Fracture of Anterior Iliac Crest Following Bone Graft Harvest in an Anorexic Patient: Case Report and Review of the Literature
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In the treatment of jaw bone atrophies, autologous bone is still considered the gold standard because of its excellent osteoconductive, osteoinductive, and osteogenetic proprieties and lack of immunogenicity, which allow better graft integration and stability. Although various donor sites are available, the iliac crest represents the best source of corticocancellous bone, and literature suggests that it has low morbidity. However, this case report emphasizes that patients with systemic diseases such as anorexia should be carefully evaluated before such an operation, because unfavorable bone conditions may jeopardize the outcome. A 47-year-old woman needing rehabilitation of the upper arch was considered for iliac crest harvesting. She stated that she had suffered from anorexia for 30 years. A corticocancellous block was harvested by a bone saw using an anterolateral approach to the outer table of the right anterior iliac crest. The postoperative course was uneventful, but 13 days later, she complained of a sudden pain in the operated area, and X rays revealed a fracture of the anterior iliac crest. So far, the literature has mentioned 50 cases of iliac crest fractures after bone harvesting, and 28 cases among these are due to harvesting in the anterior part of the iliac crest. Several factors seem to be responsible for this complication, including the area of harvesting, residual bone thickness, technique used, and age and gender of the patient. To our knowledge, our case is the first of hip fracture after bone harvesting in a patient suffering from anorexia. Both low weight and osteoporosis are probably responsible for this complication. In our opinion, patients suffering from anorexia should be considered at risk for bone harvesting, and an appropriate mini-invasive surgical technique should be carried out instead.
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INTRODUCTION

Although a large number of studies have underlined that allogenic, xenogenic, or synthetic materials have important clinical applications in the treatment of jaw bone loss, nowadays autologous bone still represents the gold standard for its excellent osteogenetic characteristics. Indeed, autologous bone has great osteoconductive, osteoinductive, and osteogenic properties and lack of immunogenicity, which guarantee better integration, stability, and vital bone support for implantology. When choosing a donor source of nonvascularized bone, the surgeon should take into account the characteristics and size of the defect. If the amount of bone needed is limited, intraoral sites will be suitable, but if larger amounts...
of bone are required, extraoral site grafts will be necessary.4–6 Although different extraoral sites for the harvesting of nonvascularized bone are available, the iliac crest is the most common, both in orthopedic surgery and in craniomaxillofacial surgery.7,8 It is well known that the iliac crest is an important donor site for cancellous, cortical, and corticocancellous bone, since it provides the highest concentration of osteocomponent cells and available volume.

Different areas of the iliac crest are used to obtain dissimilar types of bone grafts in relationship to the surgery required. For instance, orthopedists and neurosurgeons prefer the posterior part of the iliac crest, while oral maxillofacial surgeons usually take bone from the anterior region.9,10 Analysis of literature shows different percentages of complications including vascular and neurological injuries, herniation of abdominal organs, accidental perforations, fractures of the iliac wing, infections, and chronic donor site pain.11,12

The fracture of the anterior iliac crest following bone grafting is a rare but documented occurrence, even though many reports were found in the orthopedic literature.7,13

Some authors described severe dislocation of the left sacroiliac joint inducing instability of the pelvic ring and pubic fracture. All of these consequences occurred several months after the intervention.14,15

However, the first case of fracture of the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) was observed by Reynolds et al16 2 weeks after monocortical bone graft harvesting from the right anterior iliac crest. No particular treatment, apart from rest, was prescribed.16

Over the years, other authors have described similar complications3,11,17–32 and in most of the cases, only a conservative treatment was successfully applied (Figures 1 and 2). Some authors reported hematomas and bowel herniations in the area of intervention33–35 as well as fractures. The Table summarizes all of the cases of iliac fracture described in the scientific literature.

It should be taken into account that many variables may influence the occurrence of this complication. First, the method by which bone is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of Cases</th>
<th>Iliac Region</th>
<th>Specialty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lichtblau et al15</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coventry et al14</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds16</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Neurosurgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reale et al17</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Neurosurgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall and Smith18</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td>Oral maxillofacial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blakemore19</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td>Not found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guha and Poole33</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Plastic surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubhi and Morris34</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td>Not found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhn and Moreland20</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohn and Krackow21</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolo et al22</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Oral maxillofacial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al23</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friend et al24</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>Not found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porchet and Jaques25</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Neurosurgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrington et al26</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernando et al27</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>Not found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neo et al28</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Not found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocini et al3</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Oral maxillofacial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zijderveel et al29</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Antero-median</td>
<td>Oral maxillofacial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Sayyad30</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velcheru et al35</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakley et al31</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arribas-Garcia et al32</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Oral maxillofacial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>Oral maxillofacial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The cases have been divided considering both the area of fracture and the type of surgery.
obtained from the donor site plays a role in determining donor site morbidity. In addition, race and factors such as age, gender, and medical conditions represent other important parameters to consider for the outcome of this surgical intervention.

In the light of these considerations, this article has the aim of reviewing recent literature about fractures after iliac crest harvesting using our case report as a starting point for discussion.

**CASE REPORT**

A 47-year-old woman consulted our department to rehabilitate her upper arch affected by tooth mobility and partial edentulism.

For several reasons, including her young age and appearance, she rejected the use of a complete removable denture. Therefore, the placement of dental implants both in the maxilla and in the posterior part of the mandible was taken into consideration as the first therapeutic choice.

During the checkup, several questions about medical and dental problems were made. From a medical point of view, she appeared to be in good health. She reported 2 previous surgical interventions consisting of safectomy and ovary ablation. Moreover, she declared that she had suffered from anorexia for 30 years and that she had been hospitalized 5 years previously in a psychiatric department for some weeks as a consequence of a worsening of the disease.

Parameters such as height and weight were evaluated before the intervention. She weighed 38.8 kg, and she was 1.62 m tall. As a consequence, her body mass index was 15. A moderate consumption of cigarettes (fewer than 10 cigarettes a day) was not considered as a contraindication to implant placement, although the oral surgeon warned her to cut down.

From a dental point of view, the patient complained of tooth mobility and mild pain to the superior teeth.

The clinical examination showed a presence of 11,13, 14 suffering from advanced periodontitis with mobility of class II–III. Severe peri-implantitis involved 5 and 12, and they showed class II mobility.

In addition, severe bone atrophy and pneumatization of both maxillary sinuses were observed on X-ray examination (Cawood Howell class V). To be more precise, a TC-DENTALSCAN examination indicated an average of 5.22 mm residual bone thickness in the area under the left sinus and of 9.0 mm in the area under the right sinus (Figure 3). Therefore, a bilateral sinus lift and placement of onlay grafts on the anterior part of the maxilla were planned. Since the necessity of cortical bone for the onlays and corticocancellous bone for sinus augmentations was significant, the surgeon evaluated the iliac crest as the donor site.

The surgical procedure was carried out under general anesthesia. A corticocancellous block was harvested by a bone saw using an anterolateral approach to the anterosuperior margin of the right anterior iliac crest, as indicated in Figure 4. The anterior osteotomy was placed 2 cm from the ASIS, so as to avoid any weakening of the pelvic ring. All muscular attachments were preserved. After this, the graft was 6-cm long and 2-cm high, including superior cortical plate. Cancellous bone was taken using a spoon, and it was used to fill the sinuses. Donor site closure was obtained by suturing the periosteal layer, gluteal fascia, and subcutaneous.
tissue. Intradermal sutures were provided for skin closure to prevent scarring.

The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on the second day. At that time, she did not report any significant pain or symptoms such as hypoestesia or dysesthesia. After a week, the removal of sutures and a control checkup were carried out, and no complications were observed.

Thirteen days after the intervention, the patient came to the emergency department of the hospital complaining of acute pain in the right iliac crest area. The patient reported that a sudden pain had started the previous evening when she was walking down the stairs.

Clinical examination revealed pain in the area, but no swelling or other signs were observed. Therefore, the surgeon prescribed X rays of the pelvis and the consultation of an orthopedic surgeon to evaluate if a second intervention was necessary (Figures 5 and 6). The X rays indicated a fracture of the lateral surface of the right iliac crest including the ASIS. The fragment appeared slightly displaced, although the muscles were keeping it in place. According to the orthopedic surgeon, no surgical treatment was necessary, and only conservative therapy was prescribed. This consisted in resting with no weight applied to the leg.

X rays were carried out 2 months later (Figures 7 and 8). Although complete bone reformation had not been obtained at that point, X rays showed a gradual formation of callus, and the patient reported only mild pain in the area during particular movements such as walking down the stairs.

The next checkup confirmed complete healing of the patient.
DISCUSSION

Despite the fact that a large number of biomaterials are successfully used in regenerative procedures in oral maxillofacial surgery, autologous bone graft is still considered to be the gold standard.36–42

Although the tibia, calvarium, and ribs are taken into account as donor sites, the iliac crest represents the site of choice for many authors. Points in favor of the hip are the relative simplicity of the surgical technique, the amount of available osseous material, the possibility of obtaining cancellous or cortical bone, low morbidity, and, finally, the excellent results achieved with these grafts.39,40,43,44

Common complications of bone harvesting from the iliac crest are intraoperative fractures of the outer table, excessive blood loss, postsurgical pain, and gait disturbances.7,45

According to the literature, the fracture of the iliac crest after bone harvesting should not be considered a frequent complication. So far, the literature has indicated 50 cases of iliac crest fractures after bone-harvesting intervention, and 28 cases among these are due to harvesting from the anterior part of the iliac crest (Table).

The mechanism involved in the fracture of the anterior part of the iliac crest is related to the attachment of muscles in this area. Sartorius muscles and tensor fascia latae muscle attach themselves in this area, so their downward pull may represent a triggering factor. Moreover, the amount of bone harvested plays a crucial role in residual iliac crest strength. Hu et al45 examined the differences in iliac crest strength after the removal of 15 mm or 30 mm of bone. They concluded that harvesting 30 mm of bone in the posterior rather than the anterior iliac spine allows us to preserve 2.4 times more strength than removing 15 mm of bone in the anterior iliac spine.45

As a matter of principle, most authors suggest that the distance between the graft area and the anterosuperior spine should not be less than 2 to 3 cm, so that support to the muscles is not weakened. Moreover, the area between the ASIS and the anteroinferior iliac spine represents an area of low resistance where a fracture can occur if the bone is harvested close to the ASIS. Therefore, the weakening of the iliac bone could induce the detachment of ASIS or contribute to the fracturing of the lateral wall as well.

In addition, the thickness of grafting is correlated to the weakening of residual iliac crest. Indeed, a monocortical graft can be considered as lower risk than a bicortical or tricortical one. As far as surgical technique is concerned, many authors have compared the use of saws versus osteotomes. Some studies evaluated the approach with 2 different instruments in 66 corpses and concluded that the use of osteotomes induce a higher risk of fracture than the use of saws.36

It is evident that the surgical technique has a crucial role in determining the outcome of the intervention. However, other parameters such as age, gender, race, and medical condition have often been underevaluated.

Age and gender should be carefully considered. Studies show that women older than 50 years are at higher risk, probably because of their osteopenic conditions. In addition to that, ligamentous laxity has been indicated as an unfavorable prognostic element in women considered for bone harvesting from the posterior part of the iliac crest. Finally, medical conditions should be evaluated with care so that the intervention may be carried out using an alternative technique if necessary.

According to our experience, patients suffering from systemic diseases such as anorexia should be carefully examined because, in the case of such diseases, the surgical technique employed should be modified. Anorexia nervosa (AN) is an eating disorder that usually begins in adolescence and is characterized by patient-induced and maintained weight loss that leads to progressive malnutrition and specific pathophysiological signs (disturbance of body image and fear of obesity).47 As a result of AN, profound malnutrition and amenorrhea afflict most subjects.48,49 These 2 conditions induce serious damages to bone tissue, provoking osteoporosis and osteopenia.50,51 Several studies indicated that osteoporosis represents a common complication, involving 50% to 90% of cases depending on the group observed.52,53 As a consequence, subjects with AN have a higher risk of fragility fractures than the general population does.54

Although the exact cause of osteoporosis in anorexic patients is still unclear, some researchers have put forward the hypothesis that estrogen deficiency and loss of body weight have an important role.51,53

Although menstrual function and weight are considered to be at the same level of importance in
terms of causes of osteoporosis, several authors underlined that weight gain seems to be related to hip bone mass recovery, while menstrual function should be considered a critical factor in lumbar bone mass.\(^2\)

To our knowledge, this represents the first case described in literature of hip fracture after bone harvesting in a patient suffering from AN.

The bone graft was harvested from the outer table of the iliac crest using saws, and no fracture during surgery was revealed. After 2 weeks, the patient described a sudden crack with pain due to a fracture associated with an intense contraction of the muscles. It is reasonable to think that AN may have encouraged the fracture, both inducing osteoporosis and reducing hip bone mass, as described in the literature. According to the literature, a conservative treatment was applied with rest and restriction in movements. Although a complete functional recovery after 40 days was achieved, X rays repeated after 2 months revealed an incomplete healing of the area, showing only a partial fusion. This fact is probably explained by unfavorable bone condition due to low weight.

The most important conclusion that can be drawn from our case report is that even though various factors such as surgical technique, amount of the bone graft, and age and gender play a role in possible complications, preexisting medical conditions are often underestimated.

In fact, AN should be considered as a relative contraindication for bone harvesting in oral and maxillofacial surgery. This is because this disease may encourage fractures as a complication. Thus, this case report suggests that surgeons should develop alternative techniques in order to limit the risk of fracture in such patients.

**ABBREVIATIONS**

AN: anorexia nervosa  
ASIS: anterior superior iliac spine
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