

## Index

- 2-4-5-T, 90
- 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), 234
- Acceptable daily intake (ADI), 57, 123, 353n18
- ADI policy group, 193–194
- safety factor, 173, 194
- Administrative Procedure Act, 76
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 361n32
- Air Force, 32
- Air Office, 64, 67–68, 82, 187, 203–204, 239, 247–248
- Air Quality Planning and Standards Office, 187
- Alar, 215, 217–220, 238, 306, 308
- ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable), 64, 331n9
- Albert, Roy, 61, 64, 70, 311
- Aldrin, 55, 57
- Allen, Derry, 159
- Alliance for Risk Assessment, 294
- Allied Chemical, 58–59
- Alm, Alvin, 141, 153, 160, 305, 312, 148n9. *See also* Options selection and tracking
- cancer assessment guideline, 52, 59–61, 65
- decision-making system, 161, 166–179, 191–197, 200
- EPA's top policy analyst, 59
- management philosophy, 166
- tools for risk assessment and risk management, 171–174
- American Association for the Advancement of Science, 110
- American Chemistry Council (ACC), 286, 316
- American Industrial Health Council (AIHC)
- action on risk assessment guidelines and Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group (IRLG), 71, 73–74
- amendment of the Clean Air Act, 240
- campaign on risk characterization, 245–246, 252
- science panel proposal, 106–116
- American Lung Association, 235
- American Petroleum Institute, 108, 316
- American Public Health Association, 176
- Ames, Bruce, 219–220, 238–239
- Anastas, Paul, 275, 298
- Anderson, Elizabeth (Betty)
- Cancer Assessment Group and development of quantitative risk assessment, 61, 70, 74
- cancer classification, 201, 205, 207
- countering bill on risk assessment, 151

- Arbitrary and capricious test, 76, 220, 249
- Arsaco, 155–156
- Arsenic, 85, 94, 174, 189, 195, 199, 207  
inorganic arsenic risk controversy, 236–237  
uncertainty factor, 306
- Asbestos, 189, 232, 235–236
- Ash Council, 52, 80, 87, 102
- Assumption  
assumptions vs. values, 127–129  
cascading of assumptions, 238  
default assumptions, defaults, 237, 242–243, 263, 271, 278  
no-threshold hypothesis, 63, 67, 206, 245
- Atomic Energy Commission, 46, 53, 61
- Audiences, 8  
conflict among audiences, 27, 232, 257, 258  
diversity of agency audiences, 17, 27  
expectations of audiences and agency legitimacy, 27, 138, 318  
risk audiences and constituencies, 148, 155  
scientific audiences, 142, 143, 146
- Bailar, John, 262
- Banbury Conference, 233–234. *See also* Dioxin
- Barnes, Donald, 5, 140, 190, 223, 243, 359n19
- Beardsley, Dan, 92, 107, 178. *See also* Comparative risk assessment; Toxics integration
- Beck, Ulrich, 10, 12, 40–42
- Benzene, 174, 187, 278, 306  
benzene case, 75
- Berg, Marc, 326n8
- Biologically based dose-response (BBDR), 149, 233, 254, 261, 278
- Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), 120, 279, 290
- Bliley, Thomas J., Jr., 248, 249
- Blue Book. *See Science and Judgment* (report)
- Board of Environmental Studies and Toxicology (BEST), 361n32, 362n40
- Board on Toxicology and Environmental Health Hazards (BOTEHH), 115, 117, 362n39
- Boudia, Soraya, 13, 42, 44
- Breyer, Stephen, 224, 357n8
- Brown, Mark, 3
- Browner, Carol, 8, 275, 276, 307, 309, 310
- Bureaucratic design  
algorithmic and nonalgorithmic, 25, 26, 281  
commensurate, 26, 105, 211, 244, 273. *See also* Risk ranking  
and configurations of controversy, 27–29, 102–103, 136, 148, 160, 237, 303  
decisionistic, 26, 30, 74, 136, 138, 174–175, 273, 303, 312  
deliberative, 27, 29, 138, 152–159. *See also* Risk communication  
design science, 25, 28, 49, 307, 313  
as dialectical process, 23, 326n9  
and formal organizational structure, 12  
material and symbolic dimensions, 138  
networks, 25, 52, 160, 307, 310–314  
as organizational assemblage, 26, 27, 93, 98, 109  
scientific, 26–27, 73, 106, 110, 232, 274, 316
- Bureaucratic screen, 22, 66, 77, 226, 241, 243, 268, 317
- Bureaucratic technologies, 25, 74  
algorithmic and nonalgorithmic, 25, 26, 281  
problem formulation as, 229, 274  
risk assessment guideline, quantitative  
risk assessment as, 51, 58, 205, 295

- risk ranking and comparative risk assessment as, 103
- Burke, Thomas, 292, 311, 312
- Bush, George H. W., 147, 220, 237
- Bush, George W., 2, 8, 284
- Cancer classification, 201–206
- Cancer principles, 55–61
- Cancer risk assessment
  - guideline, 60–66
  - harmonization of cancer and noncancer risk assessment, 173
  - low-dose extrapolation, 63
  - no-threshold hypothesis, 63, 67, 206, 245
- Carcinogen Assessment Group (CAG), 61–66, 99, 205
- Carnegie Foundation, 224, 296, 316
- Carpenter, Daniel, 8, 15, 17, 22, 56, 81
- Carter, Jimmy, 72, 88, 91, 95, 139, 166, 314
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 234
- Chemical industry. *See also* American Industrial Health Council (AIHC)
  - amendment to Clean Air Act, 239
  - sound science campaign, 249
  - worries towards crisis of the EPA, 119
- Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology (CIIT), 120, 262, 315
- Chemical Manufacturers Association (CMA), 108, 220
- Chemical revolution, 87–89, 103
- Cheney, Dick, 284, 365n10
- Chlorine Institute, 234
- Chlorpyrifos, 1
- Clay, Don, 163, 176, 178
- Clean Air Act (CAA), 3, 84–89, 147, 237–240, 261, 264
- Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC), 260, 262
- Clean Water Act (CWA), 3, 84–86, 88, 91
- Climate change, 275
- Clinton, Bill, 8, 224, 225, 229, 266, 289
- Cloyna, Ernest, 186
- Collins, Harry, 10, 24, 42
- Committee on Improving the Risk Analysis Approaches Used by the US EPA, 278. *See also* *Science and Decisions* (report)
- Committee on Public Engineering Policy, 44
- Committee on Risk and Decision-Making (CORADM), 110–112, 115, 116, 141, 243
- Committee on Risk Assessment Methodology (CRAM), 260
- Committee on the Institutional Means for Assessment of Risks to Public Health. *See* Risk Assessment Committee (RAC)
- Comparative risk assessment, 44–45, 212–214, 224–226
  - as bureaucratic technology and design, 223–228
  - definition of environmental priorities, 224
  - Unfinished Business* (report), 212–216
- Competitiveness Council, 237, 251, 256
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 3, 85, 86
- Congress
  - as agency audience, 8, 9
  - bills on agencies and risk assessment, 113, 150–152, 223, 260, 267
  - as de facto risk manager, 86, 240
  - hearings and EPA representation, 119, 216, 224, 226, 258–260
- Conservation Foundation, 221
- Conservatism, 64, 67, 71, 206. *See also* Assumption
  - compounded conservatism, 238
  - industry action against conservatism, 73–74, 106–108, 232

- Conservatism (cont.)  
 plausible conservatism, 264–265  
 postconservatism, 232–233, 237, 271, 282–286
- Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC), 70, 75, 88, 89, 176
- Controversy. *See also* Fact and value; Uncertainty  
 interpretive flexibility, 42, 62  
 public dispute and disagreement  
 about risk, 10–13, 23, 41  
 value conflicts, 22–23  
 wicked, unstructured problems, 23
- Corn, Morton, 115, 117, 122
- Cost-benefit analysis. *See also* Regulatory analysis  
 as agency tradition, 284  
 and asbestos, 236  
 decline under Carol Browner, 225  
 guideline for regulatory impact analysis, 172–173  
 institutionalization of cost-benefit analysis through risk management, 126–127, 147, 171–174  
 as policy science, 33, 44  
 requirement in statutes and executive orders, 44, 267 (*see also* Executive order 12291; Executive order 12866)  
 and risk assessment, 65, 172–173
- Costle, Douglas, 52, 80, 139, 213, 221, 335n4  
 chemical revolution, 87–89  
 ranking scheme, 91–92  
 regulatory council and regulatory reform, 95–96
- Council of Economic Advisers, 95, 153
- Council on Environmental Quality, 52, 55, 95, 287
- Covello, Vincent, 158, 159
- Cross, Nigel, 22, 25
- Crump, Kenny, 64, 117, 122, 206, 260
- Cumulative risk assessment, 278, 294, 307, 368n34
- Daubert vs. Merrel Down Pharmaceuticals, 246
- Davies, Clarence (Terry)  
 comparative risk assessment, 212, 221, 224  
 creation and design of the EPA, 52, 80, 102  
 and Risk Assessment Committee, 116, 117, 122, 123
- De minimis risk, 75
- Decision-making  
 agency as decision-making system, 165–171, 198  
 bureaucratic-pluralistic style of, 170–171  
 consistency problem, 80–87, 193, 287  
 decision as a representation of agency's action, 22  
 improving consistency, 99, 162–163, 178–179, 196–197, 204, 214  
 nosology, 164, 197, 199  
 options selection and tracking system, 165–171  
 probabilistic decision-making, 51, 60, 66  
 two-step process, 65, 73, 108, 116
- Deisler, Paul, 127
- Delaney amendment, 52–53, 55–56, 75, 270
- Democratic Party, Democrats, 29, 52, 152, 212, 224, 290, 313
- Department of Agriculture (USDA), 53, 54, 68, 84, 88
- Department of Defense, 52, 69, 80, 287
- Department of Energy, 69, 166, 218, 287
- Department of Health and Human Services, 53, 84, 85, 190
- Department of the Interior, 53, 84, 85, 88
- Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), 55, 58
- Dieldrin, 55, 57
- Di Maggio, Paul, 14, 18, 317

- Dioxin, 90–91, 120, 174–175, 232–234, 315
- Dobbin, Arthur, 17–18, 326n7
- Dole, Vincent, 117, 122
- Donn, Viviani W., 193
- Dose-response assessment  
 assumptions, 120, 263  
 component of risk assessment, 7, 129, 131, 164, 153, 197–198, 256  
 dose extrapolation, curve-drawing, 56, 57, 63–64, 75, 93, 123  
 dose-response models, 250, 259–261, 278, 294  
 vs. effect assessment, 62, 175  
 harmonization of cancer and noncancer, 173  
 vs. health assessment, 123  
 point of departure, 286, 364n59  
 response assessment 294
- Douglas, Mary, 10, 23, 38–39
- Dourson, Michael, 294, 367n28
- Dowling, John, 21, 22, 319
- Eagleton, Thomas, 113
- Ecological risk assessment, 254, 274, 279, 291, 296, 298–301
- Ecological system, 274, 298–301  
 ecological issues vs. health issues, 214–216, 221–223  
 ecological restoration, 29, 92, 100, 282, 303  
 effects and threats to, 214, 223, 301
- Ehrlich, Alan, 193, 353n17
- Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, 4
- Endangered Species Act, 4
- Energy Independence and Security Act, 4
- Energy Policy Act, 4
- Enthoven, Alain, 33, 80, 102
- Environmental Defense Fund, 175, 233, 242, 259
- Environmental impact assessment, 44
- Environmental justice, 29, 225, 275
- Environmental management  
 comprehensive action on toxic environment, 88  
 comprehensive environmental management, 57, 88  
 cross-media perspective, 79, 80–82, 212–214
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  
 autonomy, 3, 15, 17, 20, 75, 114, 150, 240, 267, 287, 291, 308–315  
 balkanized structure, 90  
 credibility, 2, 7, 9, 15, 22, 23  
 cross-office conflict and coordination, 68, 168, 177–179, 192–194, 299, 317  
 functional vs. programmatic structure, 80–83, 168–169, 177, 208, 221  
 integrated agenda, 14, 28, 29, 79–105, 127, 138, 211–229  
 leadership and steering of, 16, 69–70, 90, 169–170, 223, 295, 306  
 legitimacy and controversy, 18, 19, 20–22, 23, 28, 81  
 organizational identity and image, 3–4, 7–8, 81, 87, 103, 143, 273–274, 295, 308  
 organizational integration, 7, 29, 77, 80–100, 161, 167, 172, 178–179, 193, 252  
 program offices as siloes, fiefdoms or policy towers, 28, 54, 70, 81, 90, 180, 194, 212  
 whole-of-agency policy, 101, 153, 210, 273, 309
- Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS), 247–249
- Epidemiology, 42, 115, 128, 209, 219, 260  
 epidemiology and toxicology, 72, 259
- Ethylene dibromide (EDB), 158, 174–176, 220, 238
- Executive Order 12291, 44, 97, 168, 172, 227, 237. *See also* Cost-benefit analysis

- Executive Order 12866, 227. *See also* Cost-benefit analysis
- Exposure assessment  
 exposure assessment office, 186  
 guideline, 199–201  
 maximally exposed individual, 200, 238, 240, 261
- Fact and value, 22, 45. *See also* Risk evaluation
- Fault-tree analysis, 47, 48, 99
- Federal Focus Inc., 251
- Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 3, 59, 84, 86, 87, 89, 256
- Federal Radiation Council, 53
- Finkel, Adam, 224, 254, 257, 258, 312. *See also* Conservatism, plausible conservatism; Risk assessment, solution focused
- Fiorino, Daniel, 59, 96, 225, 237
- Fisher, Elizabeth, 14
- Food and Drug Administration, 15, 56, 113, 114, 117  
 autonomy and power, 15  
 coordination with other agencies, 88, 70  
 implementation of Delaney amendment, 75  
 and risk assessment, 56
- Food Quality Protection Act, 270
- Formaldehyde, 76, 120, 170, 174, 176, 187, 207, 278, 290, 306
- Gale, Roger, 154, 347n32
- Gallo, Michael, 233–234, 260–261
- Gingrich, Newt, 267
- Glickman, Roger, 3, 11
- Goldman, Lynn, 226
- Goldstein, Bernie, 152, 165, 180, 311  
 assistant administrator for EPA ORD, 186–189  
 cancer risk assessment and extrapolation, 206  
 as chair of Committee on Risk Assessment Methodology (CRAM), 260  
 ozone risk assessment and CASAC, 235  
 reorganization of research and science at the EPA, 186–189  
 separation of risk assessment and risk management, 243  
 sustainability framework, 311, 364n2
- Gorsuch, Ann, 4, 119, 137, 141
- Graham, John, 246, 285–289
- Gray, George, 246, 289–294
- Greenhouse gas, 1
- Greenwood, Ted, 116, 117, 123, 151, 339n14
- Guideline  
 1986 revision of risk assessment guideline, 196–197  
 1996 revision of risk assessment guideline, 268–271  
 as bureaucratic technology, 51, 58, 60, 255, 295  
 cancer assessment guideline, 61–67  
 exposure assessment guideline, 199–201  
 as instrument of a probabilistic organization, 60
- Habermas, Jurgen, 35
- Habicht, Hank, 236, 248  
 memorandum on risk characterization, 250–258
- Hard look doctrine, 76, 249
- Harvard Center for Risk Analysis, 246, 247, 255, 267, 285–292, 307
- Hazard characterization. *See* Dose-response assessment
- Hazardous air pollutants, 3, 86, 90, 239–240
- Health and Environmental Research Laboratory (HERL), 236

- Health assessment, 62  
 asbestos, 235  
 cancer assessment group, 196–197, 201, 207  
 dioxin, 175, 233  
 inconsistency in, 92  
 vs. risk assessment, 100–101, 121, 123, 126, 317
- Heptachlor, 55
- Hernandez, John W., 143, 358n15  
 assessment of benzene, formaldehyde by science courts, 119–121, 176
- Hilgartner, Stephen, 13, 99
- Hill, Richard, 164
- Hisschemöller, Matthijs, 23
- Hitch, Charles J., 32, 49
- Hoel, David, 33n18
- Hood, Christopher, 9, 16, 43
- Hoos, Ida, 31, 32–35
- Hoppe, Robert, 23, 327n4
- Houk, Vernon, 234
- Hugget, Robert, 296, 367n30
- Inference options, 132–133, 238, 244, 254, 268–269. *See also* Assumption; Dose-response assessment
- Information Quality Act, 288
- Institute for Regulatory Policy, 251
- Institute of Medicine (IOM), 117, 291, 325n1
- Institution  
 cultural view of rationalization, 17–19  
 institutional innovation, 15, 317–318  
 isomorphism, 17–19  
 new institutionalism, 17–19
- Integrated Environmental Management Division (IEMD), 97
- Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), 195, 236, 253, 287–295
- Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group (IRLG), 70–75, 117, 133, 149, 250, 311
- Interagency Risk Management Council (IRMC), 143, 148–150, 178, 250
- Interagency Work Group on Risk Management, 287
- Interagency Working Group on Risk Assessment, 250
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), 203–206, 235.  
*See also* Cancer classification
- Irwin, Alan, 10
- Jaeschke, Wayne, 73
- Jasanoff, Sheila  
 boundary work and scientific authority, 11, 122  
 cancer principles, 58  
 formaldehyde, 120  
 regulatory science, 10  
 risk assessment-risk management separation, 242, 243  
 risk as organizing concept and songlines, 14, 26  
 Science Advisory Board (SAB), 69  
*Science and Judgment* (report), 261, 314  
 science policy, 129, 145, 242, 243
- Johnson, Stephen, 284
- Kantrowitz, Arthur, 109–110
- Kates, Robert, 114
- Katzen, Sally, 228, 288, 289
- Kennedy, Ted, 113
- Knowledge representation, 24–25, 106, 111  
 and assemblage of expertise, 7, 21, 23, 273, 308  
 and design, 25, 28, 49, 307, 313  
 guidelines as, 197–199  
 reorganization of EPA, 161, 164  
*Risk Assessment in the Federal Government* (RAFG) as, 135, 138
- Kysar, Douglas, 24
- Landau, Martin, 25
- Landy, Mark, 52, 54, 70–72, 88, 90, 221, 229

- Laroche, Hervé, 22
- Lave, Lester, 38, 123
- Law, John, 24
- Lazen, Al, 134, 135, 339n22
- Leavitt, Michael, 284
- Lindblom, Charles, 16, 111–112, 116
- Linearized Multistage (LMS) model, 64, 67, 76, 206–208, 260
- Lippman, Morton, 235
- Love Canal, 86, 91, 220, 224
- Lowest adverse effect level (LOAEL), 194
- Lowrance, William, 45, 107, 123, 142
- Majone, Giandomenico, 27, 322n4
- March, James, 16, 17, 21
- Marcus, Alfred, 54, 81–82
- Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act, 4
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), 47, 117
- McClellan, Roger, 262–265, 269, 315
- McCray, Larry, 118, 135, 138, 151  
anatomy of risk assessment, 121–123, 129, 131, 133
- McGarity, Thomas  
Daubert judgment, 246  
differences between EPA program offices, 54  
dioxin, 234  
George H. W. Bush Presidency, 237  
mandate of Carol Browner, 267  
regulatory analysis at the EPA, 177  
Republicans attack on the EPA, 3, 284  
risk assessment guidelines and court review, 61–62, 76
- McNamara, Robert, 32, 80
- Mechanical objectivity, 24
- Merrill, Richard, 115, 117, 118, 123, 131, 135, 138, 224
- Meyer, John, 18, 20
- Miller, Clark, 3
- Milvy, Paul, 203, 204
- Mirer, Franklin, 11, 118, 260
- Mirex, 55, 58–59
- Mirowski, Philip, 33
- Moffett, Toby, 119, 340n23
- Moore, John (Jack)  
alar, 217  
arsenic, 236  
asbestos, 235  
consistency in decision-making, 179  
options selection and tracking, 170, 174  
and *Risk Assessment in the Federal Government* (RAFG), 163–165
- Morgenstern, Richard, 97, 162–163, 215–216, 312
- Moses, Lincoln, 262
- Moynihan, Daniel, 223, 258
- Mrak Commission, 55
- Muskie, Edmund, 52
- Nash, Linda, 51
- National Academy of Engineering, 44, 325n1
- National Academy of Sciences (NAS).  
*See also* Risk assessment, risk assessment board; Risk Assessment Committee (RAC); *Risk Assessment in the Federal Government* (RAFG)  
lobbying of AIHC, 113, 240, 260  
response to Congress on risk assessment, 151, 152, 260, 290  
review of EPA's assessments, 256, 292, 319  
and risk research, 114  
speech of Ruckelshaus at, 4, 141–143
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), 287
- National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), 3
- National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA), 252, 276
- National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS), 3



- National Environmental Policy Act, 3, 44, 52
- National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences, 139, 163, 187, 264
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 234
- National Research Council (NRC).  
See National Academy of Sciences (NAS)
- National Science Foundation (NSF), 158, 328n14
- National Wildlife Fund (NWF), 233
- Natural Resources Defense Council, 176, 217, 218, 364n58
- Nelkin, Dorothy, 10, 11, 13, 23, 43
- Nixon, Richard, 3, 52–53, 95–96, 102, 117, 221
- No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL), 56, 173, 333n18
- O'Malley, Pat, 14
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 299, 300
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), 15, 148, 152, 261  
and *Risk Assessment in the Federal Government* (RAFG), 114, 117, 118  
risk assessment guideline, 70, 72, 73
- Office for Indoor Air, 247
- Office for Policy, Economics, and Innovations, 284
- Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS), 187
- Office of Air and Radiation, 83, 86, 94
- Office of Drinking Water, 101, 236
- Office of Enforcement, 82
- Office of Health and Environmental Assessment (OHEA)  
1986 revision of risk assessment guideline, 196–197  
cancer classification, 201–206  
coordination with program offices, 68, 186, 187, 188  
definition of risk assessment, 123  
dioxin, 234  
as mega-laboratory, 70  
ozone, 235  
perchloroethylene (PCE), 201–206  
risk assessment guideline, 57–67
- Office of the General Counsel, 50, 64, 168, 205
- Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), 97, 285–289. See also Graham, John; Information Quality Act; Tozzi, Jim
- Office of Management and Budget (OMB). See also Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA)  
autonomous coordination of agencies, 149. See also Interagency Risk Management Council (IRMC)  
and cost-benefit analysis, 168, 225  
criticism of EPA's guidelines and conservatism, 237–239, 256  
review of EPA rules, 53, 96, 101, 102, 173, 218, 237–238  
risk assessment bulletin, 287  
and toxics integration, 95, 97
- Office of Management Systems and Evaluation, 168
- Office of Pesticides  
2-4-5-T, 90  
and EPA general counsel, 54, 57, 59  
capacities for science and risk assessment, 68, 179  
dioxin, 91  
relationship with EPA ORD, 68, 179  
transfer from USDA to EPA, 84
- Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances (OPTS), 86  
alar, 217  
asbestos, 235  
coordination with other program offices, 95  
ethylene dibromide (EDB), 175  
multimedia assessment, 99

- Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances (OPTS) (cont.)  
 vs. OPPE, 179  
 participation in guideline revision, 197  
 reorganization following RAFG, 179  
 risk assessment training, 181  
 terminological confusion, 197
- Office of Planning, 97
- Office of Policy Analysis, 159, 162, 173, 214, 215
- Office of Policy and Resources Management (OPRM), 330n3
- Office of Policy, Planning, and Evaluation (OPPE)  
 as EPA main analytical office, 169  
 application of the risk assessment-risk management framework and RAFG, 155 167–168, 213  
 comparative risk assessment, 213–215  
 coordination of program offices, 80, 213, 223, 244, 167–171  
 interface with OMB, 96  
 as mini-OMB, 96, 97, 174, 237  
 rise and fall in hierarchy of offices, 169, 225, 244  
 risk communication, 152–158
- Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), 225, 226
- Office of Regulatory and Information Policy, 97
- Office of Research and Development (ORD). *See also* Carcinogen Assessment Group (CAG); National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA); Office of Health and Environmental Assessment (OEHA)  
 budget and capacities, 1, 69, 141, 143, 218, 226
- Office of Technology Transfer and Regulatory Support, 188  
 regulatory oversight group, 244  
 relationship with Office of Toxic Substances and Pesticides, 193  
 relationship with program offices, 7, 68, 69, 99, 168, 188, 193  
 reorganization and laboratory structure, 69–70, 186–188, 189, 233, 275–276, 295–297  
 research on technology and engineering, 146  
 research programming, 93, 226  
 risk assessment training, 180–181  
 sustainability, 274–276
- Office of Science Advisor, 281, 366n16
- Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP)  
 1984 risk assessment guideline, 208  
 cancer guideline (Calkins et al. 1980), 72–73, 107, 129, 140  
 coordination of agency risk assessment, 113, 289  
 Regulatory Analysis Review Group (RARG), 95
- Office of Solid Waste, 258
- Office of Technology Assessment (OTA), 128, 226, 316, 327n7
- Office of Toxics Integration, 94, 336n15
- Office of Water, 86, 101, 152
- Omenn, Gilbert, 72, 314. *See also* Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP)
- Carnegie report on Risk and the Environment, 224  
 member of Risk Assessment Committee (RAC), 116–117  
 Presidential/Congressional Commission on Risk Assessment and Risk management, 300–302, 368n35  
 and Todhunter, John, 163
- Operations research. *See* Systems analysis, systems approach
- Options selection and tracking, 165–171
- Ozone, 234–235, 214, 306
- Parsons, Talcott, 21
- Particulate matter 10, 84, 177, 284

- Passive smoking. *See* Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS)
- Patton, Dorothy, 195
- Perchlorate, 288, 289, 366n15
- Perchloroethylene (PCE), 201–206
- Perrow, Charles, 37–38
- Pfeffer, Jeffrey, 21, 22, 319
- Pfiffner, John, 16
- Pharmacokinetics, 259, 261, 263
- Philip Morris, 248, 249
- Phthalates, 290, 294
- Physiologically based pharmaco-kinetics (PBPK), 236, 259, 271, 278, 315
- Planning Programming Budgeting System, 32
- Policy analysis, 32, 59, 111, 228, 327n4
- Planning and scoping, 274–281, 293–295, 303
- Policy sciences, 24, 31–37
- Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB), 220
- Porter, Theodore, 24
- Post-normal science, 10
- Powell, Mark, 54, 94, 207, 236, 244, 258, 296, 311
- Powell, Walter W., 14, 18, 317
- Power, Michael, 6, 9, 11, 19, 22, 90
- Prediction, 10, 14, 73, 259. *See also* Physiologically based pharmaco-kinetics (PBPK)
- Presidential Advisory Group on Anticipated Advances in Science and Technology, 110
- Presidential/Congressional Commission on Risk Assessment and Risk Management (PCCRARM), 300–302, 368n35
- Press, Frank, 115, 116, 134, 138, 139, 151
- Preuss, Peter
- 1996 revision of risk assessment guideline, 270
  - dioxin risk assessment, 234
  - regulatory oversight group, 267
  - memorandum on risk characterization, 252
- Office of Technology Transfer and Regulatory Support, 188
- Science and Decisions* (report), 291, 292, 311
- uncertainty analysis at NCEA, 290
- Price-Anderson Nuclear Industries Indemnity Act of 1957 (or Price-Anderson Act), 46
- Problem formulation, 30, 274, 280–284
- Pruitt, Scott, 1
- Public participation, 6, 35, 157.
- See also* Stakeholder engagement or involvement
- Pure Food and Drug Act, 55
- Quantification, 11, 33
- as educated guess, 34, 39
  - quantomania, 34
- Quantitative risk assessment, 33, 43, 46–47, 48, 61–67, 198
- Quarles, John, 91
- Quayle, Dan, 237, 250, 252, 257, 288
- Radon, 211, 216, 218–222
- Raiffa, Howard, 37–38, 111–112
- RAND Corporation, 32–33
- Rasmussen, Norman, 47–48
- Rationalization, 15–20
- cultural and strategic view of rationalization, 15–20
  - instrumental rationality, 15–17, 47–48
  - material rationality, 17, 26, 315.
  - See also* Weber, Max
  - narrative of rationalization, 22, 310
  - political history of rationalization, 28
- Reactor Safety Study (WASH-1400) (NUREG 75/014), 47–48
- Reagan, Ronald. *See also* Regulatory policy
- appointment of Ann Gorsuch, 96, 119
  - appointment of William Ruckelshaus, 4, 137–140, 187

- Rebuttable Presumption Against  
   Registration (RPAR), 175
- Red Book, 240–247, 325n2. *See also Risk  
 Assessment in the Federal Government*  
 (RAFG)
- Reference dose, 194–195, 236, 253,  
 291–293
- Regulatory analysis, 92, 95–97  
   development under Ruckelshaus and  
   Alm, 166, 177  
   format and content, 172–173, 350n28  
   Regulatory Analysis Review Group  
   (RARG), 95, 96  
   Regulatory Impact Analysis (RIA), 95,  
   172–173  
   and risk management, 129, 147
- Regulatory Council, 53, 71, 75, 95,  
 335n9
- Regulatory policy  
   deregulation, 1, 103, 141, 307,  
   358n15  
   regulatory reform, 95–97  
   regulatory relief, 96
- Regulatory science, 10
- Reilly, William (Bill), 7, 12, 148, 211  
   dioxin risk assessment, 234  
   environmental tobacco smoke (ETS),  
   248  
   ozone, 235  
   relative risk reduction, 220–225
- Rein, Martin, 22, 26, 27
- Reisa, James, 5, 279
- Republican Party, Republicans, 2, 150,  
 267, 277, 307, 319
- Resource Conservation and Recovery  
   Act (RCRA), 3, 89
- Resources for the Future, 117, 153, 224,  
 254, 312, 316
- Riles, Annelise, 23
- Rip, Arie, 31, 42–46
- Risk  
   acceptability, 44, 45, 48, 79, 150,  
   194, 306  
   controversy, 10–11  
   definition, 34, 326n6  
   health risk vs. ecological risk,  
   212–216  
   individual vs. population risk, 101,  
   252, 255  
   as organizing concept, 26  
   vs. safety, 56–57  
   as set of design rationales, 26  
   as songlines, 14
- Risk analysis  
   as comparative risk assessment and  
   synoptical view of risks, 44, 97–98,  
   214, 221  
   interdiscipline, 42, 43, 46, 107  
   as policy science, 33–49  
   vs. risk assessment, 98–102  
   Society for Risk Analysis, 42–46, 245,  
   285,  
   *Risk Analysis* (journal), 329n14
- Risk analysis principles, 228, 267, 289
- Risk assessment. *See also* Dose-response  
   assessment; Exposure assessment;  
   Risk assessment and risk  
   management; Risk characterization;  
   Science policy  
   accuracy of, 145, 190–191, 196, 209,  
   214, 229, 259.  
   anatomy of, 121–123  
   codification, 122–126  
   elements, 5, 122–126, 133, 140, 181  
   vs. health assessment, effect  
   assessment, 62, 100–101  
   risk assessment board, 133, 151,  
   339n22, 342n35  
   risk assessment policy, 123, 132–133,  
   140, 145, 208, 255  
   scientization, 232, 242, 254, 303  
   solution-focussed, 293–294  
   statistical confidence levels, 71,  
   360n29  
   weight of evidence (WOE), 61, 73,  
   120, 173, 197, 205

- Risk assessment and risk management.  
*See also* Risk assessment; Risk management.  
 creation of organizational units for, 7  
 distinction, functional separation, 129, 132, 134, 145  
 as framework and assemblage, 25, 60–62, 106, 136, 161–164, 171–172, 187, 207, 209  
 interaction between, 129, 130, 243, 266, 278  
 organizational discipline, 171  
 as “paradigm,” 4, 6  
 schism, divorce between, 242–243  
 as science and policy, 14, 129  
 separation, 2, 9, 134, 145, 148, 240–244, 306  
 as steps or modules of decision-making, 121, 127, 129, 181  
 utility of risk assessment for risk management, 279–282
- Risk Assessment Committee (RAC), 115–130, 145, 192, 221, 260, 265, 314
- Risk Assessment in the Federal Government: Managing the Process* (RAFG)  
 ambiguity, 129, 133, 145  
 building on EPA work, 122–123, 164  
 change to the paradigm after RAFG, 6–7, 223  
 codification of risk decision-making, 122–126  
 dissemination of the report, 138–140  
 as “paradigm,” 4–6, 325n3  
 reception and interpretation, 4, 7, 130–135, 142–148, 243  
 reception in EPA, 163–165  
 sequels, 260, 261–266, 278–279  
 writing of the report, 115–121
- Risk Assessment Forum (RAF), 7, 185–190, 195, 226
- Risk Assessment Review, 182, 244
- Risk-based budgeting, 224
- Risk characterization  
 AIHC campaign, 245–246, 252  
 as component of the risk framework, 5, 124–126, 129–131, 133, 164, 197  
 Habicht memorandum on risk characterization, 250–258  
 as narrative interpretation of risk, 126  
 presentation of risk to decision-makers, 245  
 uncertainties and assumptions in risk assessment, 256–258
- Risk communication, 6, 14, 138, 152–159, 218, 224, 226  
 communication of risk to decision-makers, 245  
 seven cardinal rules of, 159
- Risk evaluation  
 ambiguous step in decision-making, 111–112, 150  
 Committee on Risk and Decision-Making (CORADM), 111, 112, 113, deletion by Risk Assessment Committee, 127–128  
 value judgment, 46, 107, 115  
 “value side” in Risk Assessment Committee (RAC), 122  
 values in decision-making, 111, 112, 125
- Risk management. *See also* Interagency Risk Management Council (IRMC); *Risk Assessment in the Federal Government* (RAFG); Risk assessment and risk management  
 as including cost-benefit analysis, 126–127, 147, 171–174  
 invention of the risk management category, 126, 129, 142  
 as management of risk assessment and of science policies, 6, 148, 190, 254, 279–281, 293–294, 296–297  
 as mode of communication and engagement with publics, 153–156

- Risk Management Council (RMC), 7, 192, 266
- risk management information reporting format, 173
- as space for decision-maker and policy judgment, 14, 142, 148
- as weighing of policy alternatives or options, 16, 111–112, 126–127, 130, 243
- Risk object, 89–90, 131
- Risk perception, 11, 118, 157–158
- as branch of risk research, 38–39, 43, 45
- Risk professionals, 38–39, 45, 139, 329n17
- professional domination, 37–39
- Risk-ranking, 28–29, 80, 93–94, 103, 106, 225–228
- Risk reduction, 100, 147, 225–227, 274, 286
- relative risk reduction, 222–223
- Risk regulation, 3, 9, 14, 139, 227, 286
- Risk research, 42–49
- Rittel, Horst, 22
- Ritter, Don, 113, 150–151, 220, 258–260
- RJR Nabisco, 249
- Robbins, Fred, 116
- Rodricks, Joseph, 5, 56, 288, 311
- audit of EPA risk assessment practices, 191, 192
- member of Risk Assessment Committee (RAC), 115–118, 131, 132, 135
- Science and Decisions* (report), 278, 292
- Rothstein, Henry, 18, 19, 26
- Rowe, William, 45–46, 48, 206
- Ruckelshaus, William
- activist suit strategy, 54
- appointment by Ronald Reagan, 140–142
- balance between economic development and environmental protection, 147
- coordination of federal agencies, 148, 150, 250 (see also Interagency Risk Management Council [IRMC])
- and cost-benefit analysis, 147, 358n15
- EPA structure, 81–82
- reputation, 141
- stress on health issues, 144, 145, 146, 147
- use of *Risk Assessment in the Federal Government* (RAFG), 4, 7, 142–148
- Rushefsky, Mark, 60, 63, 71
- Russell, Milton, 152–153
- application of *Risk Assessment in the Federal Government* (RAFG) in the EPA, 166–167
- assistant administrator for OPPE and adviser to EPA administrator, 212–214
- memorandum on risk communication, 152–154
- pushing risk analysis across EPA, 171, 178–180
- Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), 3, 89, 19
- Safety evaluation, 57
- Saffioti, Umberto, 57, 70
- Sarewitz, Daniel, 10
- Schaffer, Simon, 24
- Schatzow, Steven, 215
- Scheuer, James, 152, 258
- Schön, Donald, 22, 26
- Science
- authority of science, 40–41
- language of science and proof, 35, 41, 62, 241
- mimicking of science, 22, 307
- scientific method, 16, 32–34
- scientization, 232, 242, 254, 303
- secondary scientization, 40–42
- Science Advisory Board (SAB), 1
- effective use of, 189
- organization of research at the EPA, 69, 186–187, 296

- relative risk reduction, 221–222
- review of EPA standards, 96, 119, 189, 207, 234, 236, 240
- SAB review vs. National Academy of Sciences review of EPA standards, 290–291
- Science and Decisions* (report), 279, 292–294
- Science and Judgment* (report), 262–266
- Science and Technology Policy Council, 275
- Science and Technology Studies, 24, 242, 261
- Science court, 109, 120, 152
- Science panel, 106–109, 112, 113.
  - See also* American Industrial Health Council (AIHC)
- Science policy, 129, 165, 192
  - element of the risk framework, 188, 194, 195, 207, 241, 253
  - flexibility and clarification of science policies, 231–232, 292
  - vs. risk assessment policy, 129, 208, 263
- Science Policy Council, 7, 266, 268, 275
- Scott, Richard, 18–19, 21
- Secondhand, passive smoking. *See* Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS)
- Sellers, Christopher, 2, 45
- Selznick, Philip, 19, 22, 319
- Shabecoff, Philip, 11, 91, 143, 177, 215
- Shapin, Steven, 24
- Shapiro, Sidney, 2, 3, 11, 284, 289
- Sharlin, Harold, 158
- Shrader-Frechette, Kristin, 314, 328n12, 341n32
- Silbergeld, Ellen, 11, 241–242, 259–260
- Silver Book. *See Science and Decisions* (report)
- Simon, Herbert, 16, 20, 21
- Slovic, Paul, 11, 48, 118, 123, 157, 314
- Smith, Philip, 139
- Social regulation, 55, 71, 96, 119
- Sound science campaign, 249
- Stakeholder engagement or involvement, 279–283, 295, 301–302
- Stallones, Reuel, 115, 116, 122, 133–134, 139, 311
- Star, Susan Leigh, 24, 84
- Starr, Chauncey, 44, 215
- Stauffer Chemicals, 44, 215
- Stevens, John Paul (Justice), 75
- Stinchcombe, Arthur, 19, 21
- Stone, Deborah, 16, 23
- Strauss, Anselm, 25
- Superfund, 3, 68, 85–86, 137, 146
- Supreme Court, 60, 75–76, 246
- Sustainability, 274–276
  - sustainability framework, 276–278, 364n2
- Suter, Glenn, 254, 299–300
- Systems analysis, systems approach, 32–35
- Tacoma hearing, 155–157
- Tardiff, Robert (Bob), 115, 339n13
- Technocracy, 37
- Technology
  - Maximum Available Control Technology (MACT), 239
  - technology assessment, 31, 33–36
  - Technology Assessment and Risk Analysis (TARA), 158
  - technology-based statutes, 86, 96, 233
  - technology first then risk approach, 239
  - technology research at the EPA, 146–147
- Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), 91, 234, 290
- Thacher, David, 27
- Thomas, Lee, 146, 158, 163, 192, 211, 225
  - health vs. environmental priorities, 212–216

- Thomas, William, 32, 33
- Thorton, Joe, 242, 358n14
- Thorton, Patricia, 19
- Times Beach, 86, 91, 234
- Todhunter, John, 120–121, 163, 174, 176
- Toxic chemicals
- carcinogenicity, 57–58, 60, 62, 201–206
  - chemical of the month syndrome, 68, 216
  - developmental toxicity, 270
  - genotoxicity, 192, 206
  - high visibility chemicals, 88, 145
  - mode of action, 278, 292
  - toxics and conventional pollutants, 145, 149
- Toxicological Excellence in Risk Assessment (TERA), 294
- Toxicology, 43–49, 259–260
- Toxics integration, 91–99, 169, 221
- Integrated Toxics Strategy Work Group, 91
  - Toxics Integration Task Force (TITF), 162
- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), 3, 85–89, 91, 97, 176–179, 235–236
- Tozzi, Jim, 97, 139, 251, 285, 288
- Train, Russell, 59–61, 65, 88, 108
- Trichloroethylene (TCE), 290
- Uncertainty
- data gaps, 33, 34, 255, 268
  - measurement uncertainty, 255
  - Science and Decisions* (report), 294–295
  - structural uncertainty and controversy, 10, 12, 26
  - uncertainty analysis, 48, 250, 254–255, 271, 281
  - uncertainty analysis under George Gray at ORD, 287, 289, 292
  - uncertainty factor, 193–194, 236, 278, 286, 306
  - variability, 247, 263, 278–279, 281, 293
- Utidjian, William, 118
- Vinyl chloride, 91
- Wagner, Elizabeth, 2, 13, 234, 249, 315
- Walker, Bailus, 266, 292
- Wampler, William, 113
- Water Office, 123, 179, 197
- 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), 91
  - arsenic, 236
  - and Cancer Assessment Group (CAG), 68, 99, 101
  - Perchloroethylene (PCE), 201–204
  - separation of science and regulatory work, 244
- Waxman, Henry, 248, 258
- Weber, Max, 15, 17, 26
- Weinberg, Alvin, 3
- Weyerhaeuser, 343n5
- Wheeler, Andrew, 1
- White House Council on Government Reorganization, 52
- White, Gilbert, 114
- Whitman, Christine Todd, 8, 284
- Wildavsky, Aaron, 10, 23, 38–40
- Wilson, Richard, 74, 107–108, 241, 243, 244
- Wynne, Brian, 10, 25, 35–37
- Yosie, Terry, 77, 188–189, 207, 237
- Zeise, Lauren, 292



## Inside Technology Series

Edited by Wiebe E. Bijker, W. Bernard Carlson, and Trevor Pinch

David Demortain, *The Science of Bureaucracy: Risk Decision-Making and the US Environmental Protection Agency*

Joeri Bruyninx, *Listening in the Field: Recording and the Science of Birdsong*

Edward Jones-Imhotep, *The Unreliable Nation: Hostile Nature and Technological Failure in the Cold War*

Jennifer L. Lieberman, *Power Lines: Electricity in American Life and Letters, 1882–1952*

Jess Bier, *Mapping Israel, Mapping Palestine: Occupied Landscapes of International Technoscience*

Benoît Godin, *Models of Innovation: The History of an Idea*

Stephen Hilgartner, *Reordering Life: Knowledge and Control in the Genomics Revolution*

Brice Laurent, *Democratic Experiments: Problematizing Nanotechnology and Democracy in Europe and the United States*

Cyrus C. M. Mody, *The Long Arm of Moore's Law: Microelectronics and American Science*

Tiago Saraiva, *Fascist Pigs: Technoscientific Organisms and the History of Fascism*

Teun Zuiderent-Jerak, *Situated Interventions: Sociological Experiments in Healthcare*

Basile Zimmermann, *Technology and Cultural Difference: Electronic Music Devices, Social Networking Sites, and Computer Encodings in Contemporary China*

Andrew J. Nelson, *The Sound of Innovation: Stanford and the Computer Music Revolution*

Sonja D. Schmid, *Producing Power: The Pre-Chernobyl History of the Soviet Nuclear Industry*

Casey O'Donnell, *Developer's Dilemma: The Secret World of Videogame Creators*

Christina Dunbar-Hester, *Low Power to the People: Pirates, Protest, and Politics in FM Radio Activism*

Eden Medina, Ivan da Costa Marques, and Christina Holmes, editors, *Beyond Imported Magic: Essays on Science, Technology, and Society in Latin America*

Anique Hommels, Jessica Mesman, and Wiebe E. Bijker, editors, *Vulnerability in Technological Cultures: New Directions in Research and Governance*

Amit Prasad, *Imperial Technoscience: Transnational Histories of MRI in the United States, Britain, and India*

Charis Thompson, *Good Science: The Ethical Choreography of Stem Cell Research*

Tarleton Gillespie, Pablo J. Boczkowski, and Kirsten A. Foot, editors, *Media Technologies: Essays on Communication, Materiality, and Society*

Catelijne Coopmans, Janet Vertesi, Michael Lynch, and Steve Woolgar, editors, *Representation in Scientific Practice Revisited*

Rebecca Slayton, *Arguments that Count: Physics, Computing, and Missile Defense, 1949–2012*

Stathis Arapostathis and Graeme Gooday, *Patently Contestable: Electrical Technologies and Inventor Identities on Trial in Britain*

Jens Lachmund, *Greening Berlin: The Co-Production of Science, Politics, and Urban Nature*

Chikako Takeshita, *The Global Biopolitics of the IUD: How Science Constructs Contraceptive Users and Women's Bodies*

Cyrus C. M. Mody, *Instrumental Community: Probe Microscopy and the Path to Nanotechnology*

Morana Alač, *Handling Digital Brains: A Laboratory Study of Multimodal Semiotic Interaction in the Age of Computers*

Gabrielle Hecht, editor, *Entangled Geographies: Empire and Technopolitics in the Global Cold War*

Michael E. Gorman, editor, *Trading Zones and Interactional Expertise: Creating New Kinds of Collaboration*

Matthias Gross, *Ignorance and Surprise: Science, Society, and Ecological Design*

Andrew Feenberg, *Between Reason and Experience: Essays in Technology and Modernity*

Wiebe E. Bijker, Roland Bal, and Ruud Hendricks, *The Paradox of Scientific Authority: The Role of Scientific Advice in Democracies*

Park Doing, *Velvet Revolution at the Synchrotron: Biology, Physics, and Change in Science*

Gabrielle Hecht, *The Radiance of France: Nuclear Power and National Identity after World War II*

Richard Rottenburg, *Far-Fetched Facts: A Parable of Development Aid*

Michel Callon, Pierre Lascoumes, and Yannick Barthe, *Acting in an Uncertain World: An Essay on Technical Democracy*

Ruth Oldenziel and Karin Zachmann, editors, *Cold War Kitchen: Americanization, Technology, and European Users*

Deborah G. Johnson and Jameson W. Wetmore, editors, *Technology and Society: Building Our Sociotechnical Future*

Trevor Pinch and Richard Swedberg, editors, *Living in a Material World: Economic Sociology Meets Science and Technology Studies*

Christopher R. Henke, *Cultivating Science, Harvesting Power: Science and Industrial Agriculture in California*

Helga Nowotny, *Insatiable Curiosity: Innovation in a Fragile Future*

Karin Bijsterveld, *Mechanical Sound: Technology, Culture, and Public Problems of Noise in the Twentieth Century*

Peter D. Norton, *Fighting Traffic: The Dawn of the Motor Age in the American City*

Joshua M. Greenberg, *From Betamax to Blockbuster: Video Stores and the Invention of Movies on Video*

Mikael Hård and Thomas J. Misa, editors, *Urban Machinery: Inside Modern European Cities*

Christine Hine, *Systematics as Cyberscience: Computers, Change, and Continuity in Science*

Wesley Shrum, Joel Genuth, and Ivan Chompalov, *Structures of Scientific Collaboration*

Shobita Parthasarathy, *Building Genetic Medicine: Breast Cancer, Technology, and the Comparative Politics of Health Care*

Kristen Haring, *Ham Radio's Technical Culture*

- Atsushi Akera, *Calculating a Natural World: Scientists, Engineers and Computers during the Rise of U.S. Cold War Research*
- Donald MacKenzie, *An Engine, Not a Camera: How Financial Models Shape Markets*
- Geoffrey C. Bowker, *Memory Practices in the Sciences*
- Christophe Lécuyer, *Making Silicon Valley: Innovation and the Growth of High Tech, 1930–1970*
- Anique Hommels, *Unbuilding Cities: Obduracy in Urban Sociotechnical Change*
- David Kaiser, editor, *Pedagogy and the Practice of Science: Historical and Contemporary Perspectives*
- Charis Thompson, *Making Parents: The Ontological Choreography of Reproductive Technology*
- Pablo J. Boczkowski, *Digitizing the News: Innovation in Online Newspapers*
- Dominique Vinck, editor, *Everyday Engineering: An Ethnography of Design and Innovation*
- Nelly Oudshoorn and Trevor Pinch, editors, *How Users Matter: The Co-Construction of Users and Technology*
- Peter Keating and Alberto Cambrosio, *Biomedical Platforms: Realigning the Normal and the Pathological in Late-Twentieth-Century Medicine*
- Paul Rosen, *Framing Production: Technology, Culture, and Change in the British Bicycle Industry*
- Maggie Mort, *Building the Trident Network: A Study of the Enrollment of People, Knowledge, and Machines*
- Donald MacKenzie, *Mechanizing Proof: Computing, Risk, and Trust*
- Geoffrey C. Bowker and Susan Leigh Star, *Sorting Things Out: Classification and Its Consequences*
- Charles Bazerman, *The Languages of Edison's Light*
- Janet Abbate, *Inventing the Internet*
- Herbert Gottweis, *Governing Molecules: The Discursive Politics of Genetic Engineering in Europe and the United States*
- Kathryn Henderson, *On Line and On Paper: Visual Representation, Visual Culture, and Computer Graphics in Design Engineering*
- Susanne K. Schmidt and Raymond Werle, *Coordinating Technology: Studies in the International Standardization of Telecommunications*
- Marc Berg, *Rationalizing Medical Work: Decision Support Techniques and Medical Practices*
- Eda Kranakis, *Constructing a Bridge: An Exploration of Engineering Culture, Design, and Research in Nineteenth-Century France and America*
- Paul N. Edwards, *The Closed World: Computers and the Politics of Discourse in Cold War America*
- Donald MacKenzie, *Knowing Machines: Essays on Technical Change*
- Wiebe E. Bijker, *Of Bicycles, Bakelites, and Bulbs: Toward a Theory of Sociotechnical Change*
- Louis L. Bucciarelli, *Designing Engineers*

Geoffrey C. Bowker, *Science on the Run: Information Management and Industrial Geophysics at Schlumberger, 1920–1940*

Wiebe E. Bijker and John Law, editors, *Shaping Technology / Building Society: Studies in Sociotechnical Change*

Stuart Blume, *Insight and Industry: On the Dynamics of Technological Change in Medicine*

Donald MacKenzie, *Inventing Accuracy: A Historical Sociology of Nuclear Missile Guidance*

Pamela E. Mack, *Viewing the Earth: The Social Construction of the Landsat Satellite System*

H. M. Collins, *Artificial Experts: Social Knowledge and Intelligent Machines*

<http://mitpress.mit.edu/books/series/inside-technology>

This is a section of [doi:10.7551/mitpress/12248.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12248.001.0001)

# **The Science of Bureaucracy**

## **Risk Decision-Making and the US Environmental Protection Agency**

**By: David Demortain**

### **Citation:**

*The Science of Bureaucracy: Risk Decision-Making and the US Environmental Protection Agency*

**By: David Demortain**


**DOI: 10.7551/mitpress/12248.001.0001**

**ISBN (electronic): 9780262356671**

**Publisher: The MIT Press**

**Published: 2020**

The open access edition of this book was made possible by generous funding and support from MIT Libraries



**The MIT Press**

© 2019 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or information storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from the publisher.

This work is subject to a Creative Commons CC-BY-NC-ND license. The open access edition of this book was made possible by generous funding from the MIT Libraries. Subject to such license, all rights are reserved.



This book was set in Stone Serif and Stone Sans by Westchester Publishing Services.

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Demortain, David, author.

Title: The science of bureaucracy : risk decision-making and the US Environmental Protection Agency / David Demortain.

Description: Cambridge, MA : The MIT Press, [2019] | Series: Inside technology series | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2019010651 | ISBN 9780262537940 (pbk. : alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: United States. Environmental Protection Agency--Management--History. | Risk management--Government policy--United States--History. | Environmental policy--United States--Decision making. | Environmental policy--United States--History.

Classification: LCC TD171 .D46 2019 | DDC 363.700973--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2019010651>