Prevalence and Length of the Anterior Loop of the Inferior Alveolar Nerve in Iranians
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The anterior loop of the inferior alveolar nerve is a sensitive anatomical feature that should be taken into account during installation of dental implants anterior to the mental foramen. This study was conducted to explore the controversy regarding prevalence and length. A total of 452 mandible quadrants of 234 patients (age: 50.1 ± 13.3 years, 113 males, 121 females) were studied using cone-beam computerized tomography. After reconstructing axial, frontal, and sagittal slices, the region between the most anterior point on the mental foramen and the most anterior part of the mandibular nerve was inspected for signs of anterior loop presence. If positive, the length of the anterior loop was measured in mm as the distance between the anterior border of mental foramen and the anterior border of the loop. Prevalence and length of the anterior loop were compared statistically between sexes and age groups. The anterior loop was observed in 106 quadrants (23.5% of 451 quadrants) of 95 patients (40.6% of 234 patients), of whom 11 had bilateral anterior loops. Prevalences were similar in males (41%) and females (39%, chi-square P = .791). The mean anterior loop length was 2.77 ± 1.56 mm (95% CI: 2.5–3.1 mm), without significant sex (regression beta = –0.159, P = .134) or age (beta = –0.059, P = .578) differences. The anterior loop might exist in about 40% of patients, regardless of their gender. The mean safe anterior distance from the anterior loop is about 3 mm and 2.5–3.1 mm = 5.5–6.1 mm, regardless of age.
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INTRODUCTION

Almost between the roots of the premolars, the inferior alveolar nerve furcates in two branches: one is the incisive nerve, a continuation of the inferior alveolar nerve within the anterior section of mandible. The other one first goes up within the bone and then exits it into the soft tissue from the mental foramen. Therefore, as a landmark with numerous nerve fibers in vicinity, mental foramen marks a danger zone for inserting implants or performing other surgeries.1–6 Regions anterior to the mental foramen are considered much safer because in these anterior areas, there is only the incisive canal down the mandible trunk. Nevertheless, in some cases, the second branch not only goes up to form the mental foramen and exit the bone; instead, it first continues forward, then curves up and backward, and then exits the bone. Such a case is called the anterior loop, and it has clinical implications: In its presence, regions immediately anterior to the mental foramen cannot be considered safe.1–6 Therefore, implant installation or other selective surgeries in the interforaminal region might violate the anterior loop, disturbing chin and lower lip sensation.2–6 Strategies have been proposed to avoid these complications, such as keeping at least 5 mm away from the mental foramen.3–8 In spite of the existence of such strategies, it is still better to know the accurate position of the anterior loop since this distance might differ in different individuals or ethnicities.5 This cannot be done clinically, as probing cannot differentiate between the anterior loop and the incisive canal.6,9 Two-dimensional radiographic methods cannot accurately identify the anterior loop.10–12 Although 3D radiographic methods such as cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) can help in identifying the anterior loop, these procedures cost patients’ money and extra X-ray doses; many surgeons—especially the experienced ones—still use panoramic radiographs before implantation in the mandible.2,5,13,14 Although this method accurately identifies the mental foramen,14 it does not always visualize the anterior loop as does the CBCT.5,6,10–12 Since common radiographic methods and clinical approaches cannot accurately identify the anterior loop, evaluating the prevalence of anterior loop and outlining an accurate, safe distance from the mental foramen in different ethnic groups is of clinical significance. Previous studies are highly controversial in terms of anterior loop length and even its existence.3–6,15 For example, anterior loop prevalence has been reported as anywhere...
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between 7% and 85.2%.\textsuperscript{1,2,4–6,16–18} The average anterior loop length has been reported as 0.4 mm to 6 mm.\textsuperscript{1–6,19,20} Moreover, there are no studies on Iranians. Therefore, we aimed to evaluate the prevalence of anterior loop and its length in an Iranian population. Research questions were the prevalence and length of anterior loop, as well as the existence of any potential associations between either of the variables anterior loop prevalence and its length with age and sex.

### Material and Methods

More than 700 CBCT volumes were evaluated for eligibility. They were taken retrospectively for various clinical indications (e.g., assessment of impacted teeth, pathologies, implant planning, or trauma) with a CBCT unit (NewTom VGi, Verona, Italy) in a private radiology center. No patients were radiographed because of this research, and patient information was handled anonymously. Since health or rights of patients were not harmed in any way by this retrospective research on anonymized data, the methodology was considered ethically safe and not violating any of the points in the 2013 Helsinki Declaration. Protocol ethics were approved by the Research Committee of Azad University. All available files were evaluated for the eligibility criteria. Inclusion criteria consisted of the following items: complete patient files and records, CBCT volumes and images of proper quality, and bilateral or unilateral anterior mandibles present to a minimum 2 cm distal to the mental foramen and up to the lower cortical border. Exclusion criteria were any defects or pathologies in the anterior mandible and/or around the mental foramen in CBCT volumes, or any systemic or local pathologies (determined from patients’ records and histories) that can affect the pathway and position of the mandibular canal and mental foramen.\textsuperscript{6}

After evaluation of the available CBCT volumes against the eligibility criteria, 452 CBCT quadrants of 234 different patients were selected. Of these 234 patients, 17 had unilateral mandibles available, while 217 had bilateral anterior mandibles. Of 234 patients, 113 were males and 121 were females. Their mean age was 50.1 ± 13.3 years (range: 18–84). The cases were categorized by gender and age.\textsuperscript{6}

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for the prevalence of anterior loop and its length, in different groups (bilateral versus unilateral, in different sexes and in different ages). The patients were divided into 5 age groups: <30, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, and ≥60. Before assessing the associations, the average of bilateral anterior loop lengths in patients having them was calculated. The used software was SPSS (Version 20, IBM, Armonk, NY). The associations between age and sex with the occurrence and length of anterior loop were evaluated using multiple regression and an independent-samples \textit{t}-test. The level of significance was predetermined as 0.05.

### Results

The anterior loop was observed in 106 quadrants (23.5% of 451 quadrants) and in 95 patients (40.6% of 234 patients). There were 11 instances of bilateral anterior loop occurrences (22 loops in 11 patients).

The anterior loop was observed in 47 females (39% of 121 females) and 46 males (41% of 113 males). The overall prevalence was 39.7% with no significant difference between the prevalence in two genders (chi-square \(P = .791\)). In the patients with anterior loops, the mean length of the anterior loop was 2.77 ± 1.56 mm (95% CI = 2.47–3.07, Table 1). The \(t\)-test did not detect a significant difference between the anterior loop lengths of two sexes (\(P = .109\), Figure 1, Table 1). Moreover, according to the regression, there were no significant associations between the length of anterior loop and patients’ age (beta = −0.059, \(P = .578\)) or gender (beta = −0.159, \(P = .134\)).

### Discussion

The findings of this study indicated that anterior loop exists in about 50% of patients, with lengths about 2 to 3.5 mm, and without noticeable intersexual differences. Our findings were in the middle of the range of anterior loop prevalences reported by some anatomical studies as zero\textsuperscript{21} to some studies reporting a 88% to 100% prevalence of anterior loop.
The average anterior loop length is reported as 0.4 to 6 mm in the literature with maximum lengths up to 9 or even 11 mm.\textsuperscript{1–6,19,20} In the present sample, the anterior loop had a length of about 2.5 to 3.1 mm, with a maximum length of 7.1 mm, which was very close to few studies reporting anterior loops of about 2.1 mm or 2.8 mm length.\textsuperscript{1,5} Reasons for differences might be ethnic differences, as well as methodological dissimilarities including different proportions of males/females (women might have shorter loops), or different methods of calculating the averages (for example, in a study, anterior loop length was reported as 0.9 mm considering the nonexistent anterior loops as a length of zero, while it was reported about 3 mm after excluding patients not having anterior loops).\textsuperscript{5,6} According to the present study, in most patients, implants should be placed at least about 3 mm distant from the mental foramen as a minimum safe distance. However, it should be noted that in a few cases, the anterior loop is much longer; without proper CBCT examination, a small but important chance of nerve injury (about 7\%\textsuperscript{28}) and sensory dysfunction would be anticipated, even after considering a minimum safe margin of 3 mm.\textsuperscript{6,28} Our result was in contrast to some studies finding sexual differences in terms of anterior loop length\textsuperscript{2,8} and its prevalence.\textsuperscript{2} Some other studies found no sexual dimorphism in anterior loop length.\textsuperscript{4} A reason for controversies might be methodological differences such as sample sizes and degrees of contrasts. Some studies reported rather noticeable clinical changes in anterior loop lengths by aging (eg, from 1.9 mm in people 20 to 40 years old, and 1.1 in those in their 60s to 80s).\textsuperscript{5} However, the differences between age ranges were smaller in this study (from 3 mm in patients under 30 to 2.6 mm in those above 60), which again might be attributable to a population's inherent characteristics or criteria for anterior loop detection and measuring.

This study was limited by some factors. Although its sample size was one of the largest ones in the literature, it would be better to collect a larger sample size based on pilot studies. In addition, it was better to estimate the reliability of methods by re-evaluating some of the cases, preferably by a second observer or at least for a second time by the same observer. Moreover, interpretation of CBCT images might be affected by artifacts and voxel sizes. The external validity of the findings was reduced by using CBCT volumes that could not be generalized to anatomical situations. Moreover, the results could not reflect on the Iranian ethnicity, as the CBCT volumes were taken from only one center. These were, however, the limitations of all previous studies.

**Conclusions**

Within the limitations of this study, it was concluded that the anterior loop might exist in about 40\% of Iranian patients, regardless of their gender. In the 60\% of patients having anterior loops, the mean safe anterior distance from the mental foramen and/or anterior loop (indicated by the 95\% CI for the anterior loop length) is about 3 mm plus 2.5 to 3.1 mm (\(\pm\) 5.5–6.1 mm). Changes in this distance with aging were not noticeable either statistically or clinically.
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ABBREVIATIONS

CBCT: cone-beam computerized tomography
CT: computerized tomography
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