Energy expenditure and body composition changes after an isocaloric ketogenic diet in overweight and obese men
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** The carbohydrate–insulin model of obesity posits that habitual consumption of a high-carbohydrate diet sequesters fat within adipose tissue because of hyperinsulinemia and results in adaptive suppression of energy expenditure (EE). Therefore, isocaloric exchange of dietary carbohydrate for fat is predicted to result in increased EE, increased fat oxidation, and loss of body fat. In contrast, a more conventional view that “a calorie is a calorie” predicts that isocaloric variations in dietary carbohydrate and fat will have no physiologically important effects on EE or body fat.

**Objective:** We investigated whether an isocaloric low-carbohydrate ketogenic diet (KD) is associated with changes in EE, respiratory quotient (RQ), and body composition.

**Design:** Seventeen overweight or obese men were admitted to metabolic wards, where they consumed a high-carbohydrate baseline diet (BD) for 4 wk followed by 4 wk of an isocaloric KD with clamped protein. Subjects spent 2 consecutive days each week residing in metabolic chambers to measure changes in EE (EEchamber), sleeping energy expenditure (SEE), and RQ. Body composition changes were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Average EE during the final 2 wk of the BD and KD periods was measured by doubly labeled water (EEDLW).

**Results:** Subjects lost weight and body fat throughout the study corresponding to an overall negative energy balance of ~300 kcal/d. Compared with BD, the KD coincided with increased EEchamber (57 ± 13 kcal/d, P = 0.0004) and SEE (89 ± 14 kcal/d, P < 0.0001) and increased RQ (−0.111 ± 0.003, P < 0.0001). EEDLW increased by 151 ± 63 kcal/d (P = 0.03). Body fat loss slowed during the KD and coincided with increased protein utilization and loss of fat-free mass.

**Conclusion:** The isocaloric KD was not accompanied by increased body fat loss but was associated with relatively small increases in EE that were near the limits of detection with the use of state-of-the-art technology. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT01967563.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Dietary carbohydrates and insulin have been suggested to play causal roles in the pathological accumulation of body fat (1–4). According to this carbohydrate–insulin model of obesity, an increased proportion of the diet as carbohydrates results in elevated insulin secretion that suppresses the release of fatty acids into circulation and directs circulating fat toward storage. Additionally, the decreased availability of fatty acids for use by metabolically active tissues, such as heart, muscle, and liver, is perceived as a state of cellular internal starvation, possibly through an increased ratio of cellular AMP to ATP (4), leading to an adaptive decrease in energy expenditure (EE) and an increase in food intake (1, 4–7). Therefore, the positive energy balance associated with development of obesity is hypothesized to be a consequence of the insulin-driven shift in fat partitioning toward storage and away from oxidation resulting from an increased proportion of dietary carbohydrates.

A logical consequence of the carbohydrate–insulin model is that decreasing the proportion of dietary carbohydrate to fat without altering protein or calories will reduce insulin secretion, increase fat mobilization from adipose tissue, and elevate the
oxidation of circulating free fatty acids (FFAs). The altered metabolic and endocrine milieu is therefore predicted to relieve the state of cellular internal starvation resulting in decreased hunger, increased body fat loss, and increased EE. In contrast, a more conventional model asserts that a calorie is a calorie, meaning that isocaloric exchanges between dietary carbohydrate and fat will not substantially influence EE or body fat (8).

Testing these competing model predictions is challenging because outpatient diet studies are typically associated with poor adherence, even when all study foods are provided (9). We aimed to avoid this difficulty by confining study volunteers to metabolic wards where they consumed, under supervision, a 4-wk run-in high-carbohydrate baseline diet (BD) followed by an isocaloric low-carbohydrate ketogenic diet (KD) for another 4 wk. Our prespecified primary endpoints were changes in total EE (EEchamber), sleeping EE (SEE), and 24-h respiratory quotient (RQ) measured by using metabolic chambers. Body composition changes were prespecified secondary endpoints of the study.

METHODS

Study protocol

Figure 1 depicts a summary of the study design in which subjects were admitted to metabolic wards and consumed a BD (Table 1) for a 4-wk run-in period (days −28 to 0) followed by a KD (Table 1) for another 4 wk (days 1–28). The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (IRB 493675), the Pennington Biomedical Research Center (2013-3-PBRC), Columbia University Medical Center (IRB-AAAL7113), and the Translational Research Institute for Metabolism and Diabetes (IRB 493675).

Seventeen men between the ages of 18 and 50 y with a BMI (kg/m²) between 25 and 35 provided informed consent and were admitted to metabolic wards (5 subjects at NIH, and 4 subjects at each of the other sites). Participants were excluded if they were not weight stable (weight change of ±10% in the past 6 mo), were unable to complete daily bouts of stationary cycling, had evidence of diseases that affect metabolism or eating disorders, were taking medications interfering with study outcomes, or were unwilling or unable to eat the foods provided in the study. We also excluded subjects whose habitual diets were <30% or >65% of total calories from carbohydrate as determined by a food-frequency questionnaire.

Subjects were invited to participate in 3 screening visits designed to ensure acceptability and adherence to the study diets and procedures, assess potential social or psychological barriers to the completion of the study, complete a medical history and physical examination, answer several questionnaires, measure resting EE, and personalize the intensity of the prescribed daily stationary cycling, and overall physical activity was quantified with small, portable, pager-type accelerometers (GT3X+, Actigraph Corporation) sampled at 80 Hz.

We required that the average EEchamber measured during the last pair of chamber days during the BD period to be within 5% of the average EEchamber measured the previous week. In 2 cases, this criterion was not met, and an additional week of BD was required to ensure stability of EEchamber before initiating the KD period. All but one of the sites had the metabolic chambers colocated with the metabolic ward. At one site the subjects were...
transported to and from the metabolic chamber by automobile under supervision.

Diets

The 7-d rotating menus were designed to match the macronutrient targets of a habitual BD and a KD by using NUTRITIONIST PRO software version 1.3 (First Databank Inc.; The Hearst Corporation). The energy and macronutrient composition for each day of both the BD and KD menus was verified by chemical analysis (Covance Laboratories). Most of the food was prepared at the Pennington Biomedical Research Center metabolic kitchen, frozen, and shipped to the study sites, where fresh produce was added and the meals were prepared for consumption according to standardized procedures. Both the BD and KD menus contained minimal quantities of processed food, and, despite the large differences in macronutrient composition and sugar content, the BD did not include large quantities of added or liquid sugars. In that regard, the BD may have differed somewhat from the customary diets of these subjects. Sample menus for 1 d of each diet are included in the Supplemental Materials.

The energy intake was determined weekly for each subject during the initial BD period by using the average EEchamber for the previous week and rounding upward to the nearest 50 kcal. Energy intake adjustments were permitted until day −9 of the BD period to match EEchamber, but adjustments after day −15 were unnecessary, and energy intake was subsequently clamped for the remainder of the study.

Table 1 presents the 7-d average diet compositions during the isocaloric BD and KD periods. The macronutrient proportions determined by chemical analyses were consistent with the values calculated by the nutrient analysis software used to design the diets. The software allowed for a more detailed breakdown of the diet composition and showed that the BD derived >25% of total calories from sugars, whereas the KD had <2% from sugars.

All subjects were confined to the metabolic ward throughout the study with no access to outside food. Subjects knew that it was imperative that they eat all of the food provided. If they were not able to eat a study food, they were instructed to notify the study dietitian immediately so that other arrangements could be considered. Dietitians and health technicians met with the subjects regularly to discuss the diet and assess compliance. Visitors were allowed to meet with study subjects in a common area under observation of the nursing and/or research staff to avoid the exchange of food or beverages. Meals were consumed in a common area under observation of the research staff, and participants were not allowed to leave the table during the meals. All meal trays were checked after consumption, and any food that was not consumed was weighed and subsequent meals were adjusted for previously uneaten food.

EE via metabolic chamber

All chamber measurement periods were >23 h, and we extrapolated the data to represent 24-h periods by assuming that the mean of the measured periods was representative of the 24-h period. See the Supplemental Materials for a detailed derivation of the indirect calorimetry equations and their parameterization (Supplemental Table 1) for both the BD and KD periods.

During the BD period, the EE was calculated as shown in Equation 1:

\[
EE_{chamber}(\text{kcal}) = 3.88 \times VO_2(L) + 1.08 \times VC02(L) - 1.57 \times N(g)
\]  

(1)

where \(VO_2\) and \(VC02\) were the volumes of oxygen consumed and carbon dioxide produced, respectively, and \(N\) was the 24-h urinary nitrogen excretion measured by chemiluminescence (Antek MultiTek Analyzer; PAC).

Indirect calorimetry calculations are affected by the end products of protein oxidation (10). Interestingly, unlike prolonged fasting, in which the ratio of nitrogen contained in urea plus creatinine to ammonia can decrease substantially from the standard ratio of 95:5, neither the BD (\(P = 0.08\)) nor the KD (\(P = 0.85\)) deviated significantly from this standard ratio.

During the KD period, the equations were adjusted as shown in Equation 2 to account for urinary ketone excretion, \(K_{excr}\):

\[
EE_{chamber}(\text{kcal}) = 3.88 \times [VO_2(L) - 0.32(L/g) \times K_{excr}(g)] + 1.08 \times VC02(L) - 1.57 \times N(g) + 1.39 \times K_{excr}(g)
\]

(2)

SEE was determined by the lowest EE over a continuous 180-min period between the hours of 0000 and 0600 (11). Other components of EE, including energy cost of cycling exercise at a clamped intensity (EEexercise), EE when not moving (EEsedentary), physical activity expenditure on days inside the metabolic chamber (PAEchamber), spontaneous physical activity inside the metabolic chamber (SPA), and awake and fed thermogenesis (AFT), were defined as described in the Supplemental Materials and Supplemental Figures 1 and 2. The procedures used to adjust the primary EE data for measured changes in body weight and composition are also described in the Supplemental Materials.

EE via doubly labeled water

Subjects drank from a stock solution of \(^2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^{18}\) water in which 1 g \(^2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (99.99% enrichment) was mixed with 19 g \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^{18}\) (10% enrichment). An aliquot of the stock solution was saved for dilution to be analyzed along with each set of urine samples. The water was weighed to the nearest 0.1 g into the dosing container. The prescribed dose was 1.0 g/kg body weight, and the actual dose amounts were entered in the dose log. Spot urine samples were collected daily. Isotopic enrichments of urine samples were measured by isotope ratio mass spectrometry. The average CO2 production rate (rCO2) can be estimated from the rate constants describing the exponential disappearance of the labeled \(^1\text{H}O_2\) and D water isotopes (kD and kO) in repeated spot urine samples collected over several days and were corrected for previous isotope doses. We used the parameters of Racette et al. (12) with the weighted dilution space calculation, \(R_{ddl}\), proposed by Speakman (13) as shown in Equation 3:

\[
r_{CO2} = \frac{(N/2.078)(1.007kO - 1.007R_{ddl}kD) - 0.0246r_{GF}}{1.05(1.007kO - 1.007R_{ddl}kD)}
\]

\[
R_{ddl} = \left(\frac{N/D}{N/O}\right)_{ave} \times n + 1.034 \times 255 \right) / (n + 255)
\]

(3)

where \(N/D\) and \(N/O\) values from the n subjects and \(r_{GF}\) is the rate of water loss through gaseous routes subject to isotope fractionation.
The Supplemental Materials provide a detailed derivation of the equations used to determine the average total EE (EE_{DLW}) from the doubly labeled water measurement of rCO₂. During the BD period, EE_{DLW} was calculated as shown in Equation 4:

$$EE_{DLW} (\text{kcal}) = \left[ \frac{3.85}{RQ} + 1.07 \right] \times rCO₂ (L)$$

where the RQ was calculated as the average 24-h RQ measured during the metabolic chamber days. During the KD period, EE_{DLW} was calculated as shown in Equation 5:

$$EE_{DLW} (\text{kcal}) = \left[ \frac{3.85}{RQ} + 1.07 \right] \times rCO₂ (L) - [3.85 \times 0.32 + 1.39] \times K_{ex}(g)$$

PAE_{chamber} is known to be less than physical activity expenditure on days outside the metabolic chamber (PAE_{nonchamber})(14) and presumably accounts for the main difference between EE_{DLW} and EE_{chamber}. We quantified the physical activity EE on non-chamber days as shown in Equation 6:

$$PAE_{nonchamber} = EE_{nonchamber} - EE_{sedentary} = \left( \frac{7}{5} EE_{DLW} - \frac{2}{5} EE_{chamber}\right) - EE_{sedentary}$$

where EE_{sedentary} was calculated as the average daily chamber value over the corresponding period.

**Anthropometry and body composition**

Body weight and height were measured to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively, with subjects wearing a hospital gown and undergarments and after an overnight fast. Body fat was measured by using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scanners (Lunar iDXA; GE Healthcare).

**Blood and urine measurements**

See Supplemental Table 2 for the description of the methods and assay statistics for the various blood and urine measurements. Because acetacetate could be lost from the urine as a result of non-enzymatic decarboxylation to acetone, it is possible that ketone excretion may have been underestimated because of acetone evaporation from the 24-h urine collection containers. If a substantial amount of acetacetate had been lost from the urine samples, then the acetacetate fraction of total ketones would be decreased in urine compared with the blood. This did not happen. Rather, the urine acetacetate fraction was 62% ± 3%, which was slightly higher than the blood fraction, which was 50% ± 2% (P = 0.004). Furthermore, the measured urinary ketone excretion was commensurate with the circulating ketone concentrations (15), suggesting that the measured total ketone excretion was not severely affected by loss of acetacetate.

**Statistical analyses**

This study was powered to detect a change in EE_{chamber} ≥150 kcal/d between the BD and KD periods in 16 subjects by using an endpoint analysis with probability (power) of 0.93 assuming a 120-kcal/d SD in EE_{chamber} (16) and a type I error probability of 0.05. We chose the 150-kcal/d effect size because this was the smallest change in EE_{chamber} that was considered physiologically important.

Statistical analyses were performed with the use of SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc.). The data tables present least squares means ± SEs and were analyzed by using a repeated-measures mixed model with a covariance structure of compound symmetry (PROC MIXED; SAS). We also tested a first-order autoregressive covariance pattern, and the results were unchanged. Study site was not a significant determinant when included in the mixed model, and its inclusion had no significant effect on the primary endpoints. Data are therefore reported without adjusting for study site. Missing data were not imputed because the repeated-measures mixed-model procedure is robust to data missing at random (17). The figures depict means ± 95% CIs at each time point, and 2-sided t tests were used to compare changes with respect to the day 0 BD. Outliers were identified by Cook’s distance with a cutoff of 4/n, where n is the number of observations. Outlier data points were excluded from the analyses and treated as missing data. Statistical significance was declared at P < 0.05 for 2-sided tests, and comparisons depicted in the figures were Bonferroni-adjusted for multiple comparisons.

**RESULTS**

**Subjects and compliance**

Seventeen men (10 black or African American, 5 white, 1 Asian, and 1 Hispanic) successfully completed the screening phase of the study (see Supplemental Figure 3 for the study flow diagram). The subjects were mean ± SE age 33 ± 1.8 y, weighed 87.4 ± 3.7 kg, and had a BMI of 28.8 ± 0.8, and their percent body fat measured on day −15 was 28.9% ± 1.1%.

The diets were well tolerated, and all of the study food was consumed at each meal. Compliance with the daily prescribed cycling exercise was excellent in all but 3 subjects whose accelerometry data indicated that they sometimes failed to perform the exercise on non-chamber days.

**Body weight and composition changes**

The subjects lost 0.8 ± 0.2 kg (P = 0.002) of body weight over the last 15 d of the BD period (Figure 2A) with 0.5 ± 0.1 kg (P = 0.005) of this unintentional weight loss coming from body fat (Figure 2B). One subject had a body fat mass measurement at day 0 that was >2 kg less than the measurement at day −15, despite losing <0.5 kg of body weight. This was a clear outlier [Cook’s distance = 0.1 > 4/(17 subjects × 4 observations/subject) = 0.06], and the subject was excluded from the analysis of fat mass changes.

The body weight and composition changes indicated an overall state of negative energy balance that was calculated to be −373 ± 97 kcal/d (P = 0.002) by using standard coefficients for the energy densities of body fat and fat-free mass (18) as shown in Figure 2C. Introduction of the KD was followed by a rapid additional 1.6 ± 0.2 kg of weight loss (P < 0.0001), likely primarily the result of body water loss because fat mass...
Because of chamber malfunctions, 1 subject had missing chamber data on days −8 and −7, and 2 other subjects had missing chamber data on days 11 and 12. All other data points from these subjects were retained in the analyses. EEDLW from one subject was a clear outlier [Cook’s distance = 0.4 > 4/(17 subjects × 2 observations/subject) = 0.29] such that, despite gaining 0.2 kg of weight during the KD period, EEDLW was >1000 kcal/d greater than both energy intake and the EEDLW measured during BD. This was not the same subject who was an outlier for the body fat mass changes described above.

Table 2 shows that EEchamber during the last 2 wk of the BD period was 2619 ± 93 kcal/d, which was slightly less than energy intake (2739 ± 108 kcal/d; P < 0.0001). EEDLW during the last 2 wk of the BD period (including the 4 d spent in the metabolic chamber) was 2995 ± 45 kcal/d, which was significantly greater than both EEchamber (P = 0.0005) and energy intake (P = 0.005). Therefore, the doubly labeled water data confirmed a state of overall negative energy balance of −251 ± 84 kcal/d (Figure 2C) and was not significantly different from the value calculated by using the body composition changes (P = 0.42). The negative energy balance was likely due to increased physical activity on the nonchamber days as indicated by a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Energy intake, expenditure, and RQ during the BD and KD periodsa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BD (n = 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate intake, g/d</td>
<td>338 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat intake, g/d</td>
<td>108 ± 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein intake, g/d</td>
<td>104 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake, kcal/d</td>
<td>2739 ± 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEchamber, kcal/d</td>
<td>2619 ± 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEE, kcal/d</td>
<td>1569 ± 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h RQ</td>
<td>0.879 ± 0.0066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW adj EEchamber, kcal/d</td>
<td>2615 ± 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW adj SEE, kcal/d</td>
<td>1566 ± 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA adj EEchamber, kcal/d</td>
<td>2617 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA adj SEE, kcal/d</td>
<td>1567 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEexercise, kcal/min</td>
<td>3.12 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA, kcal/min</td>
<td>0.224 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFT, kcal/min</td>
<td>0.254 ± 0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEsedentary, kcal/min</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEsedentary, kcal/d</td>
<td>2995 ± 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAEchamber kcal/d</td>
<td>700 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAEchamber kcal/d</td>
<td>1221 ± 66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aAll values are least squares means ± SEs. The data were analyzed by using a repeated-measures mixed model. AFT, awake and fed thermogenesis; BW adj, adjusted for measured changes in body weight; DXA adj, adjusted for measured changes in body composition; EEchamber, total daily energy expenditure measured during repeated stays in metabolic chambers; EEexercise, average energy expenditure measured by doubly labeled water; EEexercise, cost of cycling exercise at a clamped intensity; EEsedentary, energy expenditure when not moving; PAEchamber, physical activity expenditure on days inside the chamber; PAEmack, physical activity expenditure on days outside the chamber; SEE, sleeping energy expenditure; SPA, spontaneous physical activity inside the metabolic chamber; 24-h RQ, daily respiratory quotient.

bValues refer to the effects of the diet period and were not corrected for multiple comparisons.

cn = 16 as a result of 1 outlier removed as described in the text.

dDecreased by only 0.2 ± 0.1 kg (P = 0.09) over the next 15 d. Over the entire 28-d KD period, the total weight lost was 2.2 ± 0.3 kg (P < 0.0001), with 0.5 ± 0.2 kg (P = 0.03) from loss of body fat. The energy imbalance during the last 2 wk of the KD was calculated to be −242 ± 94 kcal/d (P = 0.02; Figure 2C) and was not significantly different from the last 2 wk of the BD period (P = 0.33).
21% ± 4% increase in hip accelerometer counts during the nonchamber days ($P = 0.0002$).

Table 2 shows that EExchamber during the KD phase was 2676 ± 93 kcal/d and was 57 ± 13 kcal/d greater than during the baseline period ($P = 0.0004$). Because EE is known to be proportional to body weight and even more strongly related to fat-free mass (19), we adjusted EEchamber for the observed weight and body composition changes as described in the Supplemental Materials. The weight-adjusted EExchamber was 88 ± 13 kcal/d greater during the KD period than during the BD period (Table 2; $P < 0.0001$). Adjusting the EEchamber data for body composition changes resulted in the KD period having 96 ± 12 kcal/d greater expenditure than the BD period (Table 2; $P < 0.0001$).

The time course of the unadjusted EEchamber changes is depicted in Figure 3A, illustrating that there was no significant linear trend over time during the BD period ($P = 0.76$), and introduction of the KD coincided with an increase in EE by ~100 kcal/d in the first week, after which there was a significant linear decrease over time ($P = 0.002$). The waning of EEchamber during the KD persisted after adjustment for changes in body weight ($P = 0.027$) and body composition ($P = 0.021$).

SEE extrapolated to the entire day was 1569 ± 63 kcal/d during the baseline period and significantly increased by 89 ± 14 kcal/d during the KD (Table 2; $P < 0.0001$). Adjusting the SEE data for the measured body weight changes (see Supplemental Materials) resulted in a total increase of 111 ± 13 kcal/d (Table 2; $P < 0.0001$) during the KD relative to the BD. Adjusting for body composition changes resulted in a total SEE increase of 121 ± 13 kcal/d (Table 2; $P < 0.0001$) during the KD.

Figure 3B shows the time course of the unadjusted SEE changes, which were stable during the BD period ($P = 0.93$ testing for a linear trend in time), significantly increased by ~200 kcal/d within the first week of the KD, and then waned linearly over time ($P < 0.0001$). The decline of SEE during the KD persisted after adjustment for changes in body weight ($P < 0.0001$) and body composition ($P < 0.0001$).

We also explored other components of EE measured during the chamber stays as described in the Supplemental Materials, including the EExexercise, EEsedentary, SPA, PAEchamber, and AFT, which is the thermic effect of food plus the energy expended above SEE as a result of being awake (20). Table 2 shows that PAEchamber and SPA decreased during the KD, whereas EExexercise and AFT were unchanged and EEsedentary increased.

Table 2 and Figure 3C show that the 24-h RQ decreased significantly from 0.879 ± 0.07 before the KD period to 0.775 ± 0.006 at the start of the KD period ($P < 0.0001$) and remained approximately constant until the end of the study, indicating a rapid and persistent increase in fat oxidation. The changes in 24-h RQ were similar to the changes in daily food quotient calculated by chemical analysis of the diet.

EELDW was 3146 ± 45 kcal/d during the KD, which was 151 ± 63 kcal/d greater than during the BD period (Table 2; $P = 0.03$). Although this apparent increase in EELDW during the KD was likely accounted for by an increase in physical activity on days outside the metabolic chamber, Table 2 shows that PAEnonchamber did not significantly increase during the KD compared with during the BD (126 ± 93 kcal/d; $P = 0.2$). Physical activity expenditure was 514 ± 107 kcal/d greater on the days outside the chamber during the baseline diet ($P = 0.0002$) and was 696 ± 172 kcal/d greater during the KD ($P = 0.0011$). However, there was no significant difference between the diets ($P = 0.083$).

The energy imbalance calculated by subtracting EELDW from energy intake was not significantly different from that calculated by using the body composition changes during either the BD ($P = 0.42$) or the KD ($P = 0.29$) (Figure 2C). However, the calculated energy imbalance measured by using the doubly labeled water method was 182 ± 66 kcal/d more negative during the KD period than during the BD ($P = 0.015$ for a simple pairwise comparison between diets). However,
this difference in energy imbalance between diet periods calculated by DLW should be interpreted with caution since these exploratory endpoints were also subject to multiple comparisons with the DXA determined energy imbalances during both diet periods.

24-h urinary excretion

Daily insulin secretion, as estimated by 24-h urinary C-peptide excretion, rapidly and persistently decreased by 47% ± 3% after the introduction of the KD (Table 3; $P < 0.0001$). Total urinary ketone excretion increased >10-fold (Table 3; $P < 0.0001$) but amounted to <15 kcal/d of excreted energy.

Urinary nitrogen excretion increased by 1.5 ± 0.4 g/d (Table 3; $P = 0.0008$) during the KD phase and indicated significantly increased protein utilization. The time course of the changes in urinary nitrogen excretion showed that the increased protein utilization occurred within the first week of the KD and persisted until day 11 (not shown). Excretion of both urea and ammonia significantly increased during the KD, whereas creatinine excretion was unchanged (Table 3). Norepinephrine excretion significantly decreased during the KD period and adrenaline excretion also tended to decrease ($P = 0.07$), indicating decreased sympathetic tone.

Overnight fasted plasma metabolite and hormone concentrations

Figure 4 shows the changes in plasma ketones (i.e., the sum of acetoacetate and beta-hydroxybutyrate) (A) and FFAs (B) both significantly increased during the KD compared with those in the final BD day, whereas glucose (C) and glycerol (D) were unchanged from baseline. Plasma triglycerides (E) tended to decrease during the KD, and the overall circulating energy (F) was unchanged. Means ± 95% CIs are presented, $n = 17$. *Significant change from the final BD day, $P < 0.0125$ as assessed by a paired, 2-sided $t$ test and Bonferroni adjusted for 4 comparisons. Note that the statistical analyses presented in the main text and Table 4 used a repeated-measures mixed-model rather than pairwise Bonferroni-adjusted comparisons with the final BD day. BD, high-carbohydrate baseline diet; FFA, free fatty acid; KD, low-carbohydrate ketogenic diet.

---

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BD ($n = 17$)</th>
<th>KD ($n = 17$)</th>
<th>$P^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-peptide, nmol/d</td>
<td>20.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>11.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketones, g/d</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.35</td>
<td>3.48 ± 0.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen, g/d</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.59</td>
<td>14.7 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea, g/d</td>
<td>23.6 ± 1.44</td>
<td>28.2 ± 1.37</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia, g/d</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, g/d</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.083</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.081</td>
<td>0.9093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenaline, mg/d</td>
<td>0.0813 ± 0.0061</td>
<td>0.0759 ± 0.0059</td>
<td>0.0669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norepinephrine, mg/d</td>
<td>0.542 ± 0.043</td>
<td>0.448 ± 0.042</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1All values are least squares means ± SEs. The data were analyzed by using a repeated-measures mixed model. BD, high-carbohydrate baseline diet; KD, low-carbohydrate ketogenic diet.

2Values refer to the effects of the diet period and were not corrected for multiple comparisons.
that were abnormally high at the final time point and were clear outliers [Cook’s distance for insulin = 0.29 and Cook’s distance for C-peptide = 0.27, both of which are greater than the threshold 4/(17 subjects × 5 observations/subject) = 0.046]; these data were excluded. As expected, the KD was associated with significant increases in ketones, FFAs, and glycerol. Fasting glucose was not significantly different between the diets, whereas glucagon significantly increased and C-peptide, insulin, and triglycerides significantly decreased during the KD. Leptin was significantly decreased during the KD period. Thyroid-stimulating hormone and free thyroxine concentrations were significantly increased during the KD phase, whereas both free and total tri-iodothyronine were significantly decreased.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that transitioning from the BD to the KD coincided with a substantial decrease in daily insulin secretion and 24-h RQ, increased circulating FFA and ketones, and marginal increases in EEchamber and SEE. These data, although somewhat confounded by ongoing weight loss, suggest that large isocaloric changes in the proportion of dietary carbohydrate to fat transiently increase EE by only ~100 kcal/d after adjusting for body weight and composition. Furthermore, the body weight and composition adjustments likely overestimated the EE changes during the KD because much of the weight loss was likely from water rather than loss of metabolically active tissues.

Our study adds to the literature addressing the perennial question: is a calorie a calorie? A conventional view is that the tissue.

Several controlled feeding studies have demonstrated significant differences in EE between isocaloric diets with differences in dietary protein (23–25). Unless accompanied by an increase in dietary protein (22, 26), carbohydrate restriction has not previously been observed to increase EE. Rather, studies that use clamped dietary protein and varying carbohydrates from 20% to 75% of total calories have found either small decreases in EE with lower-carbohydrate diets (16, 27–30) or no statistically significant differences (22, 24, 31–38). Mathematical model simulations predicted that cutting dietary carbohydrates to very low amounts would reverse this trend and lead to slightly increased EE (16). This prediction appears to have been borne out in our data, where we observed small increases in SEE, EEchamber, and EE DLW with a KD in which dietary carbohydrate was cut to 5% and protein was clamped at 15% of total calories.

The rapid increase in SEE and EEchamber within the first week of the KD may have been caused by increased hepatic oxygen consumption proportional to the rate of ketogenesis (39). For ketogenesis to fully explain the observed early ~200-kcal/d increase in SEE requires ~150 g/d of ketogenesis (16), which is commensurate with both the observed circulating ketone concentrations as well as the urinary excretion rate, and implies a rate of ketogenesis approximately half of that achieved within 1 wk of fasting when ketogenesis reaches a maximum (15). The KD likely also increased the flux through the energy-requiring gluconeogenic pathway as well as the triglyceride fatty acid cycle, both of which would be expected to slightly increase EE (26, 40). EE may have decreased subsequently as gluconeogenesis declined with the brain shifting away from glucose toward ketone oxidation (15, 41, 42). Decreased insulin secretion per se may also result in an adaptive suppression of EE (43). Furthermore, the overall state of negative energy balance, decreased circulating concentrations of thyroid hormones, and decreased 24-h catecholamine secretion all favor decreased EE.

Although the primary EE endpoints determined by the metabolic chamber indicated small transient increases during the KD period amounting to <100 kcal/d, the exploratory EE DLW endpoint increased by ~150 kcal/d. Because physical activity typically increases on days outside the metabolic chamber (14), EE DLW was greater than EEchamber and any additional increment in EE detected by EE DLW during the KD must be explained by differences in PAEnonchamber. Indeed, PAEnonchamber during the KD increased by ~130 kcal/d compared with BD, but this was not statistically significant. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out the possibility that a KD might increase physical activity in free-living subjects and that we failed to observe this effect because the subjects’ physical activities were limited by the metabolic ward setting.

The carbohydrate–insulin model predicts a greater rate of body fat loss during the KD period. Our data do not support this prediction because body fat loss slowed on transition to the KD, possibly because of augmented utilization of body protein, as indicated by the increased urinary nitrogen excretion that persisted until day 11 of the KD period. The rate of fat loss during the final 2 wk of the KD was similar to that of the baseline period. We suspect that the increased dietary fat resulted in
elevated circulating postprandial triglyceride concentrations throughout the day, which may have stimulated adipose tissue fat uptake (44) and/or inhibited adipocyte lipolysis (45, 46). These mechanistic questions deserve further study, but it is clear that regulation of adipose tissue fat storage is multifaceted and that insulin does not always play a predominant role (16).

A major limitation of our study is the unintentional weight loss. Despite slight positive energy balance during the chamber days, the overall negative energy balance amounted to ~300 kcal/d and was likely due to greater spontaneous physical activity on nonchamber days. This occurred despite confining the subjects to metabolic wards and our best efforts to maintain constant activity levels by prescribing 90 min of fixed intensity stationary cycling exercise every day. Nevertheless, similar to a previous metabolic ward study (14), physical activity on nonchamber days was substantially greater than on chamber days.

In addition to the lack of weight maintenance, there are other limitations of our study. We did not measure fecal energy content, which may have differed between the diets. We did not include a control group that did not receive the KD or a group that had the diets delivered in the reverse order. Therefore, although the timing of the observed changes in EEchamber, SEE, and 24-h RQ are highly suggestive, we cannot definitively claim that the KD per se was the cause. Nor do our observations necessarily translate to women or men classified as normal weight or underweight or having class II obesity or above.

In summary, we found that a carefully controlled isocaloric KD coincided with small increases in EE that waned over time. Despite rapid, substantial, and persistent reductions in daily insulin secretion and RQ after introducing the KD, we observed a slowing of body fat loss. Therefore, our data do not support the carbohydrate–insulin model predictions of physiologically relevant increases in EE or greater body fat loss in response to an isocaloric KD. However, it is possible that dietary carbohydrate restriction might result in decreased ad libitum energy intake—a prediction of the carbohydrate-insulin model that was not tested in the current study but deserves further investigation.
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