

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book owes its materialization to the good humor, brilliance, and generosity of many friends and colleagues and to the support of several institutions.

I had the pleasure of working on this book full-time in Houston thanks to a Rice University Humanities Research Center fellowship, “Materialism and New Materialism across the Disciplines,” in 2013–2014; thank you to my colleagues there, especially Sarah Ellenzweig, Lauren Kleinschmidt, and Jack Zammito.

Five College Feminist Science and Technology Studies Initiative reading and writing groups have provided intellectual grist and accountability as well as fun and sweetness over the last several years. Thank you to Kiran Asher, Aimee Bahng, Karen Cardozo, Christian Gunderman, Jennifer Hamilton, Rebecca Herzig, Jacquelyne Luce, Laura Lovett, Lis McLoughlin, Donna Riley, Britt Rusert, and Banu Subramaniam for making those spaces so generative and sustaining and for reading zillions of iterations of what would become chapter 5.

I am grateful to colleagues and students in my home department—Women, Gender, Sexuality Studies at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst—for their collegiality and intellectual generosity. Thank you to Kiran Asher, Abbie Boggs, Laura Briggs, Alex Deschamps, Tanisha Ford, Ann Ferguson, Linda Hillenbrand, Miliann Kang, Karen Lederer, Kirsten Leng, Svati Shah, Banu Subramaniam, and Mecca Sullivan. Kiran, Laura, and Kirsten gave valuable detailed feedback on sections of the book, and Banu gave generous feedback on the entire manuscript. Thank you to my graduate students—especially Claire Brault, Kevin Henderson, Alix Olson, and Cordelia Sand—for pushing

my thinking about queer feminist politics and materialisms. And to my fall 2015 “Monogamy” class at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst, for thinking with me about which epigraphs to open with as I wrap up copyedits.

Thank you, too, to my colleagues and students in Gender Studies at Mount Holyoke College and Critical Social Inquiry at Hampshire College. Thanks in particular to my fall 2014 “Monogamy” class at Hampshire. Their creativity in imagining the scope of a “critical monogamy studies” blew me away. And thank you to all of the Five College undergraduate students with whom I’ve codesigned queer feminist science studies research projects and practicums. Thinking with these students has made this book more imaginative.

Sara Giordano and Lynne Huffer have been generous interlocutors through many stages of this book’s coming into being over the last decade and also gave feedback on each and every chapter. Thank you to Lynne and to Pamela Scully, the kind and brilliant codirectors of the dissertation from which this book grew, and to Sander Gilman, whose tutelage has had a profound impact on my thinking about monogamy science. Thanks are due, too, to my master’s thesis advisor, Claire Hemmings, for encouraging me to pursue my interest in monogamy. I also want to thank my undergraduate women’s studies professors, Mary Bosworth, Susan Berger, Nicole Fermon, Fawzia Mustafa, Nina Swidler, and Irma Watkins-Owens, for introducing me to feminist theory in so many different ways.

I owe a special thank you to Sara Giordano, Jennifer Hamilton, and Banu Subramaniam, close collaborators from whom I have learned to think in new ways, whose intellectual interests and styles have marked this book in unquantifiable ways, and without whose friendship it wouldn’t yet be done.

Thank you to fellowships from Interdisciplinary Studies at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst, and Crossroads in the study of the Americas at the Five Colleges, both of which provided support and community for developing parts of this book. Thanks to Sue Dickman and Nate Therian at Five Colleges for all of your support. Thanks, too, to the Five College Women’s Studies Research Center. Under the direction of Karen Remmler and Darcy Buerkle (in my years here) the center has been instrumental in making western Massachusetts a vibrant place to do feminist scholarship.

I wrote chapter 1 in the company of a lovely community of historians of sexual science at the Leslie Center for the Humanities at Dartmouth College over the summer of 2013. Thank you to my colleagues there: Sanjam Ahluwalia, Aimee Bahng, Chiara Beccolosi, Pablo Ben, Michael Dietrich, Veronika Fuetchner, Doug Haynes, Kit Heintzman, Rainer Herrn, Rebecca Hodes, Rachel

Hui-chi Hsu, Ishita Pande, Ryan Jones, and Kurt MacMillan. Charlie Beckler was my fabulous research assistant on that chapter.

Thank you to Larry Young and members of his Emory University laboratory for generously sharing their time and knowledge.

Thank you to friends and colleagues with whom I've thought about monogamy, nonmonogamy, and social belonging over the years, especially Bailey, Caitlin Childs, Sara Giordano, Onni Gust, Julie Kubala, Christina Leddy, Kerrie Lynn, Meghan Martiniere, Louisa Merchant, Sreerekha Mullasery, John Pisani, Uditi Sen, Traci Schlesinger, Arjun Shamlal, and Josephine Wilson. And to those beyond my local cohort with whom I've thought about what a queer feminist critical materialist science studies (or some such) might look like, especially Moya Bailey, Cyd Cipolla, Laura Foster, Kristina Gupta, Durba Mitra, Anne Pollock, Deboleena Roy, David Rubin, Harlan Weaver, and Veronica Sanz.

The kindness and community of many more friends helped me to write this book. Thank you to Lisa Armstrong, Arlene Avakian, Martha Ayres, Bethany Baylies, Jen Bertasi, Catherine Boswell, Barbara Cruikshank, Janice Irvine, Amber Krebs, Cathy Luna, Toni Mead, Lynn Morgan, Sonny Nordmarken, Shannan Palma, Jacob Speaks, Nina Swidler, and Shruthi Vissa.

Thank you to Kim TallBear and Jennifer Terry for reflecting back to me the feminist political desires that animate this project in your generous comments on the manuscript. I couldn't have asked for better readers. Courtney Berger has been a thoughtful and dedicated editor. Thanks to both Courtney and Sandra Korn at Duke University Press for being incredibly helpful throughout this process. Thanks, too, to Claudia Castaneda, who edited my book proposal and chapter 1.

Thank you to librarians in general, and in particular, to Beth Lang, Laura Quilter, and Charlotte Roh at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst, and Julie Adamo and James Gehrt at Mount Holyoke College. Beth and Julie helped me find things I read for this book, Laura and Charlotte educated me on fair use, and James prepared my images.

For making literal and metaphorical space for me to write this book (from building this desk I'm typing at to providing beverage service to making chalk boards, pencil cases, and other writerly gifts to waiting and listening patiently) and for celebrating all the little bitty steps in this very long process with me, I thank those most intimately involved in the writing of this book (in chronological order from the date my business started being yours): Gail Willey, Joe Willey, Traci Schlesinger, Sara Giordano, Coltin Fulk, Hunter Fulk, Tabitha Fulk, Tyler Fulk, Cloe Fulk, Erika Kate MacDonald, Bailey, and Jake Guimont.

Some of this book's most interesting ideas germinated in thinking and imagining with Cloe, Tyler, Tabby, Hunter, and Coltin. They've taught me tons about relational inventiveness.

Of course, no one thanked here is liable for flaws, mistakes, or omissions that remain.

Finally, despite having a lovely desk at home, I confess to having written much of this book in cafés. Among them I would especially like to acknowledge Doshi House in Houston and Café Evolution in Florence, Massachusetts.

Portions of this book have appeared elsewhere in various forms. An earlier version of a section of chapter 3 titled "Universalizing Polyamory Discourse" is included in "Science Says She's Gotta Have It: Reading for Racial Resonances in Woman-Centered Poly Literature," in *Understanding Non-monogamies*, edited by Meg Barker and Darren Langdrige, 34–45 (New York: Routledge, 2010). Most of chapter 5 and a section of the introduction titled "The Possibilities of Biology" appear in "Biopossibility: A Queer Feminist Materialist Science Studies Manifesto, with Special Reference to the Question of Monogamous Behavior," *Signs* 41, no. 3 (2016). Thank you to *Signs* for permission to republish the content of this article.