Force and pressure distribution using Macintosh and GlideScope laryngoscopes in normal and difficult airways: a manikin study

M. Carassiti1*, R. Zanzonico1, S. Cecchini2, S. Silvestri2, R. Cataldo1 and F. E. Agrò1

1 Department of Anaesthesia and 2 Research Laboratory of Measurement and Biomedical Instrumentation, University Campus Bio-Medico, Via Alvaro del Portillo, 200, 00128 Rome, Italy

* Corresponding author. E-mail: m.carassiti@unicampus.it

Editor’s key points

- The GlideScope laryngoscope blade was compared with the Macintosh for the force required to achieve successful intubation.
- The force, and pressure on the soft tissues, was significantly less with the GlideScope.
- Importantly, the success rate for intubations in difficult scenarios was also higher with the use of the GlideScope.
- This manikin study favours the use of the GlideScope to minimize soft tissue trauma and improve intubation success rate.

Background. The forces applied to the soft tissues of the upper airway may have a deleterious effect. This study was designed to evaluate the performance of the GlideScope compared with the Macintosh laryngoscope.

Methods. Twenty anaesthetists and 20 trainees attempted tracheal intubation of a Laerdal SimMan manikin. Forces and pressure distribution applied by both laryngoscope blades onto the soft upper airway tissues were measured using film pressure transducers. The minimal force needed to achieve a successful intubation, in the same simulated scenario, was measured; additionally, we considered the visualization score achieved by using the Cormack–Lehane grades.

Results. All participants applied, on average, lower force with the GlideScope than with the Macintosh in each simulated scenario. Forces [mean (SD)] applied in the normal airway scenario [anaesthetists: Macintosh 39 (22) N and GlideScope 27 (15) N; trainees: Macintosh 45 (24) N and GlideScope 21 (15) N] were lower than forces applied in the difficult airway scenario [anaesthetists: Macintosh 95 (22) N and GlideScope 66 (20) N; trainees: Macintosh 100 (38) N and GlideScope 48 (16) N]. All the intubations using the GlideScope were successful, regardless of the scenario and previous intubation experience. The average pressure on the blades was 0.13 MPa for the Macintosh and 0.07 MPa for the GlideScope, showing a higher uniformity for the latter.

Conclusions. The GlideScope allowed the participants to obtain a successful intubation applying a lower force. A flatter and more uniform pressure distribution, a higher successful rate, and a better glottic view were observed with the GlideScope.
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blade: while the Macintosh blade has a slight curvature, by which a correct alignment of the three anatomical axes is performed through the application of a high force, the GlideScope blade does not require a big effort, as the pronounced curvature angle allows to direct almost immediately the point of view towards the glottis.

Since the ease of obtaining a good view with the use of GlideScope is demonstrated, the aim of this study is to compare the performances of the two devices when considering, as an objective metric, the minimum effort made by the operator in the attempt to obtain a successful intubation, and the visualization score achieved at this condition, also considering the level of experience of the operator. Although a number of studies have already demonstrated that the applied force is a useful parameter to compare different blades in direct laryngoscopy, to our knowledge, this is the first study quantifying applied forces with the use of GlideScope.

A considerable scientific effort towards the measurement of force during laryngoscopy for different purposes confirms that the proposed approach could play an important role in the evaluation of laryngoscope performances.

**Methods**

Forty physicians were recruited to participate in this study. These included 20 consultants (‘anaesthetist’ group) and 20 residents (‘trainee’ group). The anaesthetists had at least 3 yr of experience, and the trainees had at least 1 yr of experience in anaesthesia.

The Macintosh laryngoscope, size 3, and GlideScope videolaryngoscope, middle size, were used in this study.

The participants carried out laryngoscopy on a manikin (SimMan—Laerdal Airway Management Trainer) using both Macintosh and GlideScope laryngoscopes in a randomized sequence. The airway scenarios were reproduced through the SimMan with the use of its dedicated pneumatic unit: normal airway, tongue oedema, and cervical immobilization.

The minimal pressure and forces, applied by the participants to the soft tissues of the manikin’s upper airways in order to perform a successful intubation, were measured. A failed intubation was defined either as an attempt lasting more than 120 s or as an attempt in which the operator did not intubate even applying the highest force he could: these trials were recorded in order to calculate the rate of success.

During each intubation, the glottis view was scored using the Cormack–Lehane grades: CL 1, CL 2, CL 3, and CL 4.

A pressure film transducer (LLLW Prescale Pressure Film, Fuji, full scale 0.6 MPa, accuracy 10%) was used to measure the pressure distribution exerted on the blade by the tissues.

The transducer was applied on the tip of the blade in a rectangular region of 240 mm² (30×8 mm) (Fig. 1).

The transducer was composed of two layers. One contained microcapsules full of a colouring fluid substance; the other one was the fixing layer. When the microcapsules broke, the films underwent a colour change proportional to the applied pressure.

After every single intubation, the impressed layer was scanned and processed in order to transform the red optical densities into 256 greyscaled levels. An experimental relation converting the greyscale levels into optical densities was obtained by the data provided by the manufacturer. The images were elaborated by a LabView-based program, which generated a matrix with the mean greyscale level (red optical density) in every square millimetre of the image. Using the graduation curve of the sensor, it was possible to obtain the pressure intensity with a spatial resolution of 1 mm². This acquisition and processing chain was first calibrated using coloured samples, provided by the sensor manufacturer, to which known pressure values were associated. The intensity of the
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**Fig 1** Positioning of the film transducers on the tips of the laryngoscope blades.
resultant force was then calculated by adding the contributions in pressure on each square millimetre of the blade.

Statistical analysis

In order to quantify the dispersion of the measures, all the results are reported as mean (SD).

A two-tailed t-test was used to assess the dependency of the force applied on the soft tissue on (i) the kind of laryngoscope used during the intubation procedure and (ii) the operator’s level of experience. The test was performed for every airway scenario.

The same test was used to determine whether a significant difference existed between the two devices in the force exerted by the operator at the same Cormack–Lehane score and scenario.

Since multiple scenarios were investigated, repeated-measurements analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to look for a correlation between the applied force and the difficulty of the airway scenario: normal airway, cervical immobilization, and tongue oedema.

A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The mean (SD) values of the minimal forces, measured during successful intubations, are shown in Table 1, for every scenario and for both levels of experience. The success rate, representing the number of intubation attempts followed by the tracheal tube positioning above the total, is also reported.

In the anaesthetist group, in the normal airway scenario (Normal), there was not a statistically significant difference between the forces applied with the GlideScope and the Macintosh. In the difficult airway scenarios, both cervical immobilization (C) and tongue oedema (T), the applied force was lower with the GlideScope (P<0.05).

In the trainee group, in all scenarios considered, the applied force was lower with the GlideScope (P<0.05).

Table 1 Successful rate (%) and forces (N) [mean (SD)] applied by anaesthetists and trainees using either Macintosh (M) or GlideScope (G) in three different scenarios: normal airways (normal), cervical immobilization (C), and tongue oedema (T)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaesthetists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>58 (22) N</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>37 (15) N</td>
<td>66 (20) N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>53 (16) N</td>
<td>100 (38) N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>23 (14) N</td>
<td>48 (16) N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Considering the Macintosh laryngoscope, the experience of the operator did not affect the minimal force exerted to succeed in the intubation, while trainees exerted lower force than anaesthetists in the tongue oedema and cervical immobilization scenario when the GlideScope was used (P<0.05).

With both the Macintosh and the GlideScope, we measured greater applied force with the difficult airways compared with the normal airway (ANOVA: P<0.05 in each case).

The intubation success rate was inversely related to the difficulty of the airway scenario. With the Macintosh laryngoscope, in the normal airway scenario, all participants obtained a successful tracheal intubation, in the cervical immobilization scenario, 90% of anaesthetists and 85% of trainees could achieve the same result, and in the tongue oedema scenario, only 5% of anaesthetists and 5% of trainees could intubate successfully.

All intubations using the GlideScope were successful, regardless of the scenario and previous intubation experience.

The pressure distribution averaging all participants’ data for the Macintosh and GlideScope blades are shown in Figure 2.

The mean pressure on the blades was 0.13 MPa for the Macintosh and 0.07 MPa for the GlideScope.

In Table 2, the intubations are classified according to the achieved Cormack–Lehane score (CL): the aim is to compare the forces applied with both the devices during intubations, at which equal glottis views were scored.

A lower force was exerted with the GlideScope, considering the same glottis view (CL 1 and CL 2) in all scenarios (P<0.05).

The dashes in Table 2 indicate that no participant was able to achieve a CL 1 with the minimum effort using the Macintosh laryngoscope in the simulated tongue oedema scenario. On the other hand, 12 operators achieved the CL 1 using the GlideScope in the same scenario.

The participants are reported in Table 3 for the visualization score and the device used.

Discussion

Our results show that less effort is needed, less force is applied to the upper airway (Table 1), and flatter and more homogeneous pressure distribution is produced upon the blade (Fig. 2) when a GlideScope is used. Even when the intubation is associated with an equivalent Cormack–Lehane, the minimal applied force required to intubate successfully is lower with the use of the GlideScope for all scenarios considered (Table 2). Moreover, our findings show that with the GlideScope, it is possible to increase the successful intubation rate achieving a better glottic view (Tables 1 and 3), in agreement with the findings by Ahmed-Nusrath, who demonstrated that video-assisted
Laryngoscopes seem beneficial when considering applied force as an objective metric of intubation difficulty (in that case, the applied force on maxillary incisors was considered). According to our findings, the force applied by the Anaesthetist group in the normal airway scenario using the Macintosh laryngoscope was 39 (22) N. This is comparable with the 32 (6) N reported under the same conditions (anaesthetists intubating a manikin with a Macintosh blade) in our previous study. Other surveys present similar results, that is, 38 (2), 33 (13), and 33 (16) N [mean (SD)], respectively, by Hastings and colleagues in vivo, and Evans and colleagues, and Rassam and colleagues on a manikin. Considering the pressure distribution, the Macintosh laryngoscope concentrates the majority of the force on the distal part of the tip, as showed in our previous study. Regarding the successful rate, 100%, 97%, and 9% in the normal airway, cervical immobilization, and tongue oedema scenario, respectively, were previously observed when a Macintosh laryngoscope

**Table 2** Forces [mean (SD)] in the three different scenarios divided considering the glottis view (CL 1 and CL 2): normal airways, cervical immobilization (C), and tongue oedema (T). The P-value indicates the result of a t-test between the two devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CL 1</th>
<th>CL 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normal</strong></td>
<td>Macintosh 44 (25) N</td>
<td>Macintosh 42 (23) N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GlideScope 25 (15) N</td>
<td>GlideScope 16 (8) N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P-value</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cervical immobilization</strong></td>
<td>Macintosh 47 (10) N</td>
<td>Macintosh 61 (22) N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GlideScope 22 (15) N</td>
<td>GlideScope 30 (15) N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P-value</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tongue oedema</strong></td>
<td>Macintosh 48 (15) N</td>
<td>Macintosh 124 (6) N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GlideScope 43 (33) N</td>
<td>GlideScope 43 (33) N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P-value</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Number of participants considering the visualization score and the device used for the intubation: Macintosh (M) and GlideScope (G)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CL score</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normal airway</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cervical immobilization</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tongue oedema</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was used. In the same study, the overall successful rates with the GlideScope were 100%, 100%, and 89% in the normal airway, cervical immobilization, and tongue oedema scenario, respectively; these results are in line with our outcomes.

In a previous study, it was claimed that the haemodynamic response to intubation might be less with indirect laryngoscopy because of less compression of the soft tissues. Our findings further support this statement: we suppose that a lower contact pressure between the soft upper tissues and the laryngoscope blade could also decrease the chance of pharyngeal trauma. Moreover, it is reasonable to assume that a lower applied force allows an easier manoeuvre for operators, in accordance with findings of Malik and colleagues, since the procedure requires a lower effort by the operator.

Our method follows a different approach from other studies. Buxc and colleagues used a strain gauge-based sensor positioned between the handle and the blade; McCoy and colleagues re-designed a laryngoscope handle incorporating a force-displacement transducer; Evans and colleagues used a dynamometer; and Hastings and colleagues added a metal structure to the handle incorporating two force transducers. The reproducibility of such methods could be limited and the structure of the laryngoscope is somewhat modified in shape and weight, altering the intubation technique. Moreover, these devices could be difficult to realize and the measurements difficult to interpret. The study proposed by Santoni and colleagues is the first utilizing piezoelectric sensors to measure the applied pressure on six different points of the laryngoscope blade. However, here we increase the resolution of the pressure measurement throughout the surface of the blade by applying pressure sensitive films.

The new-proposed method in this study takes into account two important issues: first, it allowed the procedure to be as spontaneous and natural as possible, without any obstacle to the introductory movement or modification of the device; and second, the measurement set-up was minimally invasive and also easily reproducible in vivo for future purposes.

A limitation must be considered when considering the outcomes of this study. The pressure measurement has been performed on the distal part of the laryngoscope’s blade (30 mm from the tip), neglecting the contributions from the area more proximal to the handle with the hypothesis that the contact with the tongue represented a small percentage of the total. This hypothesis seems to have a valid foundation as our results about forces are in line with findings of previous studies (as regards the Macintosh laryngoscope). However, as this approximation has been used for both laryngoscopes, considering only the lifting of the glottis would not affect the findings of this survey. Moreover, a manikin study and further studies in vivo are needed to strengthen our outcomes.

In conclusion, compared with the Macintosh laryngoscope, lower forces are applied when the GlideScope is used to achieve tracheal intubation, which may reduce the incidence of side-effects of laryngoscopy, especially in situations of difficult visualization.
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