Identification, functional characterization, and regulation of the enzyme responsible for floral (E)-nerolol biosynthesis in kiwifruit (Actinidia chinensis)
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Abstract

Flowers of the kiwifruit species Actinidia chinensis produce a mixture of sesquiterpenes derived from farnesyl diphosphate (FDP) and monoterpenes derived from geranyl diphosphate (GDP). The tertiary sesquiterpene alcohol (E)-nerolol was the major emitted volatile detected by headspace analysis. Contrastingly, in solvent extracts of the flowers, unusually high amounts of (E,E)-farnesol were observed, as well as lesser amounts of (E)-nerolol, various farnesol and farnesal isomers, and linalool. Using a genomics-based approach, a single gene (AcNES1) was identified in an A. chinensis expressed sequence tag library that had significant homology to known floral terpene synthase enzymes. In vitro characterization of recombinant AcNES1 revealed it was an enzyme that could catalyse the conversion of FDP and GDP to the respective (E)-nerolol and linalool terpene alcohols. Enantiomeric analysis of both AcNES1 products in vitro and floral terpenes in planta showed that (S)-(E)-nerolol was the predominant enantiomer. Real-time PCR analysis indicated peak expression of AcNES1 correlated with peak (E)-nerolol, but not linalool accumulation in flowers. This result, together with subcellular protein localization to the cytoplasm, indicated that AcNES1 was acting as a (S)-(E)-nerolol synthase in A. chinensis flowers. The synthesis of high (E,E)-farnesol levels appears to compete for the available pool of FDP utilized by AcNES1 for sesquiterpene biosynthesis and hence strongly influences the accumulation and emission of (E)-nerolol in A. chinensis flowers.
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Introduction

Terpenes (also referred to as terpenoids or isoprenoids) represent the most abundant group of plant volatiles and are common components of floral scent and herbivore-induced volatile mixtures (Pichersky and Gershenzon, 2002; Shearer and Hampton, 2005; Dudareva and Pichersky, 2006). The volatility of plant terpenes enables them to act as chemical messengers that are received by organisms at different trophic levels. Terpenes not only underlie the complex communication system that exists between plants, pollinators, herbivores, and their parasites and predators (Unsicker et al., 2009; Dicke and Baldwin, 2010), but ultimately serve to increase plant fitness (Harborne, 1991). The diversity of terpene compounds in nature derives from the C5 compound isopentenyl diphosphate (IDP) and its allylic isomer dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMADP). Successive condensations of IDP with DMADP that proceed via the action of prenyltransferase enzymes, result in the formation of linear elongated prenyldiphosphates, including the C10 monoterpen precursor geranyl diphosphate (GDP), the C15 sesquiterpene precursor farnesyl diphosphate and the C20 diterpene precursor geranylgeranyl diphosphate (GGDP). In higher plants, the synthesis of IDP is compartmentally segregated (Lichtenthaler et al., 1997) with the cytosolic mevalonate (MVA) pathway
Biosynthesis of terpenes is commonly restricted to specific plant tissues and specialized secretory structures contained within those tissues. Volatile terpenes are often emitted from specific floral tissues at particular times to attract pollinators. For example, Dudareva et al. (2003) demonstrated that the biosynthesis and emission of (E)-β-ocimene and β-myrcene in snapdragon (Antirrhinum majus) flowers correlated with specific expression patterns of the corresponding monoterpene synthase (TPS) genes in the upper and lower lobes of flower petals during floral development. The expression of these genes was also found to follow a weak diurnal cycle controlled by a circadian clock. Contrastingly, the expression of mono-TPS and sesqui-TPS enzymes in Arabidopsis flowers is limited to the stigma, anthers, nectaries, and sepalas (Tholl et al., 2005). The expression profiles of these TPS enzymes suggested that the volatile terpenes released from Arabidopsis flowers might both function as short-range attractants of pollinating insects and also provide a measure of defence for floral tissues vulnerable to microbial pathogens or herbivores.

The catalytic conversion of linear mono-, sesqui-, and diterpene prenyldiphosphate compounds to terpenes is carried out by the TPS enzyme family. TPS biochemistry is generally characterized by electrophilically initiated reactions which, with the assistance of divalent metal ions, drive carbocation formation via ionization of the diphosphate precursor (Croteau, 1987; Cane, 1990; Lesburg et al., 1998; Greenhagen and Chappell, 2001). Although TPS enzymes can produce a single compound with high regio- and stereospecificity (Croteau, 1987; Cane, 1990), they also show a propensity to generate multiple products from a single prenyldiphosphate substrate. In terms of floral terpene production, this is perhaps best illustrated in Arabidopsis, where only two enzymes were found to be responsible for the synthesis of the 20 identified sesquiterpenes (Tholl et al., 2005).

To date, floral TPS enzymes have been identified and characterized in many flowering plants including Arabidopsis, Clarkia, snapdragon (Dudareva et al., 1996; Dudareva et al., 2003; Tholl et al., 2005; Nagegowda et al., 2008), and, more recently, in Actinidia species (Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2010). Characterized Actinidia TPS enzymes include those responsible for the predominant sesquiterpene volatiles (E,E)-β-farnesene and a (+)-germacreneD, produced by male and female Actinidia delicosa flowers (Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2009) and an (S)-linalool synthase, required for the production of floral lilac compounds in Actinidia arguta (Chen et al., 2010).

In this study, we describe the identification and functional characterization of the enzyme responsible for the production of (E)-nerolidol that constitutes the major floral volatile in the commercially important kiwifruit species Actinidia chinensis. We also provide evidence that the formation of the dephosphorylated FDP derivative (E,E)-farnesol influences the capacity of A. chinensis to synthesize floral sesquiterpenes.

Materials and methods

**Chemicals and chemical synthesis**

2-Methyl-but-3-en-2-ol, 3-methyl-but-2-enol, (E)-hex-2-en-1, rac-linalool, rac-(E,Z)-nerolidol, and (E,E)-farnesol were obtained from the Aldrich Chemical Company. (S)-Linalool and geranylinalool were obtained from Fluka. Geraniol was from Acros Organics and geranylerganiol was obtained from the Sigma Chemical Company. (S)-(E)-nerolidol was obtained from neroli essential oil (Neroli, Citrus aurantium, Lotus Essential Oils, Auckland, New Zealand). The essential oil (0.25 ml) was partially purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (pentane:DCM:MeOH, 100:99:1) and (E)-nerolidol identified by GC-MS comparison with (E,Z)-nerolidol (Aldrich). Neroli contains exclusively (E)-nerolidol and predominantly (S)-(E)-nerolidol (Mondello et al., 2002). Enantioselective GC-MS of the partially purified neroli essential oil determined it to be 14:86 ((R):(S)-E)-nerolidol.

8-Hydroxylinalool was synthesized according to a previously described method (Kreck et al., 2002). Prenylidiphosphate substrates were synthesized by phosphorylation of the appropriate alcohols (Keller and Thompson, 1993), viz. DMADP from 3-methyl-but-2-enol, linalyl diphosphate (LDP) from rac-linalool, GDP from geraniol, (E,E)-FDP (FDP) from (E,E)-farnesol, and GGDP from geranylerganiol. The isotopically-labelled substrates, [C1–3H1]-FDP and [C1–3H1]-GDP were made by phosphorylation of tritium-labelled farnesol and geraniol, respectively (Green et al., 2007). The labelled alcohols were synthesized by MnO2 or Dess-Martin periodinane (Comeskey et al., 2004) oxidation of the appropriate alcohol to the aldehyde, then reduction (Croteau et al., 1994) with NaB3H3 (Amersham).

**Headspace volatile trapping and solvent extractions**

A. chinensis Planch. var. chinensis (‘Hort16A’) flowers were harvested from the Plant & Food Research Actinidia orchard in Te Puke, New Zealand. Headspace volatiles were collected on site from flower samples according to Match et al. (2003) with minor modifications. Five fully opened flowers were harvested in triplicate every 4 h from noon (12:00 h on 18 October 2007) until 08:00 the following day. The harvested flowers were placed in 50 ml Quickfit tubes and the flower volatiles trapped for 3 h in direct thermal desorption tubes (ATAS GL International, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) packed with 80 mg Chromosorb absorbent (Shimadzu Co, Kyoto, Japan), using purified air at a flow rate of 25 ml min⁻¹.

Solvent extractions were carried out in triplicate on flowers samples (5 g) harvested at the equivalent time points to the headspace sampling. Flowers were transferred to 50 ml Quickfit tubes and extracted twice with 10 ml pentane:Et3O (1:1 v/v) for 30 min with gentle shaking. The two extractions were combined and stored overnight at ~20 ºC. The following day the upper solvent layer was carefully removed from the lower frozen water layer using a glass pipette and reduced to 2 ml under a gentle stream of N2. The concentrated extract was then passed through a column of anhydrous MgSO4 to remove any remaining water.
Qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis of floral compounds

Headspace volatiles were desorbed directly from the thermal desorption tubes with a temperature ramp of 45–175 °C at 16 °C s⁻¹ and cryofocused on the front of the capillary column by a liquid nitrogen-cooled cryogenic trap at −110 °C. After cryofocusing, the trap temperature was ramped to 175 °C at 50 °C min⁻¹ (Optic 3 thermal desorption system, ATAS GL). A 15:1 split was employed while the volatiles were transferred into the capillary column at a column flow of 1 ml min⁻¹. The GC oven ramp was 35 °C for 2 min, 3 °C min⁻¹ to 60 °C, 5 °C min⁻¹ to 100 °C, 8 °C min⁻¹ to 170 °C, 10 °C min⁻¹ to 200 °C, and hold for 13 min. GC separations were on a 30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 μm film thickness DB-Wax (J & W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) capillary column in a HP6890 GC (Agilent Technologies) with helium as the carrier gas. The GC was coupled to a TOF-MS (Leco Pegasus III, St. Joseph, MI, USA). The ion source temperature was kept at 200 °C and ionization energy of 70 eV was used for electron impact ionization. The detector voltage was 1700 V, and ion spectra from 33 to 320 atomic mass units were collected with a data acquisition rate of 20 Hz. The total ion chromatograms were processed using the LECO ChromaTOF software.

Analysis of solvent extracted volatiles was carried out using the GC-MS system described above with the following modifications. Solvent extracts (1 μl) were injected into the GC with a 15:1 split and an oven temperature program of 200 °C. The GC oven ramp was 35 °C for 2 min, 3 °C min⁻¹ to 60 °C, 5 °C min⁻¹ to 100 °C, 8 °C min⁻¹ to 190 °C, 10 °C min⁻¹ to 230 °C, and hold for 15 min. The transfer line temperature was 220 °C.

Terpenes were identified using the following reference compounds: α-pinene, linalool, 1,8-cineole, and β-myrcene (Aldrich), limonene (BDH), β-pinene (K & K Laboratories), and sabinene (Phenomenex). Other compounds for which we did not have authentic standards (Tables 1 and 2) were identified by the ChromTOF software (version 2.3, Pegasus, Leuco Australia) using the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, version 2.0d, 2005) mass-spectral database, in combination with comparing the retention indices with those of a series of straight-chain hydrocarbon standards (C8-C20, 0.005 μl ml⁻¹ for each hydrocarbon). Peaks were selected and integrated manually using the molecular ion and/or specific diagnostic ions of each compound. The terpenes were quantified by measuring m/z 93 peak areas against an average response factor for the m/z 93 peak areas of 1,8-cineole (0.0366 μl ml⁻¹), linalool (0.0263 μl ml⁻¹), and caryophyllene (0.03 μl ml⁻¹), contained in an external standard. Calibration curves determined that the GC-MS system gave a linear response, with respect to analyte concentration, over the range of concentrations for which they were measured in the headspace and in the solvent extracts.

Terpene glycoside extraction and analysis

Glycoside analysis was carried out according to previous methods (Young and Paterson, 1995) with minor modifications. Frozen flower tissue (2 g) was ground to a fine powder in liquid N2, and transferred to a 50 ml Falcon tube and resuspended in 40 ml of water. The sample was centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min at room temperature and the supernatant passed through a 15 mm × 25 mm i.d. Amberlite XAD-2 column (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Bound glycosides were washed with 60 ml of water and the non-glycosylated glycosides were eluted with methanol (40 ml) and evaporated to dryness in a rotary evaporator. The resulting glycoside pellet was resuspended in 250 mM Na2HPO4 (pH 5), 220 mM citric acid) and re-extracted three times with 2.5 ml Et2O. Enzymatic hydrolysis was carried out using Rapidase AR2000 (DSM Food Specialties, Delft, The Netherlands) which was dissolved in de-glycosylation buffer and used at a concentration of 2 mg ml⁻¹. The hydrolysis sample was overlaid with 500 μl of Et2O and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. Following the incubation, the sample was extracted a further 1 ml of Et2O. Prior to GC-MS analysis the pooled Et2O extracts were passed through a column of anhydrous MgSO4 and reduced to 1.5 ml.

Quantification was carried out on the DB-Wax GC column on the Waters-Agilent GC-MS system described above. Linalool (0.0001 μl ml⁻¹), 8-hydroxylinalool (0.00016 μl ml⁻¹), synthesized in-house according to the methods of Kreck et al. (2002), (E,Z)-nerolidol (0.0002 μl ml⁻¹), and (E,E)-farnesol (0.0002 μl ml⁻¹) were used as external authentic standards. A spike of 2 μl of 1 ml⁻¹ of tridecane (Koch-Light) in each of the 1.5 ml standards (to give 0.00133 μl ml⁻¹) and c.1.5 ml aglycone extracts was also used to correct for sample volumes and for GC-MS system responses, by correcting for the tridecane m/z 184 peak areas. Peak areas of the above terpenes were measured for m/z 93, and concentrations of the external standards were within an order of magnitude of those in the aglycone extracts, thus maintaining a linear response of the mass spectrometer over the concentrations of analytes in the different samples. Enantioselective GC-MS analysis of the linalool and (E)-nerolidol aglycones (data not shown) was performed on the Waters-Agilent GC-MS system and the β-Dex 325 GC column described above, using the oven temperature ramp employed for linalool.

Sequence analysis

Multiple amino acid sequence alignments of TPS genes were performed with Clustal X (Thompson et al., 1997), using default parameters, and were manually adjusted in GeneDoc (www.nrscb.org/gfx/genedoc). Prediction of the AcNES1 protein open reading frame (ORF) was carried out using the EMBOSS database (http://www.ch.embnet.org/EMBOSS/index.html) translation tool Transaq (Rice et al., 2000). The bioinformatics tools ChloroP (Emanuelsson et al., 1999) and TargetP (Emanuelsson et al., 2000) available at http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ were used to predict the intracellular targeting of AcNES1. Evolutionary relationships for TPS enzymes were inferred using the neighbour-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987). The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method (Zuckerkandl and Pauling, 1965). Phylogenetic analyses were conducted in MEGA5.1 (Tamura et al., 2007). The TPS accession numbers are given in Supplementary Table S1, available at JXB online.

Production of AcNES1 protein

An AcNES1 fragment possessing 5’ EcoRI and 3’ XhoI endonuclease sites was amplified by PCR from a 1978-bp AcNES1/CDNA (GenBank accession JN242243) and cloned directly into the pET-30a expression vector (Novagen) as an EcoRI/XhoI fragment. Details of the primers are given in Supplementary Table S2. Recombinant proteins were expressed from the pET-30a plasmid following transformation into BL21-CodonPlus-RIL cells (Stratagene). Cultures (500 ml) were grown in ZYM-5052 autoinduction media (Studier, 2005) at 16 °C for 48–72 h at 300 rpm. Recombinant AcNES1 protein was extracted and purified according to previous methods (Green et al., 2007). Eluted recombinant proteins were analysed by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and terpene synthase activity measured. Protein concentrations were determined by Experion (BioRad) automated electrophoresis analysis, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

AcNES1 product analysis

AcNES1 solvent extraction assays for terpene product identification were carried out in 5 ml activity buffer (50 mM Bis-Tris propane (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl2, and 5 mM DTT), in 50 ml glass tubes typically containing 50–100 μg purified recombinant AcNES1 protein and 20 μM FDP or GDP precursor. Assays were overlaid with 5 ml pentane:Et2O (1:1 v/v) and incubated in a 30 °C water bath for 30 min. An additional 15 ml of solvent was added to each test tube and the tubes vortexed vigorously for 30 s to
extract the volatiles. The solvent extracts were dried using MgSO4 and reduced in volume to 200 μl, under a stream of N2, before GC-MS analysis.

GC-MS separations were carried out on an Agilent 6890N GC coupled to a Waters GCT ToF mass spectrometer. Initial chromatographic separations of 1 μl samples were on a 20 m × 0.18 mm i.d. × 0.18 μm film thickness DB-W款 (Agilent) capillary column with a He flow of 0.9 ml min−1 and an injection port temperature of 220 °C. The oven temperature ramp was 1 min at 35 °C, 5 °C min−1 to 240 °C, and hold for 15 min. The retention time and mass spectrum agreed with those of the authentic compounds obtained commercially and synthesized in-house. Enantioselective GC-MS separations were performed on the above GC-MS system on a 30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 μm film thickness β-Dex 325 (Supelco) capillary column with a helium flow rate of 1 ml min−1. For analysis of AcNES1 products in vitro (after 1 μl, 1 min splitless injections), the oven temperature ramps were: 2-methyl-but-3-en-2-ol: 5 min at 28 °C and 5 °C min−1 to 230 °C; linalool: 1 min at 35 °C, 5 °C min−1 to 230 °C, and hold for 5 min; and (E)-nerolidol: 1 min at 50 °C, 3 °C min−1 to 130 °C, 7 °C min−1 to 230 °C, and hold for 10 min. For flower solvent extracts the oven temperature ramp for (E)-nerolidol and linalool was 1 min at 35 °C, 5 °C min−1 to 230 °C and hold for 5 min.

Enzyme kinetic analysis

Quadruplicate assays (50 μl) containing 50 mM BIS-TRIS propane (pH 7.5) and 150 nmoles AcNES1 protein were initiated by the addition of [C1−3H1]-FDP (4.0 GBq mmol−1) or [C1−3H1]-GDP (6.2 GBq mmol−1) precursors and incubated for 20 s at room temperature. Reactions were stopped with the addition of three volumes of 0.1M KOH/0.2 M EDTA solution and labelled products extracted with 0.5 ml pentane:Et2O (1:1, v/v) and an aliquot taken for scintillation analysis. FDP and GDP kinetic determinations were carried out in the presence of 20 mM MgCl2 or 20 mM MnCl2 in separate assays. Cofactor determinations for Mg2+ and Mn2+ were carried out in the presence of 10 μM [C1−3H1]-FDP. Control assays using boiled enzyme were used to determine background radioactive counts. Kinetic constants were calculated from Bq data by non-linear regression of the Michaelis–Menten equation using the Origin 7.5 (Microcal Software, Northampton, MA, USA) graphics package. Data were calculated from three independent experiments.

Alternative substrate analysis

Relative velocity data (Vmax) for AcNES1 was also determined using prenyldiphosphates (50 μM) that were not tritium labelled, using semi-quantitative GC-MS. Assays were set up in the same manner as the 5 ml solvent extraction assay described above with the following exceptions: the duration of incubation was increased to 1 h and the enzyme concentration was decreased to 55 nmoles. For semi-quantitative GC-MS, sample peak areas were measured relative to authentic compounds (external standards) with tetradecane used as an internal standard in all samples to correct for day-to-day variation in the sensitivity of the GC-MS system. Enzyme activities were linear with time and enzyme concentration in all cases and were measured at least twice.

Real-time gene expression analysis

RNA was extracted from flowers, flower parts, fruit, and leaves according to Nieuwenhuizen et al. (2007) and treated with 10 U of DNaseI (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) before cDNA synthesis. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and diluted 50-fold before use. Relative quantitation real-time gene expression analysis of targets and the housekeeping gene elongation factor 1α were performed (four technical replicates) on a LightCycler 480 platform using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green master mix and results were analysed using the LightCycler 480 software (Roche). The analysis was carried out using the ΔCt method according to Montefiori et al., (2011), enabling a comparison of the level of expression of multiple target genes normalized to a common reference gene considered stable and unchanging in the different samples. The thermal programme was 5 min at 95 °C and 40 cycles of 10 s at 95 °C, 10 s at 60 °C, and 20 s at 72 °C, followed by melting curve analysis at 95 °C 5 s and 65 °C 60 s, and then ramping at 0.18 °C s−1 to 95 °C. Primers for real-time gene expression analysis are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Results

Volatile emission and accumulation in A. chinensis flowers

The emission of floral terpenes by A. chinensis was investigated using a combination of dynamic headspace sampling and solvent extraction followed by GC-MS analysis. Volatile sampling, which was carried out over the course of a 24 h day/night cycle, revealed a mixture of monoterprenes, sesquiterpenes, and various terpene derivatives to be present in the headspace of the flowers (Table 1). The (E)-nerolidol emission, calculated on a ng (g fresh weight)−1 h−1 (gFW−1 h−1) basis over a day/night cycle, constituted ~30% of the total emitted terpenes and was produced at an average rate of 7.5 ng gFW−1 h−1. The (E,E)-α-farnesene oxidation product, 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (Anet, 1972), was the second most prevalent terpene compound, with an emission rate of ~4.18 ng gFW−1 h−1, while (E,E)-α-farnesene emission was the next highest, at ~1.15 ng gFW−1 h−1. Lower amounts of the terpene metabolites (E)- and (Z)-geranyl acetone and 4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene, which were assumed to be oxidative degradation products of (E)-nerolidol (Donath and Boland, 1995; Boland et al., 1998), were also present. The emission profiles for the main floral sesquiterpenes (Fig. 1) showed that peak (E)-nerolidol emission occurred at midnight (0:00 h) then declined over the next 8 h where it remained low until evening. Although there was a suggestion of smaller day-time (E)-nerolidol emission peak at 16:00 h, the emission rates between noon and 20:00 h were not significantly different. The emission profile for (E,E)-α-farnesene and its oxidation product 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one remained relatively constant, although levels did appear to gradually decline from
midnight onwards. Generally, the concentrations of the different monoterpenes (Supplementary Fig. S1) were low (< 3 ng gFW⁻¹ h⁻¹) and showed little variation over the course of the day/night cycle, although cumulative amounts of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes (predominantly (E)-nerolidol) were similar (Table 1).

Solvent extractions of flowers taken at the equivalent time points to the above headspace analysis were analysed for the presence of accumulated volatiles. In contrast to the emitted volatiles, the profile of accumulated volatiles was significantly less complex and primarily consisted of sesquiterpenes. The accumulated terpenes, presumed to be produced by TPS enzymes, were dominated by linalool and (E)-nerolidol, with ~1500 and ~890 ng gFW⁻¹ respectively. Time point analysis of these terpenes (Fig. 2) showed that (E,E)-farnesol and (E)-nerolidol were largely accumulated during the day, with peak concentrations occurring at noon. Notably, the highest concentrations of accumulated (E)-nerolidol corresponded to the lowest rate of emitted (E)-nerolidol and vice versa, while linalool accumulation remained relatively constant over the course of the day/night cycle.

### Table 1. Actinidia chinensis flower semi-quantitative headspace terpene analysis

Emission rates for individual terpenes (listed in order of concentration) are calculated on the average hourly emission over a 24 h day/night cycle and are presented as ng (g fresh weight)⁻¹ h⁻¹. Fractions are given as a percentage of total emitted terpenes. Sums for each terpene class are italicized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sesquiterpenes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)-Nerolidol</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>29.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,E)-Farnesol</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>5.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,E)-α-Farnesene</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z,E)-Farnesol</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,E)-Farnesol</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z,E)-α-Farnesene</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z,E)-Farnesol</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monoterpenes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)-β-Ocimene</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linalool</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Pinene</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabinene</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Pinene</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,8-Cineole</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)-β-Ocimene</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limonene</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Myrcene</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Thujene</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>32.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terpene metabolites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>16.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)-Geranyl acetone&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,8-Dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)-Geranyl acetone&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>22.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Oxidative breakdown product (E,E)-α-farnesene (Anet, 1972).

<sup>b</sup> Oxidative breakdown products of nerolidol (Donath and Boland, 1995; Boland et al., 1996).

### Table 2. Actinidia chinensis flower semi-quantitative solvent-extracted terpene analysis

Terpenes are listed in order of concentration and are presented as ng (g fresh weight)⁻¹ h⁻¹. Fractions are given as a percentage of total accumulated terpenes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sesquiterpenes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,E)-Farnesol</td>
<td>18700</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,E)-Farnesol</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z,E)-Farnesol</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)-Nerolidol</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z,E)-Farnesol</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,Z)-Farnesol</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monoterpenes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linalool</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraniol</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geranyl acetate</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Volatile glycosylation in A. chinensis flowers

Differences in the accumulation and emission patterns for (E)-nerolidol and (E,E)-farnesol in A. chinensis flowers suggested that these compounds might be sequestered in non-volatile glycosylated form for later release. Floral glycosides were extracted from A. chinensis and analysed by GC-MS after enzymatic hydrolysis (Fig. 3). This analysis showed that glycosylated forms of (E)-nerolidol, (E,E)-farnesol, and linalool were present in the flowers, as well as high levels of glycosylated 8-hydroxylinalool. Glycosylated (E)-nerolidol was detected at midnight, at ~290 ng gFW⁻¹ (or ~44% of the total (E)-nerolidol pool), and increased to 340 ng gFW⁻¹ at noon (although this level now only equated to ~12% of the total (E)-nerolidol pool). There was no glycosylated (E)-nerolidol detected following the period of peak (E)-nerolidol accumulation at noon (Fig. 2), suggesting that between noon and 16:00 h the sequestered (E)-nerolidol had been remobilized and released from the flower. The pattern of (E,E)-farnesol glycosylation (Fig. 3B) was similar to that of (E)-nerolidol, although it only comprised a minor component (~0.1–6%) of the total (E,E)-farnesol pool. Glycosylated linalool accounted for between ~2.5% and 6.5% of the total linalool pool with peak accumulation occurring between midnight and noon. Unexpectedly, 8-hydroxylinalool (Fig. 3C), was by far the most predominant A. chinensis glycosylated floral terpene, with levels declining from ~87% (~11,300 ng gFW⁻¹) of the total linalool pool at midnight to 62% (~2600 ng gFW⁻¹) at 20:00 h.
Identification of terpene synthase-like sequences from A. chinensis flowers

A homology-based gene mining approach was taken to identify putative TPS enzymes that could account for the production of the terpene compounds identified in A. chinensis flowers. A search of an Actinidia expressed sequence tag database (Crowhurst et al., 2008) identified a single contig from floral tissue with sequence homology to known floral TPS sequences (Supplementary Fig. S2). This transcript represented a full-length cDNA (termed AcNES1) of 1978 nucleotides encoding a predicted protein of 573 amino acids and 65.4 kDa. AcNES1 was not predicted to contain a plastid-targeting peptide according to the ChloroP and TargetP prediction programmes. Phylogenetic analysis showed that AcNES1 clustered in a well-supported manner with the TPS-g enzymes in the TPS-g subgroup (Bohlmann et al., 1998; Dudareva et al., 2003) (Fig. 4). The TPS-g enzymes are
characterized by the absence of an N-terminal RRX8W motif, which is reported to be essential for cyclic monoterpene production in the angiosperm TPS-b and gymnosperm TPS-d enzyme subgroups (Savage et al., 1994). AcNES1 was most similar to the Actinidia polygama and A. arguta linalool synthases (Chen et al., 2010), with ~78% amino acid identity.

Enzymatic properties of AcNES1

Purified recombinant AcNES1 protein was analysed for activity with GDP and FDP precursors in separate solvent extraction assays (Fig. 5). GC-MS analysis of the pentane/Et₂O extracted volatiles showed that AcNES1 could catalyse the conversion of both FDP and GDP precursors to the respective terpene alcohols (E)-nerolidol and linalool. The smaller amount of (Z)-nerolidol produced by AcNES1 was assumed to derive from (Z,E)-FDP contamination of the (E,E)-FDP used. Kinetic evaluation of recombinant AcNES1 enzyme (Table 3) showed that it had a higher binding affinity for FDP compared with GDP (Kₘ values of 0.80 and 1.89 μM respectively) and an approximate four-fold increase in catalytic efficiency (k₉/Kₘ) in the presence of FDP (300 s⁻¹ mM⁻¹) compared with GDP (69 s⁻¹ mM⁻¹). AcNES1 also showed an approximate 8-fold increase in its affinity for Mn²⁺ (Kₘ ≈ 14.2 μM compared with Mg²⁺ (Kₘ ≈ 117 μM) as the divalent metal cofactor. However, in the presence of Mn²⁺ AcNES1, activity was only ~22% of that observed with FDP and Mg²⁺ together and ~54% of that observed with GDP and Mg²⁺ together. The kinetics for substrate and divalent metal ion preference are similar to those observed for other TPS-g enzymes (Nagegowda et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2010).

Enantiomeric analysis of terpene compounds from AcNES1 and A. chinensis flowers

Enantioselective GC-MS analysis of (E)-nerolidol and linalool produced by AcNES1 determined that (S)-(E)-nerolidol and (S)-linalool (Fig. 6) were the predominant enantiomers. AcNES1 was also observed to produce (S)-linalool (Fig. 6F) from racemic LDP, while the small amount of (R)-linalool observed was assumed to have derived from LDP breakdown. This assumption was based on the fact that other racemic monoterpene products were seen in this analysis (data not shown). Equivalent analysis of an A. chinensis whole-flower extract (Fig. 6D) also showed that (S)-(E)-nerolidol was the predominant enantiomer and hence supports the assumption that AcNES1 is likely to be responsible for floral (S)-(E)-nerolidol biosynthesis in A. chinensis. In contrast to the (S)-linalool produced by AcNES1, the predominant linalool enantiomer in A. chinensis flowers, as previously shown by Matich et al. (2010) was (R)-linalool.

Alternative substrate usage by AcNES1

The sequence homology of AcNES1 to TPS-g nerolidol/linalool and linalool/nerolidol/geranyllinalool synthases from grape (Martin et al., 2010) provided a rational basis for testing additional prenyldiphosphate substrates ranging from C5 to C20. This analysis (summarized in Table 4)
showed that AcNES1 was able to catalyse the conversion of GGDP (C20) to the corresponding terpene alcohol geranyl-linalool at ~10% of the optimized rate for (E)-nerolidol from FDP but was unable to convert the C5 hemiterpene (isoprene) precursor DMADP to its corresponding alcohol. This in vitro plasticity for GDP, FDP and GGDP prenyldiphosphate usage in AcNES1 is mirrored in the activity of the three grape TPS-g sesqui-TPS enzymes (Martin et al., 2010) and again demonstrates an inherent capacity for TPS enzymes to evolve different product and substrate specificities.

**Gene expression analysis**

Relative gene expression analysis was carried out to investigate the spatial and temporal regulation patterns of AcNES1 in A. chinensis (Fig. 7). The highest AcNES1 expression was observed in the petals of fully open flowers, with lower expression in the stamens and pistils (Fig. 7A). AcNES1 expression was not observed in unopened flowers. Over the course of a day/night cycle, AcNES1 expression followed a weak diurnal rhythm with peak

**Fig. 4.** Phylogenetic analysis of selected plant terpene synthase (TPS) enzymes. The clusters correspond to the previously recognized TPS subfamilies (TPS-a - TPS-g) (Bohlmann et al., 1998; Dudareva et al., 2003). Terpene synthases from the TPS-e and TPS-c subgroups, which are involved in plant primary metabolism, were not included in this analysis. AcNES1 is indicated by a filled square. Protein alignments were conducted using the ClustalX algorithm and trees were inferred using the neighbour-joining method. N = 10,000 replicates for bootstrapping. Accession numbers for each enzyme are given in Supplementary Table S1.
expression levels occurring between 20:00–0:00 h and 8:00–12:00 h (Fig. 7B).

Gene expression analysis was also carried out to determine how genes in the MVA pathway might modulate FDP flux and consequently sesquiterpene emission and/or accumulation in *A. chinensis* flowers (Fig. 8). The profiles of three family members encoding the key regulatory enzyme HMG-CoA reductase (HMGR) (Maurey *et al.*, 1986; Gondet *et al.*, 1992; Hemmerlin *et al.*, 2003; Hey *et al.*, 2006; Muñoz-Bertomeu *et al.*, 2007) and the mevalonate-5-diphosphate decarboxylase gene (*MDC*) peaked in expression at 08:00 h. This peak in expression preceded maximal (E,E)-farnesol and (E)-nerolidol accumulation at noon (Fig. 2), and suggests a link between FDP substrate flux and sesquiterpene accumulation in *A. chinensis* flowers. Interestingly, the reduction of floral HMGR gene expression between 08:00 h and noon also coincided with increasing (E,E)-farnesol concentrations (Figs. 8 and 2, respectively).

Expression analysis for the other MVA genes (Fig. 8) showed that peak expression for the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA synthase (*HMGS*), phosphomevalonate kinase (*PMK*), and isopentenyldiphosphate isomerase (*IDPI*) genes all occurred at 20:00 h.

**Table 3.** Kinetic profiles of recombinant AcNES1 protein

Recombinant AcNES1 enzyme was obtained using a combination of Ni²⁺ affinity and gel filtration chromatography. Kinetic parameters are given for both farnesyl diphosphate (FDP) and geranyl diphosphate (GDP) (0.25–100 μM) in the presence of 20 mM MgCl₂. FDP and GDP kinetic determinations in the presence of MnCl₂ were not calculated due to the low activity rates of AcNES1 with the Mn²⁺ cation. Kinetic parameters are also given for Mg²⁺ (0.05–20 mM) and Mn²⁺ (0.01–2.5 mM) in the presence of 10 μM FDP. *Kₘ*, Michaelis constant. All values represent mean ± SE (*n* = 3). AcNES1 turnover rates (*kₜₐₜ*) were calculated under conditions of saturating substrate and divalent metal ion concentrations. Relative velocity (*Vₐₑᵱ*) data were determined from *kₜₐₜ* values. NA, not applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>Kₘ</em> (μM)</th>
<th><em>kₜₐₜ</em> (s⁻¹)</th>
<th><em>kₜₐₜ</em>/<em>Kₘ</em> (s⁻¹ mM⁻¹)</th>
<th><em>Vₑᵱ</em> (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDP (Mg²⁺)</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.013</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺ (FDP)</td>
<td>117 ± 37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn²⁺ (FDP)</td>
<td>14.2 ± 2.70</td>
<td>0.053 ± 0.005</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP (Mg²⁺)</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.002</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP (Mn²⁺)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.003</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subcellular localization and in planta activity of AcNES1

The subcellular localization of AcNES1 was investigated using an AcNES1-GFP fusion protein in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (Fig. 9) revealed a diffuse GFP fluorescence pattern for AcNES1-GFP protein distribution exclusively present in the cytosol. Similar fluorescence patterns were observed for a control GFP expression product, while no fluorescence was observed in untransfected protoplasts. These results are in agreement with the lack of a predicted AcNES1 plastid-targeting signal (ChloroP) and confirm that this enzyme is located in the cytosol.

*A. tumefaciens*-mediated transient expression (Hellens *et al.*, 2005) in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves was used to confirm that AcNES1 acted as a (E)-nerolidol synthase *in planta*. *N. benthamiana* leaves infiltrated with a CaMV 35S-driven binary AcNES1 vector construct produced significant

---

**Fig. 5.** AcNES1 GC-MS analysis. (A) Pentane/Et₂O samples extracted from assays containing purified recombinant AcNES1 in the presence of FDP. (B) Authentic (Z)- and (E)-nerolidol standards. (C) Linalool produced by AcNES1 from GDP. (D) Authentic linalool standard. The butylhydroxytoluene contaminant seen in (A) is derived from the diethyl ether used in the terpene volatile extraction.
amounts of nerolidol (Fig. 10). \((E)\)-nerolidol was not produced in control \(N.\) benthamiana leaves that had been infiltrated with buffer or a GUS-containing binary vector. Small amounts of linalool were also identified in both the control and \(AcNES1\) infiltrated leaves and were therefore assumed to be derived from an endogenous linalool synthase.

**Discussion**

Terpene emission by \(A.\) chinensis flowers is dominated by the tertiary sesquiterpene alcohol \((E)\)-nerolidol. \((E)\)-nerolidol is a common volatile constituent of plants and nerolidol synthases have been characterized in a number of plant species including strawberry (Aharoni et al., 2004), grape (Martin et al., 2010), snapdragon (Nagegowda et al., 2008), and maize (Schnee et al., 2002). \((E)\)-nerolidol is likely to play a role in attracting pollinators or seed dispersal agents and is also produced by plants in response to insect herbivory (Bouwmeester et al., 1999; Degenhardt and Gershenzon, 2000; Danner et al., 2011). Our data shows that, in \(A.\) chinensis, \((E)\)-nerolidol mostly accumulates during the day, while its release occurs mostly during the night (Figs. 1 and 2, respectively). This not only contrasts to the emission of \((E)\)-nerolidol in snapdragon flowers (Dudareva et al., 2005) and \((E, E)\)-farnesene and germacrene-D in \(A.\) deliciosa (Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2009), which occurs during the day, but also suggests a possible role in attracting night-time insect pollinators.

One feature that differentiates \(A.\) chinensis floral terpene metabolism from that of other kiwifruit species is the low rates of floral terpene emission. Peak \((E)\)-nerolidol emission in \(A.\) chinensis is more than 3-fold lower than the equivalent \((E, E)\)-\(\alpha\)-farnesene emission in \(A.\) deliciosa (i.e. \(-24\) v. 76 ng gFW\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively) (Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2009), while total monoterpane emissions in \(A.\) chinensis (\(-8.2\) ng gFW\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) equate to only \(-9\%\) of the linalool emission in \(A.\) arguta (Chen et al., 2010). Although the level of

Table 4. Semi-quantitative GC-MS analysis of \(AcNES1\) alternate substrate usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product (%)</th>
<th>LDP</th>
<th>GDP</th>
<th>FDP</th>
<th>GGDP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(R)-Linalool</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-Linalool</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S)-(E)-Nerolidol</td>
<td>100(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geranyl linalool</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) 100\% = \(k_{\text{cat}}\) \(-0.127\) s\(^{-1}\).
accumulated linalool in *A. chinensis* flowers appears to be higher than that observed for *A. arguta* (1.5 μg gFW⁻¹ versus 0.1 μg gFW⁻¹ respectively), linalool turnover in *A. arguta* flowers is likely to be significantly higher. The linalool produced in *A. arguta* flowers has many highly abundant biosynthetic derivatives (Chen et al., 2010), which would be expected to deplete the pool of accumulated linalool.

**Terpene volatile sequestration in *A. chinensis* flowers**

The apparent disconnect between the accumulation and emission of (E)-nerolidol suggested that sequestration might be involved in regulating levels of terpene volatiles in *A. chinensis* flowers. However, our analysis showed that the glycosylation profile cannot account for the difference in the timing of (E)-nerolidol production and release. A significant proportion of the total (E)-nerolidol pool (44%) was glycosylated at midnight (Fig. 3A and D), which corresponded to the time of peak of (E)-nerolidol emission (Fig. 1) rather than accumulation as might be expected. Moreover, during the peak accumulation of (E)-nerolidol at noon and then during its steady decline from noon to 20:00 h, glycosylated (E)-nerolidol was not detected.

Interestingly, glycosylation is likely to be impacting on floral linalool emission in *A. chinensis*. Significant amounts of linalool are produced (Fig. 3C and D); however, the majority is either glycosylated directly or enzymatically converted to 8-hydroxylinalool and then glycosylated. The presence of 8-hydroxylinalool has also been observed as an unexpected consequence of over-expressing a *Clarkia* (S)-linalool synthase in tomato (Lewinsohn et al., 2001) and was put down to the presence of a hydroxylase able to act on (S)-linalool.

**The function of AcNES1 in *A. chinensis* flowers**

Subcellular protein localization can control terpene biosynthesis *in planta* by modulating terpene precursor accessibility. Although it is generally accepted that GDP and FDP biosynthesis are segregated to plastidial and cytosolic compartments, respectively, low concentrations of plastidial FDP and cytosolic GDP have been identified in *Arabidopsis*, tobacco, and potato (Aharoni et al., 2003, 2006; Wu et al., 2006). It is therefore possible that an enzyme that shows bifunctional behaviour *in vitro* can also exhibit the same behaviour *in planta*. This appears to be true for the bifunctional, cytosolically targeted FaNES1 enzyme in strawberry, which is reported to synthesize both (E)-nerolidol and linalool in cultivated strawberry fruit (Aharoni et al., 2004).

However in *Antiirrhium majus* flowers, the bifunctional cytosolically-targeted AmNES/LIS1 enzyme has been shown to produce (E)-nerolidol exclusively from cytoplasmic FDP pools, whilst the chloroplast-targeted AmNES/LIS2 enzyme exclusively produces linalool from plastid (specifically leucoplasts) GDP pools (Nagegowda et al., 2008). The cytosolically targeted AcNES1 enzyme from *A. chinensis* flowers is also likely to act exclusively as a (E)-nerolidol synthase, as *N. benthamiana* leaves transiently over-expressing AcNES1 (Fig. 10) produced only (E)-nerolidol. Two additional results support the contention that AcNES1 acts as an (S)-(E)-nerolidol synthase and not a linalool synthase in *A. chinensis* flowers. The (S)-(E)-nerolidol enantiomer produced by AcNES1 matches the predominant floral (E)-nerolidol enantiomer (Fig. 6A and D, respectively) and AcNES1 produces (S)-linalool from GDP, not (R)-linalool as found in flowers.

**Regulation of terpene biosynthesis in *A. chinensis* flowers**

An unusual feature of *A. chinensis* flowers is the high amounts of (E,E)-farnesol that they accumulate (Table 2) compared with flowers from other species, including other kiwifruit (Matich et al., 2003; Crowhurst et al., 2008). It is also notable that the delicate floral tissue is not adversely affected by (E,E)-farnesol concentrations that can reach 42,600 ng gFW⁻¹ (or ~190 μM), considering that 25 μM is reported to be toxic to tobacco BY-2 cells (Hammerlin and
The accumulation of \((E,E\)-farnesol in \(A. \text{chinensis}\) flowers suggests that farnesyl pyrophosphatase activity (Nah et al., 2001) is elevated and/or that the ability to regenerate FDP through the successive phosphorylation of farnesyl to farnesyl phosphate and farnesyl phosphate to FDP (Thai et al., 1999; Hemmerlin and Bach, 2000; Hemmerlin et al., 2006; Fitzpatrick et al., 2011) is decreased. However, the later explanation seems less
plausible given that there is significant turnover of the accumulated farnesol occurring (Fig. 2). The uptake of farnesol by plant cells and incorporation into sterols, proteins, and ubiquinone is likely to account for most of this turnover (Thai et al., 1999; Hemmerlin and Bach, 2000; Hartmann and Bach, 2001), although 7–10% can be accounted for by the conversion of farnesol to farnesal (Supplementary Fig. S3) and up to ~6% is glycosylated (Fig. 3D). Although reasons for the high levels of (E,E)-farnesol accumulation remain to be determined, it is likely that the consequence of this accumulation will be a depleted pool of FDP available to AcNES1 and hence a diminished capacity for floral sesquiterpene production in A. chinensis.

Our work also shows that the regulation of FDP flux in A. chinensis flowers and hence sesquiterpene production is likely to be modulated by a number of different MVA pathway genes (Fig. 8). Specifically, the peak levels of HMGR RNA expression (Fig. 8) were found to correlate with the day-time accumulation profile of (E)-nerolidol and (E,E)-farnesol (Fig. 2). Moreover, the inverse correlation that exists between the day-time increases in (E,E)-farnesol accumulation and decreases in HMGR expression levels (Figs. 2 and 8 respectively) indicates that high (E,E)-farnesol concentrations may down-regulate floral HMGR expression and/or HMGR enzyme activity. While farnesol treatment has been shown to increase both HMGR mRNA and apparent enzyme activity levels in tobacco cells (Hemmerlin and Bach, 2000) it has also been shown to down-regulate HMGR enzyme activity in yeast as a consequence of induced structural changes following farnesol binding (Shearer and Hampton, 2005).

In this study we have identified a sesqui-TPS gene that accounts for (E)-nerolidol production in A. chinensis flowers. Moreover, we have provided evidence to suggest that elevated (E,E)-farnesol production in A. chinensis flowers reduces their capacity for sesquiterpene biosynthesis. In the future it will be interesting to establish the reasons why such high amounts of the MVA pathway carbon flux ends up as (E,E)-farnesol and how the enzymes involved in the dephosphorylation of FDP, its salvage from (E,E)-farnesol, and...
subsequent reincorporation into primary metabolism are influencing the availability of a precursor crucial for secondary plant metabolic processes.

Supplementary material

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

**Supplementary Fig. S1.** Rates of monoterpenic release from *A. chinensis* flowers during a day/night cycle.

**Supplementary Fig. S2.** Sequence alignment of AcNES1 with TPS enzymes from fruit and flowers.

**Supplementary Fig. S3.** *(E,E)*-farnesol and *(E,E)*-farnesal accumulation in *A. chinensis* flowers.

**Supplementary Table S1.** Terpene synthase accession numbers.

**Supplementary Table S2.** Primers.
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