pierce, John C., and Nicholas P. Lovrich Jr. 1980. Belief Systems Concerning the Environment: The General Public, Attentive Publics, and State Legislators. *Political Behavior*, 2: 259–286.
- Pierce, John C., Nicholas P. Lovrich, and T. Tsurutani. 1987. Culture, Politics and Mass Publics: Traditional and Modern Supporters of the New Environmental Paradigm in Japan and the United States. *Journal of Politics*, 49: 54–79.
- Pirages, Dennis C., and Paul R. Ehrlich. 1974. *Ark II: Social Response to Environmental Imperatives*. San Francisco: Freeman.

- Pomper, Gerald M. 1972. From Confusion to Clarity: Issues and American Voters, 1956–1968. *American Political Science Review*, 66: 415–428.
- Pomper, Gerald M. 1975. *Voters' Choice: Varieties of American Electoral Behavior*. New York: Dodd, Mead.
- Presser, Stanley. 1990. Can Changes in Context Reduce Vote Overreporting in Surveys? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 54: 587.
- Princeton Survey Research Associates (PSRA). Various years. Survey [datafile]. November 13–14, 1997,  $n = 752$ ; April 13–14, 2000,  $n = 752$  (conducted for *Newsweek*). Princeton, NJ: PSRA.
- Purdy, Jedediah. 2000a. Planet Bush, Planet Gore. *The American Prospect*, 11 (October 20): 34.
- Purdy, Jedediah. 2000b. Shades of Green. *The American Prospect*, 11 (January 3): 6.
- Rabinowitz, George, and Stuart Elaine Macdonald. 1989. A Directional Theory of Issue Voting. *American Political Science Review*, 83: 93–121.
- Rabinowitz, George, James W. Prothro, and William Jacoby. 1982. Salience as a Factor in the Impact of Issues on Candidate Elections. *Journal of Politics*, 44: 41–63.
- Ranney, Austin. 1978. The United States of America. In David Butler and Austin Ranney, eds., *Referendums: A Comparative Study of Practice and Theory* (71–72). Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute.
- Rauber, Paul. 1991. Losing the Initiative? *Sierra*, 76: 20–24.
- Rauch, Jonathan. 2000. There's Smog in the Air, But It Isn't All Pollution. *Washington Post*, April 30, B01.
- Ridenour, David A. 1996. The Mouse That Squeaked. *National Policy Analysis* (November). <<http://www.nationacenter.inter.net/npa154.htm>>.
- Ridgeway, James. 1998. It Isn't Easy Voting Green. *Audubon*, 100(5): 144–145.
- Ridley, Matt, and Bobbi S. Low. 1993. Can Selfishness Save the Environment? *Atlantic Monthly*, 272 (September): 76–86.
- Roberts, James A., and Donald R. Bacon. 1997. Exploring the Subtle Relationships between Environmental Concern and Ecologically Conscious Consumer Behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 40(1): 79–89.
- Rohrschneider, Robert. 1988. Citizen's Attitudes Toward Environmental Issues: Selfish or Selfless? *Comparative Political Studies*, 21: 347–367.
- Roper Center for Public Opinion Research. Various years. Survey [datafile]. Storrs, CT: Roper Center, University of Connecticut.
- Rosenbaum, Walter A. 1977. *The Politics of Environmental Concern* (2nd ed.). New York: Praeger.
- Rosenbaum, Walter A. 1991. *Environmental Politics and Policy* (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: Congressional Quarterly Press.

- Rosenstone, Steven J., Donald R. Kinder, Warren E. Miller, and the National Election Studies. 1998. *National Election Studies, 1996: Pre- and Post-Election Study* [dataset], 3rd release. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies.
- Rosenstone, Steven J., Warren E. Miller, Donald R. Kinder, and the National Election Studies. 1999. *National Election Studies, 1995 Pilot Election Study* [datafile]. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies.
- Rothman, Hal K. 1998. *The Greening of a Nation? Environmentalism in the United States since 1945*. Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace College.
- Rourke, John T., Richard P. Hiskes, and Cyrus Ernesto Zirakzadeh. 1992. *Direct Democracy and International Politics: Deciding International Issues through Referendums*. Boulder: Rienner.
- Ruben, Barbara. 1994. Back Talk. *Environmental Action*, 25: 11–16.
- Rubin, Charles T. 1994. *The Green Crusade: Rethinking the Roots of Environmentalism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Russell, Cristine. 1989. Warnings, Warnings Everywhere. *Washington Post*, May 23, Z12, Z14.
- Russell, Sabin. 1990. Corporations Going for the Green. *San Francisco Chronicle*, April 9, D1.
- Ryder, Norman B. 1965. The Cohort as a Concept in the Study of Social Change. *American Sociological Review*, 30: 843–861.
- Saad Lydia, and Riley E. Dunlap. 2000. Americans Are Environmentally Friendly, but Issue Not Seen as Urgent Problem. *Gallup Poll Monthly*, 415 (April): 12–18.
- Saffire, David. 1995. Has the Time Come for Deposit Legislation? *Beverage Industry*, 86: 25.
- Sagoff, Mark. 1992. The Great Environmental Awakening. *The American Prospect* (Spring): 39–47.
- Sale, Kirkpatrick, 1993, *The Green Revolution: The American Environmental Movement, 1962–1992*. New York: Hill and Wang.
- Samdahl, Diane M., and Robert Robertson. 1989. Social Determinants of Environmental Concern: Specification and Test of the Model. *Environment and Behavior*, 21 (January): 57–81.
- Sanera, Michael, and Jane S. Shaw. 1999. *Facts, Not Fear: Teaching Children about the Environment*. Washington, DC: Regnery.
- Scammon, Debra L., and Robert N. Mayer. 1995. Agency Review of Environmental Marketing Claims: Case-by-Case Decomposition of the Issues. *Journal of Advertising*, 24(2): 33–43.
- Schechter, M., M. Kim, and L. Golan. 1989. Valuing a Public Good: Direct and Indirect Valuation Approaches to the Measurement of the Benefits from Pollution Abatement. In H. Folmer, and E. ver Ierland, eds., *Valuation Methods and Policy Making in Environmental Economics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

- Schneider, William. 1990. Everybody's An Environmentalist Now. *National Journal* (April 28): 1062.
- Schroeder, Christopher H. 2000. Clear Consensus, Ambiguous Commitment. *Michigan Law Review*, 98: 1876–1915.
- Schrum, L. J., Tina M. Lowrey, and John A. McCarty. 1994. Recycling as a Marketing Problem: A Framework for Strategy Development. *Psychology and Marketing*, 11: 393–416.
- Schuhwerk, Melody E., and Roxanne Lefkoff-Hagius. 1995. Green or Non-Green? Does Type of Appeal Matter When Advertising a Green Product? *Journal of Advertising*, 24(2): 45–54.
- Schultze, Charles L. 1977. *The Public Use of Private Interest*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.
- Schuman, Howard, and Stanley Presser. 1981. *Questions and Answers in Attitude Surveys: Experiments on Question Form, Wording, and Context*. New York: Academic Press.
- Schuman, Howard, and Cheryl Rieger. 1992. Historical Analogies, Generational Effects, and Attitudes toward War. *American Sociological Review*, 57: 315–326.
- Schwartz, Joe, and Thomas Miller. 1991. The Earth's Best Friends. *American Demographics* (February): 26–35.
- Schwepker, Charles H., and T. Bettina Cornwell. 1991. An Examination of Ecologically Concerned Consumers and Their Intentions to Purchase Ecologically Packaged Products. *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 10(2): 77–101.
- Scott, David, and Fern K. Willits. 1994. Environmental Attitudes and Behavior: A Pennsylvania Survey. *Environment and Behavior*, 26: 239–260.
- Sears, David O., and Leonie Huddy. 1987. Bilingual Education: Symbolic Meaning and Support among Non-Hispanics. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association. Chicago, IL.
- Sears, David O., Richard R. Lau, Tom R. Tyler, and Harris M. Allen Jr. 1980. Self-Interest vs. Symbolic Politics in Policy Attitudes and Presidential Voting. *American Political Science Review*, 74: 670–684.
- Seuss, Dr. 1971. *The Lorax*. New York: Random House.
- Shabecoff, Philip. 1992. Shades of Green in the Presidential Campaign. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 9: 73–79.
- Shabecoff, Philip. 2000. *Earth Rising: American Environmentalism in the Twenty-first Century*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Shipan, Charles R., and William R. Lowry. 2001. Environmental Policy and Party Divergence in Congress. *Political Research Quarterly*, 54(3): 245–263.
- Shutkin, William A. 2000. *The Land That Could Be: Environmentalism and Democracy in the Twenty-first Century*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Silver, Brian D., Barbara A. Anderson, and Paul R. Abramson. 1986. Who Overreports Voting? *American Political Science Review*, 80: 613–624.

- Sims, Rodman A. 1993. Positive Attitudes Won't Make Cash Register Ring. *Marketing News* (June 7): 4.
- Sinclair, Patti K. 1992. *E for Environment: An Annotated Bibliography of Children's Books with Environmental Themes*. New Providence, NJ: Bowker.
- Singhapakdi, Anusorn, and Michael S. LaTour. 1991. The Link between Social Responsibility Orientation, Motive Appeals, and Voting Intention: A Case of an Anti-Littering Campaign. *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 10: 118–129.
- Skelton, George. 1986. Deukmejian Opposes Three Controversial Propositions. *Los Angeles Times*, September 3, 3.
- Slovic, Paul. 1987. Perception of Risk. *Science* (April 17): 280–285.
- Slovic, Paul, Baruch Fischhoff, and Sarah Lichtenstein. 1980. Facts and Fears: Understanding Perceived Risk. In Richard C. Schwing and Walter A. Albers Jr., eds., *Societal Risk Assessment: How Safe Is Safe Enough?* (181–214). New York: Plenum Press.
- Smith, Kevin B. 1994. Abortion Attitudes and Vote Choice in the 1984 and 1988 Presidential Elections. *American Politics Quarterly*, 22: 354–369.
- Smith, Tom W. 1978. Age and Social Change: An Analysis of the Association between Age-Cohorts and Attitude Change, 1972–1977. *GSS Social Change Report No. 5*. Chicago: National Opinion Research Center.
- Smith, Tom W. 1979. A Compendium of Trends on General Social Survey Questions. *NORC Technical Report 15*. Chicago: National Opinion Research Center.
- Smith, Tom W. 1984. Cycles of Reform? A Summary of Trends since World War II. Paper presented to the American Sociological Association, San Antonio, August.
- Smith, Tom W. 1990. Liberal and Conservative Trends in the United States since World War II. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 54: 479–507.
- Smith, Tom W. 1994. Is There Real Opinion Change? *GSS Social Change Report*, No. 36. Chicago: National Opinion Research Center. <<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/GSS/rnd1998/reports/s-reports/soc36.htm>>.
- Smith, V. Kerry. 1990. Can We Measure the Economic Value of Environmental Amenities? *Southern Economic Journal*, 56: 865–878.
- Smith, V. Kerry. 1995. Does Education Induce People to Improve the Environment? *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 14: 599–604.
- Solomon, Lawrence S., Donald Tomaskovic-Devey, and Barbara J. Risman. 1989. The Gender Gap and Nuclear Power: Attitudes in a Politicized Environment. *Sex Roles*, 21: 401–414.
- Soskis, Benjamin. 2000. Green with Envy: Hooray for Those Vacuous Celebrities. *New Republic* (May 8): 13.
- Soto, Lucy. 1997. Many Favor an Increase in Gas Prices. *Atlanta Journal and Constitution*, November 21, A21.

- Spangler, M. B. 1980. *Methods, Problems, and Issues in Environmental Cost Benefit Analysis of Nuclear Power Plant Alternatives*. Environmental Impact Assessment Course, Argonne National Laboratory for the International Atomic Energy Association, Illinois.
- Stanfield, Rochelle L. 1988. It's Hip to Be Clean. *National Journal*, 20 (June 4): 1510.
- St. Clair, Jeffrey. 1997. The Twilight of "Gang Green." *In These Times*, 21: 14.
- Sterngold, Arthur, Rex H. Warland, and Robert O. Herrmann. 1994. Do Surveys Overstate Public Concerns? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 58: 255–263.
- Stimson, James. 1989. *Public Opinion in America: Moods, Cycles, and Swings*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Stisser, Peter. 1994. A Deeper Shade of Green. *American Demographics* (March): 24–29.
- Stokes, Donald E. 1963. Spatial Models of Party Competition. *American Political Science Review*, 57: 368–377.
- Streitwieser, Mary L. 1997. Using the Pollution Abatement Costs and Expenditures Micro Data for Descriptive and Analytic Research. *Journal of Economic and Social Management*, 23: 1–25.
- Sudman, Seymour, and Norma M. Bradburn. 1982. *Asking Questions*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Sullivan, John L., James Piereson, and George E. Marcus. 1982. *Political Tolerance and American Democracy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Sunstein, Cass R. 1990. Remaking Regulation. *American Prospect*, 3: 73–82.
- Sunstein, Cass R. 1997. *Free Markets and Social Justice*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Swan, James A. 1971. Environmental Education: One Approach to Resolving the Environmental Crisis. *Environment and Behavior*, 3: 223–229.
- Switzer, Jacqueline Vaughn. 1997. *Green Backlash: The History and Politics of Environmental Opposition in the U.S.* Boulder: Rienner.
- Taylor, Bron. 1997. Environmental Values in American Culture (book review). *The Ecologist*, 27 (January–February): 38–39.
- Taylor, D. Garth. 1980. Procedures for Evaluating Trends in Public Opinion. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 44: 86–100.
- Taylor, Jerry. 1992. Campaign Trail Littered with Environmental Wrecks. *Plain Dealer*, December 5, 7b.
- Teles, Steven. 1996. Think Local, Act Local. *New Stateman*, 126 (August 22): 28.
- Tognacci, Louis N., Russell H. Weigel, Marwin F. Wideen, and David T. A. Vernon. 1972. Environmental Quality: How Universal Is Public Concern? *Environment and Behavior*, 4: 73–86.

- Traugott, Michael W., and Paul J. Lavrakas. 2000. *The Voter's Guide to Election Polls* (2nd ed.). New York: Chatham House.
- Tucker, William. 1982. *Progress and Privilege: America in the Age of Environmentalism*. Garden City, NJ: Anchor/Doubleday.
- Van Liere, Kent D., and Riley E. Dunlap. 1980. The Social Bases of Environmental Concern: A Review of Hypotheses, Explanations, and Empirical Evidence. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 44: 181–197.
- Van Liere, Kent D., and Riley E. Dunlap. 1981. Environmental Concern: Does It Make a Difference How It's Measured? *Environment and Behavior*, 13: 651–676.
- Verba, Sidney, and N. H. Nie. 1972. *Participation in America: Political Democracy and Social Equality*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Vig, Norman J. 1994. Presidential Leadership and the Environment: From Reagan and Bush to Clinton. In Norman J. Vig and Michael E. Kraft, eds., *Environmental Policy in the 1990s* (71–95). Washington, DC: CQ Press.
- Vig, Norman J., and Michael E. Kraft, eds. 1994. *Environmental Policy in the 1990s*. Washington, DC: CQ Press.
- Wall, Glenda. 1995. Barriers to Individual Environmental Action: The Influence of Attitudes and Social Experiences. *Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology*, 32: 465–489.
- Wasik, John. 1992. Market Is Confusing, But Patience Will Pay Off. *Marketing News* (October 12): 16.
- Weigel, Russell, and Joan Weigel. 1978. Environmental Concern: The Development of a Measure. *Environment and Behavior*, 10: 3–15.
- Westholm, Anders. 1997. Distance versus Direction: The Illusory Defeat of the Proximity Theory of Electoral Choice. *American Political Science Review*, 91: 865–883.
- Wiley, John P., Jr. 1998. Coming to Terms. *Smithsonian* (December): 28.
- Williams, Rolla. 1987. Window to the Wild. *San Diego Union-Tribune*, June 4, B2.
- Wilson, James Q. 2000. Why They Don't Campaign about the Environment. *Slate* (October 27). <<http://slate.msn.com/?id=92005>>.
- Wilson, James Q., and Edward Banfield. 1964. Public Regardingness as a Value Premise in Voting Behavior. *American Political Science Review*, 4: 876–887.
- Wilson, James Q., and Edward Banfield. 1965. Voting Behavior on Municipal Public Expenditures: A Study in Rationality and Self-Interest. In Julius Margolis, ed., *The Public Economy of Urban Communities* (74–91). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Winski, Joseph M. 1991. Big Prizes, but No Easy Answers. *Advertising Age* (October 28): GR-3.

- Wirthlin Worldwide. Various years. *National Quorum* [datafile]. 1996; August 21–23, 1997,  $n = 1,040$ ; September 11–14, 1998,  $n = 1,010$ ; 1999. McLean, VA.
- Wlezien, Christopher. 1995. The Public as Thermostat: Dynamics of Preferences for Spending. *American Journal of Political Science*, 39: 981.
- Worldwide Concern about the Environment. 1989. *Our Planet* 1, no. 2/3: 14–15.
- World Wildlife Fund (WWF). 1997a. State of the Climate (USMELL.092997) [datafile]. August 11–14,  $n = 800$ . Administered by the Mellman Group. Washington, DC: WWF.
- World Wildlife Fund (WWF). 1997b. Voters Believe Global Warming Is a Reality. <[http://www.panda.org/climate\\_event/poll.htm](http://www.panda.org/climate_event/poll.htm)>. Washington, DC: WWF.
- Yankelovich Clancy Shulman. 1992. Survey [datafile]. January 16,  $n = 1,000$ . Westport, CT: Yankelovich Clancy Shulman.
- Zaller, John. 1992. *Report on 1991 Pilot Items on Environment*. Ann Arbor, MI: National Election Studies (February 2).
- Zaller, John, and Stanley Feldman. 1992. A Simple Theory of the Survey Response: Answering Questions versus Revealing Preferences. *American Political Science Review*, 36: 580.
- Zisk, Betty H. 1987. *Money, Media, and the Grassroots: State Ballot Issues and the Electoral Process*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.



