

STEPHEN L. ROSS AND JOHN YINGER

# THE COLOR OF CREDIT

MORTGAGE DISCRIMINATION,

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY, AND

FAIR-LENDING ENFORCEMENT



# The Color of Credit



# **The Color of Credit**

Mortgage Discrimination,  
Research Methodology,  
and Fair-Lending  
Enforcement

Stephen Ross and John  
Yinger

The MIT Press  
Cambridge, Massachusetts  
London, England

© 2002 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or information storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from the publisher.

This book was set in Palatino on 3B2 by Asco Typesetters, Hong Kong, and was printed and bound in the United States of America.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Ross, Stephen L.

The color of credit : mortgage discrimination, research methodology, and fair-lending enforcement / Stephen Ross, John Yinger.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-262-18228-9 (hc : alk. paper)

1. Discrimination in mortgage loans—United States. I. Yinger, John, 1947– II. Title.

HG2040.2 .R67 2003

332.7'2'0973—dc21

2002024413

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

# Contents

Acknowledgments	vii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 The Mortgage Market and the Definition of Mortgage Lending Discrimination</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>3 A Conceptual Framework for Mortgage Lending</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>4 The Literature on Mortgage Lending Discrimination up to and Including the Boston Fed Study</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>5 Evaluating Criticisms of the Boston Fed Study</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>6 Accounting for Variation in Underwriting Standards across Lenders</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>7 Other Dimensions of Discrimination: Pricing, Redlining, and Cultural Affinity</b>	<b>213</b>
<b>8 Using Performance Data to Study Mortgage Discrimination: Evaluating the Default Approach</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>9 Lender Behavior, Loan Performance, and Disparate-Impact Discrimination</b>	<b>273</b>
<b>10 Implications for Fair-Lending Enforcement</b>	<b>313</b>

**Appendixes****A Technical Appendix** 371**B Data Appendix** 387  
Bo Zhao

Notes 389

References 425

Name Index 443

Subject Index 449

## Acknowledgments

In the spring of 1998, Margery Turner of the Urban Institute in Washington, D.C., asked us to join a HUD-sponsored project on discrimination in mortgage lending. Our jobs were to conduct a literature review and to evaluate key issues in the debate using the best available data. Although we did not know it at the time, our decision to participate in this project put us on the road that led to this book. In fact, the chapters we wrote for that project's final report (Ross and Yinger, 1999a, 1999b, and 1999c), appear, after much revision, in chapters 5 through 8 of this book. It is safe to say that this book never would have been written if we had not had this foundation to build on.

To begin, therefore, we would like to thank Margery for inviting us to join her project and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for sponsoring it. While participating in this project, we learned and benefited from the comments of other members of the project team, and we would like to thank them: Michele DeLair, David Levine, Diane Levy, Felicity Skidmore, Robin Smith, and Kenneth Temkin.

Starting in the summer of 2000, we also received funding from the Ford Foundation. This funding allowed us to merge two data sets and to conduct the new empirical analysis in chapter 6. It also supported the new conceptual analysis that appears throughout the book. We are grateful to Melvin Oliver, Vice-President for Asset Building and Community Development, and, especially, Frank DeGiovanni, Director for Economic Development, for their support and encouragement. We would also like to thank our research assistant, Bo Zhao, who did an excellent job with the data-merger project and who drafted the data appendix.



We have been fortunate to receive very helpful comments from several colleagues, including Marsha Courchane, Edward Gramlich, John Harding, and Christopher Richardson. In addition, MIT Press found five anonymous reviewers for our original manuscript, and the final version is much better for their comments and suggestions. None of these helpful people should be held responsible for mistakes we might have made or positions we have taken.

We would probably still be working on this book were it not for the excellent support we have received from the staff at the Center for Policy Research in the Maxwell School at Syracuse University. Kitty Nasto helped with many different parts of the manuscript, especially the bibliography, figures, and tables. Mary Santy helped with the correspondence and provided word-processing advice. Denise Paul prepared the indexes.

Our most important debt is to our families, who have put up with us even during the most intense days of this project and who have given us love and support throughout. We hope that our children will someday live in an America where discrimination in mortgage lending is a thing of the past.

# The Color of Credit




### 1.1 Mortgage Discrimination and the American Dream

The last decade has witnessed a flowering of scholarly research on racial and ethnic discrimination in mortgage lending. The findings in this literature are obviously of great importance to millions of American families and also concern community groups, lenders, and public officials. This book reviews, interprets, and extends this literature. Our analysis has profound implications for fair-lending enforcement. We explain some serious flaws in the current enforcement system and show how to fix them.

The topic of discrimination in mortgage lending has, of course, great intrinsic interest. The United States is a nation of homeowners, and the homeownership rate stood at an all-time high of 67.8 percent in 2001 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2001, table 20). Homeownership is the most commonly used method for wealth accumulation,<sup>1</sup> and it is widely viewed as critical for access to the nicest communities and the best local public services, especially education.<sup>2</sup> In addition, one study (Green and White, 1997) finds evidence that homeownership provides nonfinancial benefits, such as problem-solving and management skills, that help the children of homeowners stay in school and stay out of trouble. Another recent study (DiPasquale and Glaeser, 1999) presents evidence that homeownership is associated with investments in social capital, such as membership in nonprofit organizations or helping to solve local problems.

Homeownership and mortgage lending are linked, of course, because the vast majority of home purchases are made with the help of a mortgage loan. In fact, about 8.3 million applications for home purchase mortgages were received by lenders in 2000 alone (FFIEC, 2001b, tables 4-1 and 4-2).<sup>3</sup> In this setting, barriers to obtaining a



**Figure 1.1**  
Homeownership rates, 1983–2001.  
Source: HUD (2002).

mortgage must be taken seriously because they represent obstacles to attaining the American dream of owning one's own home. These barriers take on added urgency when they are related to race or ethnicity, that is, when they draw on and compound social divisions that have troubled this nation for centuries.

A hint about the potential power of mortgage discrimination is provided by the long-standing gaps in homeownership rates between black and white households and between Hispanic and white households.<sup>4</sup> In 2001, the homeownership rate for non-Hispanic whites, 74.3 percent, was 26.6 percentage points higher than the homeownership rate for blacks, 47.7 percent, and 27.0 points higher than the rate for Hispanics, 47.3 percent (U.S. Census Bureau, 2002, table 20). As shown in figure 1.1, these gaps have exceeded twenty-five percentage points since 1988 and are now higher than they were in the early 1980s.<sup>5</sup> They could be caused, of course, by many factors

other than discrimination in mortgage lending, such as intergroup income differences resulting from past discrimination in labor markets or current discrimination by real estate brokers. Nevertheless, the magnitude and persistence of these gaps suggests that all the factors potentially contributing to them, including mortgage discrimination, should be carefully investigated.

## 1.2 Seeds of Change

Despite the intrinsic importance of discrimination in mortgage lending, few scholars addressed the topic before 1990. Although, as we will see, some important research on the topic was conducted before this time, articles and books on the topic were few and far between, and the results of this research were not widely known.

The first seed for the new burst of attention to the subject was planted in 1988 by a series of Pulitzer Prize-winning articles called "The Color of Money," which were published in the *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*.<sup>6</sup> These articles described practices by Atlanta's lending institutions that appeared to be discriminatory and documented a relatively small flow of mortgage funds to Atlanta's black neighborhoods. Similar articles later appeared in several other newspapers.<sup>7</sup>

A second seed was planted in 1989 when Congress amended the reporting requirements in the 1975 Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA). The original purpose of this act was to provide information about the geography of loan origins, so it required the vast majority of lenders to indicate the location of each property associated with a mortgage application and the ultimate disposition of the application.<sup>8</sup> This purpose was reendorsed when HMDA was renewed in 1980 and made permanent in 1987. In 1989, the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act amended HMDA by requiring lenders to provide information on the race and ethnicity of each loan applicant.<sup>9</sup> With these new requirements, therefore, lenders had to report their loan denial rates by racial and ethnic group, as well as by location.

When the HMDA data collected under these amendments were first released in 1991, they revealed a striking disparity in the loan denial rates for different groups. In fact, the denial rate for blacks on conventional home purchase loans was almost two-and-a-half times the rate for whites, and the Hispanic rate was 50 percent higher than the white rate (Canner and Smith, 1991). Although, as we will see, these results do not provide definitive evidence of discrimination,

they are still quite troubling, and they received a great deal of publicity at the time they were released. They were, for example, the focus of front-page articles in the *New York Times* and the *Wall Street Journal* (see Quint, 1991, and Thomas, 1991, 1992a, 1992b).

The third seed was planted by the Justice Department. Thanks to the “Color of Money” series, the Justice Department initiated an investigation of Decatur Federal Savings and Loan, one of the Atlanta lenders that appeared to be discriminating, based on the information in the series. The 1990 HMDA data were released in the middle of this investigation, adding motivation—and evidence—to the Justice Department’s case. Ultimately, the Justice Department was able to document extensive discrimination, and Decatur Federal signed a consent decree in the fall of 1992: the first high-profile settlement of a mortgage discrimination case.<sup>10</sup> Under this consent decree, Decatur Federal paid \$1 million to 48 black applicants whose loans had been denied and altered its marketing practices (Ritter, 1996). This case also made a major contribution to the understanding of discrimination in mortgage lending through the development of new enforcement tools, including regression analysis of loan approval decisions.

The 1990 HMDA data also made an impression on many officials in the federal financial regulatory agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). With support and advice from people in these agencies and from some academics, researchers at the Boston Federal Reserve Bank planted a fourth seed. Specifically, they decided to supplement the HMDA data with extensive information on individual loan applications so that it would be possible to isolate discriminatory behavior by lenders.<sup>11</sup> The resulting study, widely known as the Boston Fed Study, first appeared in 1992 (Munnell et al., 1992), and a revised version was published in 1996 (Munnell et al., 1996). The revised version of this study received a great deal of attention in part because it was published in the *American Economic Review*, which is widely regarded as the leading journal in economics.

The original version of this study concluded that “even after controlling for financial, employment, and neighborhood characteristics, black and Hispanic mortgage applicants in the Boston metropolitan area are roughly 60 percent more likely to be turned down than whites” (Munnell et al., 1992, p. 2), a result that can be interpreted as a sign of lending discrimination. After the study was revised in response to the comments of many readers, including anonymous

referees for the *American Economic Review*, the final version concluded that black and Hispanic applicants were about 80 percent more likely to be turned down than were comparable whites.

### 1.3 Profound Change and Unanswered Questions


These seeds have produced profound change in the public and academic debate about mortgage lending discrimination. To cite a few examples: The agencies involved in fair-lending enforcement, including the Federal Reserve, have developed new enforcement procedures and filed fair-lending complaints against several major lenders. Secondary mortgage market institutions have developed programs to promote loans for low-income and minority households. HUD has sponsored research on mortgage discrimination, and dozens, if not hundreds, of articles about mortgage lending discrimination have been published.<sup>12</sup>

For at least two reasons, however, all this activity has failed to produce a consensus on the magnitude or consequences of mortgage lending discrimination, and debate on these subjects remains very lively. First, many commentators believe that trends in the mortgage market since 1990, when the Boston Fed Study's data were collected, have resulted in a reduction in discrimination, but no such decline is apparent in the HMDA data. Second, a huge number of publications, both academic and nonacademic, have commented on the Boston Fed Study's findings and methodology, but opinions on the study vary enormously. Some commentators praise it as a significant advance in the study of lending discrimination. Others criticize its data and methods, and some even conclude that its conclusions are incorrect or at least highly misleading. Moreover, this literature has raised a variety of important new issues, but the data are not yet available to resolve them. This section pursues these two points. We review the HMDA data for the last several years and introduce the recent literature on mortgage lending discrimination, which is the foundation of this book.

#### 1.3.1 *The HMDA Data since 1994*

Despite the attention given to lending discrimination over the last decade by lenders, financial regulators, federal officials, secondary mortgage market institutions, and community groups, mortgage





Source: FFIEC (2001)


**Figure 1.2**

Conventional home purchase loan denial ratios by year.

Source: FFIEC (2001b).

loan applications from black and Hispanic households are still much more likely to be denied than are applications from whites. For conventional home purchase loans in 2000, the loan denial rate for blacks divided by the loan denial rate for whites (the black/white denial ratio, for short) was 2.00, which indicates that blacks were twice as likely as whites to be turned down for a loan.<sup>13</sup> Similarly, the Hispanic/white denial ratio was 1.41. In contrast, the Asian/white denial ratio was only 0.55, indicating that applications from Asians were far more likely to be accepted than were applications from whites. These ratios are summarized in figure 1.2.

These numbers cannot be directly compared to the 1990 numbers, because the coverage of the HMDA data was greatly expanded in 1992.<sup>14</sup> Clear comparisons can be made over the last several years, however, and, as shown in figure 1.2, the black/white denial ratio has fluctuated around 2.0 since 1995, with a high of 2.07 in 1998 and a low of 1.92 in 1999. The current ratio, 2.0, is slightly higher than the 1995 ratio, 1.95. The Hispanic/white denial ratio has fluctuated around the lower value of 1.5, but it exhibits a similar pattern over time, with a relatively high value in 1998 and a relatively low value



Source: FFIEC (2001)


**Figure 1.3**

Loan denial ratios by loan type, 2000.

Source: FFIEC (2001b).

in 1999. Its current value, 1.41, is slightly below its value in 1995, 1.43. The Asian/white denial ratio has stayed fairly close to 0.5, but it follows a smoother pattern. It declined steadily from 0.61 in 1995 to 0.45 in 1998 and then increased steadily to 0.55 in 2000.

Two other persistent results in the basic HMDA data raise additional questions about mortgage lending that have intrigued scholars and policy makers (Avery, Beeson, and Sniderman, 1996a). First, blacks and Hispanics are also more likely than whites to be turned down for government-insured home purchase loans, refinance loans, and home improvement loans. For these types of loans, Asians also face a higher denial probability than do whites (see figure 1.3). These results raise, but do not answer, two important questions: Is there discrimination in the market for government-insured loans? Do black, Hispanic, and Asian households face discrimination when they apply for refinancing or a home improvement loan, even though these applicants have, by definition, demonstrated their creditworthiness by receiving a home purchase mortgage and then meeting the obligations associated with it?



Source: FFIEC (2001)

**Figure 1.4**

Loan denial ratios by income class, 2000.

Source: FFIEC (2001b).

Second, loan denial rates are higher for black and Hispanic applicants than for white applicants at all income levels. Moreover, for blacks, Hispanics, and Asians, the minority/white denial ratio increases steadily with income. This increase is particularly striking for blacks; the denial ratio is only 1.19 in the lowest income category but climbs to 2.48 in the highest category (see figure 1.4). Thus, the higher denial rates for blacks and Hispanics do not appear simply to reflect the fact that these groups have lower average incomes than do whites.<sup>15</sup>

Of course, these higher denial rates also do not prove that blacks and Hispanics face discrimination in mortgage lending, because they do not account for possible differences in loan features or borrower creditworthiness across groups. The differences are so dramatic, however, that they focus attention on the possibility that this type of discrimination might exist. Indeed, the academic literature reviewed in this book is largely devoted to finding out the extent to which the loan approval disparities in the HMDA data and in other indicators of lender behavior reflect racial and ethnic discrimination.

### 1.3.2 *The Literature since the Boston Fed Study*

For at least three reasons, no consensus has emerged from the huge literature on mortgage lending discrimination that has appeared since the original version of the Boston Fed Study was released.

First, opinions on the Boston Fed Study vary widely, to say the least.<sup>16</sup> One commentator declared, in the title of his piece, that the study “deserves no credit” (Liebouitz, 1993); another argued that the study is “invalid” (Becker, 1993a). In contrast, one review and reanalysis of the Boston Fed Study’s data concluded that the study “clearly demonstrated” the existence of discrimination (Carr and Megbolugbe, 1993). To some degree, this range of opinion reflects the inherent complexity of the topic. As we will see, any study of mortgage lending discrimination must grapple with a complex set of methodological issues, and scholars do not agree about which methodology is best for the issues and data involved. They also do not agree on the best way to interpret the Boston Fed Study’s findings. Nevertheless, many of the issues in this debate concerning methodology and interpretation can be clarified, if not resolved, by a careful examination of the Boston Fed Study’s data, which have been made available to interested scholars.

Second, disagreements about the Boston Fed Study persist because no comparable data set has yet been assembled. A great deal of research on mortgage lending discrimination, some of it very informative, has been conducted since the initial report on the Boston Fed Study was released. Nevertheless, the study has not been replicated for another place or time, let alone improved. All subsequent studies that apply to more than one lender must rely on data that are less complete than the data used for the Boston Fed Study. Given the importance of the topic and the striking nature of the Boston Fed Study’s findings, this lack of replication is unfortunate and puzzling. Many institutions, including the federal financial regulatory agencies, the large secondary mortgage market institutions, and HUD clearly have the authority to collect the type of data needed for such a replication. Why haven’t any of these institutions collected such data? We do not know the answer to this question, but we do know that scholars and policymakers cannot come to credible conclusions about the current importance of mortgage lending discrimination without access to data of this type.

Third, the debate about mortgage discrimination must grapple with a series of striking changes in mortgage markets over the last decade or two. These changes, which include dramatic growth in nondepository lenders and in automated underwriting, have raised new issues that the Boston Fed Study was not designed to address. Some scholars argue that these changes will lead to less discrimination; others argue that they will lead to more. The impact of these changes on mortgage discrimination has not been widely studied, however, and these arguments have hardly been addressed, let alone resolved, with empirical evidence.

#### **1.4 The Plan of This Book**

The main purposes of this book are to explain what has been learned about mortgage lending discrimination in recent years and then, building on that understanding, both to reanalyze existing data and to devise new tests for discrimination in contemporary mortgage markets. Although we do not have any new data to work with, we show that many of the contentious issues that appear in the recent literature can be addressed with the publicly available data collected for the Boston Fed Study, merged, in some cases, with other data that are also publicly available. Much of the recent debate concerns the best methodology for estimating mortgage lending discrimination. We blend the strengths of several existing methods to develop new, straightforward procedures that provide clear estimates of discrimination and avoid the statistical and interpretive problems that have plagued other approaches. These procedures rely on data that could easily be collected by governmental agencies or secondary mortgage market institutions and that could, in principle, be made available to scholars. In addition, these procedures could eliminate a major weakness in the current fair-lending enforcement system, namely, that it looks for only one, narrowly defined type of discrimination. They could also be applied not only to discrimination in loan approval, but also to discrimination in credit-scoring schemes or in loan pricing.

We begin, in chapter 2, by providing some background information on mortgage markets and on the definition of discrimination. We present some basic facts about the operation of the mortgage market and explore recent trends in this market, with a focus on trends that may affect minority households' access to mortgage

loans. These trends are important in part because they are one source of the existing confusion about the importance of mortgage lending discrimination. In addition, we describe in some detail both the laws against lending discrimination and the enforcement system these laws create. The chapter concludes by presenting a preliminary framework for estimating the extent of lending discrimination, employing a definition of discrimination that is consistent with existing fair-lending legislation. This framework is then used to introduce some of the methodological issues that appear throughout the book, such as the biases that can arise when a study does not control for all relevant credit characteristics.

In chapter 3, we lay down a conceptual foundation for our work by reviewing the literature on mortgage markets in general. This review is designed to highlight the complex setting in which a loan approval decision takes place. More specifically, we build on the literature to derive a series of lessons, which we draw on in later chapters, concerning the specification of tests for discrimination in loan approval. For example, we show that a study of lending discrimination may not be able to eliminate biases without controlling not only for variables that directly influence the loan approval decision, but also for variables that influence lender actions during earlier stages of the lending process.

Chapter 4 turns to the early literature on mortgage lending discrimination, defined as the literature up to and including the Boston Fed Study (Munnell et al., 1996). We review all the early studies of which we are aware and discuss the major contributions to the literature. Not surprisingly, many, if not most, of the issues we struggle with in this book were first raised by one or more of these early studies. The chapter also describes the Boston Fed Study, but an evaluation of this study is postponed until the following chapter.

As noted above, the Boston Fed Study has been widely criticized. In chapter 5, we evaluate in detail every criticism of which we are aware, including those concerning problems arising from omitted variables, from data errors in the explanatory variables, from misclassification in the dependent variable, from incorrect specification, and from endogenous explanatory variables. We examine the claims of both the critics and the defenders of the Boston Fed Study. We then formally model the issues raised by each criticism and employ the public-use version of the Boston Fed Study's data set to determine which of these criticisms have merit. Although several other

studies have explored potential flaws in the Boston Fed Study, our evaluation is the most comprehensive review yet attempted.

We find that several critics of the Boston Fed Study raise legitimate issues that are worthy of further exploration. Our analysis of these issues leads to two principal conclusions. First, the large minority-white disparity in loan approval found by the Boston Fed Study cannot be explained by data errors, misclassification, omitted variables, or the endogeneity of loan terms. Second, the interpretation of the Boston Fed Study's results depends heavily on an issue not adequately considered by the study's authors, namely, whether different lenders use different underwriting standards. More specifically, the Boston Fed Study cannot rule out the possibility that the minority-white disparity in loan approval reflects variation in underwriting standards across lenders, not discrimination.

The issue of across-lender variation in underwriting standards is so important that we give it a chapter of its own, chapter 6. This issue has been one of the central themes of the literature since the Boston Fed Study, and we begin by reviewing this literature in some detail. We then merge the public-use version of the Boston Fed Study's with the comparable HMDA data, a step that allows us to identify lenders, and provide new estimates of the impact of across-lender variation in underwriting standards on estimated minority-white disparities in loan approval. We find evidence that underwriting standards do, indeed, vary across lenders. We also find, however, using several different methods, that accounting for this variation has no impact on the estimated loan approval disparity. We conclude that the minority-white disparity in the Boston Fed Study's data does provide strong evidence of discrimination in loan approval.

Chapters 7 and 8 complete our review of recent literature. Chapter 7 concentrates on dimensions of discrimination that are related to but distinct from discrimination in loan approval. Specifically, it explores recent literature on redlining, defined as lending discrimination based on a property's location, and on discrimination in loan terms.<sup>17</sup> This literature is in its infancy, but several studies suggest that redlining and discrimination in the setting of mortgage interest rates appear to occur in some circumstances. In addition, this chapter reviews the literature on the causes of discrimination in loan approval. For example, we explore the hypothesis, developed in several recent articles, that discrimination arises because white lend-

ing officials often lack a “cultural affinity” with minority applicants. Clear evidence on the causes of lending discrimination does not yet exist, but our review of the conceptual literature provides background both for the subsequent chapter and for the policy issues discussed at the end of the book.

Chapter 8 addresses an alternative approach to studying discrimination in loan approval, namely, an investigation of minority-white differences in loan defaults. According to this approach, discrimination in loan approval involves holding minority applicants to a higher standard than white applicants. If discrimination exists, the argument goes, minority loans, that is, approved applications, will be of higher quality than white loans, as determined by their default rate. This “default approach” has received a great deal of attention, in part because several scholars have claimed that it refutes the Boston Fed Study’s finding that discrimination exists.

The literature on the default approach performs a valuable service by bringing loan performance information into the discussion. Despite its intuitive appeal, however, this approach runs into unsurmountable methodological obstacles. Most importantly, we show that the default approach cannot detect discrimination unless some underwriting variables are excluded from the analysis but yields biased results if the variables that are excluded are correlated with minority status. No study even observes the correlation between excluded variables and minority status, let alone demonstrates that this correlation equals zero. Several recent studies have introduced creative methods for overcoming these obstacles, but we show that all of these methods meet with limited success, at best. We conclude that an analysis of loan defaults alone cannot provide credible evidence concerning the existence of discrimination in loan approval.

Chapter 9 brings together the literatures on loan approval, loan performance, and types of lending discrimination. We first show that a certain type of discrimination can easily be incorporated into a credit-scoring or other automated underwriting system, even one derived from seemingly group-neutral statistical procedures. This type of discrimination is likely to be missed by most current research and enforcement procedures, but it can serve as a substitute for other types of discrimination that these procedures are designed to uncover. Second, we devise new tests for discrimination based on a data set combining information on loan performance, as measured, say, by defaults, and on loan approval. These tests capture all types



of discrimination and avoid the methodological problems that have plagued other approaches. Finally, we bring several key themes of the book together by demonstrating that our new tests can provide an accurate estimate of discrimination even if different lenders use different underwriting standards.

In our final chapter, chapter 10, we apply our results to an analysis of the current federal fair-lending enforcement system. We begin by reviewing court decisions and regulations concerning fair lending. Most of the relevant court cases and virtually all of the relevant regulations have been developed for cases involving discrimination in employment. We explore what is known about the application of these cases to discrimination in mortgage lending and develop principles to guide regulations for the enforcement of fair-lending legislation. Moreover, we also draw on our analysis in chapters 6 and 9 to develop fair-lending enforcement procedures that are consistent with existing legal standards. Specifically, we develop two new enforcement tools that capture all types of discrimination if (and only if) they exist. One of these tools is based on loan approval data, and the other combines data on loan approval and on loan performance. We also explain how tools blending approval and performance data could be adapted to consider discrimination in the scores produced by automated underwriting systems and in loan pricing.

Chapter 10 also offers an evaluation of existing fair-lending enforcement procedures. We show that these procedures are seriously inadequate in the sense that they are incapable of identifying many cases of discrimination. Indeed, as currently designed, the enforcement system picks up only extreme cases of a certain type of discrimination in loan approval among a subset of lenders! To put it another way, existing procedures completely miss certain types of discrimination in loan approval and virtually all discrimination in credit scoring and other automated underwriting practices or in loan pricing. We do not know how much discrimination actually exists in these types of behavior, but our analysis in chapter 9 demonstrates that discrimination is easy to introduce into an automated underwriting scheme, even one with apparently group-neutral procedures. It is certainly inappropriate for enforcement officials to ignore large categories of discrimination without any evidence about their incidence. The implementation of our new enforcement tools, or equivalent ones, is required to preserve access to the American dream for all Americans.

## References

- Ambrose, Brent W., R. J. Buttner, and Charles A. Capone. 1997. "Pricing Mortgage Default and Foreclosure Delay." *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, 29(3) (August): 314–325.
- Ambrose, Brent W., and Charles A. Capone. 1996a. "Cost-Benefit Analysis of Single-Family Foreclosure Alternatives." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 13(2) (September): 105–120.
- Ambrose, Brent W., and Charles A. Capone. 1996b. "Do Lenders Discriminate in Processing Defaults?" *Cityscape: A Journal of Policy Development and Research*, 2(1) (February): 89–98.
- Amemiya, Takeshi. 1974. "Multivariate Regression and Simultaneous Equation Models When the Dependent Variables Are Truncated Normal." *Econometrica*, 42(6) (November): 999–1012.
- Arrow, Kenneth. 1973. "The Theory of Discrimination." In Orley Ashenfelter and Albert Rees, eds. *Discrimination in Labor Markets*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, pp. 3–42.
- Artle, Roland, and Pravin Varaiya. 1978. "Life Cycle Consumption and Homeownership." *Journal of Economic Theory*, 18(1) (June): 38–58.
- Ashenfelter, Orley, and Ronald Oaxaca. 1987. "The Economics of Discrimination: Economists Enter the Courtroom." *American Economic Review*, 77 (May): 321–325.
- Avery, Robert B., and Patricia E. Beeson. 1998. "Neighborhood, Race, and the Mortgage Market: Evidence from HMDA Data." Paper presented at the Annual American Economic Association/National Economic Association Meetings, Chicago, January.
- Avery, Robert B., Patricia E. Beeson, and Paul D. Calem. 1997. "Using HMDA Data as a Regulatory Screen for Fair Lending Compliance." *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 11(2) (April): 9–42.
- Avery, Robert B., Patricia E. Beeson, and Mark S. Sniderman. 1996a. "Accounting for Racial Differences in Housing Credit Markets." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 75–142.
- Avery, Robert B., Patricia E. Beeson, and Mark S. Sniderman. 1996b. "Posted Rates and Mortgage Lending Activity." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 13(1) (July): 11–26.

- Avery, Robert B., Patricia E. Beeson, and Mark S. Sniderman. 1999. "Neighborhood Information and Mortgage Lending." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 45(2) (March): 287–310.
- Avery, Robert B., Raphael W. Bostic, Paul S. Calem, and Glenn B. Canner. 1996. "Credit Risk, Credit Scoring, and the Performance of Home Mortgages." *Federal Reserve Bulletin*, 82(7) (July): 621–648.
- Avery, Robert B., Raphael W. Bostic, Paul S. Calem, and Glenn B. Canner. 1999. "Trends in Home Purchase Lending: Consolidation and the Community Reinvestment Act." *Federal Reserve Bulletin* (February): 81–102.
- Avery, Robert B., Raphael W. Bostic, Paul S. Calem, and Glenn B. Canner. 2000. "Credit Scoring: Statistical Issues and Evidence from Credit-Bureau Files." *Real Estate Economics*, 28(3) (Fall): 523–547.
- Ayres, Ian. Forthcoming. *Pervasive Prejudice? Unconventional Evidence of Race and Gender Discrimination*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Ayres, Ian. 2001. "Expert Report." Case No. 3-98-0223, *Cason v. Nissan*, U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, May 25.
- Ayres, Ian, and Peter Siegelman. 1995. "Race and Gender Discrimination in Bargaining for a New Car." *American Economic Review*, 85(3) (June): 304–321.
- Barth, James R., Joseph J. Cordes, and Anthony M. J. Yezer. 1979. "Financial Institution Regulations, Redlining, and Mortgage Markets." In *The Regulation of Financial Institutions*. Conference Series No. 21. Boston: Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, pp. 101–143.
- Becker, Gary S. 1971. *The Economics of Discrimination*. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. (Original work published in 1957.)
- Becker, Gary S. 1993a. "The Evidence against Banks Doesn't Prove Bias." *Business Week*, April 19, p. 18.
- Becker, Gary S. 1993b. "Nobel Lecture: The Economic Way of Looking at Behavior." *Journal of Political Economy*, 101(3) (June): 385–409.
- Belton, Robert B. 1993. "The Unfinished Agenda of the Civil Rights Act of 1991." *Rutgers Law Review*, 45(4) (Summer): 921–941.
- Ben-Shahar, Danny, and David Feldman. 2001. "Signaling-Screening Equilibrium in the Mortgage Market." Working paper, Arison School of Business, The Interdisciplinary Center, Herzliya, Israel.
- Bergsman, Steve. 1999. "Reexamining Subprime Insurers." *Mortgage Banking* (May): 24–29.
- Berkovec, James A., Glenn B. Canner, Stuart A. Gabriel, and Timothy H. Hannan. 1994. "Race, Redlining, and Residential Mortgage Loan Performance." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 9(3) (November): 263–294.
- Berkovec, James A., Glenn B. Canner, Stuart A. Gabriel, and Timothy H. Hannan. 1996. "Response to Critiques of 'Mortgage Discrimination and FHA Loan Performance.'" *Cityscape: A Journal of Policy Research*, 2(1) (February): 49–54.
- Berkovec, James A., Glenn B. Canner, Stuart A. Gabriel, and Timothy H. Hannan. 1998. "Discrimination, Competition, and Loan Performance in FHA Mortgage Lending." *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 80(2) (May): 241–250.

- Berkovec, James A., and Peter Zorn. 1996. "How Complete Is HMDA? HMDA Coverage of Freddie Mac Purchases." *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 11(1): 39–55.
- Besanko, David, and Anjan V. Thakor. 1987. "Collateral and Rationing: Sorting Equilibria in Monopolist and Competitive Credit Markets." *International Economic Review*, 28(3) (October): 671–689.
- Black, Harold A. 1995. "HMDA Data and Regulatory Inquiries Regarding Discrimination." In Anthony M. Yezer, ed., *Fair Lending Analysis: A Compendium of Essays on the Use of Statistics*. Washington, DC: American Bankers Association, pp. 147–154.
- Black, Harold A., Thomas P. Boem, and Ramon P. DeGennaro. 1999. "Overages in Mortgage Pricing." Unpublished manuscript. Department of Finance, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY.
- Black, Harold A., M. Cary Collins, and Ken B. Cyree. 1997. "Do Black-Owned Banks Discriminate against Black Borrowers?" *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 11(2) (April): 189–204.
- Black, Harold A., and Robert L. Schweitzer. 1985. "A Canonical Analysis of Mortgage Lending Terms: Testing for Discrimination at a Commercial Bank." *Urban Studies*, 22(1) (February): 13–19.
- Black, Harold A., Robert L. Schweitzer, and Lewis Mandell. 1978. "Discrimination in Mortgage Lending." *American Economic Review*, 68(2) (May): 186–191.
- Blackburn, McKinley, and Todd Vermilyea. 2001. "Racial Discrimination in Home Purchase Mortgage Lending among Large National Banks." Unpublished manuscript. Moore School of Business, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC.
- Bloom, David E., Beth Preiss, and James Trussell. 1983. "Mortgage Lending Discrimination and the Decision to Apply: A Methodological Note." *Journal of the American Real Estate and Urban Economics Association*, 11(1) (Spring): 97–103.
- Blossom, Teresa, David Everett, and John Gallagher. 1988. "Detroit Banking: The Race for Money." *Detroit Free Press*, July 24, p. 27.
- Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. 1998. *85th Annual Report*. Washington, DC: Federal Reserve System.
- Bogdon, Amy S., and Carol A. Bell, eds. 2000. *Making Fair Lending a Reality in the New Millennium: Proceedings, June 30, 1999*. Washington, DC: FannieMae Foundation.
- Börsch-Supan, Axel. 1987. *Econometric Analysis of Discrete Choice*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Börsch-Supan, Axel, and John Pitkin. 1988. "On Discrete Choice Models of Housing Demand." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 24(2) (September): 153–172.
- Bostic, Raphael W. 1996. "The Role of Race in Mortgage Lending: Revisiting the Boston Fed Study." Working paper, Division of Research and Statistics, The Federal Reserve Board of Governors, Washington, DC, December.
- Bostic, Raphael W., and Glenn B. Canner. 1997. "Do Minority-Owned Banks Treat Minorities Better? An Empirical Test of the Cultural Affinity Hypothesis." Unpublished manuscript. Washington, DC. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

- Boyes, William J., Dennis L. Hoffman, and Stuart A. Low. 1989. "An Econometric Analysis of the Bank Credit Scoring Problem." *Journal of Econometrics*, 40(1) (January): 3–14.
- Bradbury, Katherine L., Karl E. Case, and Constance R. Dunham. 1989. "Geographic Patterns of Mortgage Lending in Boston, 1982–87." *New England Economic Review* (September/October): 3–30.
- Brendsel, Leland C. 2000. "Written Statement." Hearings before the Subcommittee on Capital Markets, Securities, and Government Sponsored Enterprises, Committee on Banking and Financial Services, U.S. House of Representatives, May 16. Available online at <http://financialservices.house.gov/banking/51600wit.htm>.
- Brenner, Joel Glenn, and Liz Spayd. 1993. "A Pattern of Bias in Mortgage Loans: Statistics Show Blacks at a Disadvantage." *Washington Post*, June 6, p. A1.
- Brimelow, Peter. 1993. "Racism at Work?" *National Review*, April 12, p. 42.
- Brimelow, Peter, and Leslie Spencer. 1993. "Mortgage Lending: Surprise! The Evidence Suggests that Banks are Color-Blind When It Comes to Mortgage Lending." *Forbes*, 151(1), January 4, p. 48.
- Browne, Lynn E., and Gregory M. Tootell. 1995. "Mortgage Lending in Boston: A Response to the Critics." *New England Economic Review* (September/October): 53–78.
- Bru Eckner, Jan K. 1986. "The Downpayment Constraint and Housing Tenure Choice: A Simplified Exposition." *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 16(4) (November): 519–525.
- Bru Eckner, Jan K. 1996. "Default Rates and Mortgage Discrimination: A View of the Controversy." *Cityscape*, 2(1): 65–68.
- Buist, Henry, Peter Linneman, and Isaac F. Megbolugbe. 1999. "Residential Lending Discrimination and Lender Compensation Policies." *Journal of the American Real Estate and Urban Economics Association*, 27(4) (Winter): 695–717.
- Bunce, Harold L., Debbie Gruenstein, Christopher E. Herbert, and Randall M. Scheesele. 2001. "Subprime Foreclosures: The Smoking Gun of Predatory Lending?" In Susan M. Wachter and R. Leo Peene, eds., *Housing Policy in the New Millennium Conference Proceedings*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, pp. 257–272. Available online at <http://www.huduser.org/publications/pdf/brd/12Bunce.pdf>.
- Bunce, Harold L., William J. Reeder, and Randall M. Scheesele. 1999. "Understanding Consumer Credit and Mortgage Scoring: A Work in Progress." Paper presented at Fannie Mae Foundation Roundtable "Making Fair Lending a Reality in the New Millennium," Washington, DC, June 30.
- Cain, Glen G. 1986. "The Economic Analysis of Labor Market Discrimination: A Survey." In Orley Ashenfelter and Richard Layard, eds., *Handbook of Labor Economics*. Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 693–785.
- Calem, Paul S., and Glenn Canner. 1995. "Integrating Statistical Analysis into Fair Lending Exams: The Fed's Approach." In Anthony M. Yezer, ed., *Fair Lending Analysis: A Compendium of Essays on the Use of Statistics*. Washington, DC: American Bankers Association, pp. 117–126.

- Calem, Paul S., and Michael Stutzer. 1995. "The Simple Analytics of Observed Discrimination in Credit Markets." *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 4(3) (July): 189–212.
- Calem, Paul S. 1996. "Mortgage Credit Availability in Low- and Moderate-Income Minority Neighborhoods: Are Information Externalities Critical?" *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 13(1) (July): 71–89.
- Calem, Paul S., and Stanley D. Longhofer. 2000. "Anatomy of a Fair-Lending Exam: The Uses and Limitations of Statistics." Unpublished manuscript. Washington, DC, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
- Calomiris, Charles W., Charles M. Kahn, and Stanley D. Longhofer. 1994. "Housing-Finance Intervention and Private Incentives: Helping Minorities and the Poor." *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, 26(3) (August/Part 2): 675–678.
- Canner, Glenn B., and Stuart A. Gabriel. 1992. "Market Segmentation and Lender Specialization in the Primary and Secondary Mortgage Markets." *Housing Policy Debate*, 3(2): 241–329.
- Canner, Glenn B., and Wayne Passmore. 1999. "The Role of Specialized Lenders in Extending Mortgages to Lower-Income and Minority Homebuyers." *Federal Reserve Bulletin*, 85(11) (November): 709–723.
- Canner, Glenn B., and Dolores S. Smith. 1991. "Home Mortgage Disclosure Act: Expanded Data on Residential Lending." *Federal Reserve Bulletin*, 77(11) (November): 859–881.
- Card, David. 2000. "The Causal Effect of Education on Earning." In O. C. Ashenfelter and D. Card, eds., *Handbook of Labor Economics*, vol. 3A. Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 1801–1864.
- Carr, James H., and Isaac F. Megbolugbe. 1993. "The Federal Reserve Bank of Boston Study on Mortgage Lending Revisited." *Journal of Housing Research*, 4(2): 277–313.
- Chamberlain, Gary. 1980. "Analysis of Covariance with Qualitative Data." *Review of Economic Studies* 47(1) (January): 225–238.
- Charles, Kerwin Kofi, and Erik Hurst. Forthcoming. "The Transition to Home Ownership and the Black-White Wealth Gap." *Review of Economics and Statistics*.
- Chinloy, Peter. 1989. "The Probability of Prepayment." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 2(4) (December): 267–283.
- Chinloy, Peter. 1995. "Public and Conventional Mortgage and Mortgage-Backed Securities." *Journal of Housing Research*, 6(2): 173–196.
- Chinloy, Peter, and Isaac F. Megbolugbe. 1994. "Hedonic Mortgages." *Journal of Housing Research*, 5(1): 1–21.
- Cincotta, Gale. 2000. Testimony before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Banking and Financial Services, May 24. Available online at <http://financialservices.house.gov/banking/52400wit.htm>.
- Clauret, Terrence M., and Stacy G. Sirmans. 1999. *Real Estate Finance: Theory and Practice*, 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Courant, Paul N. 1978. "Racial Prejudice in a Search Model of the Urban Housing Market." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 5(3) (July): 329–345.

- Courchane, Marsha, Amos Golan, and David Nickerson. 2000. "Estimation and Evaluation of Loan Discrimination: An Informational Approach." *Journal of Housing Research*, 11(1): 67–90.
- Courchane, Marsha, David Nebhut, and David Nickerson. 2000. "Lessons Learned: Statistical Techniques and Fair Lending." *Journal of Housing Research*, 11(2): 277–296.
- Courchane, Marsha, and David Nickerson. 1997. "Discrimination Resulting from Overage Practices." *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 11(1–2) (April): 133–151.
- Crawford, Gordon W., and Eric Rosenblatt. 1999. "Differences in the Cost of Mortgage Credit: Implications for Discrimination." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 19(2) (September): 147–159.
- Cutler, David M., Edward L. Glaeser, and Jacob L. Vigdor. 1999. "The Rise and Decline of the American Ghetto." *Journal of Political Economy*, 107(3) (June): 455–506.
- Cutts, Amy C., Robert A. Van Order, and Peter M. Zorn. 2001. "Adverse Selection, Licensing, and the Role of Securitization in Financial Market Evolution, Structure and Pricing." Working paper, Freddie Mac, Washington, DC.
- Day, T., and Stan J. Liebowitz. 1996. "Mortgages, Minorities, and HMDA." Paper presented at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, April.
- Dedman, B. 1988. "The Color of Money." *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, May 1, p. 4.
- Deng, Yongheng, and Stuart Gabriel. 2002. "Modeling the Performance of FHA-Insured Loans: Borrower Heterogeneity and the Exercise of Mortgage Default and Prepayment Options." Unpublished manuscript. Unicon Research Corporation, Santa Monica, CA, February.
- Deng, Yongheng, John M. Quigley, and Robert Van Order. 2000. "Mortgage Terminations, Heterogeneity and the Exercise of Mortgage Options." *Econometrica*, 68(2) (March): 275–307.
- Deng, Yongheng, Stephen L. Ross, and Susan M. Wachter. 1999. "Job Access, Residential Location, and Homeownership." Unpublished manuscript. Los Angeles: University of Southern California.
- Diamond, Peter A. 1982. "Aggregate Demand Management in Search Equilibrium." *Journal of Political Economy*, 90(5) (October): 881–894.
- Dietrich, Jason. 2001. "Missing Race Data in HMDA and the Implications for the Monitoring of Fair Lending Compliance." Economic and Policy Analysis working paper no. 2001-1, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, DC.
- DiPasquale, Denise, and Edward L. Glaeser. 1999. "Incentives and Social Capital: Are Homeowners Better Citizens?" *Journal of Urban Economics*, 45(2): 354–384.
- Dreier, Peter. 1991. "Redlining Cities: How Banks Color Community Development." *Challenge* (November/December): 15–23.
- Duca, John V., and Stuart S. Rosenthal. 1994. "Borrowing Constraints and Access to Owner-Occupied Housing." *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 24(3) (June): 301–322.
- Dunn, K. B., and J. J. McConnell. 1981. "Valuation of Mortgage-Backed Securities." *Journal of Finance*, 36(3) (June): 599–616.

- Dunn, K. B., and Chester S. Spatt. 1988. "Private Information and Incentives: Implications for Mortgage Contracts Terms and Pricing." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 1(1): 47–60.
- Engelhardt, Gary V. 1994. "House Prices and the Decision to Save for Down Payments." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 36(2) (September): 209–237.
- Engelhardt, Gary V., and Christopher J. Mayer. 1998. "Intergenerational Transfers, Borrowing Constraints, and Savings Behavior: Evidence from the Housing Market." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 44(1) (July): 135–157.
- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). 1978. *Uniform Guidelines on Employment Selection Procedures*. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 41, Volume 1, Part 60-3. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC). 1998. Press release, August 6. Available online at <http://www.ffiec.gov>.
- Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC). 1999. "Interagency Fair Lending Examination Procedures." Available online at <http://www.ffiec.gov>.
- Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC). 2001a. "Annual Report, 2000." Available online at <http://www.ffiec.gov>.
- Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC). 2001b. "HMDA Aggregate National Reports." Available online at <http://www.ffiec.gov>.
- Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC). 2001c. "Nationwide Summary Statistics for 2000 HMDA Data." Available online at <http://www.ffiec.gov>.
- Ferguson, Michael F., and Stephen R. Peters. 1995. "What Constitutes Evidence of Discrimination in Lending?" *Journal of Finance*, 50(3) (June): 739–748.
- Ferguson, Michael F., and Stephen R. Peters. 1997. "A Symmetric-Information Model of Credit Rationing." Unpublished manuscript, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH.
- Ferguson, Michael F., and Stephen R. Peters. 2000. "Is Lending Discrimination Always Costly?" *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 21(1) (July): 23–44.
- Figlio, David N., and Joseph W. Genshlea. 1999. "Bank Consolidations and Minority Neighborhoods." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 45(3) (May): 474–489.
- Fishbein, Allen J. 1992. "The Ongoing Experiment with 'Regulation from Below': Expanded Reporting Requirements for HMDA and CRA." *Housing Policy Debate*, 3(2): 601–636.
- Follain, James R. 1990. "Mortgage Choice." *American Real Estate and Urban Economics Association Journal*, 18(2) (Summer): 125–144.
- Follain, James R., and Peter M. Zorn. 1990. "The Unbundling of Residential Mortgage Finance." *Journal of Housing Research*, 1(1): 63–89.
- Foster, Chester, and Robert Van Order. 1984. "An Option-Based Model of Mortgage Default." *Housing Finance Review*, 3(4) (October): 351–372.
- Gabriel, Stuart A. 1996. "The Role of FHA in the Provision of Credit to Minorities." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 183–250.



- Galster, George C. 1992. "Research on Discrimination in Housing and Mortgage Markets: Assessment and Future Directions." *Housing Policy Debate*, 3(2): 639–683.
- Galster, George C. 1993a. "The Facts of Lending Discrimination Cannot Be Argued Away by Examining Default Rates." *Housing Policy Debate*, 4(1): 141–146.
- Galster, George C. 1993b. "Use of Testers in Investigating Discrimination in Mortgage Lending and Insurance." In Michael Fix and Raymond Struyk, eds., *Clear and Convincing Evidence*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 287–334.
- Galster, George C. 1996a. "Comparing Loan Performance between Races as a Test for Discrimination." *Cityscape: A Journal of Policy Development and Research*, 2(1) (February): 33–39.
- Galster, George C. 1996b. "Future Directions in Mortgage Discrimination Research and Enforcement." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute Press, pp. 679–716.
- Galster, George C., Fred Freiberg, and Diane Houk. 1987. "Racial Differences in Real Estate Advertising Practices: An Explanatory Analysis." *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 9(3): 199–215.
- Gensler, Gary. 2000. Statement before the House Banking and Financial Services Committee, May 24. Available online at <http://financialservices.house.gov/banking/52400wit.htm>.
- Giles, Judith A., and Marsha J. Courchane. 2000. "Stratified Sample Design for Fair Lending Binary Logit Models." Econometrics working paper no. 0007, University of Victoria. Department of Economics, Victoria, Canada.
- Glennon, Dennis, and Mitchell Stengel. 1994a. "An Evaluation of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston's Study of Racial Discrimination in Mortgage Lending." Economic and Policy Analysis working paper no. 94-2, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, DC.
- Glennon, Dennis, and Mitchell Stengel. 1994b. "Evaluating Statistical Models of Mortgage Lending Discrimination: A Bank-Specific Analysis." Economic and Policy Analysis working paper no. 95-3, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, DC.
- Goering, John M., ed. 1996. "Race and Default in Credit Markets: A Colloquy." *Cityscape: A Journal of Policy Development and Research*, 2(1) (May).
- Goering, John M., and Ron Wienk, eds. 1996. *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press.
- Goldberger, Arthur D. 1984. "Reverse Regression and Salary Discrimination." *Journal of Human Resources*, 19(3) (Summer): 293–318.
- Green, Richard K., and Michele J. White. 1997. "Measuring the Benefits of Homeowning: Effects on Children." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 41(3) (May): 441–461.
- Greenbaum, Stuart I. 1996. "Twenty-Five Years of Banking Research." *Financial Management*, 25(2) (Summer): 86–92.
- Greene, William H. 2000. *Econometric Analysis*, 4th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

- Gyourko, Joseph, Peter Linneman, and Susan Wachter. 1999. "Analyzing the Relationships among Race, Wealth, and Home Ownership in America." *Journal of Housing Economics*, 8(2) (June): 63–89.
- Han, Song. 2000. "The Economics of Taste-Based Discrimination in Credit Markets." Unpublished manuscript, University of Mississippi, Jackson, MS, March 20.
- Harding, John. 1997. "Estimating Borrower Mobility from Observed Prepayments." *Real Estate Economics*, 25(3) (Fall): 347–371.
- Harrison, David M. 2001. "The Importance of Lender Heterogeneity in Mortgage Lending." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 49(2) (March): 285–309.
- Hartigan, John A., and Alexandra K. Wigdor, eds. 1989. *Fairness in Employment Testing: Validity Generalization, Minority Issues, and the General Aptitude Test Battery*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Haurin, Donald R., Patric H. Hendershott, and Susan M. Wachter. 1996. "Expected Home Ownership and Real Wealth Accumulation of Youth." Working paper no. 5629, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA. Available online at <http://www.nber.org>.
- Heckman, James J., Robert J. LaLonde, and Jeffrey A. Smith. 1999. "The Economics and Econometrics of Active Labor Market Programs." In O. C. Ashenfelter and D. Card, eds., *Handbook of Labor Economics*, vol. 3A. Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 1865–2097.
- Hendershott, Patric H., William C. LaFayette, and Donald R. Haurin. 1997. "Debt Usage and Mortgage Choice: The FHA-Conventional Decision." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 41(2) (March): 202–217.
- Henriques, Diana B. 2000a. "Extra Costs on Car Loans Draw New Legal Attacks." *New York Times*, October 27.
- Henriques, Diana B. 2000b. "New Front Opens in Battle against Race Bias in Loans." *New York Times*, October 22.
- Henriques, Diana B. 2001a. "Nissan Says It Can Refute Report of Bias in Car Loans." *New York Times*, July 12, p. A17.
- Henriques, Diana B. 2001b. "Review of Nissan Car Loans Finds that Blacks Pay More." *New York Times*, July 4, pp. A1, A11.
- Horne, David K. 1994. "Evaluating the Role of Race in Mortgage Lending." *FDIC Banking Review* (Spring/Summer): 1–15.
- Horne, David K. 1995. "Testing for Discrimination in Mortgage Lending." In Anthony M. Yezer, ed., *Fair Lending Analysis: A Compendium of Essays on the Use of Statistics*. Washington, DC: American Bankers Association, pp. 127–138.
- Horne, David K. 1997. "Mortgage Lending, Race, and Model Specification." *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 11(1–2) (April): 43–68.
- Huck, Paul. 2001. "Home Mortgage Lending by Applicant Race: Do HMDA Figures Provide a Distorted Picture?" *Housing Policy Debate*, 12(4): 719–736.
- Hunter, William C., and Mary Beth Walker. 1996. "The Cultural Affinity Hypothesis and Mortgage Lending Decisions." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 13(1) (July): 57–70.

- Hurst, Erik, Ming Ching Luoh, and Frank P. Stafford. 1998. "The Wealth Dynamics of American Families, 1984–94." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1: 267–329.
- Joint Center for Housing Studies. 2000. *The State of the Nation's Housing: 2000*. Cambridge, MA: Author.
- Jones, Lawrence D. 1993. "The Demand for Home Mortgage Debt." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 33(1) (January): 10–28.
- Kahn, Charles M., and Abdullah Yavas. 1994. "The Economic Role of Foreclosures." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 8(1) (January): 35–51.
- Kau, James B., and Donald C. Keenan. 1995. "An Overview of the Option-Theoretic Pricing of Mortgages." *Journal of Housing Research*, 6(2): 217–244.
- Kau, James B., Donald C. Keenan, and Taewon Kim. 1993. "Transaction Costs, Sub-optimal Termination, and Default Probabilities." *American Real Estate and Urban Economics Association Journal*, 21(3) (Fall): 247–263.
- Kau, James B., Donald C. Keenan, and Taewon Kim. 1994. "Default Probabilities for Mortgages." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 35(3) (May): 278–296.
- Kau, James B., Donald C. Keenan, Walter J. Muller III, and James F. Epperson. 1995. "The Valuation at Origination of Fixed Rate Mortgages with Default and Prepayment." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 11(1) (July): 5–36.
- Kim, Sunwoong, and Gregory D. Squires. 1998. "The Color of Money and the People Who Lend It." *Journal of Housing Research*, 9(2): 271–284.
- King, A. Thomas. 1980. "Discrimination in Mortgage Lending: A Study of Three Cities." Research working paper no. 91, Office of Policy and Economic Research, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, February. Washington, DC.
- Kissinger, Terry, and Christopher A. Richardson. 2001. "An Evaluation of Automobile Loan Pricing Practices." Unpublished manuscript. Washington, DC, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, March.
- Kushner, James A. 1995. *Fair Housing: Discrimination in Real Estate, Community Development, and Revitalization*, 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- LaCour-Little, Michael. 1996a. "Race Differences in Demand and Supply of Mortgage Credit." Unpublished manuscript. University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX.
- LaCour-Little, Michael. 1996b. "Application of Reverse Regression to Boston Federal Reserve Data Refutes Claims of Discrimination." *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 11(1): 1–12.
- LaCour-Little, Michael. 2000. "The Evolving Role of Technology in Mortgage Finance." *Journal of Housing Research* 11(2): 173–205.
- Ladd, Helen F. 1998. "Evidence on Discrimination in Mortgage Lending." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 12(2) (Spring): 41–62.
- Laitila, Thomas. 1993. "A Pseudo- $R^2$  Measure for Limited and Qualitative Dependent Variable Models." *Journal of Econometrics*, 56(3) (April): 341–356.
- Lang, William W., and Leonard I. Nakamura. 1993. "A Model of Redlining." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 33(2) (March): 223–234.

- Larson, Lex K. 1999. "The Civil Rights Act of 1991." In *Employment Discrimination*. 10 looseleaf volumes. New York: Matthew Bender & Co., pp. 1-34 to 1-54.1.
- Lawton, Rachel. 1996. "Preapplication Mortgage Lending Testing Program: Lender Testing by a Local Agency." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 611-622.
- Lea, Michael J. 1996. "Innovation and the Cost of Mortgage Credit: A Historical Perspective." *Housing Policy Debate*, 7(1): 147-174.
- Lederman, Jess. 1995. *Handbook of Mortgage Lending*, MBA edition. Washington, DC: Real Estate Finance Press, Mortgage Bankers Association of America.
- Lee, Bill Lann. 2001. *Fair Lending Enforcement Program*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice. Available online at [http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/housing/bll\\_01.htm](http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/housing/bll_01.htm).
- Liebowitz, Stanley. 1993. "A Study That Deserves No Credit." *Wall Street Journal*, September 1, p. A14.
- Lin, Emily Y. 2001. "Information, Neighborhood Characteristics, and Home Mortgage Lending." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 49(2) (March): 337-355.
- Lindsey, Lawrence B. 1995. "Foreword." In Anthony M. Yezer, ed., *Fair Lending Analysis: A Compendium of Essays on the Use of Statistics*. Washington, DC: American Bankers Association, pp. ix-xiv.
- Ling, David C., and Susan M. Wachter. 1998. "Information Externalities and Home Mortgage Underwriting." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 44(3) (November): 317-332.
- Linneman, Peter, and Susan M. Wachter. 1989. "The Impacts of Borrowing Constraints on Homeownership." *Journal of the American Real Estate and Urban Economics Association*, 17(4) (Winter): 389-402.
- Longhofer, Stanley D. 1996a. "Cultural Affinity and Mortgage Discrimination." *Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland Economic Review*, 32(3) (3rd Quarter): 12-24.
- Longhofer, Stanley D. 1996b. "Discrimination in Mortgage Lending: What Have We Learned?" *Economic Commentary* (Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland), August 15.
- Longhofer, Stanley D., and Paul S. Calem. 1999. "Mortgage Brokers and Fair Lending." *Economic Commentary* (Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland), May 15.
- Longhofer, Stanley D., and Stephen R. Peters. 1998. "Self Selection and Discrimination in Credit Markets." Unpublished manuscript, Research Department, Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland.
- Lundberg, Shelly J. 1991. "The Enforcement of Equal Opportunity Laws under Imperfect Information: Affirmative Action and Alternatives." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(1) (February): 309-326.
- Lundberg, Shelly J, and Richard Startz. 1983. "Private Discrimination and Social Intervention in Competitive Labor Markets." *American Economic Review*, 73(3) (June): 340-347.
- Lye, Linda Cheng Yee. 1998. "Title VII's Tangled Tale: The Erosion and Confusion of Disparate Impact and the Business Necessity Defense." *Berkeley Journal of Employment and Labor Law*, 19(2) (Winter): 315-361.

- Maddala, G. S., and R. P. Trost. 1982. "On Measuring Discrimination in Loan Markets." *Housing Finance Review*, 1 (July): 245–268.
- Mahoney, Peter E. 1998. "The End(s) of Disparate Impact: Doctrinal Reconstruction, Fair Housing and Lending Law, and the Antidiscrimination Principle." *Emory Law Journal*, 47(2) (Spring): 409–525.
- Mahoney, Peter E., and Peter Zorn. 1999. "Automated Underwriting." Paper presented at FannieMae Foundation Roundtable, Washington, DC, June 30.
- Mansfield, Cathy Lesser. 2000. "The Road to Subprime 'HEL' Was Paved with Good Congressional Intentions: Usury Deregulation and the Subprime Home Equity Market." *South Carolina Law Review*, 51(3) (Spring): 473.
- Mansfield, Cathy Lesser. 2000. Statement before the Housing Banking and Financial Services Committee. Available online at <http://www.house.gov/banking/52400man.htm>.
- Massey, Douglas S., and Nancy A. Denton. 1993. *American Apartheid: Segregation and the Making of the Underclass*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Mayer, Christopher J., and Gary V. Engelhardt. 1996. "Gifts, Down Payments, and Housing Affordability." *Journal of Housing Research*, 7(1): 59–77.
- Medine, David. 2000. "Predatory Lending Practices in the Subprime Industry: Prepared Statement of the Federal Trade Commission before the House Committee on Banking and Financial Services," May 24. Available online at <http://financialservices.house.gov/banking/52400wit.htm>.
- Mester, Loretta. 1997. "What Is the Point of Credit Scoring?" *Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Business Review* (September/October): 3–16.
- Munnell, Alicia H., Lynn E. Browne, James McEneaney, and Geoffrey M. B. Tootell. 1992. "Mortgage Lending in Boston: Interpreting HMDA Data." Working paper no. 92-7, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.
- Munnell, Alicia H., Geoffrey M. B. Tootell, Lynn E. Browne, and James McEneaney. 1993. "Is Discrimination Racial or Geographic?" Unpublished manuscript. Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.
- Munnell, Alicia H., Lynn E. Browne, James McEneaney, and Geoffrey M. B. Tootell. 1996. "Mortgage Lending in Boston: Interpreting HMDA Data." *American Economic Review*, 86(1) (March): 25–53.
- Myers, Samuel L., Jr., and Tsze Chan. 1995. "Racial Discrimination in Housing Markets: Accounting for Credit Risk." *Social Science Quarterly*, 76(3) (September): 543–561.
- Newburger, Harriet. 1995. "Sources of Difference in Information Used by Black and White Housing Seekers: An Explanatory Analysis." *Urban Studies*, 32(3) (April): 445–470.
- Office of Thrift Supervision. 2000. "What about Subprime Mortgages?" *Mortgage Trends*, 4(1) (June): 1–21.
- Oliver, Melvin L., and Thomas M. Shapiro. 1995. *Black Wealth, White Wealth: A New Perspective on Racial Inequality*. New York: Routledge.

- Ondrich, Jan, Stephen Ross, and John Yinger. 2001. "Geography of Housing Discrimination." *Journal of Housing Research*, 12(2): 217–238.
- Ondrich, Jan, Stephen Ross, and John Yinger. 2001. "Now You See It, Now You Don't: Why Do Real Estate Agents Withhold Houses from Black Customers?" Unpublished manuscript, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY. Available online at <http://webdev.maxwell.syr.edu/jyinger/nysi.pdf>.
- Passmore, Wayne, and Roger Sparks. 1996. "Putting the Squeeze on a Market for Lemons: Government-Sponsored Mortgage Securitization." *Journal of Real Estate Economics and Finance*, 13(1) (July): 27–43.
- Patterson, Orlando. 1997. *The Ordeal of Integration: Progress and Resentment in America's "Racial" Crisis*. Washington, DC: Civitas/Counterpoint.
- Pennington-Cross, Anthony, Anthony Yezer, and Joseph Nichols. 2000. "Credit Risk and Mortgage Lending: Who Uses Subprime and Why?" Working paper no. 00-03, Research Institute for Housing America, Arlington, VA.
- Peterson, Richard L. 1981. "An Investigation of Sex Discrimination in Commercial Banks' Direct Consumer Lending." *Bell Journal of Economics*, 12(2) (Autumn): 547–561.
- Phelps, Edmund S. 1972. "The Statistical Theory of Racism and Sexism." *American Economic Review*, 62(4) (September): 659–661.
- Phillips, Robert F., and Anthony M. Yezer. 1996. "Self Selection and Tests for Bias and Risk in Mortgage Lending: Can You Price the Mortgage If You Don't Know the Process?" *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 11(1): 87–102.
- Phillips-Patrick, Fred J., and Clifford V. Rossi. 1996. "Statistical Evidence of Mortgage Redlining? A Cautionary Tale." *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 11(1): 13–23.
- Posey, Lisa L., and Abdullah Yavas. 2001. "Adjustable and Fixed Rate Mortgages as a Screening Mechanism for Default Risk." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 49(1) (January): 54–79.
- Quercia, Roberto G., and Michael A. Stegman. 1992. "Residential Mortgage Default: A Review of the Literature." *Journal of Housing Research*, 3(2): 341–370.
- Quigley, John M. 1996. "Mortgage Performance and Housing Market Discrimination." *Cityscape*, 2(1): 59–62.
- Quint, Michael. 1991. "Racial Gap Found on Mortgages." *New York Times*, October 22, p. D1.
- Rachlis, Mitchell B., and Anthony M. Yezer. 1993. "Serious Flaws in Statistical Tests for Discrimination in Mortgage Markets." *Journal of Housing Research*, 4(2): 315–336.
- Raines, Franklin D. 2000. Statement at hearings before the Subcommittee on Capital Markets, Securities, and Government Sponsored Enterprises, Committee on Banking and Financial Services, U.S. House of Representatives, May 16. Available online at <http://financialservices.house.gov/banking/51600wit.htm>.
- Ranney, S. I. 1981. "The Future Price of Houses, Mortgage and Market Conditions and the Returns to Homeownership." *American Economic Review*, 71(3) (June): 323–333.

- Riddiough, Timothy J., and Steve B. Wyatt. 1994a. "Strategic Default, Workout, and Commercial Mortgage Valuation." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 9(1) (July): 5–22.
- Riddiough, Timothy J., and Steve B. Wyatt. 1994b. "Wimp or Tough Guy: Sequential Default Risk and Signaling with Mortgages." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 9(3) (November): 299–321.
- Ritter, Richard. 1996. "The Decatur Federal Case: A Summary Report." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 445–450.
- Roberts, Paul Craig. 1993. "Banks on the Line of Fire." *Washington Times*, March 12, p. F1.
- Robinson, Carla J. 1992. "Racial Disparity in the Atlanta Housing Market." In W. A. Leigh and J. B. Stewart, eds., *The Housing Status of Black Americans*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, pp. 85–110.
- Rodda, David, and James E. Wallace. 1996. "Fair Lending Management: Using Influence Statistics to Identify Critical Mortgage Loan Applications." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 531–560.
- Rosen, Harvey S. 1985. "Housing Subsidies: Effects on Decisions, Efficiency, and Equity." In Alan J. Auerbach and Martin S. Feldstein, eds., *Handbook of Public Economics*, vol. 1. Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 375–420.
- Rosenblatt, Eric. 1997. "A Reconsideration of Discrimination in Mortgage Underwriting with Data from a National Mortgage Bank." *Journal of Financial Services*, 11(1–2) (April): 109–131.
- Rosenthal, Stuart S., and Peter Zorn. 1993. "Household Mobility, Asymmetric Information, and the Pricing of Mortgage Contract Rates." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 33(2) (March): 235–253.
- Ross, Stephen L. 1996a. "Flaws in the Use of Loan Defaults to Test for Mortgage Lending Discrimination." *Cityscape: A Journal of Policy Development and Research*, 2(1) (February): 41–48.
- Ross, Stephen L. 1996b. "Mortgage Lending Discrimination and Racial Differences in Loan Default." *Journal of Housing Research*, 7(1): 117–126.
- Ross, Stephen L. 1997. "Mortgage Lending Discrimination and Racial Differences in Loan Default: A Simulation Approach." *Journal of Housing Research*, 8(2): 277–297.
- Ross, Stephen L. 2000. "Mortgage Lending, Sample Selection, and Default." *Real Estate Economics*, 28(4) (Winter): 581–621.
- Ross, Stephen L., and Geoffrey M. B. Tootell. 1998. "Redlining, the Community Reinvestment Act, and Private Mortgage Insurance." Unpublished manuscript, University of Connecticut, Storrs.
- Ross, Stephen L., and John Yinger. 1999a. "The Default Approach to Studying Mortgage Discrimination: A Rebuttal." In Margery Austin Turner and Felicity Skidmore, eds., *Mortgage Lending Discrimination: A Review of Existing Evidence*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute, pp. 107–127.

- Ross, Stephen L., and John Yinger. 1999b. "Does Discrimination in Mortgage Lending Exist? The Boston Fed Study and Its Critics." In Margery Austin Turner and Felicity Skidmore, eds., *Mortgage Lending Discrimination: A Review of Existing Evidence*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute, pp. 43–83.
- Ross, Stephen L., and John Yinger. 1999c. "Other Evidence of Discrimination: Recent Studies of Redlining and of Discrimination in Loan Approval and Loan Terms." In Margery Austin Turner and Felicity Skidmore, eds., *Mortgage Lending Discrimination: A Review of Existing Evidence*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute, pp. 85–106.
- Ross, Stephen, and John Yinger. 1999d. "Sorting and Voting: A Review of the Literature on Urban Public Finance." In Edwin S. Mills and Paul Cheshire, eds., *Handbook of Urban and Regional Economics*, vol. 3. Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 2001–2060.
- Royer, Stanislas, and John J. Kriz. 1999. "The New Age of Mortgage Banking." *Mortgage Banking* (January): 76–83.
- Sandmo, Agnar. 1985. "The Effects of Taxation on Savings and Risk Taking." In Alan J. Auerbach and Martin S. Feldstein, eds., *Handbook of Public Economics*, vol. 1. Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 265–311.
- Schafer, Robert, and Helen F. Ladd. 1981. *Discrimination in Mortgage Lending*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Scheessele, Randall M. 1998. "HMDA Coverage of the Mortgage Market." Housing Finance working papers no. HF-007, Office of Policy Development and Research, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, DC.
- Scheessele, Randall M. 1999. "The 1998 HMDA Highlights." Housing Finance working paper no. HF-009, Office of Policy Development and Research, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, DC.
- Schill, Michael H., and Susan M. Wachter. 1993. "A Tale of Two Cities: Racial and Ethnic Geographic Disparities in Home Mortgage Lending in Boston and Philadelphia." *Journal of Housing Research*, 4(2): 245–275.
- Schwemm, Robert G. 1994. *Housing Discrimination: Law and Litigation*. Deerfield, IL: Clark, Boardman, Callaghan.
- Shear, William B., and Anthony M. J. Yezer. 1985. "Discrimination in Urban Housing Finance: An Empirical Study across Cities." *Land Economics*, 61(3) (August): 292–302.
- Sheiner, Louise. 1995. "Housing Prices and the Savings of Renters." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 38(1) (July): 94–125.
- Sickles, Robin C., and Peter Schmidt. 1978. "Simultaneous Equation Models with Truncated Dependent Variables: A Simultaneous Tobit Model." *Journal of Economics and Business*, 31(1) (Fall): 11–21.
- Siskin, Bernard R., and Leonard A. Cupingood. 1996. "Use of Statistical Models to Provide Statistical Evidence of Discrimination in the Treatment of Mortgage Loan Applicants: A Study of One Lending Institution." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 451–468.
- Smith, Robin, and Michelle DeLair. 1999. "New Evidence from Lender Testing: Discrimination at the Pre-Application Stage." In Margery Austin Turner and Felicity



- Skidmore, eds., *Mortgage Lending Discrimination: A Review of Existing Evidence*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute, pp. 23–41.
- Smith, Shanna, and Cathy Cloud. 1996. "The Role of Private, Nonprofit Fair Housing Enforcement Organizations in Lending Testing." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 589–610.
- Stanton, Richard, and Nancy Wallace. 1998. "Mortgage Choice: What's the Point?" *Real Estate Economics*, 26(2) (Summer): 173–205.
- Stengel, Mitchell, and Dennis Glennon. 1999. "Evaluating Statistical Models of Mortgage Lending Discrimination: A Bank-Specific Analysis." *Real Estate Economics*, 27(2) (Summer): 299–334.
- Stiglitz, Joseph E., and A. Weiss. 1981. "Credit Rationing in Markets with Imperfect Information." *American Economic Review*, 71(3) (June): 393–410.
- Straka, John W. 2000. "A Shift in the Mortgage Landscape: The 1990s Move to Automated Credit Evaluations." *Journal of Housing Research*, 11(2): 207–232.
- Swire, Peter P. 1995. "The Persistent Problem of Lending Discrimination: A Law and Economics Analysis." *Texas Law Review*, 73 (March): 787–869.
- Taylor, John E. 2000. "Prepared Testimony of John E. Taylor, President and CEO, National Community Reinvestment Coalition" before the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, U.S. House of Representatives, May 24. Available at <http://financialservices.house.gov/banking/52400wit.htm>.
- Thomas, Lyn C. 2000. "A Survey of Credit and Behavioral Scoring: Forecasting Financial Risk of Lending to Consumers." *International Journal of Forecasting*, 16(2) (April–June): 149–172.
- Thomas, Paulette. 1991. "Mortgage Rejection Rate for Minorities Is Quadruple That of Whites, Study Finds." *Wall Street Journal*, October 21, p. A2.
- Thomas, Paulette. 1992a. "Blacks Can Face a Host of Trying Conditions in Getting Mortgages." *Wall Street Journal*, November 30, p. A1.
- Thomas, Paulette. 1992b. "Federal Data Detail Pervasive Racial Gap in Mortgage Lending." *Wall Street Journal*, March 21, p. A1.
- Tootell, Geoffrey M. B. 1996a. "Redlining in Boston: Do Mortgage Lenders Discriminate against Neighborhoods?" *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 111(4) (November): 1049–1079.
- Tootell, Geoffrey M. B. 1996b. "Turning a Critical Eye on the Critics." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 143–182.
- Turner, Margery Austin. 1992. "Discrimination in Urban Housing Markets: Lessons from Fair Housing Audits." *Housing Policy Debate*, 3(2): 185–215.
- Turner, Margery Austin, and Maris Mickelsons. 1992. "Patterns of Racial Steering in Four Metropolitan Areas." *Journal of Housing Economics*, 2(3) (September): 199–234.
- Turner, Margery Austin, and Felicity Skidmore. 1999. *Mortgage Lending Discrimination: A Review of Existing Evidence*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press.

- U.S. Census Bureau. 2002. "Housing Vacancies and Homeownership Annual Statistics: 2001." Available online at <http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/housing/hvs/annual01/ann01ind.html>.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development–U.S. Treasury National Predatory Lending Task Force. 2000. *Curbing Predatory Lending*. Washington, DC: Author.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 1997. "The 1996 HMDA Data: A Closer Look." *U.S. Housing Market Conditions: 3th Quarter 1997*, November.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 2000. "Unequal Burden: Income and Racial Disparities in Subprime Lending in America." Available online at <http://www.huduser.org/publications/fairhsg/unequal.html>.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 2002. *U.S. Housing Market Conditions: 4th Quarter 2001*, February.
- U.S. House of Representatives. 2000. "Witness List for Full Committee Hearing on Predatory Lending Practices," May 24. Available online at <http://financialservices.house.gov/banking/52400wit.htm>.
- Vandell, Kerry D. 1995. "How Ruthless Is Mortgage Default? A Review and Synthesis of the Evidence." *Journal of Housing Research*, 6(2): 245–264.
- Van Order, Robert. 1996. "Discrimination and the Secondary Mortgage Market." In John Goering and Ron Weink, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 335–364.
- Van Order, Robert. 2000. "The U.S. Mortgage Market: A Model of Dueling Charters." *Journal of Housing Research*, 11(2): 233–256.
- Van Order, Robert, and Peter Zorn. 1995. "Testing for Discrimination: Combining Default and Rejection Data." In Anthony M. Yezer, ed., *Fair Lending Analysis: A Compendium of Essays on the Use of Statistics*. Washington, DC: American Bankers Association, pp. 105–112.
- Van Order, Robert, and Peter Zorn. 2001. "Performance of Low-Income and Minority Mortgages: A Tale of Two Options." Unpublished manuscript. Freddie Mac, Washington, DC, January.
- Wachter, Susan M. 1987. "Residential Real Estate Brokerage: Rate Uniformity and Moral Hazard." In Austin J. Jaffe, ed., *The Economics of Urban Property Rights*. Greenwich, CT, and London: JAI Press, pp. 189–210.
- Wolff, Edward N. 1995. "How the Pie Is Sliced: America's Growing Concentration of Wealth." *American Prospect*, 22 (Summer): 58–64.
- Wolff, Edward N. 2001. "Racial Wealth Disparities: Is the Gap Closing?" Public Policy Brief No. 66A, The Levy Economics Institute, Annandale-on-Hudson, NY.
- Wyly, Elvin K., and Steven R. Holloway. 1999. "'The Color of Money' Revisited: Racial Lending Patterns in Atlanta's Neighborhoods." *Housing Policy Debate*, 10(3): 555–600.
- Yavas, Abdullah. 1994. "Economics of Brokerage: An Overview." *Journal of Real Estate Literature*, 2(2) (July): 169–195.
- Yavas, Abdullah. 1995. "Can Brokerage Have an Equilibrium Selection Role?" *Journal of Urban Economics*, 37(1) (January): 17–37.

- Yezer, Anthony M. 1995. "Editor's Notes." In Anthony M. Yezer, ed., *Fair Lending Analysis: A Compendium of Essays on the Use of Statistics*. Washington, DC: American Bankers Association, pp. iii–vi.
- Yezer, Anthony M., Robert F. Phillips, and Robert P. Trost. 1994. "Bias in Estimates of Discrimination and Default in Mortgage Lending: The Effects of Simultaneity and Self-Selection." *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 9(3) (November): 197–215.
- Yinger, J. Milton. 1994. *Ethnicity: Source of Conflict? Source of Strength?* Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Yinger, John. 1981. "A Search Model of Real Estate Broker Behavior." *American Economic Review*, 71(4) (September): 591–605.
- Yinger, John. 1986. "Measuring Racial Discrimination with Fair Housing Audits: Caught in the Act." *American Economic Review*, 76(5) (December): 881–893.
- Yinger, John. 1995. *Closed Doors, Opportunities Lost: The Continuing Costs of Housing Discrimination*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Yinger, John. 1996. "Discrimination in Mortgage Lending: A Literature Review." In John Goering and Ron Wienk, eds., *Mortgage Lending, Racial Discrimination, and Federal Policy*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press, pp. 29–74.
- Yinger, John. 1997. "Cash in Your Face: The Cost of Racial and Ethnic Discrimination in Housing." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 42(3) (November): 339–365.
- Zandi, M. 1993. "Boston Fed's Study Was Deeply Flawed." *American Banker*, August 19, p. 13.
- Zorn, Peter. 1989. "Mobility-Tenure Decisions and Financial Credit: Do Mortgage Qualification Requirements Constrain Homeownership?" *American Real Estate and Urban Economics Association Journal*, 17(1) (Spring): 1–16.
- Zorn, Peter, Susan Gates, and Vanessa Gail Perry. Forthcoming. "Automated Underwriting in Mortgage Lending: Good News for the Underserved?" *Housing Policy Debate*.
- Zycher, Benjamin, and Timothy A. Wolfe. 1994. "Mortgage Lending, Discrimination, and Taxation by Regulation." *Regulation*, 17(2) (Spring): 61–73.