IAPs protect host target tissues from graft-versus-host disease in mice
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Key Points

- IAP expression in hosts regulates GVHD.
- IAP expression in non-hematopoietic host targets is critical for mitigating GVHD damage.

Inhibitors of apoptosis proteins (IAPs) regulate apoptosis, but little is known about the role of IAPs in the regulation of immunity. Development of IAP inhibition by second mitochondria-derived activator of caspase (SMAC) mimetics is emerging as a novel therapeutic strategy to treat malignancies. We explored the role of IAPs in allogeneic immunity with 2 distinct yet complementary strategies, namely, chemical and genetic approaches, in clinically relevant models of experimental bone marrow transplantation (BMT). The small-molecule pan-IAP inhibitor SMAC mimetic AT-406 aggravated gastrointestinal graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) in multiple models. The role of specific IAPs in various host and donor cellular compartments was explored by utilizing X-linked IAP (XIAP)– and cellular IAP (cIAP)–deficient animals as donors or recipients. Donor T cells from C57BL/6 cIAP1−/− or XIAP−/− animals demonstrated equivalent GVHD severity and allogeneic responses, both in vivo and in vitro, when compared with B6 wild-type (B6-WT) T cells. By contrast, when used as recipient animals, both XIAP−/− and cIAP1−/− animals demonstrated increased mortality from GVHD when compared with B6-WT animals. BM chimera studies revealed that cIAP and XIAP deficiency in host nonhematopoietic target cells, but not in host hematopoietic-derived cells, is critical for exacerbation of GVHD. Intestinal epithelial cells from IAP-deficient animals showed reduced levels of antiapoptotic proteins as well as autophagy-related protein LC3 after allogeneic BMT. Collectively, our data highlight a novel immune cell–independent but target tissue–intrinsic role for IAPs in the regulation of gastrointestinal damage from GVHD.

Introduction

Inhibitors of apoptosis proteins (IAPs) regulate apoptosis, and recent data suggest that they play an important role in both innate and adaptive immunity through diverse mechanisms beyond the inhibition of caspases. Among IAPs, X-linked IAP (XIAP), cellular IAP1 (cIAP1), and cIAP2 regulate the production of proinflammatory cytokines and the activation of T cells and antigen-presenting cells (APCs) through the modulation of MAPK and nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) signaling pathways. In addition, genetic mutations and IAP deficiencies are associated with congenital immunodeficiency disorders, such as X-linked lymphoproliferative disease type 2 and familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis in humans. XIAP deficiency has also been associated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

Because IAPs are highly expressed in solid cancers and associated with tumor progression, resistance to chemotherapy, and poor prognosis, small-molecule IAP antagonists are under clinical development for the treatment of solid and hematological malignancies. These are known as second mitochondria-derived
activator of caspase (SMAC) mimetics. All IAPs contain baculovirus IAP repeat (BIR) domains and a carboxy-terminal RING finger domain and inhibit caspases. Cell-intrinsic SMACs bind to IAPs at BIR3 domains. SMAC mimetics interfere with this interaction and thus modulate IAP function and apoptosis. SMAC mimetics also target IAPs at BIR3 domains. SMAC mimetics interfere with this interaction based on University Laboratory Animal Medicine guidelines.

**Materials and methods**

**Mice**

C57BL/6 (B6, H-2b, CD45.2\(^2\)), B6D2F1 (H-2b\(^4\)), and C3H.sw (H-2\(^2\)) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). BALB/c (H-2\(^2\)) mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). B6Ly5.2 (H-2\(^6\), CD45.1\(^3\)) mice were purchased from National Cancer Institute Frederick (Frederick, MD). B6-background clAP1\(^{–/–}\) and XIAP\(^{–/–}\) animals were provided by C.S.D. and have been described previously. All animals were cared for according to regulations reviewed and approved by the University Committee on Use and Care of Animals of the University of Michigan, based on University Laboratory Animal Medicine guidelines.

**Reagents**

The SMAC mimic SM-164 (AT-406)\(^3\) was a kind gift from Shaomeng Wang (University of Michigan).

**Generation of BM chimeras**

BM chimeras (B6→B6 Ly5.2, cIAP1\(^{–/–}\)→B6 Ly5.2, XIAP\(^{–/–}\)→B6 Ly5.2) were generated as described previously.\(^34\)-\(^37\) Briefly, B6 Ly5.2 animals received 11-Gy total-body irradiation (TBI; caesium-137 source) on day –1 and received transplants IV of 5\(^×\)10\(^6\) BM and 5\(^×\)10\(^6\) whole spleen cells from B6 wild-type (B6-WT) or cIAP1\(^{–/–}\) or XIAP\(^{–/–}\) donor animals on day 0. To generate B6 Ly5.2→B6, B6 Ly5.2→cIAP1\(^{–/–}\), and B6 Ly5.2→XIAP\(^{–/–}\) chimeras, B6-WT or cIAP1\(^{–/–}\) or XIAP\(^{–/–}\) animals received 10-Gy TBI on day –1 and received transplants of 5\(^×\)10\(^6\) BM and 5\(^×\)10\(^6\) whole spleen cells from WT B6 Ly5.2 donor animals on day 0. Donor hematopoietic cell engraftment was confirmed using the CD45.2 or CD45.1 monoclonal antibody 3 to 4 months after BMT (donor type >95.0%).

**BMT and treatment of AT-406**

BMTs were performed as previously described.\(^34\),\(^35\),\(^38\) Briefly, splenic T cells from donors were purified, and the BM was depleted of T cells by autoMACS (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) utilizing CD90.2 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec). We used well-established multiple BMT models. B6, BALB/c, B6D2F1, and C3H.sw animals were used as recipients and received either 8.5 (BALB/c), 10 (B6), 10.5 (C3H.sw), or 11 Gy (B6D2F1; caesium-137 source) on day –1 and 0.5 to 1.0 \(^×\) 10\(^6\) (B6→BALB/c), 2 \(^×\) 10\(^6\) (C3H.sw→B6), and 3 \(^×\) 10\(^6\) (B6→B6D2F1) CD90.2\(^+\) T cells along with 5 \(^×\) 10\(^6\) T-cell–depleted BM (TCD-BM) cells from either syngeneic or allogeneic donors on day 0. For the treatment of AT-406, AT-406 (10 mg/kg) and its diluent control phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were administered by subcutaneous injection at days –1, +1, +3, +5, and +7.

**Systemic and histopathological analysis of GVHD**

We monitored survival after allo-HCT daily and assessed the degree of clinical GVHD weekly, as described previously.\(^39\) Histopathological analysis of the liver, GI tract, and lung, which are the primary GVHD target organs, was performed as described\(^39\) utilizing a semiquantitative scoring system implemented by a single pathologist (C.L.).\(^40\) After scoring, the codes were broken, and the data were compiled.

**DC cultures**

To obtain dendritic cells (DCs), BM cells from B6-WT or BALB/c animals were cultured with murine recombinant granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (20 ng/mL; PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ) for 7 days and harvested as described previously.\(^37\) DCs were isolated by using CD11c (N418) MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec) and the autoMACS (Miltenyi Biotec) and used as a stimulator for mixed lymphocyte reaction (MLR).

**FACS analysis**

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analyses were performed as previously described.\(^37\),\(^41\) Briefly, to analyze donor T-cell expansion
and activation markers, splenocytes, hepatic lymphocytes, and intraepithelial lymphocytes from transplant-recipient mice were resuspended in FACS wash buffer (2% bovine serum albumin in PBS) and stained with conjugated monoclonal antibodies (mAbs): fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)–conjugated mAbs to mouse H2Kd (clone SF1-1.1) and H2Kb (clone AF6-88.5); PerCP-Cy5.5–conjugated mAbs to mouse CD4 (clone GK1.5) and CD8 (clone 53-6.7); phycoerythrin (PE)–conjugated mAbs to mouse CD69 (clone R4-6.1); CD11c (clone N418), CD80 (clone 16-10A1), CD86 (clone GL-1), CD40 (clone 3/23), B7H1 (PDL-1, clone 10F.9G2), and I-Ab (AF6-120.1; BioLegend). Cells were analyzed using a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Bioscience). 

Cytokine ELISA

Concentrations of IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-17A, and IL-6 were measured in the serum or culture supernatants by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with specific anti-mouse mAbs for capture and detection utilizing BD OptEIA (IFN-γ, IL-6; BD Bioscience), ELISA MAX (IL-17A; BioLegend), or Mouse TNF-α Quantikine ELISA Kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Assays were performed according to manufacturer protocol and read at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Model 3550; Bio-Rad Labs, Hercules, CA). All samples and standards were run in duplicate.

Apoptosis analysis

Non–T-cell receptor–stimulated T cells were washed twice with PBS and stained with a PE-conjugated anti-annexin V mAb (BioLegend) and PerCP-Cy5.5–conjugated anti-90.2 mAb (BioLegend) in the dark for 15 minutes at room temperature in labeling buffer and analyzed using an Accuri C6 cytometer (Accuri, Ann Arbor, MI).

Regarding epithelial cell isolation, small intestines and colons were digested once with 0.1 mM EDTA at 37°C for 45 minutes.

Western blot analysis

Epithelial cells of the small intestine were isolated as described above, and whole cells were lysed in RIPA lysis extraction buffer (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). Equal amounts of protein lysates quantified with the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific) were separated with 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Rabbit LC3 antibody (Novus Biologicals, Littelton, CO) was used according to manufacturer instructions. Secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Jackson ImmunoResearch) was used to detect the primary antibody. Densitometric analysis was performed using ImageJ software.

TUNEL staining

Histopathological samples of the small and large intestines were harvested at day 7 after BMT and fixed with paraffin. Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining was performed with the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit, AP (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) according to manufacturer instructions. Briefly, tissues were dewaxed and rehydrated with 2 washes in xylene 100% followed by serial washes in decreasing concentrations of ethanol in water (100% to 95%-75% to 50%-10% to 0%). Proteinase K at a concentration of 20 μg/mL was incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C. After washes, the TUNEL reaction mix was incubated for 60 minutes at 37°C, followed by the AP converter for 30 minutes at 37°C. A negative control was incubated with the label solution of the reaction mix only. A positive control was pretreated with a DNase recombinant. A nucleus counterstain was then used. At the end of the procedure, the tissues were mounted on a 9:1 ratio of glycerol to PBS, and representative pictures were taken.
Statistical analysis
The Mann-Whitney U test was used for the statistical analysis of in vitro data, and the Wilcoxon rank test was used to analyze survival data. A P value < .05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
IAP inhibitor exacerbates GVHD responses
IAPs are broadly expressed in immune cells, such as T cells and APCs as well as parenchymal cells, and play an important role in regulating innate and adaptive immune responses.\textsuperscript{2,44} IAPs regulate TNF-\(\alpha\) and NF-\(\kappa B\) activation.\textsuperscript{45,46} Because the pan-IAP inhibitor AT-406, a SMAC mimetic, regulates TNF-\(\alpha\),\textsuperscript{47} one of the most important cytokines mediating GVHD,\textsuperscript{48} and shows good bioavailability in vivo,\textsuperscript{30,49,50} we tested the hypothesis that administration of AT-406 would mitigate GVHD. On the basis of its half-life, we first tested various dosing regimens of AT-406 to determine the optimal dose for targeting IAPs after allo-BMT. In the lethally irradiated major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-mismatched B6-into-BALB/c model, the dose of 10 mg/kg from day −1 to day 7, every other day of AT-406 consistently targeted XIAP in donor T cells and nonhematopoietic target cells (CD326\(^+\) intestinal epithelial cells [IECs]; Figure 1A-B). Similar results were also observed for cIAP (supplemental Figure 1).

Next, we used the above dose and schedule regimen to evaluate the impact of AT-406 on the regulation of allogeneic immune responses and the severity of GVHD in the same MHC-mismatched B6-into-BALB/c BMT model. Recipient BALB/c animals were irradiated with 8.5 Gy on day −1 and received transplants of 0.5 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) CD90.2\(^+\) splenic T cells along with 5 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic BALB/c or allogeneic MHC-mismatched B6 donors. Recipient animals received either AT-406 (10 mg/kg) or its diluent subcutaneously on days −1, +1, +3, +5, and +7 after BMT. (A) Schema of experiment. (B) XIAP expression of donor CD3\(^+\) T cells in the spleen and CD326\(^+\) epithelial cells of the small intestine was evaluated by FACS staining on day 8. Representative figure (left) and pooled data (right) from 5 to 8 animals are shown. *\(P\) < .05, **\(P\) < .01. The bar shows the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).

Figure 1. AT-406 decreases the expression of XIAP in donor T cells and host nonhematopoietic target cells after allo-BMT. (A-B) BALB/c animals received 8.5 Gy on day −1 and received transplants of 0.5 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) CD90.2\(^+\) splenic T cells along with 5 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic BALB/c or allogeneic MHC-mismatched B6 donors. Recipient animals received either AT-406 (10 mg/kg) or its diluent subcutaneously on days −1, +1, +3, +5, and +7 after BMT. (A) Schema of experiment. (B) XIAP expression of donor CD3\(^+\) T cells in the spleen and CD326\(^+\) epithelial cells of the small intestine was evaluated by FACS staining on day 8. Representative figure (left) and pooled data (right) from 5 to 8 animals are shown. *\(P\) < .05, **\(P\) < .01. The bar shows the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).
Figure 2. The IAP inhibitor AT-406, an SMAC mimetic, exacerbates GVHD. (A) Survival. BALB/c animals received 8.5 Gy on day –1 and received transplants of $0.5 \times 10^6$ CD90.2$^+$ splenic T cells along with $5 \times 10^6$ TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic BALB/c or allogeneic MHC-mismatched B6 donors. Recipient animals received either AT-406 (10 mg/kg) or its diluent subcutaneously on days –1, +1, +3, +5, and +7 after BMT; n = 6-21 per group). Pooled data from 4 independent experiments are shown. **P < .01, when allogeneic treated and control animals are compared. (B) Survival. B6D2F1 animals received 11 Gy on day –1 and received transplants of $3 \times 10^6$ CD90.2$^+$ splenic T cells along with $5 \times 10^6$ TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic B6D2F1 or allogeneic MHC-mismatched haploidentical B6 donors. Recipient animals received either AT-406 (10 mg/kg) or its diluent subcutaneously on days –1, +1, +3, +5, and +7 after BMT (n = 6-30 per group). Pooled data from 6 independent experiments are shown. *P < .05, when allogeneic treated and control animals are compared. (C-E) Expansion of donor T cells (H-2kb$^+$CD4$^+$CD8$^+$) (C), CD44$^+$CD62L$^-$(D), and IFN-$\gamma$–producing T cells (E) in the spleen on day 6 after allo-BMT (n = 4-5 per group, pooled from 2 experiments). The bar shows the mean ± SEM. (F-H) The representative figures of small (F) and large intestines (G) (original magnification ×200; hematoxylin and eosin stain) and GVHD histopathological score of GI tract (small and large intestines) (H) on day 6 after allo-BMT (n = 6-10 per group, pooled from 3 experiments). *P < .05. The bar shows the mean ± SEM.
CD44−CD62L− effector T cells or proinflammatory cytokine secretion from splenic donor T cells (Figure 2C-E; supplemental Figure 2A-C). However, the GVHD-specific histopathological damage of the GI tract was substantially worse in AT-406–treated animals (Figure 2F-H). To clarify whether AT-406 exacerbates irradiation toxicity in the gut, we also tested the histopathological damage in the gut in syngeneic animals with AT-406 treatment and found that histopathological damage in these animals was comparable to that in WT syngeneic animals (Figure 2H). These data suggest that AT-406 exacerbated GI damage from GVHD in multiple BMT models.

IAPs in T cells are not required for enhancing GVHD severity

Donor T cells are indispensable to GVHD. Therefore, we next explored whether the augmentation of GVHD severity by the systemically administered AT-406 resulted from inhibition of IAPs on donor T cells. To dissect the cellular components, we took a genetic approach. To this end, we used 2 genetically deficient IAP animals, namely, XIAP and cIAP1 knockout animals. The role of IAPs in T-cell responses seems to be context dependent. We first examined the absolute numbers of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and then determined detailed phenotypes of various T-cell subsets and the expression of the activation marker in naïve B6-WT, B6-cIAP1−/−, and B6-XIAP−/− animals. We found similar numbers of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (supplemental Figure 3A) and an equivalent distribution of naïve (CD44−CD62L−), central memory (CD44−CD62L+), effector memory (CD44+CD62L−), and regulatory (CD4+CD25+Foxp3+) T cells in all 3 mouse strains (supplemental Figure 3B-D).

We next explored whether IAPs in donor T cells have a critical role in the aggravation of GVHD responses in vivo. We used the MHC completely mismatched B6-into-BALB/c model. B6-WT, XIAP−/−, or cIAP1−/− splenic T cells were used as sources of donor T cells, but the TCD-BM was obtained from B6-WT animals such that only the differences in the infused mature naïve T cells could be evaluated for their effect on GVHD. Recipient BALB/c animals were irradiated with 8 Gy on day −1 and received transplants of 0.5 × 10^6 T cells as above along with 5 × 10^6 TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic BALB/c or allogeneic MHC-mismatched B6 donors. We found that all of the allogeneic recipient mice showed similar GVHD severity and mortality regardless of whether they received T cells from WT or XIAP−/− or cIAP1−/− animals (Figure 3A-B). The role of XIAP and cIAP1 in donor T cells was next determined in a second, lethally irradiated MHC-mismatched haploidentical B6-into-B6D2F1 model.Recipient B6D2F1 animals received 11 Gy on day −1 and received transplants of 3 × 10^6 T cells along with 5 × 10^6 TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic B6D2F1 or allogeneic MHC-mismatched B6 donors. Donor WT, XIAP−/−, and cIAP1−/− T cells induced equivalent GVHD severity and mortality (Figure 3C). The similar GVH responses were confirmed by equivalent donor T-cell expansion (Figure 3D), activation (Figure 3E), and IFN-γ secretion (Figure 3F) in the spleen 14 days after BMT. The GVHD-specific histopathological damage of the GI tract and liver on day 14 was also equivalent among these groups (Figure 3G-H).

To further determine whether there were any T cell–intrinsic differences in response to allogeneic stimulus, we also determined in vitro whether XIAP−/− and cIAP1−/− T cells showed any differences when compared with WT T cells in the presence or absence of the SMAC mimetic AT-406. Stimulation of WT T cells with allogeneic BALB/c-derived BMDCs in an MLR showed no significant differences in T-cell proliferation regardless of presence of AT-406 (Figure 3I). Furthermore, the WT, XIAP−/−, and cIAP1−/− T cells showed a similar proliferation and secretion of IFN-γ and IL-2 after stimulation with allogeneic MLR in both the presence and absence of AT-406 (Figure 3J; supplemental Figure 4A-B). However, we found that AT-406 augmented nonspecific T-cell responses when stimulated with anti-CD3/28 in vitro, as shown in a previous report (supplemental Figure 4C). Collectively, these data suggest that the inhibition of IAPs or the expression of XIAP1 or cIAP1 in donor T cells plays a dispensable role in allogeneic T-cell responses, especially GVHD.

IAPs in host animals mitigate GVHD

We next reasoned that the inhibition of IAPs in host tissues might be driving the exacerbation of GVHD after treatment with SMAC mimetics. To test this, we first examined whether the IAP levels in the IECs (CD326+) were altered after irradiation to eliminate radiation-dependent confounding factors. We found that IAP levels in CD326+ cells were transiently decreased at 24 hours after irradiation but spontaneously recovered in 48 hours (supplemental Figure 5A-B). We next explored whether IAP deficiency had an impact on the radiation toxicity in an intensity-dependent manner; B6-WT, XIAP−/−, cIAP1−/− animals received 9, 13, and 15 Gy on day −1 and received transplants of 5 × 10^6 BM cells and 3 × 10^6 splenic T cells from syngeneic B6 animals. We found that the overall survival of IAP-deficient animals was comparable to that of WT animals even with increased radiation dose (supplemental Figure 5C-E). In addition, histopathological damage in IAP-deficient animals was comparable to that in B6-WT animals after irradiation (supplemental Figure 5F). These data suggest that change in IAP expression in the CD326+ cells because of radiation toxicity may have had a negligible role in our BMT models. Next, we used XIAP−/− and cIAP1−/− as recipients of allo-BMT. Recipient B6-WT and B6-XIAP−/− animals were lethally irradiated and received transplants on day 0 of 5 × 10^6 TCD-BM cells and 3 × 10^6 splenic CD90.2+ T cells from either syngeneic B6-WT or allogeneic MHCmismatched BALB/c donors. As shown in Figure 4A, all the syngeneic B6-WT and B6 XIAP−/− animals survived the period of observation, ruling out any significant effect of conditioning-related damage on survival after BMT. By contrast, allogeneic B6-XIAP−/− animals showed significantly worse survival and clinical GVHD severity than B6-WT animals (P = .0003; Figure 4A; supplemental Figure 6A). However, the increased mortality was associated neither with an increased donor T-cell expansion in target organs on day 7 or 14 (Figure 4B; supplemental Figure 6B) nor with an elevation in serum levels of inflammatory cytokines, such as IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-17A on day 7 (Figure 4C-D; supplemental Figure 6C-F). Nonetheless, consistent with survival data, the GVHD-specific histopathological score was higher only in the GI tract of allogeneic XIAP−/− animals on day 7 when compared with allogeneic B6-WT animals (Figure 4E), but the scores of the other organs were similar (data not shown).

Similarly, enhanced GVHD mortality and clinical severity were observed in allogeneic cIAP1−/− animals when compared with WT animals after BALB/c-into-B6 BMT (P = .02; Figure 4F; supplemental Figure 6G). These data indicate that the absence of IAPs in hosts exacerbates GVHD and that use of the SMAC mimetic...
Figure 3. IAPs are not required in donor T cells to modulate GVH responses. (A-B) BALB/c animals received 8.5 Gy on day 21 and received transplants of 0.5 × 10^6 CD90.2^+ splenic T cells from either syngeneic BALB/c or allogeneic MHC-mismatched B6-WT, B6-cIAP1^−/−, or B6-XIAP^−/− animals along with 5 × 10^6 TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic BALB/c or allogeneic B6 donors. Survival (A) and GVHD clinical score (B) (n = 11-29 per group). Pooled data from 4 independent experiments are shown.

(C) B6D2F1-recipient animals received 11 Gy on day 21 and received transplants of 3 × 10^6 CD90.2^+ splenic T cells from either syngeneic B6D2F1 or haploidentical MHC-mismatched B6-WT, B6-cIAP1^−/−, or B6-XIAP^−/− animals along with 5 × 10^6 TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic B6D2F1 or allogeneic B6 donors. Survival (n = 5-11 per group). Pooled data from 2 independent experiments are shown. (D-F) Expansion of donor T cells (H-2kb CD4^+ CD8^+ (D), CD69^+ T cells (E), and IFN-γ-producing T cells (F) in the spleen on day 14 after allo-BMT (n = 3-4 per group, pooled from 2 experiments). The bar shows the mean ± SEM. (G-H) The histopathological GVHD score in the GI tract (small and large intestines) (G) and liver (H) on day 14 after allo-BMT (n = 3-4 per group, pooled from 2 experiments). The bar shows the mean ± SEM. (I) In vitro MLR. Isolated splenic CD90.2^+ T cells from either BALB/c or B6-WT animals were cultured with BMDCs derived from animals in the presence or absence of AT-406 (1 μM) for 96 hours and analyzed for proliferation after ^3H-thymidine incorporation during the last 16 hours of incubation. A representative figure from 3 independent experiments is shown.
AT-406 may have similar adverse effects that are intrinsic to the host. They further suggest that XIAP and cIAP1 do not compensate for the absence of each other and that they have distinct effects on GVHD mortality.

**IAPs in host hematopoietic-derived APCs are dispensable for exacerbation of GVHD**

Host hematopoietic-derived APCs are central for initiating allogeneic reactive T-cell responses, and because expression of IAPs in the host is important for increasing GVHD severity, we next reasoned that IAP expression in host hematopoietic cells might be the critical cellular compartment mediating IAP-dependent severity in the recipients. Therefore, to evaluate the impact of IAP expression in hematopoietic APCs, we next explored in vitro the role of IAPs in DCs, the most potent APCs. We determined the expression of costimulatory molecules on splenic DCs from naïve B6-WT, cIAP1−/−, and XIAP−/− animals to determine their phenotypes at homeostasis. Although the numbers of CD86+CD11c+ and class II+CD11c+ cells in cIAP1−/− animals were significantly higher than those in B6-WT animals, the absolute numbers of CD11c+, CD80+CD11c+, PDL-1+CD11c+, and CD40+CD11c+ cells were equivalent among these animals (supplemental Figure 7A-F). BMDCs from cIAP1−/− or XIAP−/− animals showed a similar allogeneic T-cell stimulation capacity in an MLR when compared with B6-WT (Figure 5A). To further confirm whether AT-406 alters the allogeneic T-cell stimulation capacity of DCs, we pretreated B6-WT DCs with AT-406 before using them as stimulators in an MLR. We found that allogeneic T-cell stimulation was equivalent in both groups (Figure 5B). We next examined whether AT-406 altered the innate immune responses of DCs, such as TLR4-mediated responses after stimulation with lipopolysaccharide, but found similar responses in untreated and AT-406–treated DCs, as determined by their expression of costimulatory molecules as well as secretion of TNF-α and IL-6 (Figure 5C-E). Because cIAP1 and XIAP are recognized as regulators of nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein (NOD)-1– and NOD-2–mediated innate immune responses, we also tested the responses to other pathogen-associated molecular patterns utilizing peptidoglycan and Pam3CSK4 as well as damage-associated molecular patterns utilizing high-mobility group protein 1 (HMGMB-1) in DCs in vitro. Again, AT-406–treated DCs showed no alteration in their response to these various stimuli (supplemental Figure 7G-I).

To determine if the lack of in vitro differences was also observed in vivo in the context of GVHD, we next explored whether XIAP or cIAP1 expression in host hematopoietic-derived cells was critical for GVHD; we generated BM chimeras such that only the radiosensitive host hematopoietic cells either expressed or lacked IAPs. B6Ly5.2 animals were lethally irradiated with 11 Gy and infused with 5 × 10^6 BM cells and 5 × 10^7 splenocytes from syngeneic B6-WT, B6-cIAP1−/−, or B6-XIAP−/− donors. Chimerism analyses of the donor hematopoietic-derived cells showed complete donor types (>95%) 3 months after the first transplantation. The B6→B6Ly5.2, cIAP1−/−→B6Ly5.2, and XIAP1−/−→B6Ly5.2 animals were then used as recipients in a second allo-BMT 3 to 4 months after the primary BMT. In the second transplantation, all chimeric animals received 9 Gy and received transplants of 3.0 × 10^6 CD90+ T cells along with 5 × 10^6 BM cells from either syngeneic B6 or MHC-mismatched allogeneic BALB/c donors. All chimeras that received syngeneic T cells and BM cells survived the duration of the observation period, with no signs of GVHD. In contrast, the allogeneic B6→B6Ly5.2, cIAP1−/−→B6Ly5.2, and XIAP1−/−→B6Ly5.2 animals showed similar GVHD mortality and severity (Figure 5F-H). These results suggest that the exacerbation of GVHD in the absence of IAPs in the host or after AT-406 treatment is independent of IAPs in host hematopoietic-derived APCs. Therefore, to evaluate the impact of IAP expression in nonhematopoietic cells, we next reasoned that XIAP and cIAP1 do not play an important role in regulating GVHD severity.

**IAP expression in host nonhematopoietic cells plays an important role in GVHD**

Because host hematopoietic cell expression of IAPs was not essential to GVHD severity, we next determined whether their expression by nonhematopoietic cells in the host was critical for modulation of GVHD severity. Therefore, we hypothesized that IAP deficiency in nonhematopoietic GVHD target tissues exacerbates GVHD. To this end, we made the reverse chimeras, namely, B6Ly5.2→B6, B6Ly5.2→XIAP−/−, and B6Ly5.2→cIAP1−/−, where IAPs were absent only in the nonhematopoietic host cells. These chimeras received 9 Gy and received transplants of 3.0 × 10^6 CD90+ T cells along with 5 × 10^6 BM cells from either syngeneic B6 or MHC-mismatched allogeneic BALB/c donors. The allogeneic B6Ly5.2→XIAP−/− animals lacking IAPs in nonhematopoietic tissues developed more severe GVHD and demonstrated significantly worse survival compared with B6Ly5.2→B6 recipients (P < .0006; Figure 6A). Similarly, the allogeneic B6Ly5.2→cIAP1−/− animals also showed significantly worse survival compared with B6Ly5.2→B6 recipients (P < .01; Figure 6B). These data demonstrate mutually exclusive roles of XIAP and cIAP1 expression in contributing toward GVHD. Collectively, they demonstrate that IAP expression in host nonhematopoietic target tissues plays an important role in regulating GVHD severity.

**IAP expression alters apoptosis of GI epithelial cells and mitigates GVHD**

GVHD is characterized by target-cell apoptosis. Because IAPs regulate apoptosis and their role in nonhematopoietic target cells is critical for GI GVHD severity, we next tested the effect of IAPs on expression of anti- or proapoptotic proteins in CD326+ IECs, which are critical for maintaining mucosal integrity in the gut after allo-BMT. We first confirmed whether allogeneic XIAP−/− animals showed increased apoptosis in the GI tract. We performed TUNEL staining in the intestine on day 7 after allo-BMT. Consistent with GVHD severity, allogeneic XIAP−/− animals showed increased numbers of IECs stained with TUNEL compared with allogeneic WT animals (Figure 7A). To determine the potential mechanisms for enhanced apoptosis in target tissue, we next tested the expression of antiapoptotic (B-cell lymphoma-2 [BCL-2]) and proapoptotic (BCL-2–like protein 11 [BIM] and BCL-2–associated X protein [BAX]) protein expression in the CD326+ IECs from B6-WT and XIAP−/− animals harvested on day 7 after allo-BMT. Both allogeneic......
Figure 4. Absence of IAPs in host exacerbates GVHD in allo-BMT. B6-WT and B6-XIAP$^{-/-}$ animals received 10 Gy on day −1 and received transplants of $3 \times 10^6$ CD90.2$^+$ splenic T cells along with $5 \times 10^6$ TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic B6 or allogeneic MHC-mismatched BALB/c donors. (A) Survival ($n = 5-13$ per group). Pooled data from 3 independent experiments are shown. ***$P < .001$, when allogeneic WT control and allogeneic XIAP$^{-/-}$ animals are compared. (B) Donor T-cell (H-2kd$^+$ CD4$^+$ or H-2kd$^+$ CD8$^+$) expansion in spleen, liver, and intraepithelial cells (IECs) on day 7 after allo-BMT ($n = 4-6$ per group, pooled from 2 experiments). The bar shows the mean ± SEM. (C-D) Serum levels of IFN-γ (C) and TNF-α (D) on day 7 after allo-BMT ($n = 4-6$ per group, pooled from 2 experiments). *$P < .05$. The bar shows the mean ± SEM. (E) The histopathological GVHD score in GI tract (small and large intestines) on day 7 after allo-BMT ($n = 4-6$ per group, pooled from 2 experiments). **$P < .01$. The bar shows the mean ± SEM. (F) Survival. B6-WT and B6-cIAP1$^{-/-}$ animals received 10 Gy on day −1 and received transplants of $3 \times 10^6$ CD90.2$^+$ splenic T cells along with $5 \times 10^6$ TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic B6 or allogeneic MHC-mismatched BALB/c donors ($n = 3-16$ per group). Pooled data from 3 independent experiments are shown. *$P < .05$, when allogeneic WT control and allogeneic cIAP1$^{-/-}$ animals are compared.
XIAP−/− and cIAP1−/− animals showed significantly reduced expression of antimicrobial protein BCL-2 but equivalent expression of proapoptotic proteins (Figure 7B-D). In addition, the expression ratio of BIM or BAX to BCL-2 in allogeneic XIAP−/− animals was significantly increased (Figure 7E-F). These data suggest that enhanced apoptosis in the target tissues in the absence of XIAP may be potential mechanisms of enhanced GI GVHD. By contrast, allogeneic cIAP1−/− but not XIAP−/− animals showed reduced level of Ras homolog gene family member A (p-A) expression, which is known to control many cellular functions,59-61 cellular development,62 and gut homeostasis63 and is regulated by IAPs, in the small intestine at day 7 after allo-BMT (Figure 7H). These data indicate that the absence of IAPs likely exacerbates GVHD damage by multiple, distinct cellular mechanisms.

**Discussion**

Our study demonstrates a novel role of IAPs in the regulation of GVHD and suggests that the effects of XIAP and cIAP1 expression and SMAC mimetic treatment after BMT are independent of effects
on donor T cells and host hematopoietic cells. Our data suggest that IAP expression in host nonhematopoietic target cells is important for regulation of GI GVHD damage.

The current understanding of the role of IAP regulation of innate immune responses seems to be dependent on the context. For example, IAPs have been suggested to regulate innate responses through the pattern recognition receptors by inhibiting cell-death signaling, controlling MAPK and NF-kB signaling pathways, and modifying inflammasomes or in the context of the strength of stimulation and the absence of IAPs in hematopoietic-derived APCs was not closely linked to inflammasome activation, as shown by studies that the serum levels of IL-1β and IL-18 in XIAP−/− animals or allogeneic B6 vs B6Ly5.2−/− animals were equivalent to those in WT animals after allo-BMT (data not shown). Thus, the contribution of IAPs to inflammasome activation is unlikely to be a critical component for its role in mitigating GVHD severity, but this would need to be formally tested.

Consistent with our observations, SMAC mimetics induce increased enteroocyte shedding and loss of barrier function in piglet ileal mucosa after oral infection with Cryptosporidium parvum. Furthermore, a clinical study demonstrated that some patients with advanced solid tumors who received LCL16, which induces the degradation of cIAP1, experienced grade 3 to 4 cytokine release syndrome. Altogether, these data suggest that inflammatory responses after the inhibition of IAPs may differ and depend on the context of inflammation and the target tissues.

Our data demonstrate that XIAP, cIAP1, or SMAC inhibition do not significantly alter T-cell responses to an allogeneic stimulus, either in vitro or in vivo. The differential effect on nonspecific antibody-mediated in vitro stimulation from an allogeneic stimulus may be a consequence of the strength of stimulation and the absence of physiological engagement of the full panoply of costimulatory and coinnhibitory receptors on an APC in the former context. IAPs are closely linked to inflammasome activation, as shown by studies utilizing XIAP−− or XIAP−− cIAP2−/− cIAP1LysMcrlLysMcro animals as well as SMAC mimetics. Inflammasome activation, such as NLRP3 in APCs or myeloid-derived suppressor cells, are involved in the development and aggravation of GVHD. However, we found that the serum levels of IL-1β and IL-18 in XIAP−− or cIAP1−− animals were equivalent to those in WT animals after allo-BMT (data not shown). Thus, the contribution of IAPs to inflammasome activation is unlikely to be a critical component for its role in mitigating GVHD severity, but this would need to be formally tested.

Our observations suggest that the role of IAPs in gut GVHD is limited to nonhematopoietic target tissues, such as IECs. With regard to the function of IAPs in tissue homeostasis, increased IAP

Figure 6. Absence of IAPs in host target tissues plays an important role in aggravating GVHD. To make BM chimeras, B6-WT, B6-cIAP1−/−, and B6-XIAP−/− animals were lethally irradiated with 10 Gy and infused with 5 x 10^6 BM cells from either syngeneic/allo-B6 or allogeneic MHC-mismatched BALB/c donors. Survival. Differences were assessed using the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test: ***P < .001 or **P < .01, when allogeneic B6Ly5.2−/− vs B6Ly5.2−/− or XIAP−/− animals were compared (n = 9-27 per group, pooled from 3 to 4 independent experiments). The bars show the mean ± SEM.
expression in IECs after IL-11 treatment seems to promote wound healing and regeneration in IBD, and the disruption of IAP signaling impairs regenerative process in the intestine and worsens IBD. In addition, inhibition of IAPs with SMAC mimetics increased enterocyte shedding and loss of barrier function in piglet ileal mucosa after oral infection with Cryptosporidium parvum, a significant

Figure 7. IAPs on host target tissues coordinately regulate gut homeostasis by distinct mechanisms in GVHD. B6-WT and B6-XIAP<sup>−/−</sup> or cIAP1<sup>−/−</sup> animals received 10 Gy on day −1 and received transplants of 3 × 10<sup>6</sup> CD90.2<sup>+</sup> splenic T cells along with 5 × 10<sup>6</sup> TCD-BM cells from either syngeneic B6 or allogeneic MHC-mismatched BALB/c donors. TUNEL staining and anti- or proapoptotic protein expression in intestinal epithelial cells were evaluated on day 7 after allo-BMT. (A) The representative figures of TUNEL staining of small intestine on day 7 after allo-BMT are shown. Original magnification ×40; TUNEL staining (nuclei purple) with a counterstain of Nuclear Fast Red (nuclei pink). (B-F) The expression of anti- or proapoptotic proteins in CD326<sup>+</sup> intestinal epithelial cells on day 7 after allo-BMT: BCL-2 (B), BIM (C), BAX (D), and the ratios of BIM/BCL-2 (E) and BAX/BCL-2 (F). (G) LC3 expression in CD326<sup>+</sup> intestinal epithelial cells from animals receiving transplants on day 7 after BMT was analyzed by FACS (n = 4−15, pooled from 3 independent experiments). *P < .05, **P < .01, ****P < .0001. The data are the means ± SEMs. (H) Rho A expression in CD326<sup>+</sup> intestinal epithelial cells from animals receiving transplants on day 7 after BMT was analyzed by FACS (n = 4−15, pooled from 3 independent experiments). **P < .01, when compared between allogeneic B6-WT and cIAP<sup>−/−</sup> animals. The data are the means ± SEMs.
diarrhea-inducing pathogen. These data are in part consistent with our results and indicate that a delicate balance may exist in the function of IAPs. Our data might also explain clinical observations in XIAP patients. For example, these data are consistent with the clinical observation that patients with X-linked lymphoproliferative disease 2 who have an XIAP-inactivating mutation develop severe intestinal inflammation. Furthermore, a recent clinical study demonstrated that the outcome of XIAP-deficient recipients who underwent allo-HCT after myeloablative conditioning was poor. XIAP-deficient patients show increased apoptosis of their lymphocytes after various stimulations and a decreased number of NK T cells. Up to 20% of patients with XIAP mutations develop IBD and systemic inflammation. Our data show how IAPs contribute to GI GVHD and, when taken in light of earlier observations in IBD and XIAP patients, point to the contribution of tissue tolerance in the maintenance of gut homeostasis in the context of allogeneic immunity. Interestingly, recent clinical observations suggest that XIAP or cIAP1 polymorphisms are presumably associated with hereditary periodic fever syndromes and asthma susceptibility. Whether specific human polymorphisms of IAPs are involved in the severity of human host susceptibility to GI GVHD would certainly be of great interest, but would represent a risk factor rather than a biomarker. We found that antiapoptotic protein BCL-2 was decreased in the IECs of cIAP1−/− and XIAP−/− animals and was associated with a significant increase in the ratio of proapoptotic to antiapoptotic proteins. XIAP inhibits the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway by activating caspasases 3, 7, and 9. Therefore, inhibiting XIAP may increase this signaling and promote apoptosis of epithelial cells. We also found that IECs in XIAP−/− animals showed low LC3 expression after allo-BMT. Because autophagy plays an important role in maintaining GI homeostasis and its absence has a negative impact on gut inflammation, it may be a potential mechanism of increased gut damage in XIAP−/− animals. How XIAP regulates autophagy in IECs will be of great interest, and additional detailed studies will be required. To explore potential mechanisms of increased gut GVHD in IAP-deficient animals, we also tested IL-22, which is a critical regulator of gut homeostasis, and lgr5 expression, which is an intestinal stem-cell marker, deletion of which is involved in the severity of gut GVHD; however, both IL-22 and lgr5 expression in XIAP-deficient animals were comparable to those in WT animals (supplemental Figure 8B-C). Interestingly, cIAP1−/− animals showed reduced levels of p-A expression. IAPs directly regulate p-GTPases, which are known to control many cellular functions, cellular development, and gut homeostasis. Recent studies have suggested that p-A inactivation and Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate (Rac1) activation are associated with IBD and that IAPs moderate these signaling pathways. Therefore, the p-A signaling pathway, in addition to increasing apoptosis, may be a potential mechanism of enhancing GVHD in cIAP1−/− animals. Because both autophagy and p-A signaling play important roles in maintaining GI homeostasis and their absence has a negative impact on gut inflammation, enhancing autophagy or p-A signaling might protect against GI damage and ameliorate GVHD after allo-BMT. Although these will be explored in future studies, whether they are uniquely relevant for cIAP- or also important for XIAP-induced mechanisms remains unknown. A notable aspect of our data is that the significant impact of IAP expression was observed only with regard to the severity of GI GVHD, but not with skin or liver GVHD. The mechanisms for GI-specific reduction of GVHD will be explored in future studies. However, these data point to the possibility that tissue-intrinsic mechanisms that protect them from immune-mediated damage might be distinct among various GVHD target organs. Collectively, these results suggest that the expression of IAPs in host target tissues might regulate a complex signaling network that protects the GI tract from severe damage in settings of intense inflammatory injury, such as GVHD.

In conclusion, our data demonstrate a novel role for IAPs in the regulation of GVHD within target organs and independent of their role in donor T cells or host hematopoietic cells. Our data suggest that altering IAP-dependent target tissue sensitivity to apoptosis may represent a novel strategy to reduce GVHD severity.
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