Recommendations for the Reporting of Resected Esophageal Carcinomas

Association of Directors of Anatomic and Surgical Pathology

The Association of Directors of Anatomic and Surgical Pathology has named several committees to develop recommendations about the content of the surgical pathology report for common malignant tumors. A committee of individuals with special interest and expertise writes the recommendations, and they are reviewed and approved by the council of the Association of Directors of Anatomic and Surgical Pathology and subsequently by the entire membership.

The recommendations have been divided into 4 major areas: (1) items that provide an informative gross description; (2) additional diagnostic features that are recommended to be included in every report if possible; (3) optional features that may be included in the final report; and (4) a checklist.

The purpose of these recommendations is to provide an informative report for the clinician. The recommendations are intended as suggestions, and adherence to them is completely voluntary. In special clinical circumstances, the recommendations may not be applicable. The recommendations are intended as an educational resource rather than a mandate.

Features to Be Included in the Final Report

The following data document the identity and source of the specimen and provide information useful for the pathologic evaluation and subsequent staging of the neoplasm. They are generally accepted as being of prognostic value, required for therapy, and/or traditionally expected.

Gross Description

1. Identifying features of the specimen: labeled with data such as patient name, medical record number, and source of specimen.
2. How the specimen was received, eg, fresh, in fixative (specify type), unopened, or opened, and how designated.
3. Appropriate overall gross description, including nature of the specimen (eg, segmental esophagectomy, esophagogastrec-
tomy), measurements (including overall length of specimen, length of esophagus, length of stomach), amount and nature of periesophageal tissue included.
4. Description of opened specimen including neoplasm (eg, gross appearance, measurements in 3 dimensions) and mucosal surface away from neoplasm (evidence of Barrett esophagus, other abnormalities), distance of neoplasm from proximal and distal margins.

Note: If the lesion arises in the gastroesophageal junction region and involves both esophagus and stomach, it should be classified as an esophageal carcinoma if the epicenter of the lesion is in the esophagus, as a gastric carcinoma if the epicenter is in the stomach, and as a gastroesophageal junction primary tumor if the epicenter coincides with the esophagogastric junction. For this purpose, the gastroesophageal junction is defined as the junction between the tubular esophagus and the saccular stomach.
5. Description of any additional structures included (eg, stomach, pericardium).
6. If margins are inked (proximal, distal, radial), provide code.
7. Paraffin block key (ideally at end rather than incorporated into narrative).

Diagnostic Information

1. Topography: The type of specimen should be specified, eg, esophagus, esophagus and proximal stomach.
2. Procedure: The type of surgical procedure should be stated, ie, total or segmental esophagectomy, esophagogastrectomy, as well as how the procedure was carried out, if known (transhiatal or transthoracic).
3. Histologic type of neoplasm: use of the World Health Organization classification is recommended:
   ▪ Squamous cell carcinoma (including pseudosarcomatous)
   ▪ Adenocarcinoma
   ▪ Adenoid cystic carcinoma (basaloid squamous)
   ▪ Mucoepidermoid carcinoma
Adenosquamous carcinoma  
Undifferentiated carcinoma  
Other  

4. Histologic grade of neoplasm: use of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) grading system recommended:  
- Grade cannot be assessed (GX)  
- Well differentiated (G1)  
- Moderately differentiated (G2)  
- Poorly differentiated (G3)  
- Undifferentiated (G4)  

5. Extent of invasion of neoplasm in the esophagus, using the TNM system  
- None (Tis) Note: Although Tis refers to carcinoma in situ, we prefer the term high-grade dysplasia for this lesion.  
- Limited to lamina propria (inframucosal carcinoma) (T1a)  
- Into submucosa (T1b)  
- Into muscularis propria (T2)  
- Into adventitia (T3)  
- Into adjacent structures (T4)  

Note: In specimens resected following radiation, chemotherapy, or both, a comment should be made about whether viable-appearing neoplastic tissue remains. If none is identifiable, a comment about the extent of the radiation- or chemotherapy-induced injury should be made, ie, its depth of extension into the esophageal wall as an indication of the probable depth of invasion of the neoplasm.  

6. Mucosal abnormalities away from carcinoma  
- Squamous epithelial dysplasia  
- Presence of Barrett metaplastic epithelium  
- Dysplasia in Barrett metaplastic epithelium  
- Other  

7. Surgical margins  
- Status of proximal and distal surgical margins  
- Status of radial (adventitial) margin  
- If Barrett esophagus, nature of mucosa at proximal margin (squamous vs Barrett; if Barrett, comment on presence or absence of dysplasia)  
- If distal mucosal margin is stomach, comment on any gastric abnormalities (eg, Helicobacter pylori gastritis)  

8. Lymph nodes  
- Report total number of nodes and number containing metastatic carcinoma  

Optional Features of the Final Report  
Optional features to be included in the final report reflect institutional preferences or features that have not gained general acceptance as independent prognostic indicators.
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____ Adenoid cystic carcinoma (basaloid squamous)
____ Mucoepidermoid carcinoma
____ Adenosquamous carcinoma
____ Undifferentiated carcinoma

Histologic grade of carcinoma
____ Grade cannot be assessed
____ Well differentiated
____ Moderately differentiated
____ Poorly differentiated
____ Undifferentiated

Depth of infiltration of neoplasm
____ High-grade dysplasia only
____ Limited to lamina propria
____ Into submucosa
____ Into musculaiis propria
____ Into adventitia
____ Into adjacent structures (specify)

Mucosal abnormalities away from carcinoma
____ Squamous epithelial dysplasia
____ Barrett metaplastic epithelium, ____ with dysplasia;
____ without dysplasia
____ Other (eg, heterotopic gastric mucosa in cervical esophagus ["inlet patch"])

Status of surgical margins
Proximal margin free of carcinoma: _____ yes; _____ no
Proximal margin composed of squamous epithelium:
____ yes; _____ no; with dysplasia: _____ yes; _____ no
Proximal margin composed of Barrett metaplastic epithelium:
____ yes; _____ no; with dysplasia: _____ yes; _____ no
Distal margin free of carcinoma: _____ yes; _____ no

Status of lymph nodes
____ Total number of lymph nodes: _____ Total number involved by metastatic carcinoma

Tissue submitted for special investigative studies
Flow cytometry: _____ yes; _____ no
Tissue frozen: _____ yes; _____ no
Other (specify) _________________________

These recommendations were developed by an ad hoc committee composed of Rodger C. Haggitt, MD (chair)† Henry D. Appelman, MD, Klaus J. Lewin, MD, and Robert H. Riddell, MD, FRCPath.

† Deceased.
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