Hypothermia during laparotomy can be prevented by locally applied warm water and pulsating negative pressure
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Background. Conflicting results have been obtained when using heat and constant negative pressure applied to the arm to induce re-warming in patients with mild hypothermia due to surgery. We hypothesized that pulsating negative pressure would increase skin blood flow and thus heat transfer. The purpose of this study was to compare a new method of applying heat and pulsating negative pressure to the skin with conventional forced-air warming for preventing perioperative hypothermia.

Methods. Twenty patients undergoing prolonged laparotomy for gastric surgery were randomized into two groups. One group (SM) received hospital standard method: forced-air warming, 43.8°C (Bair Hugger®) on the thoracic and upper arm surface. The other group (NM) received the new method: warm water and pulsating negative pressure treatment applied in a transparent acrylic cylinder (50 × 16 cm) on one arm. The cylinder was circulated with water at 42.5°C, leaving an air pocket inside the device. Pulsating pressure between 0 and −40 mm Hg was generated in the air pocket inside the cylinder.

Results. Two groups of 10 patients were studied. Warming was started shortly after induction of general anaesthesia. The two methods performed similarly during the first 60 min, with a mean 0.7°C decrease in core temperature. The tympanic temperature curve in NM group then increased and returned to baseline (37°C) by 120 min. The temperature of SM group increased more slowly, reaching 36°C by 120 min (P<0.05).

Conclusion. Warm water and pulsating negative pressure was significantly better at treating hypothermia during laparotomy than forced-air warming.
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Mild hypothermia both during and after laparotomy has several adverse effects.1 2 Various non-invasive methods have been tried to prevent and treat mild perioperative hypothermia. As most metabolic heat is lost through the skin surface, active cutaneous heating is the main principle of most devices. Circulating-water mattresses, forced-air warmers, resistive heating, and radiant warmers are all in clinical use.2 3 Recently, systems using a combination of heat and mild constant negative pressure to increase the cutaneous blood circulation have been proposed. Recovery from mild hypothermia has been shown to be moderately accelerated by this method.4–6 The mechanism is claimed to be that mechanical distension of peripheral vessels overrides thermoregulatory vasoconstriction,7 thus improving the transfer of heat from the external source to the peripheral blood and thence to the core of the body. However, further studies showed no effect of negative pressure in this context.8 A possible explanation of this is provided by the finding that continuous negative pressure reduces blood flow locally via the veno-arterial reflex,
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which causes arterial vasoconstriction in response to the distension of venous vessels.\textsuperscript{9} We hypothesized that pulsating negative pressure would perform better by increasing blood flow and heat transfer. In this clinical study, we have tested the hypothesis that negative pulsating pressure and warm water applied locally could effectively reverse perioperative hypothermia. A well documented, efficient forced air-warming system, that is, Bair Hugger\textsuperscript{w}, was used as a comparator.

Materials and methods

The study was carried out at the main operating theatre at Ullevål University Hospital. The regional ethics committee approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. All equipment used in this study met the criteria laid down by the Norwegian Directorate for Civil Protection and Emergency Planning and was also approved by the Department of Electro-Medical Equipment at Ullevål University Hospital.

Subjects

Patients who were to undergo laparotomy for major abdominal surgery were asked to participate in the study. Each operation was expected to last for at least 2 h. All patients were fasted from midnight the day before. Criteria for exclusion were skin lesions on the right arm, vascular diseases, diabetes, fever, arthritis, and rheumatic diseases. The patients were randomized into two groups by a computer program (Microsoft\textsuperscript{w} Office Excel). One group of patients received treatment with locally applied warm water and pulsating negative pressure, called the new method (NM). The other group was treated using the Department’s normal method, which was forced-air warming using warm air blankets. This was called the standard method (SM).

Experimental design

Patients treated with NM rested in the supine position on the operating table. The right arm was abducted 70–90° and positioned inside a custom-built tube-shaped transparent Plexiglass chamber, 50×16 cm (Figs 1 and 2). The chamber was sealed to the proximal part of the arm by a neoprene collar, which was attached to an adapter (10×16 cm). An elastic rubber sleeve ran from the proximal neoprene collar to the Plexiglass cylinder making the tube watertight. Warm water at 42.5°C was circulated between the cylinder and a thermostat-regulated water-bath. The water-bath was connected to the chamber by two insulated latex hoses. A peristaltic pump circulated the water at 3.5 litre min\textsuperscript{−1}. Two independent alarm systems were attached to prevent accidental overheating. The chamber was three-quarters full of water, leaving an air pocket from which the air could be evacuated to give negative pressure. The pressure inside the chamber was pulsated between 0 (=ambient pressure) and −40 mm Hg. The chamber was connected to an adjustable medical suction device. A pair of computer-controlled magnetic valves were connected between the chamber and the suction device. A computer program controlled the opening and closing of the valves. When a magnetic valve opened, air was evacuated by the suction and pressure fell inside the tube. After 10 s the valve was closed, another
Prevention of hypothermia

The core temperature was measured continuously using a tympanic probe (Exacon, model 8940, Denmark) starting before induction of anaesthesia, and data were fed online to a computer and recorded at 2 Hz. To standardize the measurements as far as possible the probe was inserted by the same person every time. The probe was securely fastened to the ear and neck with adhesive plaster to avoid movement of the probe during patient handling. Cotton was inserted into the distal 1–2 cm of the external ear canal to isolate the probe and prevent air from the surroundings from interfering with the readings. Because measurements were made continuously and displayed real-time on a computer screen, any sudden non-physiological change in temperature, for example, if a probe was pulled away from the tympanic membrane was seen and corrected. In addition, oesophageal and rectal temperature probes were used when possible to confirm the readings of the tympanic probe.

Data analysis and statistics

Because the surgical equipment generated some electrical noise, the continuous data from the tympanic temperature were manually read and edited after the study. The temperature was noted at 10 min intervals for analysis. The primary endpoint was the tympanic temperature at 120 min. The changes in tympanic temperature between baseline (at induction of anaesthesia) and 120 min ($\Delta T$ after 120 min) were compared between the two groups. The differences in temperature change between the groups at 60 min after induction of anaesthesia ($\Delta T$ after 60 min) were also calculated. The Wilcoxon two-sample test was used to test for significant differences in $\Delta T$ between the two groups. Even though the patients were randomized to the two treatment groups, possible differences in patient characteristics and other confounding factors were tested by a post hoc step-wise multiple linear regression analysis. All anthropometric variables and all variables from Table 1, in addition to the warming method, were possible explanatory variables in the analyses and $\Delta T$ was the dependent variable. All the

### Table 1 Comparison of the two groups, NM and SM, $n=10$ in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>New method</th>
<th>Standard method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>70 (41–81)</td>
<td>63 (43–87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>70.20 (11.49)</td>
<td>66.67 (16.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.68 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>23.0 (18.3–26.4)</td>
<td>21.0 (18.3–36.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparatomy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional thoracotomy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core temperature before anaesthesia (°C)</td>
<td>36.7 (36.4–37.0)</td>
<td>36.9 (35.8–37.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total duration of surgery (h)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.4–6.8)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.3–4.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total duration of anaesthesia (h)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.2–6.8)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.3–6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from anaesthesia to warming (min)</td>
<td>20 (10–45)</td>
<td>30 (20–75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room temperature (°C)</td>
<td>22 (21–24)</td>
<td>21.0 (20–22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room humidity (%)</td>
<td>39 (31–55)</td>
<td>42 (32–66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g dl$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>13.9 (10.3–15.8)</td>
<td>13.3 (10.3–15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluids given i.v. (ml)*</td>
<td>5750 (3500–10 650)</td>
<td>5800 (4300–8300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuresis (ml)</td>
<td>303 (0–1063)</td>
<td>244 (0–1175)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>475 (150–2500)</td>
<td>500 (200–1140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal lavage (litre)</td>
<td>2 (1–2)</td>
<td>2 (0–3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ringer acetate, Makrodex, Haemaccel, Voluven, NaCl, SAG, Haes.
analyses were performed using the statistical program SPSS. Differences were considered significant at $P<0.05$.

**Results**

Three patients whom we approached refused to participate in this study. Twenty patients, 9 females and 11 males agreed to take part. Patient characteristics, type of operation, and operation variables are listed in Table 1. There was a gender difference between the two groups. In the NM group, there were three female patients age [median (range)] 73 (70–81) yr and seven male patients age 73 (70–81) yr. In the SM group, there were six female patients age 57.5 (43–87) yr and four male patients age 77 (63–78) yr. Active warming started on average 10 min later in the SM group, and lasted for 175 (range 130–350) min in the NM group, as compared with 246 (range 130–350) min in the SM group. Warming was shorter in the NM group because the warming device had to be turned off to prevent over-heating of patient. Duration of anaesthesia and surgery was similar in the two groups (Table 1).

The new method resulted in significantly faster re-establishment and maintenance of body temperature than the standard method after 120 min. Stepwise multiple linear regression showed that the only significant variable in addition to the warming method was patient height. $\Delta T$ decreased by $2^\circ C$ for each metre increase in height ($P=0.036$). When the results were adjusted for this variable, the difference in $\Delta T$ between the two methods increased from 0.92$^\circ C$ to 1.00$^\circ C$.

Figure 3 shows averaged normalized values for both groups. The highest registered starting temperature in each group was 37.0$^\circ C$, and the two groups were therefore normalized by addition, making all experiments start at 37.0$^\circ C$. In the NM group, the mean temperature initially decreased by 0.7$^\circ C$ in the course of 50 min, before increasing and returning to the starting value after 130 min. In the SM group, the temperature decreased 1$^\circ C$ beyond 80 min and then remained stable at this level throughout the operation.

**Discussion**

The main finding in this study is that locally applied warm water and pulsating negative pressure is significantly better than the Bair Hugger® forced-air warming system in preventing and treating mild perioperative hypothermia during abdominal surgery. Abdominal surgery is known to cause mild hypothermia, probably because of the prolonged duration of surgery, the large incision required and the frequent use of peritoneal lavage. Most of our patients were operated on as part of cancer treatment. These patients are often elderly, a patient group which is known to be prone to hypothermia. In our study, the median ages were 70 yr in NM group and 63 yr in SM group. We would have expected our patients to suffer from mild hypothermia if no treatment had been given.

Without treatment, intraoperative hypothermia develops in three phases. In phase 1, hypothermia results from a core-to-peripheral redistribution of heat after induction of general anaesthesia (GA) and subsequent immediate vaso-dilatation. General anaesthesia also reduces the threshold for cutaneous heat-loss protective vasoconstriction to a level below current body temperature leading to dilation of arterioles and AV-anastomoses. This produces a decrease in body core temperature of approximately 1.5$^\circ C$ within the first hour if unopposed. In phase 2, the core temperature decreases linearly, but at a slower rate, because body heat loss exceeds heat gain. In phase 3, after 3–5 h of anaesthesia, heat loss equals heat gain. A stable plateau with core temperatures about 2–3$^\circ C$ below baseline is usually reached, depending on the temperature in the environment and how well the patient is protected from heat loss. In our study, both groups showed an initial decrease in core temperature ($\Delta T$). None of the patients were pre-heated, and therefore, the temperature decrease was expected. The temperature decrease in the SM group was 0.3$^\circ C$ larger and a nadir was reached 30 min later at 1.0$^\circ C$ below baseline levels. There may be several different explanations for this. Warming was started 10 min later in the SM group, allowing the temperature to decrease further than in the NM group before warming could take effect. The reason for this delay was washing and draping of the surgical field before the airflow blankets could be applied. Airflow blankets ideally need to cover as close to the surgically washed and draped field of the abdomen as possible in order to utilize a maximum area of skin exposure for best effect. This conflict with sterile draping and delay in application is not present for the NM group during laparotomy when an arm is used for
heat application. This practical aspect was also illustrated by coincidence in our study as two of the patients (in the NM group) needed extension of the surgical field into thorax. However, both methods were equally easy to start and operate. In both groups, the average temperature decreased at about 0.8°C h⁻¹, thus a 10 min delay would equal a decrease in temperature of just under 0.2°C. Another explanation of difference in temperature decrease could be the modest difference in BMI. Preoperatively, a patient with a high BMI tends to be more vasodilated than a patient with a low BMI. This is due to the insulating effects of fat tissue, which makes the dissipation of heat in adipose people dependent on high skin blood flow. This decreases the core-to-peripheral temperature gradient and results in a smaller decrease in temperature during phase 1 of perioperative hypothermia. However, the stepwise multiple linear regression analyses did not identify BMI as a significant variable for the differences in core temperature after 120 min. There was also a gender difference between the two groups, with more females, and a wider age range (43–87 yr), in the NM group. Several studies on human temperature regulation have shown gender differences. These studies are mainly on eumenorrheic women. Not many studies have been performed on gender differences in the older population during perioperative hypothermia. A study on subjects that were awake showed that all thermoregulatory response thresholds, that is, sweating, vasoconstriction, and shivering, were 0.3°C wider in women. A study on thermoregulatory vasoconstriction during nitrous oxide/isoflurane anaesthesia showed a lower threshold for elderly patients, but no gender difference. Our regression analysis did not reveal an effect of age or gender. However, the small sample size means that this analysis had limited power. The last, and most obvious, explanation for the difference in temperature decrease between the two groups is a difference in efficacy between the two warming methods. The NM brought the core temperature in all patients back to baseline levels. In the SM group, the average core temperature reached a plateau at 1.0°C below baseline level. This is still better than expected without any treatment, confirming that forced-air warming does have an effect.

Forced-air warming has been extensively tested, and is now the preferred warming system in many hospitals. During laparotomy, a large section of the abdominal skin surface is unavailable for forced-air heating, in addition to the part of the patient that is in contact with the operating table. The efficacy of the forced-air warming system is dependent on a large skin surface for heat transfer. In addition, heat uptake is dependent on skin blood flow in the heated area. Another limiting factor is the thermal conductivity of air, which is low, 0.025 (W m⁻¹°C⁻¹).

There may be several explanations for the efficacy of the NM. The use of water increases heat transfer because the thermal conductivity of water (0.600 W m⁻¹°C⁻¹) is 25 times higher than that of air. Water also connects better with the skin, entering every fold and groove. Finally, and perhaps most important, the pulsating negative pressure probably increases blood flow. A pilot study done in our laboratories, using the ultrasound Doppler method, showed that local blood flow could be increased by at least 43% by pulsating the negative pressure in the same manner as done in our study. The increase in blood flow can be explained by an increase in the pressure difference between the arterial and venous system and by avoidance of the veno-arterial reflux, which constricts the arterioles when the veins are distended. An additional feature may be pooling of blood in the veins, bringing more blood closer to the surface for heat exchange.

In conclusion, the new method using warm water and pulsating negative pressure was significantly better in preventing and reversing hypothermia during laparotomy than forced-air warming (Bair Hugger).
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