The earliest stages of delusion are characterized by an over-abundance of meaningful coincidences impinging on the sufferer’s existing worldview. Successive events are seen by him as pointing to, and then confirming, a fundamentally new reality that takes him over and engulfs his everyday life. Research over the last 4 decades has revealed the importance of dopamine (DA), D2 receptors, and the basal ganglia in psychotic thinking. Recent work has implicated the aberrant reward learning initiated by the excess release of striatal DA in the attribution of excessive importance or “salience” to insignificant stimuli and events. But our knowledge of what is happening beyond D2 receptors has remained scant. The gap is especially apparent at the cellular and microcircuit levels, encompassing the plastic changes, which are believed to be essential for new learning, and whose processes may go awry in major mental illness. Now new pharmacological findings are advancing our understanding of information processing and learning within the striatum. DA has an important role in setting the strength of individual striatal connections, but it does not act in isolation. Two other modulator systems are critical, the endocannabinoids and adenosine. Thus, at medium spiny neurons belonging to the indirect pathway, D2 stimulation evokes endocannabinoid-mediated depression of cortical inputs. Adenosine acting at A2A receptors elicits the opposite effect. Remarkably, drugs that target the endocannabinoid and purinergic systems also have pro- or antipsychotic properties. Here, we discuss how the 3 modulators regulate learning within the striatum and how their dysfunction may lead to delusional thinking.
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Introduction

“Since time immemorial delusion has been taken as the basic characteristic of madness. To be mad was to be deluded and indeed what constitutes a delusion is one of the basic problems of psychopathology”. Jaspers (1913)

Trainee psychiatrists are well versed in citing the criteria by which beliefs are judged to be delusional. Later, as their practice develops, some questions become less easy to answer. Patients’ relatives often ask about the nature of the illness: “Has something gone wrong in the brain? Are the drugs involved? What does the medicine do?” How does one answer? Possibly, an explanation in terms of “excess dopamine (DA)” might be offered, much in the same way that the physician talks about “narrowing of the arteries.” It has been suggested that psychosis stems from a psychological state of aberrant salience, which itself arises from excessive stimulation of DA D2 receptor proteins in the corpus striatum.1

The present article explores how neuroscience has uncovered the details of information processing within the striatum. Initially, we outline the role of the basal ganglia within the central nervous system as a whole. Next, the focus is on the intricacies of striatal learning. Finally, based on how various small molecules affect cell signaling within the striatum, we describe how neuroscience is beginning to reveal the physical foundations of delusional thinking.

A Brief Tour of the Functional Anatomy of the Basal Ganglia

There is a massive excitatory projection from the whole neocortex and the limbic system into the basal ganglia.2 Information is funneled through the striatum and pallidum/substantia nigra and then ultimately returned to the cortex as positive or negative feedback. Three major parallel loops—motor, cognitive, and affective—have been described. It was initially held that information within different loops was kept distinct, but integration at many levels has now been demonstrated.2,3

The basal ganglia have 2 general roles.4,5 On the one hand, they are crucial for the selection and initiation (termed “embodiment”) of a particular psychomotor
behavior. Assuming that at any one time a mass of different inputs bombards the striatum, it is feasible that the “loudest call wins out,” and competing inputs are suppressed. On the other hand, the basal ganglia are necessary for associative, categorical, and sequence learning. In this case, different inputs are combined and laid down as a new memory trace, which serves as the basis for habitual thoughts and behavior. Following a normal developmental trajectory, the striatum seamlessly implements these 2 apparently conflicting functions within a single architecture.

Previously, it was assumed that the striatum was the recipient of learning that had already taken place in the cortex. It has been shown however, that for associative learning, modifications in the striatum occurred before those in the cortex, suggesting that the basal ganglia “inform” the higher cortices about new associations rather than the other way around. Three aspects of basal ganglia–dependent learning are relevant to the formation of delusional beliefs. First, in comparison to systems based in the medial temporal lobe, learning is “slow,” requiring multiple reiterations. Second, once developed however, new traces become strongly ingrained (habitual). Third, basal ganglia–dependent learning is largely implicit (unconscious).

Cortically derived fibers traverse the striatum as a longitudinally arranged band (figure 1a). Each fiber forms excitatory synapses with thousands of medium spiny neurons (MSNs), of which there are approximately 100 million in humans. Individual MSNs receive input from about approximately 20 000 different cortical neurons. In comparison to most other neurons, spiking (action-potential firing) in MSNs is a rare event, requiring the convergent drive of multiple cortical inputs. As the lone striatal output neuron, the MSN is in a pivotal position for the embodiment of behavior. MSN fibers converge on the much smaller population of pallidal/nigral neurons (approximately 600 000) permitting further integration of information from disparate cortical sources. As well as targeting the output structures of the basal ganglia, recurrent collaterals provide inhibitory input to the dendrites of other MSNs. A “supporting cast” of interneurons and brain stem–derived fibers, including those containing DA, modulate MSNs and their cortical inputs.

The Nuts and Bolts of Striatal Learning

Cortical fibers form glutamate synapses on the spine heads of MSNs while DA-containing varicosities are found at the necks of spines, where they provide modulation (Figure 1b & 1c). The MSN population is categorized into 2 groups, which express different types of DA receptor: direct pathway MSNs express D1 receptors and indirect pathway MSNs express D2 receptors. In the discussion here, we focus mainly on the indirect pathway because D1 antagonists have no discernable antipsychotic properties. The brain stem DA neurons supplying the striatum have 3 firing modes, tonic (low frequency), phasic (high frequency), and short, silent periods. In tonic mode, extracellular concentrations of DA in the striatum are sufficient to activate D2 but not D1 receptors, whereas D1 receptor activation requires phasic DA release. “Real-world” events influence the firing rate of DA neurons. Tonic mode appears to be essential for the embodiment of
psychomotor behavior, while phasic DA is believed to provide a training signal.9 A rapid switch into phasic mode is elicited by unexpected reward, predictors of reward, or salient stimuli; a short pause in firing occurs when an anticipated reward fails to materialize.17 It is thought that phasic DA, and pauses in firing, instruct the striatal circuits to update themselves (learn).18,19

Over time, the striatal circuitry is modified by experience. Corticostriatal connections that are strong because they have been reinforced in the past will be more likely to contribute to future psychomotor behaviors. As well as DA, 2 additional modulators, adenosine and the endocannabinoids (eCBs), are critical in striatal learning.14

It has now been shown that bidirectional corticostriatal plasticity occurs at both MSN classes.20 If a cortical volley is successful in triggering a spike in an MSN, then the individual synapses that contributed are “tagged” for change. Cortical inputs that arrive in the immediate aftermath of an MSN spike are similarly tagged. Once a synapse has been tagged, DA’s role is in determining the direction of change.20 Crucially, untagged synapses remain unchanged regardless of extracellular DA levels. This ensures that plasticity is confined to active synapses, while the rest of the network remains constant. Active synapses can either be strengthened (long-term potentiation, LTP) or weakened (long-term depression, LTD) depending on which DA receptor type is stimulated.20

Briefly, at tagged synapses belonging to the direct pathway, D1 receptor stimulation is a requirement for LTP. If DA is absent, LTD occurs by default. At tagged synapses belonging to the indirect pathway, the presence of DA at D2 receptors is essential for LTD. Stimulation of the adenosine A2A receptor (which “substitutes” for the nonexpressed D1 receptor) can overpower LTD, and trigger LTP (Figure 1c).20 The elucidation of these learning rules has considerably advanced our understanding of striatal function.

At corticostriatal synapses, LTP and LTD are in dynamic opposition. Under tonic DA conditions LTD appears to prevail, and this applies to both classes of MSN. Corticostriatal LTD is mediated by retrograde eCB signaling.14,20–22 The eCBs are synthesized in, and released from, the dendritic spines of MSNs.23 They act at CB1 receptors on the terminals of cortical inputs, inhibiting the pre-synaptic release of glutamate.4 At MSNs of the indirect pathway, LTD is not just an electrophysiological curiosity, but has clinical implications. In animal models of Parkinson’s disease, which deplete DA, LTD is lost. Treatment with anti-parkinsonian drugs (direct D2 agonists) restores LTD and motor functioning. Drugs that inhibit eCB breakdown significantly augmented the anti-parkinsonian benefits of D2 agonists.24 The same framework can be used to describe the mechanisms of pro- and antipsychotic drugs.

The Mechanisms of Action of Pro- and Antipsychotic Molecules

Drugs that increase the extracellular concentration of DA (cocaine, amphetamines, L-DOPA) can elicit a psychotic reaction. People with a preexisting psychotic illness are especially prone but with repeated “sensitizing” doses; many healthy individuals become transiently psychotic.25 Abi-Dargham et al.26 showed that the baseline occupancy of striatal D2 receptors by DA is higher in people with schizophrenia compared with controls. Finally, molecules that block D2 receptors are the mainstay in the treatment of acute and chronic psychoses, but despite their utility, how these drugs work beyond the D2 receptor has remained a mystery.

The downstream effects of D2 stimulation include the release of eCB mediators from the dendritic spines of (indirect pathway) MSNs and corticostriatal LTD.27–30 This mechanism appears to be important for psychosis (figure 1c). Direct agonists at the CB1 receptor, typified by Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinin (THC), are also psychotogenic.31–34 Using functional magnetic resonance imaging, Bhattacharyya et al.35 recently showed that the degree of acute psychosis following THC was inversely related to the blood oxygen level–dependent signal in the ventral striatum. Because the eCB system is downstream of DA, it might be predicted that D2 blockers would be ineffective against THC psychosis, and this has been demonstrated.36 But can CB1 blockers inhibit the psychotogenic effects of excessive D2 stimulation? In animals, microinjection of the potent CB1 antagonist (SR147778) into the ventral striatum inhibited the expression of behavioral sensitization to methamphetamine.37 Moreover in humans, cannabidiol, which uncouples CB1 receptors from their intracellular effectors (and inhibits adenosine reuptake),38 inhibited L-DOPA–induced psychosis.39

If promotion of (indirect pathway) LTD is associated with propsychotic effects, are enhancements of A2A signaling associated with antipsychotic effects? Molecules such as dipyridamole inhibit the reuptake of adenosine. In patients, dipyridamole was shown to augment the antipsychotic properties of haloperidol.40 In contrast, adenosine receptor antagonists, such as the methylxanthines, can induce a transient exacerbation of psychotic symptoms.41,42 LTP of corticostriatal connections of the indirect pathway depends not only on the presence of adenosine at A2A receptors but also requires activation of glutamate N-methyl-d-aspartic acid (NMDA) receptors. In keeping with the scheme outlined here, drugs that block NMDA receptor channels (ketamine and phencyclidine) are also psychotogenic. However, the converse might not be true. Despite considerable theoretical support, trials of putative antipsychotics designed to directly enhance NMDA channel opening have been disappointing.25
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Overall, the simplest explanation at present is that, at corticostriatal synapses belonging to the indirect pathway, drugs promoting LTD are propsychotic, whereas drugs that act via neuromodulatory systems to promote LTP are antipsychotic. Activation of the indirect pathway ultimately returns a negative feedback signal to the cortex. The strengthening of negative feedback via LTP at corticostriatal synapses of the indirect pathway might be a vital property of antipsychotic molecules.

Whether the above pharmacological observations are attributable to the actions of adenosine, DA, and eCBs at corticostriatal synapses, as opposed to some other synapse, is unknown. All 3 systems modulate fast transmission and plasticity in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and limbic system. However, at present, there are no further examples outside the striatum (see below), where the 3 systems show such a high degree of confluence. For instance, unlike the striatum, eCB-dependent LTD in the cortex and hippocampus does not require D2 receptor stimulation. Moreover, D2 receptors are in relatively short supply outside of the basal ganglia and compared with the D1 receptor, which predominates, very little is known about how they modulate cells and synapses in the hippocampus and PFC. One exception is the amygdala where induction of LTP in the amygdale-dentate pathway required D2 receptors (but not NMDA receptors). Another study showed that amphetamine-induced acute and long-term depression of entorhinal inputs to the amygdala was mediated via retrograde eCB signaling. Curiously, however, the long-term effects of amphetamine at this synapse did not require DA (or other monoamine) receptors.

Competition and Cooperation Within the Striatum

So far, we have considered how DA, adenosine, and the eCBs act in concert in order to “gate” excitatory drive entering the striatum from the cortex. Several lines of evidence indicate that the propsychotic manifestations of excess D2 receptor activity appear to depend on downstream eCB signaling at CB1 receptors. Importantly, however, the CB1 receptor is also expressed on γ-aminobutyric acid–mediated (GABAergic) inputs to MSNs. Moreover, a consistent finding is that the density of CB1 receptors is higher on GABAergic, as opposed to neighboring glutamatergic, terminals. The effect of CB1 stimulation is depression of GABAergic terminals, which can either be transient or long term.

Two distinct types of GABAergic terminal are involved (figure 2). Firstly, there is a network of fast-spiking, parvalbumin (PV)-containing internurons, whose dendrites are interconnected by gap junctions. Their terminals form baskets around the somata of MSNs (figure 2) where they exert a strong, fast GABAergic influence, which decays rapidly. Functionally, they permit groups of MSNs to synchronize their action potentials en masse. Similar PV-containing interneurons are found in the hippocampus and cortex, and their possible dysfunction in schizophrenia has attracted much attention. Notably, whereas in the striatum, the terminals of PV-containing interneurons display CB1 receptors; those in the cortex and hippocampus do not.

The second group of GABAergic terminals that express CB1 receptors are the recurrent collaterals of MSNs. They form synapses with the dendrites of other MSNs where they provide a relatively weak GABAergic input (figure 2). Recurrent collaterals are important for the competitive/cooperative network functions of the striatum. An assembly of MSNs that is engaged in selecting behavior achieves “dominance” by inhibiting the dendrites of other MSNs (lateral inhibition). If, on the other hand, the task is to form an association between separate psychomotor streams, then it would make sense for the inhibition between (formerly competing, now cooperating) MSNs to be relaxed. Recent work has shown that eCBs acting at CB1 receptors within the striatum are essential for habit formation. Furthermore, eCBs have been shown to fine-tune (depress) lateral inhibition at MSN-MSN synapses. As part of basal ganglia–dependent learning, CB1 receptor–mediated depression of recurrent collaterals may be crucial in facilitating associations between distinct streams of information.
Remarkably, stimulation of A2A receptors facilitates GABAergic signaling between MSNs. Thus, at both excitatory (cortical) and inhibitory (recurrent collateral) inputs to the dendrites of MSNs, A2A and CB1 receptors appear to have diametrically opposing effects.

The Emergence of Delusions?

THC- and stimulant-induced psychoses are dominated by delusional thinking and ideas of reference. In normal physiology, the synthesis and release of eCBs are tightly regulated. Following the administration of THC, CB1 receptor stimulation is prolonged and excessive. Behavioral sensitization to amphetamines/cocaine (a requirement for their propyschotic properties) can be inhibited by specific CB1 antagonists or by CB1 receptor knockout. Sig-
ificantly, the repeated administration of cocaine has been shown to sensitize GABAergic terminals in the striatum to the effects of exogenously applied CB1 agonists, while the sensitivity of glutamatergic inputs from the cortex remained unchanged. This is an intriguing finding, suggesting that CB1 receptors on GABAergic terminals are important for stimulant-induced psychopathology. A previous study had shown that the ability of cocaine to depress intrastrial GABAergic currents depended on D2 receptors and the activation of retrograde eCB signaling.

From the available evidence, we conjecture that THC and stimulants relax the mutual inhibition between MSN assemblies to such an extent that associations are formed between coincident psychomotor streams that would otherwise remain separate. The essential concept is that new connections (new meanings) appear for consciousness.

Jaspers held that the delusional experience of reality is a transformation in which the environment offers a world of new meanings. He reasoned that delusion proper stems from the unconscious mind. Here, we have been arguing that delusion emerges in an implicit (unconscious) processing system—the basal ganglia—before being relayed to the cortex. We have focused on the input side, the striatum, describing how its circuitry is impressable. Several modulatory systems fine-tune the synapses at MSNs, influencing how the higher cortices “talk” to the striatum and how MSNs talk to each other. The pharmacology of a range of drugs with either pro- or anti-psychotic properties shows a remarkable confluence at MSNs. Drugs that strengthen cortical inputs to the indirect pathway have anti-psychotic properties. Conversely, drugs that weaken the same inputs appear to be pro-psychotic. In addition, we speculate that when a drug relaxes lateral inhibition between MSNs, logically opposed thoughts might be interwoven as a new, and abnormal memory trace, which forms the basis of a delusion.

The basal ganglia return their computations to a higher processor. Through re-iteration, the nascent delusion could be elaborated and strengthened. A more mature network might resist the fundamental changes demanded by ‘new connections’ and re-orientate. But, for some people there comes a point when the critical faculty is put into the service of the delusion. Beyond this stage a psychiatrist can expend much energy and skill in trying to persuade someone that a drug molecule can re-orientate their belief network for the better.
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