Contribution of cerebrovascular disease in autopsy confirmed neurodegenerative disease cases in the National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Centre
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Cerebrovascular disease and vascular risk factors are associated with Alzheimer’s disease, but the evidence for their association with other neurodegenerative disorders is limited. Therefore, we compared the prevalence of cerebrovascular disease, vascular pathology and vascular risk factors in a wide range of neurodegenerative diseases and correlate them with dementia severity. Presence of cerebrovascular disease, vascular pathology and vascular risk factors was studied in 5715 cases of the National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Centre database with a single neurodegenerative disease diagnosis (Alzheimer’s disease, frontotemporal lobar degeneration due to tau, and TAR DNA-binding protein 43 immunoreactive deposits, α-synucleinopathies, hippocampal sclerosis and prion disease) based on a neuropathological examination with or without cerebrovascular disease, defined neuropathologically. In addition, 210 ‘unremarkable brain’ cases without cognitive impairment, and 280 cases with pure cerebrovascular disease were included for comparison. Cases with cerebrovascular disease were older than those without cerebrovascular disease in all the groups except for those with hippocampal sclerosis. After controlling for age and gender as fixed effects and centre as a random effect, we observed that α-synucleinopathies, frontotemporal lobar degeneration due to tau and TAR DNA-binding protein 43, and prion disease showed a lower prevalence of coincident cerebrovascular disease than patients with Alzheimer’s disease, and this was more significant in younger subjects. When cerebrovascular disease was also present, patients with Alzheimer’s disease and patients with α-synucleinopathy showed relatively lower burdens of their respective lesions than those without cerebrovascular disease in the context of comparable severity of dementia at time of death. Concurrent cerebrovascular disease is a common neuropathological finding in aged subjects with dementia, is more common in Alzheimer’s disease than in other neurodegenerative disorders, especially in younger subjects, and lowers the threshold for dementia due to Alzheimer’s disease and α-synucleinopathies, which suggests that these disorders should be targeted by treatments for cerebrovascular disease.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease is the most common cause of dementia in the general population, followed by vascular dementia, α-synucleinopathies (including dementia with Lewy bodies, Parkinson’s disease dementia) and frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) due to tau immunoreactive inclusions (FTLD-Tau) and TAR DNA binding protein 43 immunoreactive inclusions (FTLD-TDP). With age there is an increasing prevalence of coincident Alzheimer’s disease and cerebrovascular disease that is well-recognized. Alzheimer’s disease has been reported to present frequently together with microscopic cerebrovascular lesions (Jellinger and Attems, 2010). Cerebrovascular disease has been specifically linked to Alzheimer’s disease, and neuropathological studies report that cerebrovascular disease lowers the threshold for dementia in subjects with a neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease (Snowdon et al., 1997; Chui et al., 2006; De Reuck et al., 2012; Bennett et al., 2013). In addition, epidemiological studies have shown that Alzheimer’s disease and cerebrovascular disease not only share age as a risk factor, but also vascular risk factors have been linked to Alzheimer’s disease and are among the most important modifiable risk factors for Alzheimer’s disease (Kling et al., 2013). Cerebrovascular disease has been suggested to contribute to Alzheimer’s disease neuropathological changes including selective brain atrophy and accumulation of abnormal proteins such as amyloid-β (Zlokovic, 2011; Kalaria et al., 2012; Toledo et al., 2012a). Indeed, atherosclerosis in the circle of Willis has been specifically linked to Alzheimer’s disease, but not to a diverse range of other common or rare neurodegenerative diseases (Roher et al., 2011; Yarchan et al., 2012).

Few studies have investigated the association between cerebrovascular disease and other neurodegenerative diseases such as α-synucleinopathies (Jellinger, 2003; Jellinger and Attems, 2008, 2011; Ghebr medhin et al., 2010; Schwartz et al., 2012) or FTLD (De Reuck et al., 2012) and findings have been inconsistent. No study has compared the presence of cerebrovascular disease across the whole spectrum of neurodegenerative diseases. The degree to which comorbid cerebrovascular disease modifies or otherwise affects the correlation of neurodegenerative disease pathology with a disease’s clinical diagnosis and features is less studied in these neurodegenerative diseases.

To begin to address the question of the differential contribution of cerebrovascular disease to Alzheimer’s disease and other cerebrovascular diseases, we interrogated the National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Centre (NACC) database cases with autopsy-based neuropathological diagnosis (Beekly et al., 2004). Specifically, we: (i) ascertained the concurrence of cerebrovascular disease diagnosis (established based on the neuropathological examination) or the presence of vascular pathology not meeting the threshold for a diagnosis of cerebrovascular disease in the different neurodegenerative disease groups using adjusted multivariable models in the whole sample; (ii) compared the presence of vascular risk factors in the different neurodegenerative disease groups in the whole sample; and (iii) correlated the presence of cerebrovascular disease in the different neurodegenerative disease with clinical data in their last visit.

Materials and methods

Study subjects

The NACC was established by the National Institute on Ageing (U01 AG016976) in 1999 to facilitate collaborative research. The NACC collects data from 35 past and present National Institute on Ageing funded Alzheimer’s disease Centres across the USA. For this study neuropathological data were gathered from the NACC Neuroepidemiology Data Set (Beekly et al., 2004) and clinical data associated with these cases were gathered from both the NACC Minimum Data Set (Weintraub et al., 2009) and the NACC Uniform Data Set (Beekly et al., 2007) in collaboration with NACC personnel (S.E.M., W.A.K.). The Minimum Data Set was implemented in 1999 and contains information on demographics, selected clinical manifestations, clinical diagnoses, and neuropathological diagnoses. The Uniform Data Set superseded the Minimum Data Set in 2005, continuing to follow still living and active cases in the Minimum Data Set, recruiting new cases, and accruing more extensive information than the Minimum Data Set, including neurological examination findings, functional status, neuropsychological test results and genetic information. Our analysis was performed using data from the September 2012 freeze of these data sets. More detailed information on the NACC database can be found online (http://www.alz.washington.edu/).

The initial data pull included 12 738 subjects. Only subjects with a single neurodegenerative disease were selected to be able to compare the coincidence of cerebrovascular disease, and specific vascular lesions in each of the neurodegenerative diseases. From these, 6205 subjects were included and assigned into one of eight neuropathological diagnostic categories for the final analysis: (i) Alzheimer’s disease (n = 4629); (ii) FTLD-Tau (n = 379); (iii) FTLD-TDP (n = 207); (iv) α-synucleinopathies (n = 323); (v) hippocampal sclerosis (n = 77); (vi) prion disease (n = 100); (vii) unremarkable brain (n = 210); and (viii) cerebrovascular disease (n = 280). Subjects (n = 6533) were excluded for the following reasons: (i) neuropathological diagnosis could not be assessed accurately (n = 1025); (ii) the underlying disorders were rare diseases in this database (e.g. Huntington’s disease, neuronal intermediate filament inclusion disease) that could not be consolidated into a group for statistical analyses (n = 64); (iii) non- neurodegenerative disease conditions (e.g. CNS lymphoma, Wernicke-Korsakoff, n = 43); (iv) cases that were not considered neuropathologically normal, but had insufficient Alzheimer’s disease pathology to establish a diagnosis (n = 160); (v) incidental Lewy bodies (n = 62); (vi) diagnosis was dementia lacking distinct histology (n = 144); (vii) subjects diagnosed with unremarkable (normal) brain but who had cognitive impairment or dementia (n = 54); (viii) had been studied before 1997 [n = 2393, pre-National Institute of Ageing-Reagan criteria (1997) and α-synuclein (Baba et al., 1998)]
Cerebrovascular disease across neurodegenerative diseases

Brain 2013: 136; 2697–2706 | 2699

erat); and (ix) multiple co-morbid pathologies, none of which could be
assigned unequivocally as the predominant cause of the dementia
\( (n=2588) \). The \( \alpha \)-synucleinopathy group included cases with dementia
with Lewy bodies, Parkinson’s disease dementia, Parkinson’s disease
and multiple system atrophy. The FTLD-Tau group included Pick’s dis-
case, corticobasal degeneration, progressive supranuclear palsy, tangle
predominant senile dementia, argyrophilic grain disease and unclassi-
fiable FTLD-Tau disorders. The FTLD-TDP group included demented
subjects with and without motor neuron disease and amyotrophic lat-
eral sclerosis cases. Finally, cases with unremarkable brain (without
cognitive impairment) and cerebrovascular disease were included as
negative and positive control groups, respectively, for comparison pur-
poses. The counts/scores of each of the different neuropathological
diagnoses within the FTLD-Tau, FTLD-TDP and \( \alpha \)-synucleinopathy
groups are detailed in Supplementary Table 1.

Two categories for vascular pathology data were available: (i) cere-
brrovascular disease, in which vascular pathology was classified as a
primary or contributing neuropathology (Items 20E1–20E2 in the
Neuropathology Data Set); and (ii) vascular pathology (Item 12), in
which vascular pathologies were recorded independently of reaching
or not a threshold deemed sufficient to contribute to clinical status.
Therefore, the vascular pathology category encompasses a wider
range of vascular changes and includes the group of cerebrovascular
disease cases that represent a more severe stage. Vascular pathologies
included large infarcts, multiple microinfarcts, lacunes, subcortical
arteriosclerotic leukoencephalopathy and haemorrhages that were
coded as present or absent (items 12A–E). Atherosclerosis in the
circle of Willis, arteriosclerosis and cerebral amyloid angiopathy
were semi-quantitatively graded as none, mild, moderate and severe
(12H–J). For analytical purposes these were collapsed into two cate-
gories: none/mild and moderate/severe. For Alzheimer’s disease cases,
Braak staging (Braak et al., 2006) and Consortium to Establish A
Registry of Alzheimer’s disease (CERAD) amyloid plaque scores for
likelihood of Alzheimer’s disease (Mirra, 1997) were available.
Brainstem, limbic and neocortical staging was available for \( \alpha \)-synuclei-
nopathy cases. All the clinical diagnoses are entered in the database
using standardized fields. The criteria that the neuropathologists used
to determine the existence of vascular features are described in the
Neuropathology Guidebook (https://www.alz.washington.edu/

Data on vascular risk factors, which were obtained by the physician,
were available only for subjects in the Uniform Data Set \( (n=1341) \)
and included hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, tobacco use
and known histories of cardiovascular disease or clinically defined
cerebrovascular disease. These vascular risk factors were coded as
unknown, absent, recent/active or remote/inactive. For analytical
purposes, active and inactive categories were joined and compared
to the absent category. A patient was considered to have coronary
heart disease if he or she had a history of any of the following:
heart attack, angioplasty/endarterectomy/stent or cardiac bypass
procedure.

Statistical analysis

For the comparison of the demographic characteristics of the different
neurodegenerative disease groups a Fisher exact test with Monte Carlo
simulation was applied (instead of a chi-square test, because in certain
analyses the expected cell count was low) (Agresti, 2002), whereas a
percentile bootstrap method for comparing trimmed means was applied
to assess quantitative variables due to heteroscedasticity associ-
ated with varying sample sizes in the different groups (Wilcox,
2012). The association between the different neurodegenerative
diseases and the presence of cerebrovascular disease, vascular path-
ology, vascular risk factors, and dementia were studied in separate
age- and gender-adjusted mixed effects logistic regression models
that included the different Alzheimer’s disease centres as a random
effect to adjust for possible centre variability (Pinheiro and Bates,
2000). These models had Alzheimer’s disease as the reference cat-
gory so that the other diagnostic neurodegenerative disease groups
were compared to Alzheimer’s disease. To assess if the presence of
cerebrovascular disease was associated with Braak stage in patients
with Alzheimer’s disease and the extent of Lewy body pathology in
\( \alpha \)-synucleinopathy cases, a binomial logistic regression model adjusted
for age at death was applied. The association between the clinical
dementia rating sum of boxes and the pathological features was
studied using a linear regression model. For the dimensionality reduc-
tion of the pathological features a multiple factor analysis was used
that allowed us to consider the binary categorical variables and the
ordinal variables adequately (Bécue-Bertaut and Pagès, 2008). All ana-
lyses were conducted in R 2.15.2.

Results

Demographic differences between groups in the NACC Minimum
Data Set database

The neuropathologically diagnosed neurodegenerative disease
groups differed with respect to age at death, education, gender,
race, APOE genotype, disease duration, age of onset of cognitive
symptoms, prevalence of cerebrovascular disease and vascular
pathology (Table 1). Cases with coincident cerebrovascular disease
or vascular pathology were significantly older in all the neurode-
genenerative disease groups except the hippocampal sclerosis cases
with cerebrovascular disease who showed no age differences
when compared to hippocampal sclerosis subjects without
cerebrovascular disease (Supplementary Table 2). Subjects with
coincident cerebrovascular disease were 4–6 years older in the
Alzheimer’s disease and the \( \alpha \)-synucleinopathy groups at time of
death compared to those without cerebrovascular disease or
vascular pathology, but the age difference increased to 10–19
years in the FTLD and prion groups with cerebrovascular disease.

Prevalence of cerebrovascular disease and vascular pathology in the different
neurodegenerative disease groups

Alzheimer’s disease showed a higher coincidence of vascular path-
ology and cerebrovascular disease (this category represents a
subset of the vascular pathology category) than all the other
studied disease groups except hippocampal sclerosis in the age,
gender (fixed effects) and research centre (random effect)
adjusted model (Fig. 1 and Table 2). We then studied if differ-
ces in cerebrovascular disease coincidence between Alzheimer’s
disease and the other neurodegenerative disease varied with age.
We divided the sample in two groups based on a 73 years
cut-off (median age of the non-Alzheimer’s disease group) and
found a significant interaction between age group and the
non-Alzheimer’s disease neurodegenerative disease group [odds ratio (OR) = 0.62, P = 0.013], indicating comparatively lower prevalence of cerebrovascular disease in the younger non-Alzheimer’s disease group, than in the older non-Alzheimer’s disease when compared to the Alzheimer’s disease group. α-Synucleinopathy, FTLD-Tau and FTLD-TDP did not show any difference in vascular pathology or cerebrovascular disease when compared to each other.

Finally, we compared the prevalence of the different vascular changes in the different diseases in the adjusted model, comparing Alzheimer’s disease against the other groups finding several statistically significant results (Table 3). When Alzheimer’s disease was compared with the FTLD-Tau and FTLD-TDP groups, Alzheimer’s disease showed a higher prevalence of large and multiple microinfarcts than both groups of FTLD. In addition, Alzheimer’s disease showed a higher prevalence of lacunes and moderate to severe atherosclerosis and arteriolosclerosis than FTLD-Tau and FTLD-TDP. When compared to the α-synucleinopathy group the Alzheimer’s disease group showed a higher prevalence of multiple microinfarcts and moderate to severe atherosclerosis and arteriolosclerosis. Prevalence of moderate to severe atherosclerosis and arteriolosclerosis and arteriosclerotic leukoencephalopathy was also higher in patients with Alzheimer’s disease than the unremarkable brain. On the other hand, the hippocampal sclerosis showed a higher prevalence of arteriosclerotic leukoencephalopathy than the Alzheimer’s disease group. The cerebrovascular disease group showed a higher prevalence of all the vascular pathology than Alzheimer’s disease group, except for cerebral amyloid angiopathy, which was higher in the Alzheimer’s disease group. Finally, Alzheimer’s disease showed higher prevalence of moderate to severe cerebral amyloid angiopathy than all of the other groups. The prevalence of vascular changes in the vascular pathology group without cerebrovascular disease and the cerebrovascular disease groups (independently of the presence of a neurodegenerative disease) is summarized in Supplementary Table 3.

### Association of cerebrovascular disease with disease burden

Two neurodegenerative disease groups, Alzheimer’s disease and α-synucleinopathy groups, had data regarding their staging. Braak staging was available for Alzheimer’s disease, and α-synucleinopathies were classified as brainstem, limbic/transitional, and neocortical stages. At time of death, demented subjects with a neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease who had coincident cerebrovascular disease had lower Braak stages (I-IV versus V: OR = 0.44, P < 0.0001; I-IV versus VI: OR = 0.41, P < 0.0001) than those without cerebrovascular disease (OR = 0.42, P < 0.0001) (Fig. 1C) in an age-adjusted analysis. In addition, the presence of cerebrovascular disease also was associated with a trend for lower prevalence of Lewy body neocortical pathology in the α-synucleinopathy demented patients with cerebrovascular disease pathology (brainstem versus neocortical: OR = 0.30, P = 0.059) but not for limbic Lewy body disease (brainstem versus limbic: OR = 0.54, P = 0.36).

### Association of cerebrovascular disease with dementia status and severity proximal to death

We investigated whether the presence of cerebrovascular disease increased the probability of being demented at the time of death. In the age and gender adjusted model, Braak neurofibrillary tangle staging was the strongest neuropathological predictor for dementia in subjects with a neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease (stage VI versus stage I-IV: OR = 16.9, P < 0.0001; stage V versus stage I-IV: OR = 6.5, P < 0.0001), followed by the CERAD (CERAD

### Table 1 Demographics of the NACC Minimum Data Set sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alzheimer’s disease</th>
<th>FTLD-Tau</th>
<th>FTLD-TDP</th>
<th>α-Synucleinopathy</th>
<th>Hippocampal sclerosis</th>
<th>Prion</th>
<th>Unremarkable brain</th>
<th>Cerebrovascular disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>4629</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at death, years</td>
<td>81.1 (10.4)</td>
<td>73.7 (12.0)</td>
<td>66.8 (10.3)</td>
<td>77.9 (9.5)</td>
<td>86.5 (10.8)</td>
<td>61.8 (11.4)</td>
<td>83.1 (9.5)</td>
<td>84.2 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, % male</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE e4, %</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demented, %</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease, %</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular pathology, %</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large infarcts, %</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacunes, %</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple microinfarcts, %</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriosclerotic leukoencephalopathy, %</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhages, %</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherosclerosis, %</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriolosclerosis, %</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral amyloid angiopathy, %</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represent percentage or mean (standard deviation).
Figure 1 Prevalence of vascular pathology (A) and cerebrovascular disease (B) in the different neuropathologically diagnosed groups, and Braak stage (C left) and Lewy body disease stage (LBD; C right) stratified by the presence of cerebrovascular disease. AD = Alzheimer’s disease; CVD = cerebrovascular disease; HS = hippocampal sclerosis; y = years.
Table 2 Comparison of vascular pathology and cerebrovascular disease prevalence in the different groups compared to Alzheimer’s disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference category for analysis</th>
<th>Analysed category</th>
<th>OR (95% confidence interval) for vascular pathology</th>
<th>P-value for vascular pathology</th>
<th>OR (95% confidence interval) for cerebrovascular disease</th>
<th>P-value for cerebrovascular disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>FTLD-Tau</td>
<td>0.37 (0.28–0.50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.38 (0.25–0.57)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>FTLD-TDP</td>
<td>0.40 (0.28–0.58)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.20 (0.09–0.42)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Hippocampal sclerosis</td>
<td>1.37 (0.64–2.98)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.10 (0.59–2.04)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>α-Synucleinopathy</td>
<td>0.38 (0.28–0.52)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.47 (0.31–0.70)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Prion disease</td>
<td>0.13 (0.08–0.23)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.24 (0.07–0.83)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Unremarkable Brain</td>
<td>0.49 (0.39–0.61)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Synucleinopathy</td>
<td>FTLD-TDP</td>
<td>1.16 (0.71–1.91)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.47 (0.19–1.17)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Synucleinopathy</td>
<td>FTLD-Tau</td>
<td>0.96 (0.63–1.45)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.75 (0.42–1.35)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTLD-TDP</td>
<td>FTLD-Tau</td>
<td>0.84 (0.53–1.33)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.84 (0.53–1.33)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Differences in vascular changes in Alzheimer’s disease compared to the different groups in the analysis adjusted for age at death and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>FTLD-Tau</th>
<th>FTLD-TDP</th>
<th>α-Synucleinopathy</th>
<th>Hippocampal sclerosis</th>
<th>Prion</th>
<th>Unremarkable Brain</th>
<th>Cerebrovascular disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large infarcts</td>
<td>2.0 (0.006)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.036)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.79)</td>
<td>–a</td>
<td>1.5 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.42 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple microinfarcts</td>
<td>2.4 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.004)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.0006)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.79)</td>
<td>–a</td>
<td>1.5 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.4 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacunes</td>
<td>1.3 (0.17)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.19)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.19)</td>
<td>–a</td>
<td>1.5 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.4 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriosclerotic leukoencephalopathy</td>
<td>1.6 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.74)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.004)</td>
<td>–a</td>
<td>5.5 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.2 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhage</td>
<td>2.1 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.15)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.26)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.30)</td>
<td>–a</td>
<td>1.8 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherosclerosis</td>
<td>1.4 (0.02)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.38)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.89)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.004)</td>
<td>2.8 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriosclerosis</td>
<td>1.3 (0.13)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.0001)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.22)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.0005)</td>
<td>3.6 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.4 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral amyloid angiopathy</td>
<td>12.4 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>9.2 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>6.6 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>9.1 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>20.0 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>6.2 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>7.2 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as OR (P-values).

*aThis disease presented a low prevalence of changes and/or small sample size and could not be studied in the logistic regression model.

C versus CERAD A–B: OR = 2.0, P < 0.0001), and the presence of cerebrovascular disease (OR = 1.90, P = 0.001). Interestingly there was an interaction between cerebrovascular disease and Braak staging indicating that the association between cerebrovascular disease and dementia was lower in cases with higher Braak stage (for Braak VI: OR = 0.44, P = 0.029; for Braak V: OR = 0.48, P = 0.049). In the α-synucleinopathy group, the presence of neocortical Lewy bodies was the strongest neuropathological predictor of dementia (OR = 6.0, P = 0.0001), followed by the presence of cerebrovascular disease (OR = 3.8, P = 0.029), but there was no interaction between the Lewy body stage and the presence of cerebrovascular disease (P = 0.11). Clinical dementia rating sum of boxes scores within 2 years of death were available for 715 subjects with Alzheimer’s disease (median = 8.3, first quartile = 4.7 months, third quartile 13.5 months) and 76 subjects with α-synucleinopathy (median = 8.2 months, first quartile = 4.0 months, third quartile = 12.1 months). Braak stage (P < 0.0001), CERAD score (P < 0.0001), and cerebrovascular disease (P = 0.011) predicted the clinical dementia rating sum of boxes score in the patients with Alzheimer’s disease in the model that was also adjusted for gender and age. Neither the Lewy body disease stage (P = 0.084), nor the presence of cerebrovascular disease (P = 0.58) showed an association with clinical dementia rating sum of boxes score in the α-synucleinopathy group. We further performed a multiple factor analysis and tested the first two components that accounted for 52.7% of the variability of the categorical variables (large infarcts, multiple microinfarcts, lacunes, arteriosclerotic leukoencephalopathy, haemorrhage) and the first component that accounted for 47.0% of the variability of the ordinal variables (atherosclerosis, arteriosclerosis and cerebral amyloid angiopathy). Only the first component of the ordinal variables showed a significant association with clinical dementia rating sum of boxes (t = 3.7, P = 0.0003) indicating that increasing arteriosclerosis and atherosclerosis was associated with worse clinical dementia rating sum of boxes.

Association of vascular risk factors and cardiovascular disease with the different disease groups

The NACC Uniform Data Set contained information about vascular risk factors and cardiovascular disease in 1341 subjects. The neurodegenerative disease groups differed in the presence of active/inactive versus absent coronary heart disease, atrial fibrillation and hypertension (Table 4). The only differences when compared with the Alzheimer’s disease group in the age and gender adjusted
Table 4 Prevalence of vascular risk factors and cardiovascular disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alzheimer’s disease</th>
<th>FTLD-Tau</th>
<th>FTLD-TDP</th>
<th>α-Synucleinopathy</th>
<th>Hippocampal sclerosis</th>
<th>Prion brain</th>
<th>Unremarkable brain</th>
<th>Cerebrovascular disease</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease, %</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation, %</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, %</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia, %</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, %</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history, %</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

model were a higher prevalence of active/inactive coronary heart disease in the unremarkable brain group (P = 0.0047), a lower prevalence of active/inactive coronary heart disease in the FTLD-TDP group (P = 0.041), a higher prevalence of active/inactive atrial fibrillation in the α-synucleinopathy (P = 0.046) and cerebrovascular disease (P = 0.022) groups and a higher prevalence of active/inactive hypertension in the cerebrovascular disease group (P = 0.008). For reference, prevalence of active vascular risk factors and cardiovascular disease is summarized in Supplementary Table 4.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this study of the association of vascular pathology and cerebrovascular disease with Alzheimer’s disease and other neurodegenerative disease reports on the largest and most diverse group of subjects with a neuropathologically confirmed neurodegenerative disease examined to date, and our analysis of data on uncommon as well as common neurodegenerative disease is unique. There were three novel findings from the age and gender-adjusted models: (i) Alzheimer’s disease has a significantly higher prevalence of vascular pathology than α-synucleinopathy, FTLD-Tau and -TDP, prion disease and unremarkable brain; (ii) Alzheimer’s disease has a significantly higher prevalence of cerebrovascular disease than α-synucleinopathy, FTLD-Tau and -TDP, and prion disease, and this was more prevalent at younger ages (Fig. 1); and (iii) the presence of cerebrovascular disease is associated with an increased risk of dementia in patients with α-synucleinopathy in addition to the increased risk in Alzheimer’s disease.

We found that cerebrovascular disease and vascular pathology increased with age, as expected and described previously (Jellinger and Attems, 2010; Nelson et al., 2011a), and accordingly, all subjects with neurodegenerative disease with cerebrovascular disease were older than those without cerebrovascular disease (except in the hippocampal sclerosis group). This age difference was greater in neurodegenerative disease with earlier ages of onset, like FTLD and prion disease, indicating the importance of age as a risk factor for cerebrovascular disease. Interestingly, this study showed in a single large and comprehensive sample that α-synucleinopathy, FTLD-Tau and FTLD-TDP, and prion disease have a lower prevalence of cerebrovascular disease than Alzheimer’s disease in an age- and gender-adjusted analysis and that the difference in prevalence was even greater in the younger age group.

There is a large body of literature regarding coincidence of cerebrovascular disease and Alzheimer’s disease and its correlation with dementia (Snowdon et al., 1997; Mungas et al., 2001; Petrovitch et al., 2005; Schneider et al., 2007, 2009; Jellinger and Attems, 2010; Deramecourt et al., 2012). It is interesting that we found the association was stronger in lower Braak stages, which was previously described in one study for subcortical vascular pathology (Chui et al., 2006) and for cerebrovascular disease (Petrovitch et al., 2005). Our study confirms the findings of previous reports on the prevalence of cerebrovascular disease in Alzheimer’s disease and the additive or interactive deleterious effect of Alzheimer’s disease pathology and cerebrovascular disease on cognition (Snowdon et al., 1997; Chui et al., 2006; Jellinger and Attems, 2010; Arvanitakis et al., 2011; Bennett et al., 2013), and adds further evidence on the effect of Alzheimer’s disease pathology (mainly plaques and tangles) to produce clinical symptoms (Nelson et al., 2007; Bennett et al., 2013).

In our study we also found that cerebrovascular disease in α-synucleinopathy is associated with dementia and the effect size was greater than in the Alzheimer’s disease sample. Whereas neocortical Lewy body disease, senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles are recognized as contributors to dementia in Lewy body disease (Irwin et al., 2012), neuropathological studies report conflicting results of lower (Chebrelmehlin et al., 2010; Schwartz et al., 2012), no difference (Choi et al., 2010) or higher cerebrovascular disease (Jellinger and Attems, 2008) in patients with Lewy body disease and there is limited neuropathological evidence regarding the impact of cerebrovascular disease on cognitive function in Lewy body disease which, at most, points to a small overall effect (Jellinger, 2012). These conflicting results also extend to the association with cognitive impairment in clinical studies with studies showing a higher burden of white matter hyperintensities in Parkinson’s disease than cognitively normal subjects whereas other studies describe no differences (Bohnen and Albin, 2011; Gonzalez-Redondo et al., 2012). Similar conflicting results have also been described for the association with cognitive outcomes (Beyer et al., 2006; Dalaker et al., 2009; Bohnen and Albin, 2011; Gonzalez-Redondo et al., 2012). In our study we found that the presence of cerebrovascular disease is a predictor of dementia in α-synucleinopathy at time of death. The effect size was larger in the Lewy body disease than in the Alzheimer’s disease group and this association was similar across different degrees of pathology, indicating that the impact of cerebrovascular disease pathology might be stronger in Lewy body disease than...
in Alzheimer’s disease and that in Lewy body disease the impact is still significant in subjects with diffuse neocortical Lewy bodies. Our results indicate that cerebrovascular disease has an additive effect increasing the risk of dementia in Alzheimer’s disease, although the effect is more prominent in earlier stages. To our knowledge, there are only two publications (Baborie et al., 2011; De Reuck et al., 2012) that have described a low prevalence of vascular pathology in FTLD, but neither of these studies included other neurodegenerative disease groups for comparison. We were not able to study the association between cerebrovascular disease and FTLD because we had no measure of the burden of the disease specific pathologies in this group. In the multiple factor analysis we found that the variables that were significantly associated with the clinical dementia rating sum of boxes 1 year before death in patients with Alzheimer’s disease were the atherosclerosis and arteriolosclerosis grading, two variables that reflect progressive accumulative vascular changes. Two previous studies have described a similar association; intracranial atherosclerosis has been associated with dementia (Dolan et al., 2010; Roher et al., 2011) and in a study by Yarchoan et al. (2012), a significant correlation was reported between large vessel atherosclerosis and neurodegenerative changes in a large cohort of cases with neurodegenerative diseases, suggesting a specific association with plaques and tangles in Alzheimer’s disease. Variables that graded vascular changes in a binary fashion did not show an association with the clinical dementia rating sum of boxes. There might be several explanations such as the absence of a quantification of the changes, the insensitiveness of the clinical dementia rating sum of boxes to the subcortical dementia profile present in cerebrovascular disease, the inclusion of subdural haematomas in the haemorrhage group and some of the vascular changes might have caused the death of the patient and were not present in the last clinical visit. Although we found a significantly higher burden of cerebrovascular disease in Alzheimer’s disease than in other neurodegenerative diseases, some recent clinical studies have challenged the idea of a higher burden of cerebrovascular disease in Alzheimer’s disease (Marchant et al., 2013), although this study mainly consisted of cognitively normal or mildly impaired subjects and was enriched for vascular risk factors.

We were not able to study the association between cerebrovascular disease and FTLD because we had no measure of the burden of the disease-specific pathologies in this group.

Furthermore, unremarkable brain cases, and all subjects with neurodegenerative disease, except for the hippocampal sclerosis cases, showed a lower prevalence of vascular pathology than the Alzheimer’s disease cases. Only pure cerebrovascular disease cases showed a higher prevalence of the different vascular pathology than cases with Alzheimer’s disease. This could be explained by Alzheimer’s disease sharing the same vascular risk factors as cerebrovascular disease or by the interaction of both pathologies, which could favour their common coincident occurrence. These findings lend support to the hypothesis that there is a pathophysiological link between Alzheimer’s disease and cerebrovascular disease (Kalaria et al., 2012), but, more importantly, we show for the first time that an association with cerebrovascular disease is specific for Alzheimer’s disease compared with other neurodegenerative diseases. Interestingly, hippocampal sclerosis showed no differences in the prevalence of vascular pathology and cerebrovascular disease when compared with Alzheimer’s disease, favouring recent studies indicating a strong association with TARDBP deposits rather than cerebrovascular disease (Dickson et al., 1994; Corey-Bloom et al., 1997; Leverenz et al., 2002; Nelson et al., 2011b). Nevertheless, hippocampal sclerosis was the only disorder that showed higher prevalence of several of the studied vascular changes (Table 3), which merits further analysis in studies that specifically study age-matched cohorts of Alzheimer’s disease, hippocampal sclerosis and unremarkable brain cohorts.

This study has several strengths, including the large size of the cohort, the extensive data sets on the subjects we analysed, including different types of vascular pathologies, the diverse range of neurodegenerative diseases examined and the multiple variable analysis. Of particular note, the post-mortem neuropathological diagnosis in the FTLD, α-synucleinopathy and cerebrovascular disease groups is of special importance as clinical diagnoses are not as reliably predictive of the neuropathology underlying these disorders (Toledo et al., 2012b). Clinical Alzheimer’s disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and Parkinson’s disease diagnoses generally show a good clinical-pathological correlation (Hughes et al., 2001; Toledo et al., 2012b), but clinical FTD syndromes and dementia with Lewy bodies are not as reliably predictive of the neuropathology underlying these disorders (Toledo et al., 2012b). For instance, 40% of patients with clinically diagnosed FTD are found to have a neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease on post-mortem examination (Beach et al., 2012; Nelson et al., 2012; Toledo et al., 2012b). In addition, the clinical criteria for vascular dementia have low diagnostic sensitivity (Gold et al., 1997, 2002; Bacchetta et al., 2007). Therefore, studies based on clinical diagnoses in the absence of a neuropathologically confirmed diagnosis are subject to significant confounds. Recently, a staging system for cerebrovascular disease has been proposed (Deramecourt et al., 2012).

Relative weaknesses of the study are also acknowledged. As noted above, it is unfortunate that vascular risk factors were not recorded in our study subjects earlier in their lifespan at middle age; those recorded in NACC reflect a lifetime history. Although the similar diagnostic neuropathological diagnostic criteria were used, each centre follows different diagnostic procedures; however, we included a random factor in the mixed-effects model analysis to adjust for this factor. Our cases were recruited in tertiary care centres and therefore may differ from community-based studies and the cross-sectional nature of autopsy studies prevents us from establishing when pathological changes may have started. Finally, most of the data available to us were qualitative or categorical and the analyses of the vascular risk factors might have been underpowered for the less represented categories (although this is the largest sample for these cases) and the study of the association between the neuropathological findings and the clinical dementia rating sum of boxes. Additional associations might have been discerned if continuous, quantitative scale data were available.

An implication of this study is that in the absence of any specific disease-modifying treatments for Alzheimer’s disease in the near future, we urge, based on the high prevalence on cerebrovascular disease described in our data here, that aggressive management of
vascular risk factors and encouragement of healthy lifestyles in midlife may have benefit for Alzheimer’s disease or α-synucleinopathy individuals at increased risk to become clinically symptomatic, and probably to those with other causes of cognitive impairment. Indeed, even those who already manifest the clinical features of Alzheimer’s disease or α-synucleinopathy may benefit from effective therapies that mitigate vascular risk factors and cerebrovascular disease. Guidelines for treatment and prevention of vascular contributions to dementia are available (Gorelick et al., 2011). Finally, we propose that it is timely to consider inclusion of patients with vascular risk factors, cardiovascular disease and cerebrovascular disease in clinical studies as these cases are often excluded currently, but they account for a large percentage of the subjects with dementia and thereby more accurately embody the challenges we must face in developing disease-modifying therapies for Alzheimer’s disease.
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