Analysing a family-centred preoperative intervention programme: a dismantling approach
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Background. The goal of this project was to identify key effective components of ADVANCE, a family-centred preoperative intervention programme, through the use of a dismantling approach. ADVANCE was previously demonstrated to be more effective than parental presence and just as effective as midazolam in reducing children’s preoperative anxiety. The total programme, however, may be difficult to implement in hospitals across the country.

Methods. Subjects in this follow-up dismantling report were 96 children aged 2–10 who were part of the original study and who underwent anaesthesia and surgery. Baseline characteristics, parental adherence to the components of ADVANCE, and child and parent anxiety were assessed.

Results. We found that greater parental adherence to the ADVANCE intervention was associated with lower child anxiety before surgery. The two components of ADVANCE that emerged as having a significant impact on children’s anxiety were practising with the anaesthesia mask at home and parental planning and use of distraction in the preoperative holding area. In fact, not only did children experience significantly less preoperative anxiety when their parents were adherent to mask practise and use of distraction, their anxiety tended to remain stable and relatively low throughout the preoperative period.

Conclusions. Shaping and exposure (i.e. practise with the anaesthesia mask) and parental use of distraction in the surgical setting are two beneficial components that could be included in preoperative preparation programmes that will be designed in the future.
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Editor’s key points

• A family-centred preoperative programme was previously found to reduce anxiety in children.
• In this study, individual components of the programme were evaluated.
• Prior exposure to the anaesthesia mask and parental use of distraction were critical in reducing anxiety.
• The study provides important information on how to involve parents constructively when their children undergo surgery.
mask, and the use of distraction on the day of surgery, the total programme may be difficult to implement in hospitals in general. We sought to identify key components of this intervention through the use of a dismantling approach. That is, we examined each of the specific components of the intervention separately through statistical analysis in families that received ADVANCE before surgery to determine the components of ADVANCE that were most strongly related to decreased preoperative anxiety in children. We used the construct of adherence to the intervention by families to determine the relationship between the ADVANCE components and children’s preoperative distress. We hypothesized that greater parental adherence to the intervention as a whole would result in significantly lower preoperative anxiety scores in children. In addition, based on prior research specifically focused on parental use of distraction to reduce children’s anxiety and distress in the medical setting7–9 and our randomized controlled trial illustrating that exposure and shaping with the anaesthesia mask before surgery reduces children’s anxiety at anaesthesia induction,10 we anticipated that parental adherence to mask practise before surgery and use of distraction on the day of surgery would be associated with lower levels of children’s preoperative anxiety.

Methods

Participants in this report were part of a larger randomized controlled trial examining the efficacy of the ADVANCE intervention compared with the standard of care, parental presence at induction of anaesthesia, and oral midazolam.6 Subjects included parents and children (aged 2–10 yr) who were ASA I or II and undergoing outpatient, elective surgery. To standardize the study sample, exclusion criteria included: prematurity (born before 36 weeks) or diagnosed developmental delay. Families were recruited between 2 and 7 days before the child’s scheduled surgery during the standard preoperative visit, which included a 20 min programme involving an orientation tour of the operating theatres (OTs) and interviews with a nurse, anaesthesiologist, and child-life specialist. The Institutional Review Board approved the study and all views with a nurse, anaesthesiologist, and child-life specialist. The Institutional Review Board approved the study and all parents provided written informed consent and children aged 7 and older provided written assent. In this current dismantling report, we include data only from subjects who were randomly assigned to the ADVANCE group in the original study (n=96). Results presented in the current paper have not previously been reported.

Measures

Baseline characteristics

Child temperament: This construct was assessed by parent-report using the EASI Temperament Survey. The EASI includes 20 items in four behavioural categories: Emotionality, Activity, Sociability, and Impulsivity.11 12 Reliability and validity of the EASI is acceptable.11 12 Parental anxiety: Parents completed ratings of their own state (situational) and trait (baseline) anxiety using the State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI).13 The STAI is a widely used measure of anxiety and is well validated and has good reliability.13

Predictor variables: adherence to the components of ADVANCE

Adherence to the components of the ADVANCE intervention was assessed throughout the study6 by trained research assistants using the scale described below:

(i) Video I: whether mother, father, and/or other caregiver watched the ADVANCE video (1, yes; 0, no);
(ii) Video II: how many times each individual watched the video (range 0–5);
(iii) Pamphlet: whether mother, father, and/or other caregiver read the ADVANCE pamphlet (1, yes; 0, no);
(iv) Mask practise: whether practise with the anaesthesia mask was completed with the child at home (2, yes; 1, partial practise; 0, no practise; for the purposes of the dismantling analyses, only scores of 2 were considered adherent, 0 and 1 were coded as non-adherent);
(v) Use of distraction in holding area: whether parent(s) used the two previously identified distraction techniques on the day of surgery in the preoperative holding area (2, both planned distractions used; 1, only one of the two planned distractions were used; 0, no distraction was used; for the purposes of the dismantling analyses, only scores of 2 were considered adherent, 0 and 1 were coded as non-adherent);
(vi) Use of distraction in the OT: whether parents used the identified distraction techniques on the day of surgery in the OT (2, both planned distractions used; 1, only one of the two planned distractions were used; 0, no distraction was used; for the purposes of the dismantling analyses, only scores of 2 were considered adherent, 0 and 1 were coded as non-adherent).

Total adherence score: This was calculated by summing each of the individual adherence values for each family member. The potential score range is from 0 to 18.

Outcome variables: children’s preoperative anxiety

The primary outcome variable used to examine the efficacy of the various ADVANCE components was children’s preoperative anxiety, assessed using the modified Yale Preoperative Anxiety Scale (mYPAS), a well-validated observational measure of children’s distress using five behavioural categories (activity, emotional expressivity, state of arousal, vocalization, and use of parents).14–16 The mYPAS has been demonstrated to have good to excellent reliability and validity for assessment of children’s anxiety in the preoperative holding area and at induction of anaesthesia.15 16 Here, the mYPAS was administered by trained research assistants (who established reliability levels of at least $k=0.80$) at four time points: in the preoperative holding area, on the
walk to the OT, at entrance to the OT, and introduction of the anaesthesia mask to the child.

**Study protocol**

Children and families received the ADVANCE intervention instruction and preparation materials, which consisted of a video, three pamphlets, and a mask practise kit on the day of their preoperative visit to the hospital. Parents were instructed to watch the video and read the pamphlets at least twice before the child’s surgery. On days 1 and 2 immediately before the child’s scheduled surgery, parents received telephone coaching by the researcher to assess parental adherence to the ADVANCE protocol and address any questions. On the day before surgery, the researcher also assessed the parents’ specific plans for distraction on the day of surgery during the telephone coaching call.

On the day of surgery, patient characteristic data were collected upon arrival to the hospital. As needed, parents were prompted to use planned distraction strategies in both the holding and OT areas. Children were also provided with a bag of distracting toys to play with during the preoperative period. See a full description of the ADVANCE intervention and protocol in the previously published manuscript. A standardized anaesthesia protocol was followed, which included introduction of anaesthesia using oxygen/nitrous oxide and sevoflurane administered through a scented mask. Parents were asked to leave the OT once the child exhibited loss of lid reflex.

**Statistical analysis**

The specific hypotheses and related statistical analyses were as follows: (i) Pearson product moment correlations were conducted to determine whether overall adherence (sum of all adherence items) was significantly associated with lower anxiety (mYPAS) at the four assessment points; (ii) Pearson product moment correlations were conducted between total adherence scores and patient characteristic variables (mother/father age, child age, child gender, parental education, and parental anxiety) to determine which factors may have related to increased adherence to each of the components of the intervention; (iii) children were grouped by high (upper 25%) and low (lower 25%) parental adherence and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to determine whether high adherence led to significant decreases in child anxiety (mYPAS); (iv) each individual adherence component was used as a grouping variable at the four assessment points during the preoperative procedure in a one-way ANOVA to determine which components of the intervention had significant impacts on child anxiety (mYPAS); and (v) those components that were shown to be related to child preoperative anxiety were entered into a repeated-measures ANOVA to examine the pattern of anxiety across phases of the preoperative procedure for those who were adherent vs non-adherent to those components. Statistical significance was accepted at $P<0.05$.

**Results**

**Overall adherence and children’s preoperative anxiety**

Patient characteristic and baseline data are presented in Table 1. Overall parental adherence was higher for parents of female children ($r=0.23, P=0.03$) and parents with higher education ($r=0.24, P=0.02$). No significant relationships emerged between adherence and age, child temperament, or parental anxiety. Overall adherence scores ranged from 0 to 17 [9.8 (3.0)]. Parental adherence to ADVANCE was significantly and negatively associated with child anxiety at introduction of the anaesthesia mask ($r=−0.29, P<0.01$), which has been shown to be the highest stress point in the preoperative period for children. To further validate the relationship of adherence to ADVANCE and anxiety, ANOVA indicated that children in the high parental adherence group had significantly lower mYPAS scores at mask introduction compared with children in the low adherence group [37.5 (17.8) vs 52.8 (25.7), $P=0.01$].

**ADVANCE dismantling analyses**

In order to determine the components of ADVANCE that had a significant impact on child preoperative anxiety, each

### Table 1 Patient characteristic data and baseline characteristics of children and parents. Data are presented as means with 95% confidence intervals for continuous data and percentages for categorical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child data</th>
<th>Age (yr) (M, 95% CI)</th>
<th>Gender (% male)</th>
<th>Ethnicity (%)</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Asian American</th>
<th>Hispanic/Latino</th>
<th>‘Other’</th>
<th>Previous surgeries (% yes)</th>
<th>Baseline temperament (EASI; M, 95% CI)</th>
<th>Emotionality</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Sociability</th>
<th>Impulsivity</th>
<th>Parent data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5 (5.1–6.0)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.8 (10.1–11.6)</td>
<td>15.4 (14.6–16.3)</td>
<td>18.2 (17.6–18.8)</td>
<td>12.9 (12.1–13.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethnicity (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parent data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>African American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Other’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Previous surgeries (% yes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline temperament (EASI; M, 95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emotionality</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Sociability</td>
<td>Impulsivity</td>
<td>Parent data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emotionality</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.8 (10.1–11.6)</td>
<td>15.4 (14.6–16.3)</td>
<td>18.2 (17.6–18.8)</td>
<td>12.9 (12.1–13.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.4 (14.6–16.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sociability</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.2 (17.6–18.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impulsivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.9 (12.1–13.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parent data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age (yr) (M, 95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gender (% male)</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethnicity (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>African American</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Other’</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Previous surgeries (% yes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline temperament (EASI; M, 95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emotionality</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sociability</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impulsivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parent data</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.7 (31.5–40.0)</td>
<td>39.7 (36.2–43.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.5–16.5)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1 continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait anxiety</th>
<th>State anxiety—preoperative holding area</th>
<th>State anxiety—walk to the OT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34.2 (32.9–35.7)</td>
<td>39.9 (38.0–41.7)</td>
<td>44.4 (42.1–46.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A separate component was entered into an ANOVA to examine the effect on anxiety at the different assessment points. For all of the analyses, no significant effects were found for child anxiety at walk to the OT or entrance to the OT; therefore, only anxiety at holding and introduction of the anaesthesia mask are presented (Table 2). Two ADVANCE components were significantly associated with child anxiety: practice with the anaesthesia mask at home and parental use of distraction in holding. Both components also demonstrated medium effect sizes (Table 2). The dismantling analyses illustrated that parents who were adherent to the use of two or more planned distractions in the holding area had children who were less anxious than and during mask anaesthesia induction. Also, parents who engaged in mask practice with their child at home had children with significantly lower anxiety at mask introduction.

### Relationship between adherence and change in preoperative anxiety over time

To determine the relationship between anxiety over time as a function of adherence, repeated-measures ANOVA was conducted for both use of distraction in holding and mask practice. Regarding parental adherence to use of distraction in holding, repeated-measures ANOVA revealed a significant interaction between phase of the preoperative procedure and distraction group $F_{3,189}=3.03$, $P=0.03$. In addition, there was a significant between-group effect $F_{1,63}=6.09$, $P=0.02$. Specifically, analyses indicated that anxiety scores for the high adherence to the use of distraction group were stable over time $F_{3,69}=0.20$, $P=0.90$, but increased significantly at induction for the no distraction group $F_{3,120}=6.82$, $P<0.01$ (Fig. 1).

For mask practice, ANOVA results revealed a significant interaction between phase of the preoperative procedure and adherence to mask practice, $F_{3,183}=3.36$, $P=0.02$. In addition, there was a significant between-group effect $F_{1,61}=315.73$, $P<0.01$. Specifically, analyses indicated that the anxiety scores for children in the adherent to mask practice at home group were relatively stable over time (increasing only at induction $F_{3,168}=3.99$, $P<0.01$) but increased significantly from walk to OT to OT entry ($P=0.05$) and from OT entry to mask introduction for the no mask practice group $F_{3,15}=3.18$, $P=0.05$ (Fig. 2).

### Discussion

In this follow-up dismantling report, we found that greater parental adherence to components of the ADVANCE family-centred preparation programme was associated with lower child anxiety before surgery. Through dismantling the multi-component intervention and examining each specific component independently, we determined that practising with
the anaesthesia mask at home and parental planning and use of distraction in the holding area were the two components that emerged as having a significant impact on children's anxiety. However, not only did children experience significantly less preoperative anxiety when their parents were adherent to mask practise and use of distraction, their anxiety tended to remain stable and relatively low throughout the preoperative period. In contrast, those children whose parents were not adherent to these intervention components experienced escalating anxiety as they progressed from holding to introduction of the mask.

Considering the detrimental effects of preoperative anxiety on children's clinical and behavioural recovery from surgery, identification of critical components of interventions to reduce preoperative distress is needed. This is particularly important because children are seldom provided with comprehensive preoperative preparation in the hospital setting. In fact, recent research by our group illustrated that on the day of surgery, anaesthesiologists and nurses spent just minutes with families before surgery. Also, although presurgical sedatives, such as midazolam, are effective interventions for preoperative distress, administration is not always possible, given the timing in onset of action in the context of a busy surgical setting. Alternatively, our group developed and examined the efficacy of a comprehensive behavioural, family-centred preparation programme (ADVANCE) that was shown to be as effective as midazolam in reducing children's preoperative anxiety. Although this multi-component intervention was effective, parental use of distraction in the preoperative holding area and practise with the anaesthesia mask at home emerged as two components of the programme that were associated with lower child anxiety. Distraction has consistently been shown to be an effective intervention in managing children's anxiety in the medical setting. Similarly, mask practise at home before exposure to anaesthesia induction combines the behavioural training features of exposure to the potentially feared stimulus and shaping of the children's adaptive responses when presented with the mask in the OT before induction. These features of the ADVANCE programme seem crucial to its efficacy, and should be strongly emphasized during training.

Although this report supports the necessity of distraction and practise with the mask at home, it does not specifically address the sufficiency of those components when used alone for reducing children's preoperative anxiety. These components were presented within the context of a family-based intervention package. Additional research should address which of the other intervention components can be eliminated in an effort to streamline the intervention without detrimental effects. We also note that while most parent and child characteristic and psychological factors, such as child temperament and parent anxiety, were not associated with adherence to the treatment programme, child gender and parental education were two factors that did demonstrate such a relationship. Therefore, it may be prudent to determine whether aspects of these patient characteristic variables impacted adherence. For example, perhaps level of education was a barrier to optimally implementing the intervention and therefore may require modifications to the intervention itself.

The process of development, testing, and refinement of interventions that decrease children's preoperative anxiety includes multiple stages. Dismantling reports like this one in which necessary components are identified is a valuable step that helps inform the development of streamlined and therefore more easily disseminated interventions. This intervention refinement process is essential for the production of cost-effective interventions for reducing anxiety and anxiety-related post-surgical complications in children undergoing surgery.

This dismantling analysis is an important step in that process and highlights shaping and exposure (i.e. practise with the anaesthesia mask) and parental use of distraction in the surgical setting as two beneficial components to any preoperative preparation programme that will be designed in the future. When these particular intervention components are implemented, children are not only taken into the OT in a significantly calmer state, their anxiety remains relatively stable throughout the preoperative process. Given the strong relationship between preoperative anxiety and postoperative recovery, effective means of preventing high anxiety in the surgical setting are needed.
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