The downstream \( atpE \) cistron is efficiently translated via its own cis-element in partially overlapping \( atpB–atpE \) dicistronic mRNAs in chloroplasts
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**ABSTRACT**

The chloroplast \( atpB \) and \( atpE \) genes encode subunits \( \beta \) and \( \varepsilon \) of the ATP synthase, respectively. They are co-transcribed as dicistronic mRNAs in flowering plants. An unusual feature is an overlap (AUGA) of the \( atpB \) stop codon (UGA) with the \( atpE \) start codon (AUG). Hence, \( atpE \) translation has been believed to depend on \( atpB \) translation (i.e. translational coupling). Using an in vitro translation system from tobacco chloroplasts, we showed that both \( atpB \) and \( atpE \) cistrons are translated from the tobacco dicistronic mRNA, and that the efficiency of \( atpB \) translation is higher than that of \( atpE \) translation. When the \( atpB \) 5′-UTR was replaced with lower efficiency 5′-UTRs, \( atpE \) translation was higher than \( atpB \) translation. Removal of the entire \( atpB \) 5′-UTR arrested \( atpB \) translation but \( atpE \) translation still proceeded. Introduction of a premature stop codon in the \( atpB \) cistron did not abolish \( atpE \) translation. These results indicate that \( atpE \) translation is independent of \( atpB \) translation. Mutation analysis showed that the \( atpE \) cistron possesses its own cis-element(s) for translation, located \( \sim25 \) nt upstream from the start codon.

**INTRODUCTION**

Chloroplasts carry their own genomes and gene expression systems. The chloroplast genome in flowering plants encodes about 80 proteins (1,2). Chloroplast gene expression is mainly regulated at post-transcriptional steps such as translation (3–10). Many protein-coding genes in flowering plant chloroplasts are clustered (11,12). These genes are co-transcribed to produce polycistronic transcripts that are then processed into mature mRNAs (13–17).

In the tobacco chloroplast genome, the protein-coding regions (hereafter cistrons) of eight genes partially overlap; these are \( psbD–psbC \), \( ndhC–ndhK \), \( atpB–atpE \) and \( rpl22–rps3 \) (18). This feature implies that translation of a downstream gene depends on that of its upstream gene, namely translational coupling or termination-dependent translation, as reported for some genes from *Escherichia coli*, its bacteriophages and some eukaryotic viruses [reviewed in (19)]. A typical case of translational coupling in partially overlapping cistrons is explained by the downstream cistron being translated exclusively by the ribosomes that completed translation of the upstream cistron. In this case, a small fraction of the ribosomes participates in translating the downstream cistron and the majority of the ribosomes are released at the stop codon of the upstream cistron (20,21). Hence, translation of the downstream cistron is usually very low when compared to that of the upstream cistron. When the distance between the stop codon of an upstream cistron and the start codon of the downstream cistron increases, for example, by insertion of a premature termination codon in the upstream cistron, it abolishes translation of the downstream cistron.

The chloroplast ATP synthase consists of nine distinct subunits that are encoded in both the nuclear and chloroplast genomes: three in the nucleus (\( atpC, atpD \) and \( atpG \)) and six in the chloroplast (\( atpA, atpB, atpE, atpF, atpH \) and \( atpI \)) (22,23). The six subunits synthesized within chloroplasts are encoded by two separate operons: the \( atpB–atpE \) (\( atpB/E \) operon) and the \( atpI–atpH–atpF–atpA \) cluster. The \( rps2 \) gene precedes the latter cluster and these five genes constitute an operon (24–27). In most flowering plants, the \( atpB \) stop codon (UGA) overlaps the \( atpE \) start codon (AUG), with the AUGA containing overlapping stop and start codons, and hence translation of these genes has been assumed to be coupled (28,29) (Figure 1). Expression of the maize chloroplast \( atpB/E \) genes in transformed *E. coli* and the cyanobacterium...
Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 was examined, and translation of the downstream atpE cistron was reported to depend on translation of the upstream atpB cistron in these heterologous systems (30). In tobacco, the atpE gene is transcribed from its own promoter located within the atpB cistron to provide monocistronic mRNA (31) (Figure 1). This monocistronic atpE mRNA is translatable in vitro (32,33). However, translation of the atpE cistron from the dicistronic atpB/E mRNA has not been investigated.

Here, we show that the atpE cistron can also be translated from a dicistronic transcript using a chloroplast in vitro translation system. Unexpectedly, the majority of the translation of the atpE cistron does not depend on the upstream cistron; the atpE cistron is translated via its own cis-element(s). An upstream sequence of ~25 nt is necessary for efficient atpE translation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chloroplast extracts

Growth of Nicotiana tabacum (var. Bright Yellow 4), isolation of intact chloroplasts and preparation of chloroplast extracts (S30 fractions) for in vitro translation were as described (34). An RNase inhibitor (Takara Bio, Otsu, Japan) was added during preparation of S30 fractions.

Plasmid construction and RNA synthesis

The mGFP-coding region in plasmid pHK309 (34), derived from pKH6 (35), was modified to create coding regions for Citrine (36) and enhanced GFP (EGFP) (37). Necessary parts of the atpB/E, atpH and rbcL sequences were amplified by PCR from tobacco chloroplast DNA (38). Strategies to construct plasmid DNAs are shown in Supplementary Figure S1. Deletion and point mutations were performed as described (39). A frameshift was introduced in the atpB cistron by inserting the PCR product of a frameshifted atpB segment into the SpeI/BglII region (Supplementary Figure S2). The resulting DNA fragments were confirmed to be the ones wanted by DNA sequencing. Plasmid DNAs were linearized with XhoI at the end of the 3’-UTRs and purified with a Wizard SV DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Test mRNAs were synthesized from the linearized DNAs using an AmpliScribe T7 Flash Transcription kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA). After purification using the SV Total RNA isolation kit (Promega), the mRNAs were precipitated with ethanol and finally dissolved in nuclease-free water.

In vitro translation assays

Assays were carried out basically as described (34). Briefly, the 20-μl reaction mixture contained 30 mM HEPES/KOH (pH 7.7), 50 mM potassium acetate, 9 mM magnesium acetate, 60 mM ammonium acetate, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 40 μM of each of the 20 common amino acids, 2 mM ATP (lithium salt), 0.2 mM GTP (lithium salt), 8 mM phosphocreatine, 8 mg/ml creatine kinase, 12 A280 U/ml S30 fraction and 4 pmol test mRNA. After incubation for 1 h at 28°C, the reaction mixture was mixed with 4 μl of sample buffer (375 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 6% Triton X-100, 600 mM dithiothreitol, 30% glycerol, 50 μg/ml chloramphenicol). Protein products were separated by 12.5% native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Fluorescent signals were detected and quantified with a Typhoon 9400 Imager (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) using 520BP40 and 555BP20 filters when excited by 488 and 532-nm actinic light, respectively.

RESULTS

Translation of the atpE cistron from dicistronic atpB/E mRNA

The tobacco chloroplast atpE gene is mainly co-transcribed with its upstream atpB gene as multiple atpB/E transcripts varying in size from 2.3 to 2.7 kb (31,40). Although the dicistronic transcript is expected to

**Figure 1.** The tobacco chloroplast atpB/atpE operon and its transcripts. Nucleotide positions relative to AUG start codons (A as +1) are shown above for atpB and below for atpE. Bent arrows indicate atpB and atpE transcription start sites (TSSs) (31,42–44). A vertical arrow indicates a processing site (~−90) (42). The common 3’-end is designated by closed triangles (31). AUG, atpB start codon; AUGA, overlapping atpB stop-atpE start codons. A partial mRNA sequence around the atpE start codon is shown below. Deduced amino acid sequences from atpB and atpE genes (β- and ε-subunits) are shown above and below the mRNA sequence, respectively.
be translated into the ε subunit (the atpE product), no direct evidence for this has been reported. The overlapping stop and start codons (AUGA) might hamper translation initiation of the downstream atpE cistron in tobacco chloroplasts.

To examine whether the atpE cistron is translated from the dicistronic mRNA, we designed test mRNAs from which translation of only the atpE cistron can be monitored. A large portion of the atpE cistron (133 codons) was replaced with the coding region (citrine) for Citrine (an improved version of yellow fluorescent protein) (36). Specifically, a citrine-coding sequence (237 codons without start codon) was fused 36 nt downstream from the atpE start codon, and then the tobacco chloroplast rps16 3'-UTR (150 nt) was added to stabilize the mRNA (41) (Figure 2A). The tobacco atpB gene has multiple transcription initiation sites (TSSs) and one processing site at position -90 (11,40,42–44) (Figure 1). We used the processed 5'-UTR of 90 nt because it was much more efficiently translated in vitro than the primary 5'-UTR (34). Monocistronic atpE mRNA was also prepared as a control. We left the first 36 nt of the atpE cistron in the construct because sequences downstream of start codons are sometimes necessary for efficient translation (45).

In vitro translation reaction was performed under template limiting (4 pmol/20 µl) and linear progression (1 h at 28°C) conditions, which enable estimation of the relative rate of translation (34). Test mRNAs in the reaction were stable for at least 2 h, probably due to the presence of an RNase inhibitor (34). After reaction, translation products were separated by native PAGE and the fluorescence intensity of Citrine products was detected by irradiation with 488 nm or 532-nm actinic light. As shown in Figure 2B, 488 and 532-nm excitation gave single fluorescent bands from both the dicistronic mRNA and the control monocistronic mRNA. This result clearly indicates that atpE translation occurs from the dicistronic mRNA.

Test for translational coupling of atpB and atpE cistrons

To examine whether translational coupling occurs, we devised an assay system to differentially monitor translation of the atpB and atpE cistrons. A large portion (from +4 to +1413, 470 codons) of the atpB cistron (498 codons) was replaced with a reading frame (236 codons without start and stop codons, egfp) for EGFP (37) in the dicistronic mRNA described above (Figure 3A). We left the last 81 nt of the atpB cistron in the construct because sequence upstream of the atpE start codon could be required for atpE translation initiation. Actinic light at 488 nm excites EGFP and Citrine, while 532-nm light excites only Citrine. After in vitro translation, two fluorescent bands were detected under 488-nm light while only the upper band was detected by 532-nm light (Figure 3B, lanes labeled atpB), indicating that the upper band corresponds to a Citrine product (from the atpE start codon) and the lower band to an EGFP product (from the atpB start codon). Hence, translation from atpB and atpE start codons can be differentially detected in the same gel. Based on the normalized intensity of EGFP and Citrine fluorescence, the efficiency of atpE translation was estimated to be ~80% of atpB translation (Supplementary Figure S4).

If translational coupling occurs, the translation efficiency of the atpE cistron should depend on translation of the upstream atpB cistron. We replaced the atpB 5'-UTR with either the atpH 5'-UTR or the rbcL 5'-UTR, both of which have lower translation efficiencies than the atpB 5'-UTR (34). As expected, replacing the 5'-UTR resulted in significant reduction of atpB translation (EGFP bands) (Figure 3B, left panel). However, atpE translation (Citrine bands) decreased only slightly (Figure 3B, right panel). As a result, translation of the downstream atpE cistron became higher than that of the upstream atpB cistron. Furthermore, removal of the entire atpB 5'-UTR and the following AUG completely abolished atpB translation while no significant effect was observed on translation of the atpE cistron (Figure 3B, lanes “-”). If translational coupling occurs, atpE translation should be absent or much less than atpB translation. Hence, the translational coupling model cannot explain our present observations.

To confirm this result, we introduced a U to A point mutation at position -69 relative to the A (+1) of the atpE start codon, which created a premature stop codon, UAA, from UAU (Tyr), right after egfp (within the 3'-atpB cistron) (Figure 4A). In the mutant mRNA, the upstream atpB and the downstream atpE cistrons no longer overlap and are separated by a 69-nt spacer, which is enough to interfere with translational coupling (19). As shown in Figure 4B, no significant reduction in atpE translation was observed. Using the monocistronic mRNA as a control, the same point mutation hardly affected atpE translation.
we concluded that the downstream \textit{atpE} cistron (30). Taking this information together, caused almost complete prevention of expression of the cistron from dicistronic mRNAs, we prepared a series of dicistronic mRNAs with internal deletions and translation products based on intensity of fluorescent bands. Main EGFP bands could be loosely folded EGFP.

(Figure 4B, lanes labeled ‘Mono’). Similarly, \textit{atpB} translation was not influenced by premature termination, though the size of EGFP products was reduced (Figure 4B, left panel).

To exclude the possibility that \textit{egfp} interferes with translational coupling, we introduced a frameshift mutation in the middle of the original \textit{atpB} cistron (insertion of UCQA between the 134th and the 135th codons at a BglII site), creating a premature stop codon (UGA) at the 51st codon (Figure 5A). Again, no significant inhibition of \textit{atpE} translation was observed (Figure 5B). This mutation is identical to that applied to the maize chloroplast \textit{atpB}/\textit{atpE} operon expressed in \textit{E. coli} cells, which caused almost complete prevention of expression of the \textit{atpE} cistron (30). Taking this information together, we concluded that the downstream \textit{atpE} cistron is translated almost independently from translation of the upstream \textit{atpB} cistron (with little or no translational coupling) in the homologous chloroplast system. Therefore, the \textit{atpE} cistron should be translated via its own \textit{cis}-element.

\textbf{Cis-elements required for \textit{atpE} translation}

To define the sequences required for translation of the \textit{atpE} cistron from dicistronic mRNAs, we prepared a series of dicistronic mRNAs with internal deletions and assayed their translation. Step-wise deletions (3 nt each) in the 5' \textit{atpE} cistron (from +6 to +36) hardly affected translation of either the \textit{atpB} or \textit{atpE} cistron (Supplementary Figure S6), indicating that the \textit{cis}-element is localized upstream of the \textit{atpE} start codon. Figure 6A shows parts deleted in the region upstream (from −80 to −3) of the \textit{atpE} start codon (hereafter \textit{atpE} 5'-UTR). As shown in Figure 6B (lower panel), no significant reduction of \textit{atpE} translation was observed by deletion up to −20, while \textit{atpE} translation dropped with a further 3 nt deletion (−17 mRNA), by which the SD-like sequence (from −18 to −15) was truncated (GGAG to cGAG, c from the \textit{egfp} 3'-end) (Figure 6A). Further deletions to −11 led to no additional decrease in \textit{atpE} translation, but deletions from −8 to −2 significantly inhibited its translation, though not completely. These observations indicate that the sequence, at least from −18 to −2, is important for \textit{atpE} translation. Translation of the \textit{atpB} cistron was hardly affected by these deletions (Figure 6B, bold bands in upper panel). Note that migration of EGFP products in native gels depends largely on their sizes and charges.

\textbf{5'-Deletion analysis of the monocistronic \textit{atpE} mRNA showed that a region of ~30 nt upstream from the start codon (−1) is also important for \textit{atpE} translation (Supplementary Figure S7).}
We then introduced mutations in the atpE 5′-UTR positions −25 to −5) of both dicistronic and monocistronic mRNAs (Figure 7A) and assayed translation. Mutation from UAG to CGA (m1, −25 to −23) partly inhibited atpE translation and mutation from AACA to GGGC (m2, −22 to −19) severely impaired translation from both dicistronic and monocistronic mRNAs (Figure 7B, lower panel, lanes m1 and m2). As expected, mutation of the SD-like sequence GGAG to AAGA (m3, −20) caused no significant inhibition (lanes m3; 5-fold decrease in dicistronic and 10-fold decrease in monocistronic mRNAs). Changes from AA to GG (m5, −11 to −10), UU to CC (m6, −9 to −8) and UGA to CAG (m7, −7 to −5) also inhibited atpE translation (lower panel, lanes m5, m6 and m7). Inhibitory effects of these mutations were less in the dicistronic mRNA than in the monocistronic mRNA (lower panel, lanes m5, m6 and m7). These mutations caused no significant effect on atpB translation (Figure 7B, left upper panel). Migration of EGFP products (bold bands) differed largely due to charge differences in native gels (see Supplementary Figure S8 for altered amino acid sequences). Together with the results shown in Figures 6 and 7, this shows that the upstream sequence at least 25 nt from the atpE start codon plays an important role in efficient translation initiation of the atpE cistron in both dicistronic and monocistronic mRNAs.

Internal deletion up to −20 caused no significant inhibition of atpE translation (Figure 6B, lower panel, lanes −29 to −20), whereas mutations between −25 and −20 caused inhibition (Figure 7, lanes m1 and m2). The internal deletion resulted in fusion of the 3′-end of egfp (UAUAC, −85 to −81 in Figure 6A) to position −20, which could mimic the original sequence (UAGAA, −25 to −21), as these two sequences are similar. Mutation from AGC to GAU (−14 to −12, lane m4) caused no apparent inhibition from either dicistronic or monocistronic mRNAs. These observations suggest that either some nucleotide changes within the 25 nt sequence are tolerable as a regulatory sequence for atpE translation initiation or there are two separate cis-elements.

Deletion from positions −80 to −3 in the atpE 5′-UTR did not completely inhibit atpE translation (Figure 6B, lanes −5 and −2). Furthermore, mutation m2 abolished atpE translation from monocistronic mRNA whereas slight atpE translation was observed from dicistronic
DISCUSSION

The chloroplast genes for ATP synthase subunits β and σ in many flowering plants possess overlapping start and stop codons. Based on this structure, it has been assumed that translation of these genes is coupled. Gatenby et al. (30) reported that the maize chloroplast atpB and atpE genes are translationally coupled in transformed E. coli and Synechocystis cells. They used these heterologous systems because no in vitro translation system from chloroplasts existed. We have established a highly active in vitro translation system from tobacco chloroplasts (34). Using this system, we showed that translational coupling does occur in the partially overlapping tobacco ndhK–ndhK transcripts (46) and in the overlapping tobacco psbD–psbE mRNA (Y. Adachi, H. Kuroda, Y. Yukawa and M. Sugiura).

However, unexpectedly, we concluded that the tobacco atpB/E mRNAs are not translationally coupled (except perhaps for a minor fraction) in the homologous tobacco chloroplast translation system. To arrive at this conclusion, we first devised an assay to detect translation from two cistrons differentially using two different fluorescent proteins. Results obtained by exchanging 5′-UTRs (Figure 3) and introduction of premature stop codons (Figures 4 and 5) indicated that no translational coupling occurs between the two cistrons.

Translation of the tobacco atpE cistron should occur via its own cis-element. Deletion and mutation analyses showed that the cis-element(s) resides within 25 nt or so from the atpE start codon. We previously reported that the SD-like sequence is required for translation of the monocistronic atpE mRNA because changing the GGAG to CCUC reduced atpE translation to ~5% of the original mRNA (33). This was confirmed by our present study (see Figure 6, lane m1 and Figure 7, lane m3). However, our present study showed that the SD-like sequence is not the sole determinant. A sequence of at least 25 nt (up to ~29) encompassing the SD-like sequence is necessary for efficient translation of the tobacco atpE cistron (see ‘Discussion’ section).

Figure 7. Effect of mutations in the atpE 5′-UTR on atpE translation. (A) mRNA templates as in Figures 3A (Dicistronic) and 2A (Monocistronic) and partial atpE 5′-UTR sequence. The SD-like sequence and the overlapping stop and start codons are underlined. Nucleotide and amino acid sequences are in Supplementary Figure S8. (B) Gel patterns of translation products. Lanes labeled C, no-mRNA control. Synthesized EGFP and Citrine products were detected as in (Nucleotide and amino acid sequences are in Supplementary Figure S8).
component of such a factor for atpB translation initiation. One possibility is that P50 is the homolog of the maize atP1 gene product. Specific chloroplast proteins were reported to bind to the atpE 5' - UTR as well as to the atpB 5' - UTR from spinach chloroplast mRNAs (52). Therefore, it is possible that a trans-acting factor(s) is also necessary for atpE translation. If this is the case, this factor binds to the atpE 5' - UTR, namely the 3' side of the atpB coding region, which would hamper the elongation of atpB translation. It may be that a fraction of atpB/E mRNAs produces solely subunit β and the other fraction provides subunit ε.

Our study showed that the residual atpE translation from the dicistronic mRNA that may be due to translational coupling is <10% of atpB translation (Figures 6 and 7). The SD sequence is necessary to translate downstream cistrons by translational coupling in E. coli (19). However, residual translation was still observed after deletion and mutation of the SD-like sequence in the atpE 5' - UTR. Thus, we cannot rule out the possibility that an unknown mechanism supports this residual atpE translation.
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