Petrographic investigation of smithing slag of the Hellenistic to Byzantine city of Sagalassos (SW-Turkey)
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ABSTRACT

The frequent occurrence of iron slag in excavation layers of the Hellenistic to Byzantine city of Sagalassos (SW Turkey), indicates continuous iron working from the 1st to 7th century A.D. The slag samples are identified as smithing hearth bottoms and are found in dump fills, building foundations, and road constructions. Although several smelting sites are present in the 1800 km² large territory of the city, the chemical signature of the smelting slag does not correspond to the characteristics of the smithing slag found in the city itself. The smithing slag does offer insight in the smithing techniques applied, which in turn provides information about the objects produced and production chain or “chaîne opératoire” followed for iron production in Sagalassos. Textural and mineralogical analyses reveal two main smithing techniques that were applied throughout the 1st to 7th century A.D. The most commonly applied smithing technique is used for the production of simple bulk materials, like hammers or anvils. Microscopic textures in the slag show mainly mechanical deformation at relatively high temperatures. The second, more sophisticated smithing technique shows the blacksmith treated the iron at different temperatures. The presence of high-lime contents indicates the use of a flux and/or a protective agent against oxidation. This technique was applied to make more complex objects or objects with cutting edges. Based on the reconstruction of the smithing techniques, the iron production of Sagalassos produced mainly everyday bulk material. The current study demonstrates the importance of using the mineralogy of smithing slag in reconstructing the technological evolution of iron production. Microtextural and mineralogical studies and comparison with modern smithing techniques offer greater insight in the complex world of Roman blacksmithing.
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INTRODUCTION

The occurrence of metallurgical waste throughout the 1800 km² territory of Sagalassos, with several smaller settlements and the monumental Hellenistic to Byzantine city of Sagalassos (SW Turkey) itself, indicates continuous iron working there (Fig. 1). The metallurgical waste found consists of smelting and smithing slag, hammer scale, bloom, and rejected ore. In Antiquity, iron objects were produced in a two step (sometimes three steps) process (Fig. 2). Iron ore, usually iron oxides or carbonates of sufficient high grade, were mined and prepared by removing the gangue material, reducing the size of the ore lumps and roasting the ore to lose volatiles, such as hydrogen and sulfur (Serneels and Perret 2003). During smelting by a direct reduction process, the iron oxides were reduced in solid state by heating the ore with charcoal in a closed furnace at a temperature between 1000–1200 °C (Cleere 1971; Serneels and Perret 2003; Charlton et al. 2013). The carbon monoxide produced in the furnace causes the iron ore to be reduced to its metallic state, resulting in almost pure iron that is called a bloom (Serneels and Perret 2003). Other substances, mainly silica, alumina, lime, and unreduced iron oxides form a liquid phase, which separates from the bloom and flows away, resulting in an iron smelting slag (Serneels and Perret 2003). Since this process is not efficient, the bloom still has slag inclusions and the slag contains much iron. In a next step the bloom will be further refined during the primary smithing process and shaped into currency bars. These bars are then used in a secondary smithing process, where the iron is shaped by plastic deformation (repeatedly heating and hammering) by the blacksmith to make the final object (Serneels and Perret 2003). Waste associated with this last step forms smithing slag, which is an accumulation of fused residual material characterized by a plano-convex shape (Serneels and Perret 2003).

In the monumental city of Sagalassos, smithing slag are found in several excavation layers dating from the 1st to 7th century A.D. (Kellens et al. 2003). Most of the slag are related to destruction layers or are used in fills or to level roads. Some are, however, found in occupation layers associated with hammer scales indicating the presence of smithing workshops (Kellens et al. 2003). Slag are not limited to the Antique city, but are found throughout the territory. In the Bey Dağları Massif, 5 km east of the city, smelting slag are present in two sites (Tekeli Tepe and DEREKÖY). Moreover, geophysical research at Tekeli Tepe revealed the presence of furnaces. Ceramics tentatively date the slag to the 6th–7th century A.D. Also in the Hellenistic settlement...
FigurE 1. (top) Outline of the Sagalassos territory during Roman times. The different sites were metallurgical waste is found are indicated on the map. (bottom) The monumental city of Sagalassos. Smithing slag used for this study are found at (1) Temple of Antoninus Pius, (9) Upper Agora, (10) Bouleuterion, (13) Library, (14) Doric Nymphaeum, (16) Domestic area, (18) Potters’ Quarter, (19) City survey in the Necropolis.
of Düzen Tepe (1.8 km SW of the monumental city, 5th–2nd century B.C.; Vyncke 2013) and the settlement of Bereket (25 km SW of the monumental city, age unknown) smelting sites are located. Conversely, though smithing slag are commonly found, finds of smelting slag in Sagalassos are very rare. One tap slag was found in a street sounding and is likely related to the leveling of the road. It was dated to the first century A.D. (Kellens et al. 2003). Another tap slag, dated to the second century A.D., was used to fill a ditch associated with the construction of the Roman Library. One furnace-cooled smelting slag was found at the Upper Agora in association with a large number of metal objects (3rd century A.D.).

Previous studies on the iron slag of the Sagalassos territory mainly focused on provenancing and linking the slag and iron objects to their potential ore source. Several mineralizations are located in the territory of Sagalassos. Chemical and mineralogical analysis on slag from the monumental city (1st–7th century A.D.) and from the Bey Dağları massif (6th–7th century A.D.) show a distinct difference in the type of ore source used (Degryse et al. 2003a). While the slag of the city carried the chemical signature of a typical Roman slag with elevated iron and silica values, the slag from Bey Dağları showed an elevated titanium, vanadium, and zirconium content (Degryse et al. 2003a). At Dereköy, slag and furnace material were found in association with a spinel placer deposit. The ore source used for the Sagalassos iron, however, remains unclear. Although a hematite mineralization occurs in the immediate proximity of the city, it is low grade, which makes it unsuitable for iron production (Degryse et al. 2003a).

Pb- and Sr-isotopes have also been employed to assign iron artifacts and metallurgical waste to potential ore sources (Degryse et al. 2007, 2009). The Pb-content of the Hellenistic objects from Düzen Tepe is too low to perform Pb-isotope analysis. The objects, however, are found in association with a magnetite placer deposit, which is a likely candidate as the ore used at this site (Vyncke 2013). Early Roman objects in turn seem to relate to the hematite deposit located in the proximity of the city. Due to

**Figure 2.** (a) Transition of skeletal to closely packed wüstite (approaching hammer scale texture) in group A. (b) Hopper-shaped wüstite in group A. (c) Transition of closely spaced wüstite to a patch texture in group A. (d) Ferrite loss (white mineral) in group A. (e) Hammer scale texture with small loss of ferrite (white dots) in group B. (f) Feather-shaped laths between little branched wüstite in group B. W = wüstite, I = interstitial glass, F² = feathershaped laths and α = α-iron.
the disseminated nature of the ore, it is possible that higher grade ore then those measured in the 2003 study, were employed in Antiquity (Degryse et al. 2007). A potential ore source for Late Roman artifacts lies in Camuluk. This Fe-Mn mineralization is located just south of the territory and was possibly imported into the territory. Early Byzantine artifacts and waste material likely originate from the spinel placer deposit at Dereköy (Degryse et al. 2007, 2009).

Although considerable research has been devoted to proving the iron objects and ores, less attention has been paid to integrated archaeometric research on the slag and their meaning for Sagalassos. One of the major research topics of the interdisciplinary Sagalassos project is the reconstruction of the economy, social organization, and ecology of the site and its territory. From a ceramic perspective, macro- and microscopic descriptions has been completed to deduce the applied technology (Degryse et al. 2003b).

The iron slag found at several locations in the city and territory have similar potential to estimate the importance of iron production in the Sagalassos territory. This paper concentrates on the smithing slag found in the monumental city. Most archaeometallurgical research concentrates on smelting technology. Less attention goes to smithing slag, which is probably due to the complex nature of this waste material. The composition of smelting slag depends on the furnace operation (design, air supply mechanism), raw material used (ore, charcoal, furnace lining) and potential use of a flux (Cleere 1976). When studying smithing slag, extra variables are to be taken into account. The morphology, composition, and microtextures of smithing slag depend on the bloom used (which in turns depends on the smelting recipe) and the complexity of the object that is produced. These two parameters influence the type of hearth used, the hearth temperature and the actions of the blacksmith (simple heating, hammering, welding, cementation) (Crew 1996; Sernrels and Perret 2003; Berranger and Fluzin 2007; Le Carlier et al. 2007; Young 2012) (e.g., if the object is large, welding may be necessary). In that case, the blacksmith will use a flux to remove the oxidation layer that appears when the iron is taken out of the hearth (Crew 1996; Le Carlier et al. 2007; Rehren and Pernicka 2008). Importantly, the experience of the blacksmith is a variable that is difficult to account for (Crew 1991). All these factors result in a slag composition that can be further removed from equilibrium conditions than for smelting slag (Rehren and Pernicka 2008). Conversely, smithing slag offer the opportunity to study the intermediate stages of the various operations carried out at an iron workshop.

Sernrels and Perret (2003) related the macroscopic variability in morphology of the iron slag to the smithy process. They distinguish between three textures. A scorie grise dense (SGD) is dominated by fayalite with a variable amount of iron oxides and small amount of interstitial glass and is related to hot oxidation during smithing with a small input of silica. The scorie argillo-sableuse (SAS) is rich in silica and has a low-iron content. The silica presence indicates the use of a flux that is related to welding or minimizing oxidation in the finishing phase. The scorie ferreuse rouillée (SFR) is characterized by the presence of metallic iron, iron oxides, and -hydroxides. This type of slag is related to high-temperature operations or use of a poorly compacted raw material. Le Carlier et al. (2007) investigated slag at microscopic scale and described different mineralogical phases. They reconstructed the “chaîne opératoire” of the iron production in Puy-de-Grâce (Perpezac-Le-Noir, France) based on a microscopic analysis of the smithing slag. Berranger and Fluzin (2007) used information gained from smithing slag to reconstruct the social organization of workshops at Entremont (Aix-en-Provence, France). Smithing slag are made up of different mineralogical associations, depending on the different operations that took place. Hammer scales in the slag are hemispheric textures consisting of iron oxides and metallic iron that are knocked off during hammering. Sometimes this iron starts to melt and forms new phases in the hearth. Complex silicates may indicate the use of fluxes applied for welding or anti-oxidation. Sometimes also clay-sand-rich zones can be recognized. This material originates from fluxes and hearth lining, but did not melt in the hearth. The smithing slag of Sagalassos will be studied with petrography and chemistry to reconstruct the smithing technologies used in Roman times. Additionally, since most slag samples are dated based on associated ceramic chronology, change in smithing techniques through time can be checked.

**EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY**

**Materials**

One hundred and fifty-five slag samples were compositionally analyzed. Of these samples, 22 smithing heart bottoms (SHB) were used for mineralogical and petrographic analysis, selected based on find location, age, and morphology (using the classification of Sernrels and Perret (2003)). The SHB are found at different locations in the city and represent 20 yr of excavations (Table 1; Fig. 1). The waste material is dated to the Early to Late Roman period (25 B.C. to 450/75 A.D.) (Tables 1 and 2) based on associated diagnostic ceramic material of which a detailed chronology was drawn up throughout the years (Pohblone 1999). Most of the samples are found as dump fill or were used for construction (roads, foundations, …). Some samples were collected during urban survey. Although more difficult to date, the survey slag were dated based on distribution maps and diagnostic sherds (Martens 2005).

**Microscopy**

Polished sections are made from the iron-rich parts of the slag. A Nikon Eclipse 50 POL with reflected light and total magnification of 500 was employed for light microscopy. Pictures were taken using a Leica DMLP microscope with Deltapix D200 CCD camera supported by Axionvision software.

**X-ray diffraction (XRD)**

Since the optical properties of iron, calcium, and aluminum silicates are similar and thus not readily distinguishable using regular light microscopy, XRD is used to complete a full mineralogical characterization of the slag. To verify whether the mineralogical composition of the slag is technology or age dependent, quantification is necessary. Minerals are 3D-ordered structures with characteristic periodicities along crystallographic axes. Incident X-rays are diffracted by these planes, forming the base of powder diffraction and allowing identification of minerals present in the slag (Klein and Dutrow 2008). To quantify the minerals, the Rietveld method using the Direct Convolution approach, was employed. This method determines the best fit between the measured and calculated diffraction pattern using a least-square refinement (Rietveld 1967). The calculated pattern is modeled by refining the crystal structure, the diffraction optic effects, instrumental factors and other specimen characteristics as strain and crystal size (Mertens 2009).

Samples are prepared by grinding and sieving them ≤ 250 μm. Next 2.7g of the sample and 0.3 g of an internal standard are mixed and micronized in a McCrone Micronizing mill with 5 mL ethanol as grinding agent for 5 min. Zincite (ZnO) is chosen as internal standard following the procedure described by Šrodoň et al. (2001). After two days of drying, samples are disaggregated in a mortar and passed through a 250 μm sieve to ensure good mixing between the sample and the
Samples were measured with a Phillips PW1800 diffractometer with a Bragg/Brentano θ-2θ setup and CuKα radiation at 45 kV and 30 mA. The scan ranges from 5 to 75° with a step size of 0.02 and 2 s per step. The software used for identification is EVA. Minerals are quantified with Rietveld refinement TOPAS Academic. The implementation code is refined as described by Baerlocher (1996).

During the XRD studies on the slag samples two problems with the quantification refinement were identified: the abundance of wüstite was underestimated, while the abundance of the amorphous phase was overestimated when compared to observations made from polished sections. To resolve the latter, the internal standard, zincite, was checked to be adequate for iron slag. If it was too soft, it would be ground to an amorphous phase in the preparation process. During the test, the sample was first micronized to 10 μm and afterward the zincite was added. After analysis, a significant difference in the abundance of the amorphous phase was observed. The use of a CoKα-tube causes electrical problems in the laboratory and was not a sustainable solution. The most acceptable method for analysis was to apply an empirical absorption coefficient to the data reduction implementation code. Although the estimates of wüstite abundance improved significantly, problems still occur in modeling the wüstite peak at 42° 2θ. Since wüstite belongs to the cubic crystal system, preferred orientation is limited. It was discovered that wüstite would have different crystallite sizes (one large to cover the broadness of the peak, one small to fill the height of the peak), a better quantification was achieved.

### Compositional analysis

Samples were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Elements were extracted using an adapted LiBO₂ fusion procedure. Samples were prepared by removing the outer oxidation rim. They were dried for 24 h at 60°C. The samples were mechanically milled using a Planetary mill, which results in a grain size between 90 and 180 μm. A 250 mg aliquot of each sample was oxidized in ceramic boats for 2 h at 1000°C. After cooling, 50 mg of the sample was weighed, mixed with 1000 mg of LiBO₂, transferred into a graphite crucible and heated at 880°C for 10 min. The viscous molten sample was dissolved into HCl (2.5 M) and stirred. After diluting the solution 10 times in HNO₃ (0.42 M), element intensities were measured using a Varian 720-ES instrument supplied with a double-pass glass cyclonic spray chamber, concentric glass sea spray nebulizer and “extended high solids” torch. An ionization buffer (1% CuNO₃ in 4% HNO₃) was added. Al, Ba, Ca, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, P, Si, Sr, Ti, V, Zn, and Zr are measured. The precision was expressed as the standard deviation of the measured concentrations compared to the certified concentration, is lower than 10% for all elements. Precision (Table 4), expressed as the percentual standard deviation, is lower than 10% for all elements with the exception of Na₂O and SiO₂.

### Results

Samples may be separated into two groups based on observed microtextures. Group A (Figs. 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d) shows layering in the crystal habit of wüstite. Wüstite is distinguished from magnetite, it is lighter and shows a yellowish gray color, while magnetite has a more brownish tint (Bachmann 1982; Deer et al. 2013). Wüstite habit varies from skeletal, to dendritic, to hopper shaped (Donaldson 1976) to more subhedral, compacted crystals. The matrix is amorphous or displays feather-shaped laths of silicate minerals. Ferrite is present as globules or shaped...
as a puzzle piece between larger dendrites. Group B (Figs. 2e and 2f; Table 5) is not stratified and wüstite shows little variation in crystal habit. This group is characterized by a large amount of small branched wüstite dendrites that are heavily compacted. Between the dendrites feather-shaped laths of iron and/or calcium silicates are visible. These are oriented or show a graphic pattern. In some patches, the crystal edges of the wüstite are no longer well defined as they form anhedral crystals. These patches are usually hemispherical (hammer scale). Occasionally a patch with more spaced dendrites and even skeletal wüstite is present. These textures are usually associated with pores. Ferrite appears as globules or fits between the dendrites of wüstite. Little amorphous material is recognized. Five samples deviate from the two main groups. PQ35 and DT97 (Figs. 3a and 3b; Table 5) are limitedly stratified and show a patched texture. Some patches of wüstite display a skeletal texture, while others are closely packed evolving to the typical hammer scale texture. Samples found at the Antonius Pius Temple (AP181c1-AP181c2) (Figs. 2e and 3d; Table 5), show a myrmekitic texture. The wüstite dendrites are closely packed. Sample N70 (Figs. 3e and 3f; Table 5) is characterized by its low wüstite content. It appears mostly as skeletal or irregular dendritic shapes. The sample distinguishes itself by large feather-shaped laths of silicates that are dominant in the matrix. Irregular ferrite is observed. Moreover, crystals with twinning structures are recognized.

Mineralogical composition

XRD results show a complex mixture of iron oxides (wüstite, magnetite, hematite), silicates (fayalite, forsterite, kirschsteinite, wollastonite), spinel (hercynite, ulvospinel), amorphous material and alteration products [goethite, lepidocrocite, akaganeite, gypsum (Serneels 1993)] (Table 5). The quantified results are placed in the groups obtained by texture analysis (cf. 3.1) to check whether the groups are also distinguishable based on mineralogy. These results are visualized in boxplots. Group A (Fig. 4; Table 6) has high values for calcite, akermanite, and amorphous material. Occasionally hercynite and breidigite are present (Table 6). Also the lepidocrocite content is elevated, but is not considered further since this is an alteration product (Serneels 1993). Group B (Fig. 4; Table 6) is characterized by high wüstite. It is also the only group where cohenite is present. Also akaganite shows elevated values, but since this is a mineral associated with alteration, it is not treated further here (Serneels 1993). The Antonius Pius samples (Fig. 4; Table 6) are characterized by elevated magnetite, hematite, and quartz, while N70 (Fig. 4; Table 6) is dominated by the presence of forsterite and kirschsteinite. Samples PQ35 and DT97 are characterized by the presence of monticellite and have a high content in amorphous phases (Fig. 4).

### Table 3

Accuracy of SRM probe 627–2 expressed as the deviation between the measured concentration compared to the certified concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Fe₃O₄</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>8.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

Precision of SRM probe 627–2 expressed as the percentual standard deviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>BaO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev.%</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>10.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5

Classification of the slag samples in their respective groups based on microscopy and DFA and corresponding age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (T = 5)</th>
<th>Group B (T = 12)</th>
<th>Exceptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Imperial</td>
<td>CS097</td>
<td>CS097, 14T75</td>
<td>AP181c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Imperial</td>
<td>N396</td>
<td>DA2–177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Imperial</td>
<td>N311b, N288</td>
<td>N323, N296b, CS264, L6600b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>N195</td>
<td>CS202, LW033, UAN232, B263, 91F DT097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chemical composition**

The composition of the textural groups is presented in Figure 5 and Table 7. Group A is rich in CaO, P₂O₅, SiO₂, and Cu compared to group B and the deviating samples. It shows a large standard deviation for the CaO, Cu, Fe₃O₄, and SiO₂ content. Sample N70E has an elevated CaO, MgO, Na₂O, SiO₂, and TiO₂ content compared to the other groups. PQ35 and DT97 distinguish themselves by higher K₂O, MgO, Na₂O, and SiO₂ values relative to the other groups. The Antonius Pius samples stand out by their high Fe₂O₃ values. Group B shows average values compared to group A and the deviating samples. Only Fe₂O₃ is elevated. Samples belonging to group B, show also a large standard deviation for CaO, Fe₂O₃, and SiO₂.

**Discussion**

**Group A: Temperature-dependent group**

The observed layering in wüstite textures indicates the use of different smithing techniques at different temperatures during smithing. The skeletal shapes of wüstite represent rapid cooling of the fragment and possibly quenching (Serneels 1993; Bauvais 2007), evolving to more standard dendritic shapes still shows fast cooling, but without quenching. These forms most likely occur when the metal is taken out of the hearth and cools immediately to room temperature. Hopper shapes may be explained by a similar process (Serneels 1993; Bauvais 2007). The more compacted crystals of wüstite represent hammer scales, which are an indication for mechanical working of the material (Crew 1991; Bauvais 2007). By hammering the material, the outer oxidation layer is expelled, resulting in flat hammer scales (Crew 1991). Mineralogical, this group is characterized by the presence of a high calcite (CaCO₃) and akermanite [Ca₃Mg(SiO₄)₂] content. Akermanite is typically found in modern blast furnace slag and has a high-melting temperature (1545°C). However, when iron is incorporated into the crystal structure its melting temperature is lowered to 775°C. Bredigite [Ca₃Mg(SiO₄)₂] is stable between 725 and 1450°C (Kiesling and Lange 1978). When it is cooled further, the mineral stays stable or transforms to larnite or shannonite. Hercynite (Fe₆Al₂O₁₈), which is observed in one sample, is a high-temperature mineral. At atmospheric pressure its melting temperature exceeds 1000°C. However, if cordierite [(Mg,Fe)₃Al₂(AlSiO₄)₃] was present in the original iron bar, it would break down to hercynite and quartz (SiO₂) when cooled to 775–340°C (Bowles et al. 2011). The elevated content of calcite in this group stands out. Calcium is used as a flux in the smelting process. It minimizes the loss of iron and makes the slag more fluid (Sim 1998). Another possible explanation for the presence of calcite in the slag, is the dissociation of wollastonite (CaSiO₄), which could have been present as slag in the trade bar, to calcite and quartz when cooling below 500°C (Deer et al. 1978). Based on the mineralogy, it is inconclusive to deduce smithing tempera-
Figures since it is not possible to distinguish between primary and newly formed minerals. Based on the observed textures, however, it can be concluded that Group A is the result of a variation in forging temperature. This group, characterized by the presence of calcium-rich minerals, is interpreted as being the result of a complex smithing process, whereby different temperature regimes were necessary. It is possible that this type of smithing represent the production of complex objects or the finishing step of artifacts. The latter is further supported by the occasionally high Cu-values in this group, indicating brassing of the object in the final stage.

Group B: Mechanically worked group

The texture of the slag in this group is more homogeneous, indicating a more constant hearth temperature (Bauvais 2007). These temperatures must have been elevated since dendrites are formed. These dendrites are heavily compacted and even form hammer scales, which indicate that the produced objects were

The use of fluxes during smithing is typical to avoid oxidation during welding processes or when producing objects with sharp rims (e.g., swords, scissors) (Serneels and Perret 2003).
mechanically handled after being brought to high temperature (Bauvais 2007). The slag samples have high wüstite (FeO) content, occurring when an outside layer of iron oxide formed after the hot object came in contact with air. Wüstite is stable between 560 and 1370 °C (Kiessling and Lange 1978). In comparison to the temperature-dependent group, more ferrite (α-Fe) is lost. This may be related to the use of a more pure raw product or to the force handling of the object, which would not only expel the outer oxidation layer but some α-Fe as well. Remarkable is the presence of cohenite (FeC) in three samples. It is possible that, to enhance the hardness of the object, steel was intentionally formed during forging. However, considering the low amount of cohenite, it seems more likely that it formed accidentally. This is further supported by the absence of a skeletal texture. Carbon would enhance brittleness of the object if not tempered. Tempering would require quenching, which would have resulted in skeletal crystals (Greaves and Wrighton 1967).

The presence of Mn- and Ca-rich minerals (silicates, oxides, and carbonates) could indicate the use of flux material, extended contact with ashes, or may be related to primary slag material coming from the smelting process and thus reflecting ore and gangue material. The presence of Al-silicates suggests contact of the slag with the hearth lining. Since Al-silicates are more refractory (Bowen and Schairer 1932), this would mean that these minerals are formed in the hottest parts of the hearth (at the air entrance). Based on the variety of minerals, hearth temperature must have been between 560 °C (limit of stability for wüstite; Kiessling and Lange 1978) and 1285 °C (smelting temperature of iron rich gehlenite; Deer et al. 1962). Since more than half of the slag samples contain calcite, temperatures may be further refined to be between 700 and 900 °C (Deer et al. 1962). Refrac-
Based on the observed textures it seems that the smith worked at high temperatures at the start of the smithing. Mainly iron oxides are removed from the object. High temperatures are required for this object resulting in the high content of amorphous phase and skeletal texture. Another possibility is that the interaction with ashes altered the otherwise mechanically worked samples. The MgO, K₂O, and Na₂O in the ashes will act as a flux.

### Antonius Pius samples
The Antonius Pius samples show a different texture from the other groups. Next to hammer scale textures, a myrmekitic texture is observed resembling pearlite. However, the latter is only seen in steel. Chemical and mineralogical composition support the idea that the observed texture is in fact an eutectic texture of wüstite and fayalite (Fe₃SiO₄) formed at temperatures below 1205 °C (Crook 1939). This would imply that the smith was working at elevated temperatures compared to the other groups or that it is a primary mineral from the smelting process. Compared with the other groups, these samples also have the highest quartz content. Free quartz is present in its α-form and not converted to cristobalite, silica is present in higher abundances compared to the other groups. Monticellite is stable below 890 °C. Above this temperature it will react with CO to form akermanite and forsterite (Deer et al. 1982). Sample DT97 shows the presence of kirschsteinite (CaFeSiO₄). Kirschsteinite shows unlimited solid solution with fayalite (Markl et al. 2001). The temperature stability of kirschsteinite at low pressure is between 850 and 930 °C.

Elevated K₂O, MgO, and Na₂O contents observed in the two samples, are interpreted to be associated with fuel ashes (Charlton et al. 2013). These two samples show a more varied smithing activity than group A and B. The mineralogical composition and observed textures are consistent with heating and mechanical working at elevated temperatures. Since no stratification is recognized, the observed textural patches do not represent different phases in the smithing of the object. It is possible that these slag samples represent a phase toward the end of the smithing. Mainly iron oxides are removed from the object. High temperatures are required for this object resulting in the high content of amorphous phase and skeletal texture. Another possibility is that the interaction with ashes altered the otherwise mechanically worked samples. The MgO, K₂O, and Na₂O in the ashes will act as a flux.

### Other samples
PQ35 and DT97. Based on the observed textures it seems that a blacksmith combined high-temperature forging (skeletal shape wüstite) with force mechanical handling (hammer scales). There is only limited loss of ferrite during this process. Mineralogical, there is less variation than in groups A and B. The samples have a high-amorphous phase similar to the otherwise mechanically worked samples. The MgO, K₂O, and Na₂O in the ashes will act as a flux.

#### Table 6. Main mineralogical composition of 21 smithing slag based on quantified XRD Rietveld method (in wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Amorphous</th>
<th>Akermanite</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Fayalite</th>
<th>Hematite</th>
<th>Kirschsteinite</th>
<th>Magnetite</th>
<th>Monticellite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Wüstite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N311b</td>
<td>50.29</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>19.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N288</td>
<td>55.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>16.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N396</td>
<td>56.72</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>26.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N195b</td>
<td>46.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>26.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS097</td>
<td>59.44</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>22.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N323</td>
<td>53.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>22.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N269b</td>
<td>47.19</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>34.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS027</td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>28.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS02</td>
<td>34.74</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>26.69</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>20.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS264</td>
<td>42.27</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>40.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B263</td>
<td>40.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>29.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91F</td>
<td>39.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>43.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L475</td>
<td>29.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>43.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW033</td>
<td>45.57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>40.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE600b</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>24.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAN322</td>
<td>33.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>51.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA2177</td>
<td>38.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>39.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP181C</td>
<td>35.57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N70E</td>
<td>45.78</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.35</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>24.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ35B</td>
<td>58.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>33.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT097</td>
<td>46.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>39.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Since kirschsteinite content is dominant according to the quantitative XRD results, it is assumed that the feather-shaped laths are kirschsteinite with minor forsterite (Mg$_2$SiO$_4$). First, kirschsteinite may be formed from the reaction of wollastonite and magnetite at 850–930 °C (Markl et al. 2001). This would mean that in the original bar the latter minerals were present, but this cannot be confirmed. No exsolution textures are observed in optical microscopy. Second, kirschsteinite could also be the result of using flux material during smelting or smithing. It is however not possible to assign kirschsteinite to one or the other. The observed twinning in the iron oxides is identified as Neumann bands and is regarded as a type of mechanical twinning. They originate from explosive impact at room temperature (Greaves and Wrighton 1967). The shape of the twins, which are more tapered, confirm that they are deformation twins (Passchier and Trouw 2005). This sample possibly represents the starting phase of smithing: the bar is left in the hearth so that the slag liquates from the bloom/bar (Crew...
1991). When the bar however is left too long, unconsolidated α-iron is lost (Crew 1991). After this working phase, the object will be compacted by hammering (Crew 1991), which would explain the observed Neumann bands.

Change in techniques through time?

Two main smithing techniques can be recognized in the smithing slag of Sagalassos. The first one shows a large variation in applied temperature. High-calcite content indicates the use of local limestone as flux or to facilitate the welding process. This smithing technique likely indicates the production of complex artifacts that needed welding or a cutting edge (scissors, knife, sword). The second technique applies high-temperature conditions with little variation. The main activity consists of heating and hammering. This technique is applied for forging simple bulk material, such as hammers. Both smithing techniques are used contemporaneously. There does not seem to be a technological change through time that could be related to potential economic (specialization) or political (conflict) changes. The mechanical group is dominant through the entire Roman period (Table 5), indicating that the main iron production in Sagalassos is focused on the production of simple tools. The current study demonstrates the importance of smithing slag in reconstructing the technological evolution of iron production at a particular site.

IMPLICATIONS

Most archaeometallurgical studies focus mainly on smelting slag using geochemical analysis to reconstruct the operational chain of the iron production of a particular site. Smelting slag are often excluded from further study due to their large chemical variability. This paper, however, shows that by focusing on microscopic textural analysis, supported by compositional data, it is possible to reconstruct ancient smithing techniques, an indispensable factor in the production chain. Although this article in first instance contributes to the field of archaeology, archaeometry, and archaeometallurgy, it is also of interest to research on recent metallurgical waste. A general methodological strategy when working with (iron) slag is presented (Fig. 6). A solution to overcome recurring problems in refining XRD implementation code when working with iron (hydro) oxides and solid solution minerals is suggested. Additionally, it offers a description of mineralogical textures in slag that are the result of human intervention and in this way contributes to material science in general.
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