Letters to the Editor

ensure that sufferers and carers can access information, support and treatment as soon as they need it, but the excessive emphasis on early detection distorts the intended message.

Old age psychiatrists are very keen to support people with dementia at every stage of their disease and we certainly want to improve the quality of life of all dementia sufferers. However, we do not want to attract patients to our memory clinics with the false hope that an early detection will arrest the progression of their disease. And this is not just a semantic issue; it can also be argued that an excessive focus on early diagnosis will ‘pathologise’ unnecessarily substantial amounts of elderly individuals with mild cognitive deficits, whose disorder may not necessarily progress to dementia and whose net well-being may perhaps be better preserved by staying away from officious psychiatrists in over-inflated memory clinics.
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Depression and hospital outcome

SIR—The finding of Cullum et al. [1] that depression is associated with increased likelihood of transfer to community hospitals is interesting, but incomplete. For both clinical significance and generalisability (for instance, of services without access to community hospitals), we really need to know the outcome of the whole illness and its hospital treatment. It is likely that a significant proportion of those transferred to community hospitals would have been discharged to institutional care. Have the authors obtained (or, in these over-regulated days, would they be permitted to obtain) data on the destination of discharge of those patients, depressed and otherwise, who were transferred to community hospitals?
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Reply

SIR—Our correspondent has a reasonable point. Unfortunately, we did not have an access to information regarding final discharge destination for those individuals transferred to community hospitals. Furthermore, unless a very high proportion were discharged from community hospitals to care homes, we would still have very small numbers to look at for the association between the depression score and (eventual) discharge to care homes. However, this is an important outcome to investigate as research evidence suggests that depression is under-identified and under-treated, which may result in unnecessary placement of individuals in residential care.
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Acopia—unable to cope or to copy?

SIR—The term ‘acopia’ is one that appears to have been present in medical parlance for some time now. As mentioned by Kee and Rippingale in their recent article, it is a pejorative term describing the older patient who is ‘unable to cope’ [1]. However, we know that this is not a recognised word in the English language nor is it a recognised disease classification. Yet it is a commonly used term as Kee and Rippingale confirm and one that has aroused much debate in a number of articles [2–4].

It has now become so widespread that the term generates a number of subject headings in medical search engines and perhaps most tellingly of its prevalence, its own Wikipedia page [5].

Although it is clearly a modern term, it sounds very much like established medical terms we use that are generated from the ancient Latin of our medical predecessors and therefore should have some credence in its meaning.

I will take the term ‘afebrile’ as an example; it seems very linguistically similar to acopia and is one that is in everyday usage across the world. The word febrile is derived from the Medieval Latin febrilis—pertaining to fever, and from the Ancient Latin febris meaning fever. The prefix a- is derived from the Latin ab meaning away from and means ‘not’ in this usage. Hence, afebrile means ‘there is no fever’.