Fifteen years of ultrasound guidance in regional anaesthesia: Part 2—Recent developments in block techniques
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The use of ultrasound guidance for regional anaesthesia has gained enormous popularity in the last 10 yr. The first part of this review article provided information on safety, technical developments, economic aspects, education, advantages, needle guidance techniques, and future developments in ultrasound. The second part focuses on practical and technical details of individual ultrasound-guided nerve blocks in adults. We present a comprehensive review of the relevant literature of the last 5 yr with a commentary based on our own clinical experience in order to provide information relevant to patient management. Upper limb blocks, including interscalene, supra- and infraclavicular, and axillary approaches, are described and discussed. For the lower limb, psoas compartment, femoral, obturator, sciatic, and lateral cutaneous nerve blocks are described, as are some abdominal wall blocks. The potential role of ultrasound guidance for neuraxial block is addressed. The need for further large-scale studies of the role of ultrasound is emphasized.
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The use of ultrasound guidance for regional anaesthesia has gained enormous popularity in the last 10 yr. This second part of our review focuses on practical and technical details of individual ultrasound-guided nerve blocks in adults. We present a comprehensive review of the relevant literature of the last 5 yr with a commentary based on our own clinical experience in order to provide information relevant to patient management.

Upper limb

Although the structure and innervation of the arm, shoulder, and lateral clavicular area is complex, the superficial location of the brachial plexus, its branches, and the surrounding structures allow high-quality ultrasound images to be achieved, thereby making upper limb regional blocks highly amenable to ultrasound-guided techniques.

Interscalene approach

It is possible, using ultrasound, to identify the phrenic nerve on the surface of the anterior scalene muscle40 where it is in close proximity to the phrenic nerve C3 root at the level of the cricoid cartilage. This close anatomical relationship explains the high incidence of ipsilateral phrenic nerve block after interscalene brachial plexus block, which can be of clinical significance in patients with lung disease.25 It emphasizes the fact that the phrenic nerve may be at risk of damage during an interscalene brachial plexus block performed at the cricoid level.

An ultrasound-guided posterior approach to the brachial plexus using Pippa’s technique has been described89 and the feasibility of continuous brachial plexus block with this posterior approach demonstrated.3 48 The efficacy of this technique was also demonstrated in a randomized, placebo-controlled study of 32 patients undergoing shoulder surgery.47 The proposed benefits of a continuous posterior brachial plexus block are avoidance of the external jugular vein, a greater distance between the catheter entry site and the surgical field, and a lower incidence of catheter migration.47 Nevertheless, a posterior approach to the brachial plexus—often termed a cervical paravertebral block—also has potential disadvantages. The needle has to be advanced through the muscles of the neck for some distance on its way to the brachial plexus. This can be painful for the patient. The needle has to pass through the...
middle scalene muscle, which contains two proximal branches of the brachial plexus: the long thoracic and dorsal scapular nerves. These nerves may therefore be injured by a needle approach that passes through the middle scalene muscle. Inserting the needle deep into the neck may make it difficult for novices to maintain full needle visibility when using an ‘in-plane’ technique in which the needle passes along the narrow ultrasound beam parallel to the probe head. If the needle is not well visualized, there is a risk that it may be inadvertently inserted towards the cervical spine. The lateral ‘in-plane’ approach to the interscalene brachial plexus also carries a theoretical risk of injuring the nerves lying within the middle scalene muscle, and needle advancement towards the midline when ultrasound visualization is poor can be hazardous.

In our opinion, an ‘out-of-plane’ approach using a needle entry point and direction of insertion similar to that used in the modified traditional lateral technique \(^{51, 52}\) seems to be the most logical and safe technique. The brachial plexus is superficial in this location (Fig. 1), and the depth of needle insertion is much less when compared with the ‘in-plane’ and posterior approaches. Moreover, the needle can be advanced at a very shallow angle almost parallel to the nerves of the brachial plexus. In our experience, injection of local anaesthetic lateral, medial, and in close proximity to the nerve roots with this approach produces an excellent nerve block.\(^{35}\) A common argument against an ‘out-of-plane’ approach is poor needle and needle tip visualization during the block. However, we believe that if the needle is advanced slowly and carefully while closely observing the movement of the tissues around the roots of the brachial plexus, this is a safe and effective technique. The insertion of a catheter is made easier by the use of this technique as the catheter is more likely to pass parallel to the nerves during placement.

**Supraclavicular approach**

Since the introduction of ultrasound guidance, supraclavicular brachial plexus block has seen resurgence in popularity and now replaces infraclavicular approaches in the practice of many clinicians. The supraclavicular region offers excellent imaging conditions because of the superficial location of the brachial plexus and its surrounding structures: the subclavian artery, the pleura, and the first rib. As for the interscalene block, both ‘in-plane’ (Fig. 2) and ‘out-of-plane’ techniques are possible. Most regional anaesthetists use an ‘in-plane’ technique that seeks to minimize the chances of the needle hitting the artery or pleura. The optimal injection site has been described as being in the ‘corner pocket’, which is bordered by the first rib inferiorly, the subclavian artery medially, and the brachial plexus superiorly.\(^{75}\) An alternative approach has been described in which a medio-lateral needle direction aims to avoid pleural puncture in cases in which the needle is poorly visible with ultrasound.\(^{17}\) A study of 510 consecutive patients assessed success rates and the incidence of complications with both techniques.\(^{60}\) Side-effects and complications included symptomatic diaphragmatic paresis (1%), Horner’s syndrome (1%), vascular puncture (0.4%), and transient neurological deficits (0.4%). No pneumothoraces were seen. There were no statistically significant differences in success rates or complication rates between the latero-medial and medio-lateral needle direction techniques. Therefore, no firm recommendations can be made about which technique should be used, so the needle direction used in an ‘in-plane’ technique in this area can be left to the anaesthetist’s discretion. Most anaesthetists use high-frequency linear array transducers to provide optimal ultrasound images for brachial plexus blocks. In a recently published retrospective case series, the combined use of a low-frequency (5–8 MHz) curved array transducer and nerve stimulation was reviewed.\(^{81, 82}\) Although success rates were equal to those reported in other publications on ultrasound-guided blocks,\(^{60}\) the images presented did not match the quality of those produced by modern, high-frequency probes and equipment. We believe that ultrasound-guided brachial plexus blocks should be performed with the high-resolution images obtained with modern, high-frequency linear array transducers.

A dose-finding study\(^{22}\) of the minimum effective volume of local anaesthetic for an ultrasound-guided

---

**Fig 1** Ultrasound scan of the C5–7 nerve roots at the level used for the interscalene approach. SCM, sternocleidomastoid muscle; C5, C6, C7, cervical nerve roots.

**Fig 2** Ultrasound scan of the brachial plexus at the supraclavicular level using an ‘in-plane’ technique. The brachial plexus is labelled with grey arrows and lies directly above the cervical pleura. The hypoechoic area around the brachial plexus was created by the injection of 8 ml of local anaesthetic solution. NT, needle tip; SCA, subclavian artery.
success rates.4 found to be equally effective in terms of onset times and ence. However, in a previous study, both techniques were genous group of operators with different levels of experi-
vicular approach more effective in blocking the median and ulnar nerves. A limitation of this study was a hetero-
multiple injections for both techniques, found the infracla-
in patients undergoing hand and forearm surgery, using supraclavicular and infraclavicular brachial plexus blocks
of lidocaine 2% and bupivacaine 0.5%) and a calculated ED95 of 42 ml. Higher volumes for supraclavicular block
when compared with interscalene block can be explained by the anatomical conformation of the supraclavicular part
of the brachial plexus, which has a significant amount of connective tissue both surrounding and within the plexus.5 These volumes may be reduced as practitioners gain experience with this particular technique.

A number of published clinical cases and case series show the usefulness of ultrasound guidance in the management of complex cases,21 28 61 71 or in avoiding serious complications.84 The successful use of ultrasound-guided supraclavicular nerve blocks in an emergency setting has been reported.77 78 However, there is some evidence that in spite of the above-mentioned advantages, ultrasound-guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block may not be as effective as is assumed when a single injection into the ‘corner pocket’ is used. In a comparison of this technique with a triple injection around the axillary artery in the infracavicular region,27 similar onset times were found but surgical anaesthesia was of significantly higher quality in the infracavicular group, with fewer additional interventions. On the basis of our clinical experience, two to three injections are necessary to achieve complete and rapid spread around and within the supraclavicular brachial plexus. A single injection into the ‘corner pocket’ results not uncomonly in partial block failure. Optimal imaging conditions and careful needle guidance have made this block our first choice for surgical procedures between the shoulder and the elbow.

For operations on the hand and forearm, infraclavicular or axillary brachial plexus blocks are arguably more appropriate because it is easier to produce a reliable spread of local anaesthetic around the median, ulnar, and musculo-cutaneous nerves. A comparison11 of ultrasound-guided supraclavicular and infraclavicular brachial plexus blocks in patients undergoing hand and forearm surgery, using multiple injections for both techniques, found the infraclavicular approach more effective in blocking the median and ulnar nerves. A limitation of this study was a hetero-
genous group of operators with different levels of experience. However, in a previous study, both techniques were found to be equally effective in terms of onset times and success rates.4

**Infraclavicular approach**

Ultrasound-guided infraclavicular plexus block can be managed by means of an ‘in-plane’ parasagittal or ‘out-of-plane’ approach. Using the ‘in-plane’ technique (also termed the ‘coracoid approach’), the arm is abducted to ~90°, thus limiting the technique’s use in the management of upper limb fractures. We use a lateral infraclavicular approach (Fig. 3) with ‘out-of-plane’ needle guidance. With this technique, the arm is allowed to rest in a neutral position by the patient’s side, and the needle distance from skin to plexus is at its shortest. However, both techniques are in regular clinical use and there is no evidence for advantages of one technique over the other. A complete and rapid onset of nerve block is achieved when the local anaesthetic is injected posterolateral to the artery, thus produ-
ding a U-shaped spread of fluid around the axillary artery.19 Visualization of the pattern of local anaesthetic spread after radial or median nerve stimulation and subsequent injection in the infraclavicular area found a positive radial nerve response resulted in a 100% block success rate with local anaesthetic injection posterior to the axillary artery.9 In contrast, a median nerve response resulted in local anaesthetic injection superficial to the artery and a lower success rate. Injection posterior to the artery has been described as producing the ‘double bubble’ sign.60

Significant amounts of connective tissue surrounding and among the nerves of the brachial plexus are also seen at the infraclavicular region. A study of septa and their impact on local anaesthetic spread found that after injection with a lateral needle position, incomplete spread was noticed in 6 of 22 cases.54 Limited local anaesthetic spread due to the presence of septa was found in four of these cases. It is a frequent finding that before injection posterior to the artery, a fascial click with subsequent rapid distribution of local anaesthetic around the artery is associated with a successful block.43 A recent study showed no differences in terms of onset times and success rates when comparing single- and triple-injection tech-
niques using a lateral parasagittal approach when the local anaesthetic is injected posterior to the axillary artery.18

**Axillary approach**

The use of ultrasonography has also revolutionized the performance and success rates of axillary brachial plexus
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**Fig 3** Ultrasound scan of the brachial plexus at the infraclavicular level below the pectoralis major and minor muscles. The three cords are labelled by grey arrows. SCA, subclavian artery.
block. Detailed anatomical knowledge, especially about the frequent variations in the organization of the axillary brachial plexus, is the key to clinical success. The anatomical variability of the axillary brachial plexus was recently highlighted.\textsuperscript{15} It is now widely accepted that all four nerves (median, ulnar, radial, and musculocutaneous) should be blocked by separate injections around each nerve.\textsuperscript{29} This will provide excellent surgical anaesthesia and tourniquet tolerance for procedures on the hand and forearm.\textsuperscript{14,69} It has been demonstrated that each nerve is surrounded by connective tissue, thus being effectively located in its own tissue compartment.\textsuperscript{3} In a series of 10 axillary plexus blocks, tissue barriers between the nerves were shown with three-dimensional (3D) ultrasonography.\textsuperscript{16} Whether 3D ultrasound will ever find its place in routine clinical practice is a matter for debate.

In our routine clinical practice, local anaesthetic is injected around each of the four nerves separately.\textsuperscript{73} In some instances, the radial nerve is difficult to visualize (Fig. 4) because of its close proximity to the brachial artery, and subsequent misinterpretation of the images can decrease success rates.\textsuperscript{14} Nevertheless, the radial nerve shows a very consistent location between the 4 o’clock and 6 o’clock positions in relation to the brachial artery.\textsuperscript{15} After injection of a small volume of local anaesthetic, the radial nerve reliably shows a significant increase in visibility and can therefore be easily identified and blocked.

Needle guidance for axillary brachial plexus block can be ‘out of plane’\textsuperscript{69} or ‘in plane’\textsuperscript{14,73} and we have found both to be highly effective. Nevertheless, in terms of safety, the ‘in-plane’ technique offers better needle visualization, which is particularly important during injections close to the nerves. Recently, intravascular injection has been reported.\textsuperscript{65,91} The incidence of this complication can be minimized by pressing only lightly with the ultrasound probe before injection and thus not compressing the veins, and aspiration tests are more likely to be positive if the needle is in a vessel.

**Lower limb**

In contrast to the upper limb, the sono-anatomy of the leg is straightforward. However, in spite of substantial technical advances in ultrasound probes and image processing in the last few years, ultrasound examination of the lower limb can still be very challenging because of the amount of muscle and fat that can surround nerves.\textsuperscript{66}

**Psoas compartment block**

The use of ultrasound guidance for posterior lumbar plexus block, or ‘psoas compartment block’, was one of the first to be described. The well-recognized advantage of a posterior approach to lumbar plexus is a reliable block of the femoral nerve, obturator nerve, and lateral cutaneous nerve of the thigh with a single injection. Originally, an ‘in-plane’ technique was described based on the identification of the psoas major muscle in the transverse plane at the L\textsubscript{3–5} level. In this area, the lumbar plexus, although deep, can be visualized using ultrasound. However, the use of nerve stimulation in addition to ultrasound imaging is still recommended to confirm the correct needle placement. This combined technique is still our standard practice when performing lumbar plexus block in the management of difficult cases such as hip fractures in elderly patients with significant co-morbidities. A slightly different technique based on imaging of the psoas major muscle in a paravertebral longitudinal view and advancing the needle ‘in plane’ in a cephalad direction has been described.\textsuperscript{36} One concern about this technique is that systemic local anaesthetic toxicity might occur because of the rapid absorption of large volumes or because of inadvertent injection into one of the large paravertebral blood vessels. Bilateral spread is also a known side-effect of posterior lumbar plexus block. Interestingly, since we have introduced ultrasound guidance for these blocks into our practice, we have seen no instances of bilateral epidural spread.

In summary, posterior lumbar plexus block represents one of the most challenging techniques in terms of both ultrasound imaging and needle guidance. It should therefore only be performed or supervised by experienced clinicians. The clinical value of this technique has not yet been studied systematically.

**Femoral nerve block**

In femoral nerve block an ‘out-of-plane’ approach is used commonly. This is our routine clinical practice because skin-to-nerve needle distance is short and catheter placement is easy to achieve because the needle passes parallel to the long axis of the femoral nerve. Some clinicians use...
an ‘in-plane’ approach and guide the needle in a latero-
medial direction. An oblique needle–probe alignment for catheter placement has been suggested to reach the posterior surface of the femoral nerve. Occasionally, clear delineation of the femoral nerve may be difficult in some cases because of its position between the iliopsoas muscle and fascia. In order to avoid nerve damage in these cases, we recommend approaching the nerve as laterally as possible where it is more visible in cross-section when compared with an approach medial to the nerve.

An ultrasound-guided fascia iliaca block was found to be superior when compared with the traditional approach using ‘loss of resistance’ to identify the correct plane. This technique requires higher volumes of local anaesthetic than the femoral nerve block but seems to be a useful alternative in cases of poor imaging conditions. In the vast majority of cases, we prefer the injection of smaller volumes of local anaesthetic close to the femoral nerve.

**Saphenous nerve block**

The indication for saphenous nerve block combined with a distal sciatic nerve block is surgery to the foot and ankle. An infrapatellar branch block can be used for analgesia after knee arthroscopy.

The saphenous nerve is a sensory terminal branch of the femoral nerve. It passes together with the femoral artery underneath the sartorius muscle where it can be accessed by means of a trans-sartorial approach in the middle third of the thigh. Subsequently, the femoral artery passes medially to enter the adductor canal and gives off the descending genicular artery, which passes distally together with the saphenous nerve. Ultrasound-guided block of the saphenous nerve is also possible at this location with the sartorius muscle as a major landmark (Fig. 6). An ultrasound-guided block of the infrapatellar branch, at the level at which it separates from the saphenous nerve, proved effective in a small group of volunteers. We use a trans-sartorial approach using an ‘in-plane’ technique.

**Obturator nerve block**

There is an increasing interest in obturator nerve block for endoscopic urological procedures and the management of pain associated with major knee surgery. It has been shown that the obturator nerve provides a variable sensory supply to the medial aspect of the thigh and parts of the knee joint, and gives off branches to the hip joint as well. The feasibility of ultrasound imaging of the obturator nerve has been confirmed with nerve stimulation. In a series of 22 patients, an ‘out-of-plane’ approach was used for the anterior branch of the obturator nerve, which is assumed to carry sensory fibres to the limb. The anterior branch is shown as a flat, hyperechoic structure between the pectineus, adductor longus, and adductor brevis muscles (Fig. 7). In spite of these encouraging results, the detailed description of the underlying anatomy needs some refinement since the obturator nerve shows a considerable degree of variability at this level. Recently, a proximal approach to the obturator nerve before its bifurcation was used successfully in a small series of chronic pain patients with hip, knee pain, and obturator neuralgia.

![Fig 5 Ultrasound scan of the femoral nerve (labelled by the grey arrows) slightly distal to the inguinal ligament. FA, femoral artery; FV, femoral vein.](https://academic.oup.com/bja/article-abstract/104/6/673/232355)

![Fig 6 Ultrasound scan of the saphenous nerve (SN) below the sartorius muscle (SM).](https://academic.oup.com/bja/article-abstract/104/6/673/232355)

![Fig 7 The anterior branch of the obturator nerve (ON) between the pectineus (PM), long adductor (LAM), and short adductor (SAM) muscles.](https://academic.oup.com/bja/article-abstract/104/6/673/232355)
A completely different method using interfascial injections without the use of nerve stimulation and with an ‘in-plane’ technique has been described. Local anaesthetic solution (5 ml) was injected in between the pectineus and adductor brevis muscles, and between the adductor brevis and magnus muscles, to surround both branches of the obturator nerve. In our practice, we identify the anterior branch of the obturator nerve between the pectineus and adductor brevis muscles, and use an ‘in-plane’ approach to inject a volume of 5–10 ml of local anaesthetic close to it.

**Lateral cutaneous nerve of the thigh block**

Using modern ultrasound equipment, even the smallest nerves can be visualized successfully. Block of the lateral cutaneous nerve of the thigh near the anterior superior iliac spine is useful when seeking complete anaesthesia of the thigh. It is also a valuable tool in the diagnosis and treatment of chronic pain in this area, and an ultrasound-guided technique has been used in a series of 10 patients. A case report demonstrated the successful management of meralgia paraesthetica with an ultrasound-guided lateral cutaneous nerve of the thigh block. Early clinical experience was supported by an anatomical study that showed the reliability of an ultrasound-guided approach below the anterior superior iliac spine. A highly selective technique using ultrasound produced successful blocks with only 0.3 ml of lidocaine.

**Sciatic nerve blocks**

Sciatic nerve blocks can be performed using a number of techniques and approaches. In general, the nerve can be blocked at any site in its course, provided good ultrasound images can be achieved. The sciatic nerve can be visualized by ultrasonography from the gluteal region to the popliteal fossa. Imaging of the sciatic nerve can be challenging for a number of reasons. The large amounts of muscle and fat surrounding the nerve can impair ultrasound visualization. Curved array probes operating at lower frequencies (5–8 MHz) provide better images, in particular for transgluteal and anterior approaches. The anisotropic behaviour of the sciatic nerve is a frequent cause of poor nerve visibility.

We use the transgluteal approach to block the sciatic nerve proximally and combine it with a posterior lumbar plexus block for the management of hip fractures in the elderly with significant heart disease such as severe aortic stenosis. The sciatic nerve gives off branches to the posterior aspect of the hip joint. The anterior approach to the sciatic nerve is a useful alternative in patients who are difficult to position. For reliable ultrasound imaging, the thigh has to be externally rotated by about 30°–45°, which limits its use in some patients with lower limb trauma. For most patients, the subgluteal approach is our method of choice, as it combines optimal imaging conditions and simple access to the sciatic nerve (Fig. 8). Knee surgery, vascular surgery, and leg amputations are the commonest indications for this block, which can be performed with the patient lying supine or in the lateral position.

More distal approaches to the sciatic nerve can be used for surgical procedures on the ankle and foot. Distal sciatic nerve blocks can be achieved using a lateral approach, advancing the needle ‘in plane’, or a posterior approach with ‘out-of-plane’ needle guidance. Initially, we recommend the performance of all distal sciatic approaches with the patient in the prone position if possible. Visualization of the sciatic nerve is better and needle guidance is easier with the patient in this position.

**Peripheral nerve blocks**

Although a posterior tibial nerve block at the ankle seems to be one of the easiest techniques, results are sometimes disappointing. Ultrasound-guided posterior tibial nerve block at the medial malleolus was shown to be significantly superior to the traditional landmark-based technique in a small group of volunteers, with an overall success rate of about 70%. Ultrasound-guided sural nerve block at the ankle using the lesser saphenous vein as a major landmark has been described.

**Trunk blocks**

Ilioinguinal/iiohypogastric blocks are described in children and adults. The initial description of ultrasound-guided ilioinguinal–iliohypogastric blocks was a randomized and comparative study in 100 children and showed a success rate of 96% in the ultrasound group when compared with a 74% success rate in the ‘fascial
click’ group. The ultrasound-guided technique is easy to perform with an in-plane or out-of-plane technique. Identification of the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves is usually simple at the level of the anterior superior iliac spine between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles (Fig. 9). In a subsequent study using a volume reduction protocol, the same authors determined a local anesthetic volume of $0.075 \, \text{ml} \, \text{kg}^{-1}$ as being sufficient. The ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves can be blocked selectively.23

The use of a TAP block is growing rapidly. It has been shown that the technique provides effective analgesia after a wide range of abdominal operations.12 49 50 59 The concept behind this approach is to inject local anesthetic solution into the plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles to block intercostal nerves T7–T12, the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves, and the lateral cutaneous branches of the L1–3 dorsal rami. Initially, a blind ‘two-pop’ loss of resistance technique was described for the injection of local anesthetic into the abdominal wall at the lumbar triangle—the Triangle of Petit.50 A case report of liver trauma showed the potential dangers of blind injections into the abdominal wall.58

The use of ultrasound-guided TAP blocks in patients undergoing laparoscopic gynaecological surgery has been reported.70 A subcostal oblique ultrasound-guided TAP block with a needle insertion point near the xyploid process32 and ultrasound-guided TAP blocks above the iliac crest86 have been described.

Ultrasound imaging of the abdominal wall in the mid-axillary line reveals three muscular layers: the external oblique, the internal oblique, and the transversus abdominis muscles (Fig. 10). The needle is guided by an ‘in-plane’ technique and passes into the plane between the latter two muscles, allowing local anesthetic solution to be injected into the TAP. A cadaver study showed that ultrasound-guided dye injection above the iliac crest mainly involves the T10–L1 dermatomes,79 and a multiple injection technique to extend local anesthetic spread has been proposed.6

Recently, several authors have been able to demonstrate the analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided TAP blocks in a number of different clinical settings.7 24 57 To provide analgesia with a TAP block, total volumes of local anesthetic of 30–40 ml have to be injected. High plasma concentrations have been measured 15 min after TAP block in 12 patients.39 This raises concerns about the possibility of systemic toxicity and a possible systemic analgesic effect of the injected local anesthetic resulting from the high plasma levels.

TAP block represents an interesting and effective regional anesthetic technique for the provision of pain relief after abdominal surgery. Future studies should define its risks and benefits, and compare them with epidural techniques, which can be considered the ‘gold standard’ for the provision of abdominal analgesia.

**Neuraxial techniques**

The use of ultrasound-guided neuraxial techniques in adults is controversial. The results of the study46 which observed a decreased ultrasound visibility of neuraxial anatomical structures with increasing weight and age in children can be translated and extended to adults, in whom high-quality images of neuraxial structures are hard to achieve. It is a harsh anatomical fact that bones interfere with ultrasound, resulting in greatly impaired visibility of relevant neuraxial anatomical structures.

An in-plane technique for epidural injection in 15 adults has been described88 using a technique similar to that described in children,88 90 and the authors were able to identify the epidural space in 14 of 15 cases. Anterior displacement of the posterior dura and the spread of local
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**Fig 9** Transverse ultrasound scan of the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves (IIN and IHN) medial to the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS). IOAM, internal oblique abdominal muscle; TAM, transversus abdominis muscle. Only the aponeurosis of the external oblique abdominal muscle above the IOAM is visible in this scan at that level.

**Fig 10** The lateral abdominal wall with the external oblique (EOAM), internal oblique (IOAM), and transversus abdominis muscles (TAM). The local anesthetic needs to be injected between the inner two muscle layers.
the paravertebral space (Fig. 11). In an early study, ultrasound-guided epidural injection or catheter placement in adults. Imaging of the epidural space in adults is at the limits of current technology and routine adoption of ultrasound-guided techniques must await further technical developments.

Paravertebral blocks are much more promising for ultrasound-guided techniques because of better visualization with ultrasound. The paravertebral space is located lateral to the vertebral column and appears as a wedge-shaped space. The anterolateral boundary is formed by the parietal pleura, and the medial boundary is formed by the posterolateral aspect of the vertebral body, the intervertebral disc, the intervertebral foramen and its contents, and the superior costotransverse ligament, which extends from the lower border of the transverse process above to the upper border of the transverse process below, forming the posterior wall of the paravertebral space. The apex of the paravertebral space is continuous with the intercostal space lateral to the tips of the transverse processes. The endothoracic fascia, which is interposed between the parietal pleura and the superior costotransverse ligament, divides the paravertebral space into two compartments: the anterior extrapleural and the posterior subendothoracic paravertebral compartments. The thoracic paravertebral space contains the intercostal spinal nerves, the dorsal rami, the rami communicantes, the sympathetic chain, intercostal vessels, and fatty tissue. The intercostal nerves and vessels are located behind the endothoracic fascia, whereas the sympathetic trunk is located anterior to it in the paravertebral space (Fig. 11). In an early study, ultrasound was used to identify the pleura lateral to the transverse process at the T4 level to confirm the maximum depth of needle insertion. Subsequently, a different technique was suggested, but the authors were not able to identify the position of the needle. Recently, the same authors have proposed ultrasound-guided paravertebral blocks for breast surgery.

More detailed information from a human cadaver study describes the relevant ultrasound anatomy. Reliable identification of the superior costotransverse ligament and the paravertebral space was possible in 10 cadavers, resulting in the accurate administration of fluid. Catheter placement is associated with a high failure rate, with the tip of the catheter often found in the epidural, mediastinal, or pleural space. Once the paravertebral space is correctly identified lateral to the transverse process and below the superior costotransverse ligament, the needle can be introduced with an out-of-plane needle guidance technique. Depending upon the indication for the block, a puncture at one or two levels can be performed.

**Summary**

Ultrasound-guided regional anaesthetic techniques are now fully integrated into the everyday clinical practice of a large number of anaesthetists. Technological advances in the design of ultrasound equipment have allowed clear visualization of the majority of nerves. Notwithstanding this substantial growth in interest and in clinical practice, large outcome studies are still lacking and should be the focus of efforts in the next few years. However, our experience of 15 yr of the regular and routine use of ultrasound-guided blocks has shown that these techniques are both highly effective and safe. We can only hope that the increased interest in ultrasound will produce an increasing number of high-quality studies that will further develop both the science and art of regional anaesthesia.
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