

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

As this book argues, finding language for collective form isn't just secondary to the labor of forming collectives, groups, communities, publics, counterpublics, mobs, gangs, marches, subcultures, cliques, bands, masses, listservs, fan cultures, groupies, gaggles, audiences, troops, comment sections—it is part of the work of collectivity itself. This means that gratitude expressed in an acknowledgments section is a group form. First thanks go to my teachers: Lauren Berlant, Darby English, and W. J. T. Mitchell. Even now, many years later, you make it impossible to feel as though I'm doing any of this on my own.

To Scott Richmond, Jim Hodge, and Damon Young: in the long interregnum between the first version of this project and the last, those long dark fallow days, you were my fans when I could not do that labor myself. Sometimes that was all that kept me going.

To Christa Robbins: anyone who has read every single word I've ever written deserves not just her own paragraph, but her own acknowledgments section. Every strained sentence I've ever written thanks you with all of the grandiose verbosity that you've been so good at taming in my work. My gift to you is that I did not make you edit this paragraph.

Talks, whether to small audiences in the context of a conference or larger audiences in the context of an invited talk, have offered life-sustaining opportunities to remind myself of the collectivity of thought: its liveness, its necessary awkwardness, and its belated right-on-time-ness. Thank you to Zirwat Chowdhury and your students at Bennington College for letting me try out a version of chapter 5. Thank you to Christa Robbins and Matt

Hunter, who each in different years invited me to the California Institute of Technology to think, out loud, about how my work does and doesn't address the question of networks. And thank you to Abigail Susik, my friend and colleague at Willamette University, who invited me to present chapter 4 to her students.

I've been extremely lucky to have Elizabeth Ault and Ken Wissoker as my editors at Duke. Thank you both for shepherding me, always with optimism for the project, through a protracted review process. And thank you to my anonymous reviewers. At some point, improvement becomes impossible without someone or something forcing one out of the abject position of staying attached to one's own project, and into a better or different form of attachment. You affirmed my project while showing me how to make it better—a magic simultaneity—which made it possible to detach and really start writing again.

I've also been grateful throughout this project to have known Sharon Hayes and Thomson & Craighead, artists whose work I discuss in the book. Thank you, first, for your astonishing work, the resonance and resourcefulness of which far exceeds the idiosyncratic account given of it here. And thank you as well for your unstinting support of my version of your work. Additional thanks go to Patrick Armstrong and the staff at Tanya Leighton, Sharon Hayes's gallery, for accommodating my late requests for images.

I'm also grateful to the journal *Afterall* for allowing me to reprint a much earlier version of chapter 3.

Finally, I want to thank Laura Heit and Leo Cohen, who are so close to home that the addressee and the addressor of this note feel almost the same. Almost, but not quite, and much of my gratitude is precisely for the differences you both continue to invent and insist upon. This project, as you know, has often made me moody. You've leavened many of those moods and patiently withstood the rest. For that I can't thank you enough.

I dedicate this book to all of you. It describes one possible outcome of our group form and so it is, itself, that form. This project was always easier, and better, when I remembered that strange fact.