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**In vivo microdialysis in pharmacological studies of antibacterial agents in the brain**

N. Notkina, C. Dahyot-Fizelier and A. K. Gupta


There was an omission in the affiliation of one of the co-authors in this article. Claire Dahyot-Fizelier’s full affiliation is:

Service d’Anesthésie et Réanimation Chirurgicale, Inserm U-1070, CHU de Poitiers, Poitiers, France.

The authors apologize for not noticing this omission in the proofs.

**IgE-mediated allergy to local anaesthetics: separating fact from perception: a UK perspective**

M. V. Bhole, A. L. Manson, S. L. Seneviratne and S. A. Misbah

(Br J Anaesth 2012; 108: 903–11; doi:10.1093/bja/aes162)

Subsequent to the publication of this manuscript, it has been drawn to the attention of the authors that one of the large studies quoted (Reference 54: Wildsmith JA, Mason A, McKinnon RP, Rae SM. Alleged allergy to local anaesthetic drugs. Br Dent J 1998; 184: 507–10) had a fuller account of the LA agent and tests used detailed in the ‘Methods’ section of the paper. All 25 patients had intra-dermal testing and none had skin prick testing (Table 1). This does not alter the calculations of the overall reported incidence of LA allergy as the one positive case in this series (1/25) was correctly accounted for and included from the available abstract.

The amended details for Table 2 are included below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>LA agent used for tests</th>
<th>Patients with positive skin tests</th>
<th>LA agent tested positive</th>
<th>Additional comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wildsmith and colleagues</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 Amide 25 Ester</td>
<td>1 Amide 1 Ester</td>
<td>0 Amide 0 Ester</td>
<td>One true allergy to the amide group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The authors apologize for any misunderstanding this may have caused.