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Retinal drusen: harbingers of age, safe havens for trouble
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Abstract
Drusen are small focal extracellular deposits underneath the retina, visible ophthalmoscopically as yellow dots. The more hard drusen there are, the greater the risk of developing soft drusen and retinal pigmentary changes, which in turn increase the risk of developing advanced age-related macular degeneration. Much remains to be discovered about drusen. For the patient with drusen, basic advice on diet and smoking and maintenance of a high level of vigilance for visual changes is appropriate management.
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Introduction
Much of healthcare is concerned with lumps and bumps. Drusen are small extracellular lumps in the eye, visible ophthalmoscopically as focal yellow dots (Figure 1a). Drusen are wedged between the deepest layer of the retina, the retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE) and Bruch’s membrane, which separates the retina from the choriocapillaris. The appearance of drusen is a characteristic change that can occur in the macula as a function of age, along with retinal pigment abnormalities and patchy atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (Figure 1b). This cluster of changes constitutes early age-related macular degeneration (AMD), and while early AMD does not affect day-to-day vision [1], these signs may herald the beginning of a process progressing towards advanced AMD [central geographic atrophy or choroidal neovascularisation (CNV)]. Advanced AMD is the most common cause of acquired visual impairment in Europeans aged over 65 years [2]. It is not known however why only some individuals with drusen develop advanced AMD, but it is undisputed that drusen have a role: almost all patients with AMD have drusen. This review seeks to collate the current stage of knowledge on drusen, specifically on their clinical features, classification, composition and clinical relevance.

Methods
We based this review on a search of PubMed. The following search terms were used: ‘drusen’ and each of the following in turn—‘AMD’; ‘age-related AND macular AND degeneration’; ‘age-related AND maculopathy’; ‘classification’; ‘grading’; ‘epidemiology’; ‘laser’; ‘antioxidants’; ‘statins’; ‘treatment’; and ‘prevention’. The articles which were judged to be the most relevant, important and reliable were selected. This is a narrative review as the assessment of what information to present was subjective, being based on the collective expertise of the authors. It may be that certain studies were included which should not have been, and others were not included which should have. However, every effort was made to avoid bias and to present a representative and balanced picture of evidence. None of the authors have any conflicts of interest.

Identification of population-based studies investigating the incidence of AMD (Table 2) was done using Pubmed. Most papers described previous population-based studies on the subject, facilitating their identification. The use of images for this review followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

How are drusen described?
Drusen are considered a hallmark feature of AMD [3, 4], but there is no universally accepted AMD classification system. The Wisconsin Age-Related Maculopathy Grading System was one of several considered by investigators who collaborated in 1995 to agree on an AMD grading system [5]. Refinements abound, such as the ‘AREDS simplified severity scale’ [6, 7].
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Figure 1. (a) Hard, soft distinct and soft indistinct drusen. (b) Geographic atrophy surrounding the fovea, with a tongue of atrophy extending onto the central fovea.

Drusen are typically round and yellow. Clinically, age-related drusen are described as hard or soft. Those <63 μm in diameter are classed as hard drusen, while those <125 μm may be classed as hard if they look flat. As a guide, the diameter of the average retinal vein as it crosses the optic disc edge is considered to be 125 μm. Drusen >63 μm which appear to have substance are soft drusen. Apparent bulk of drusen can be, however, only discerned binocularly, rather than with the monocular view offered by a direct ophthalmoscope or a photograph. Soft distinct drusen have uniform density and sharp edges, whereas soft indistinct drusen have decreasing density from the centre out, and fuzzy edges.

Drusen can also be described by location, macular or peripheral, and some specific patterns have been described. For example, reticular drusen are ill-defined ribbons of broad interlacing networks of drusen [8]. ‘Early-adult onset grouped drusen’ [9] fluoresce discretely during fundus fluorescein angiography, described as giving a ‘beautiful star-in-the-sky’ appearance [10]. Histological labels exist: basal laminar deposits are diffusely deposited materials lying internal to the RPE basal lamina [4]. In clinical practice, clinicians usually limit their descriptions of drusen to hard, soft distinct or soft indistinct. Drusen may be difficult to distinguish from similar lesions, such as cotton wool spots or exudates. If distinction of retinal lesions is difficult, the wider picture should be taken into account, for example whether there are other signs of AMD or diabetic retinopathy, as well as non-ocular factors such as the age of the patient.

In what clinical situations other than increasing age are macular drusen found?

Drusen are on occasion not age related. For example, they can be found in chronic retinal detachment, phthisis bulbi, specific inherited macular dystrophies and membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis type II. Drusen seen with choroidal pigmented lesions are reassuring, implying longevity of the lesion and reducing suspicion of malignancy.

In the original description of these lesions [11], Donders used the German word for a rock structure consisting of a solid outer shell and an internal cavity, typically containing sparkling crystals and known in geological circles as a ‘geode’. The German word for geode is druse. Similarly hard drusen have a substructure, and can be calcified giving a sparkling appearance. In contrast, soft drusen are microscopically homogeneous consisting of liquefied material [12].

The make-up of drusen varies with the age of the subject, size of druse, retinal location of the druse and AMD status. An abundance of types of cells and molecules have been found in drusen; dendritic cells [4], proteins [3], lipids [13] and sugar-containing molecules [14]. The presence of some components of drusen raises intriguing themes. For example, non-fibrillar amyloid-β in drusen intriguingly hints that similar processes may occur in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease as AMD [15]. Advanced-glycation endproducts indicate oxidative damage. Myriad inflammatory mediators have been described in drusen [4].

The biogenesis of drusen is not fully understood. They are thought to result from diffuse biochemical dysfunction in the local environment. Their components may originate from the choroidal vasculature [3] or consist of accumulated excrences from RPE cells [16]. A nidus of unknown origin may herald the beginning of a druse’s life, around which macromolecules gather [17]. Phagocytes may attempt to engage the abnormal material [18], and inflammatory debris may contribute to the expansion, if not form the initial seed itself. Oxidative damage and protein cross-linking may further contribute to the failure to clear a nascent druse [3]. It is thought that drusen have a dynamic life-cycle of nucleation, expansion and coalescence, with calcification or involution as possible sequelae [19] and they may spontaneously disappear [20].

How prevalent are drusen?

There are many estimates of the prevalence of drusen [2, 21–30], some of which are given in Table 1. The estimates vary as the populations studied and the samples selected vary in demographic and risk factor profiles. The validity
Table 1. Prevalence of macular drusen (percentage)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Size or type of drusen</th>
<th>All ages (minimum age of subjects, years)</th>
<th>Age in late 40s</th>
<th>Age in late 50s</th>
<th>Age in late 60s</th>
<th>Age in late 70s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beaver Dam Eye Study [22]</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>95 (43 years)</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proyecto VER [26]</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>92 (50 years)</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterman Eye Study [31]</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>86 (30 years)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUREYE [2]</td>
<td>&gt; 63 µm</td>
<td>52.4 (65 years)</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados Eye Study [30]</td>
<td>&lt; 63 µm</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>21.9 (40 years)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64–250 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Impairment Project [25]</td>
<td>Small hard</td>
<td>77 (40 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft distinct</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft indistinct</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Latino Eye Study (40 years) [28] (at least one drusen present in 98.2%)</td>
<td>Only small hard</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft distinct</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft indistinct</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Population based studies investigating the incidence of AMD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study title</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>No. with gradable photos at follow-up (no. examined at baseline)</th>
<th>Age of subjects at baseline (years)</th>
<th>Follow-up period (years)</th>
<th>Selected pertinent significant risk factors for development of advanced AMD (GA or CNV) present at baseline, or pertinent comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbados Eye Study</td>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>2793 (4631)</td>
<td>40–84</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Early AMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaver Dam Eye Study (USA)</td>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>2119 (4926)</td>
<td>43–86</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>More severe drusen type&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; Larger area of drusen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Mountains Eye Study</td>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>1952 (3654)</td>
<td>49 or older</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>More severe drusen type&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;,&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; Larger drusen size - More severe drusen type&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; Larger drusen size Eight subjects with incident late ARM had early ARM at baseline&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copenhagen City Eye Study</td>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>327 (946)</td>
<td>60–80</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Eight subjects with incident late ARM had early ARM at baseline&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hisayama Study (Japan)</td>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>961 (1482)</td>
<td>50 or older</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Drusen size and severity is slightly more likely to increase with time than regress&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; No comment was made on risk factors for advanced AMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melton Mowbray study (UK)</td>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>88 (529)</td>
<td>77 or older</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Drusen size and severity is slightly more likely to increase with time than regress&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; No comment was made on risk factors for advanced AMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reykjavik Eye Study (Iceland)</td>
<td>[41]</td>
<td>846 (1045)</td>
<td>50 or older</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No comment was made on risk factors for advanced AMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotterdam Study (Netherlands)</td>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>4688 (6780)</td>
<td>55 or older</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>More severe drusen type&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; Larger drusen size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salisbury Eye Evaluation Project (USA)</td>
<td>[43]</td>
<td>1937 (2240)</td>
<td>65–84</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Larger drusen size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Impairment Project (Australia)</td>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>1625 (3271)</td>
<td>40 or older</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>More severe drusen type&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; Larger drusen size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterman Study (USA)</td>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>483 (838)</td>
<td>Over 30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Increasing numbers of small drusen increase the risk of large drusen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Reasons for drop in numbers included death of eligible participants, loss to follow-up, refusal to participate and exclusion from analysis, for example due to advanced AMD at baseline or ungradable photos at baseline.

<sup>b</sup>Retinal pigmentary changes (hypo- or hyper-pigmentation) have also consistently been identified as a significant risk factor for the development of advanced AMD in these studies.

<sup>c</sup>Using the Wisconsin Age-Related Maculopathy Grading System [45].

<sup>d</sup>Using the AREDS Simplified Severity Scale [6].

<sup>e</sup>Using a modified Wisconsin Age-Related Maculopathy Grading System.

<sup>f</sup>Using the International Classification System for AMD [5].

Overall it is evident that a majority of patients aged > 40 years have some type of drusen, and while the prevalence of small hard drusen does not increase with age, the prevalence of soft drusen does. This may be because hard drusen evolve into larger drusen with time, or because advancing media opacities with age may make smaller drusen more difficult to identify.

**Are drusen guilty of causing progression to advanced AMD?**

Drusen exceed normal age-related changes, but whether they play an active role in causing disease is not known. In a review of drusen and AMD, Anderson et al. [32] describe how drusen may either be epiphenomena, passive markers...
of age-related changes, or contributors to RPE and subsequent photoreceptor cell dysfunction and death, for example by impairing the transfer of metabolites between the choriocapillaris and RPE, ultimately starving and choking the RPE. Drusen attract inflammatory activity, and a compelling narrative describes how this could provoke a cascade of molecular events resulting in necrotic [4] or apoptotic [33] RPE cell death or CNV [34]. The presence of complement factors as constituents of drusen is especially pertinent, given that genetically determined defects in the regulation of the complement cascade have been implicated in the pathogenesis of AMD [35]. Thus, drusen may represent a safe haven, harbouring dangerous elements that threaten the health of the eye.

What is the prognostic significance of macular drusen?

Not all individuals with drusen develop AMD. Several studies on subjects drawn from population sampling have described the natural history of drusen; all of such studies of which we are aware are presented in Table 2.

The longest follow-up periods reported to date are 14 years [38] and 15 years [37]. In the Copenhagen study [38], in which a cohort of individuals aged between 60 and 80 years at baseline was followed for 14 years, the ‘most severe’ drusen type present was found to be significant. A total of 2.9% of those with hard drusen at baseline developed central geographic atrophy or CNV, while this occurred in 26.7% of eyes with soft distinct drusen. In eyes with soft indistinct drusen, geographic atrophy developed in 34.4% and CNV in 53.1%. Thus, to estimate an individual’s risk of AMD, identifying the drusen type is crucial. In the Beaver Dam study [37], in which the follow-up period was 15 years, the number of drusen at baseline was a significant determinant of AMD incidence (Table 3). A larger area of small hard drusen was associated with a greater risk of subsequent soft indistinct drusen or retinal pigment abnormalities, and these changes in turn were associated with greater risk of advanced AMD. However, 18% of soft indistinct drusen disappeared, not in association with advancing AMD, and these eyes had a reduced risk of advanced AMD of 80%.

The risk of AMD varies with many other factors from lifestyle, ethnic and genetic factors to availability and uptake of screening and treatment. However, in the presence of high-risk features a high level of vigilance is appropriate to detect late AMD early, particularly CNV for which treatment may be possible. Although this review focuses on drusen, retinal pigment abnormalities and RPE atrophy, which have also been consistently identified as risk factors for progression, should also be noted.

How should the patient with drusen be managed?

There are no effective treatments to restore vision lost to geographic atrophy, and although there has been a revolution in the management of CNV, most patients with wet AMD do not recover lost vision. Drusen are the first clinically evident sign of AMD, but age-related retinal changes probably begin long before drusen can be spotted. Treatment that prevents AMD could have a major public health impact even if only modestly effective. The only risk factors for AMD that have been consistently identified are age, genetic susceptibility and smoking. There appears to be a dose–response relationship between smoking and risk of AMD, and smoking precedes the development of AMD, suggesting a causative relationship [46].

Regression of drusen following laser photocoagulation was first described by Gass [47]. After the initial observation, several case series reported results although these series were often small, short or uncontrolled. Drusen regression followed laser treatment, occurring more quickly following more intense burns [48], but results relating to the incidence of CNV and visual outcome were mixed. There was enough encouragement to spur controlled studies such as the CNV Prevention Trial [49]. Eyes with > 10 large drusen in both eyes, or in one eye with neovascular AMD in the other, were assigned to perifoveal argon laser treatment or no treatment. Recruitment was suspended early due an increased incidence of CNV in the treated eyes. The same fate befell the Drusen Laser Study [50], a similarly controlled pilot study. Two multicentre clinical trials [51, 52] were initiated to investigate the effects of variations of laser treatments but in neither case was laser for drusen recommended.

Antioxidant supplements are frequently marketed to protect vision. The retina is uniquely exposed to high levels of oxidative stresses and these may contribute to drusen formation [3]. A Cochrane review of the use of antioxidants to prevent drusen developing found no evidence that dietary antioxidant supplements were of benefit [53]. It may be that in certain populations, such as those with genetic susceptibility or those with a poor diet, such supplementation is effective. Evidence for the effectiveness either of zinc alone or in combination with antioxidants (beta carotene, vitamin C and vitamin E) in preventing progress from drusen to advanced AMD emerged from the Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) [54, 55]. Benefit was only shown, however,
for those with at least one druse >125 µm or extensive drusen of between 63 and 125 µm or non-central geographic atrophy. The proportion with these signs which developed neovascular AMD or central geographic atrophy after 6 years was 28% in the placebo group, and only 20% in those zinc and antioxidants. No benefit was evident for those with less severe drusen. Given the plausibility of their benefit and their apparent safety, individuals with no drusen or only small drusen may elect to take AREDS-like formulations despite lack of evidence for their benefit. Some caution is due as these supplements do not guarantee prevention of progression, and safety concerns cannot be ignored; beta-carotene for example may increase the risk of lung cancer in smokers. For such intervention to be used outside the AREDS indications, and publically funded, quality evidence of cost-effectiveness is needed.

Statins have lipid-lowering ability and anti-inflammatory effects. As lipids are a major component of drusen, and as inflammation has been implicated in the pathogenesis of drusen, statins may retard the appearance of drusen and AMD. Most studies have shown no apparent protective effect of statins; these, however, have been observational [56–60]. There have been calls for a randomised controlled trial of statin use in preventing drusen or drusen progression [61].

Conclusion

In ancient times, it was thought that darts of light emerge from the eye [62]. While such an idea is ridiculed now, perhaps in centuries to come our paucity of understanding about drusen will be mocked. While most eye care practitioners have, in their careers, seen thousands of drusen, their structure and composition have not been fully catalogued, their role in disease is unclear and their prognostic significance is just emerging. Better understanding of the composition and mechanism of formation of drusen may offer important clues about AMD’s pathogenesis. In the future, measurements of drusen may contribute to precise quantification of an individual’s risk of developing AMD.

Key points

- Drusen are small focal extracellular deposits underneath the retina, visible ophthalmoscopically as yellow dots.
- The more hard drusen there are, the greater the risk of developing soft drusen and retinal pigmenory changes, which in turn increase the risk of developing age-related macular degeneration.
- Much remains to be discovered about drusen.
- For the patient with drusen, basic advice on diet and smoking, and maintenance of a high level of vigilance for visual changes is the most appropriate management.
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