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Understanding how long-term global change affects the intensity and likelihood of extreme weather events is a frontier science challenge. This fourth edition of explaining extreme events of the previous year (2014) from a climate perspective is the most extensive yet with 33 different research groups exploring the causes of 29 different events that occurred in 2014. A number of this year’s studies indicate that human-caused climate change greatly increased the likelihood and intensity for extreme heat waves in 2014 over various regions. For other types of extreme events, such as droughts, heavy rains, and winter storms, a climate change influence was found in some instances and not in others. This year’s report also included many different types of extreme events. The tropical cyclones that impacted Hawaii were made more likely due to human-caused climate change. Climate change also decreased the Antarctic sea ice extent in 2014 and increased the strength and likelihood of high sea surface temperatures in both the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. For western U.S. wildfires, no link to the individual events in 2014 could be detected, but the overall probability of western U.S. wildfires has increased due to human impacts on the climate.

Challenges that attribution assessments face include the often limited observational record and inability of models to reproduce some extreme events well. In general, when attribution assessments fail to find anthropogenic signals this alone does not prove anthropogenic climate change did not influence the event. The failure to find a human fingerprint could be due to insufficient data or poor models and not the absence of anthropogenic effects.

This year researchers also considered other human-caused drivers of extreme events beyond the usual radiative drivers. For example, flooding in the Canadian prairies was found to be more likely because of human land-use changes that affect drainage mechanisms. Similarly, the Jakarta floods may have been compounded by land-use change via urban development and associated land subsidence. These types of mechanical factors re-emphasize the various pathways beyond climate change by which human activity can increase regional risk of extreme events.
2. EXTREME FIRE SEASON IN CALIFORNIA: A GLIMPSE INTO THE FUTURE?

Jin-Ho Yoon, S.-Y. Simon Wang, Robert R. Gillies, Lawrence Hipp, Ben Kravitz, and Philip J. Rasch

The fire season in northern California during 2014 was the second largest in terms of burned areas since 1996. An increase in fire risk in California is attributable to human-induced climate change.

Introduction. California has been under drought conditions since 2012, and the drought worsened considerably in the winter of 2013/14 (e.g., Wang et al. 2014), which fueled an extreme fire season in 2014 (Hart et al. 2015). The early onset of the 2014 dry season (Supplemental Fig. S2.1) fueled an extraordinary jump in wildfires. Between 1 January and 20 September, the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection reported thousands more fires than the five-year average (www.fire.ca.gov). In early August, a state of emergency was declared for a single wildfire that had burned 32,000 acres (http://gov.ca.gov/news.php?id=18645). This unusual fire season is expected to continue well through 2015.

The connection between a warming climate and lengthened fire seasons may seem intuitive, given the general tendency toward a hot-and-dry climate scenario and an earlier snowmelt (Westerling et al. 2006). However, what is not yet fully understood is the extent to which the projected wetter climate in California towards the latter part of the 21st century (Neelin et al. 2013) could affect wildfire risk in the future; this historical drought and unusual fire season also calls attention to possible impacts from human-induced climate change.

Satellite merged data of burned area from the fourth generation of the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED4; Giglio et al. 2013) was analyzed (online supplemental material). Because the GFED4 product may underestimate wildfire extent due to its limit in the minimum detectable burned area and obscurance by cloud cover, the Keetch–Byram Drought index (KBDI; Janis et al. 2002; Keetch and Byram 1968), routinely used by the United States Forest Service for monitoring fire risk, was included as well. The KBDI is computed with both the observational and simulated daily precipitation and maximum surface temperature. Observational dataset is from the North American Land Data Assimilation phase 2 (NLDAS2; Xia et al. 2012).

Fire extent of 2014. Figure 2.1 shows the annual mean KBDI, the fractional area under extreme fire risk (online supplemental material), and the burned area averaged for entire California (Fig. 2.1a) and northern California—north of 39°N (Fig. 2.1b). Both the KBDI and the extreme fire risk exhibit a steady increase over California since 1979 despite the rather large interannual fluctuation. In terms of area burned in GFED4, 2014 ranks the sixth largest in the entire state and second in northern California; but in terms of the KBDI and the extreme fire risk, 2014 ranks first in both the entire state and northern California. Also noteworthy is that the two largest burned areas in northern California, over the 18-year record of GFED4, occurred in 2012 and 2014. Spatially, the area of higher fire risk in 2014, that is, a KBDI value higher than 400, extends further north compared to that of 2012 (Figs. 2.1e,f), consistent with the burned area (Figs. 2.1c,d).

Attribution and projection. Wildfire simulations and projections are generally performed using stand-alone vegetation models (e.g., Brown et al. 2004; Cook et al. 2012; Luo et al. 2013; Scholze et al. 2006; Yue et al. 2013) driven by global climate model output. While the advantage of using a stand-alone vegetation model lies in its application to high spatial resolution through downscaling, disadvantages include added uncertainty produced from downscaling (e.g., Shukla and Lettenmaier 2013; Yoon et al. 2012). In this study,
we analyzed both the KBDI and wildfire probabilities computed directly within the Community Earth System Model version 1 (CESM1), which are primarily driven by the dryness of the surface soil and the availability of fuel load, that is, vegetation (Thonicke et al. 2001). Although CESM1’s spatial resolution of 1-degree is relatively coarse, the model does simulate well the climate drivers of fire, such as precipitation and surface air temperature of California (Wang et al. 2014). Further, the CESM1 has produced 30 members (online supplemental material) spanning historical (1920–2005) and future periods (2006–80; based on RCP8.5 scenario), together with a pre-industrial simulation of 1800 years. These model outputs provide a unique opportunity for the detection and attribution study conducted here to assess wildfire probabilities under climate change.

Projections for California did show a steady increase of the fire risk based solely upon the KBDI (Fig. 2.2a) and are consistent with recent studies (Dennison et al. 2014; Lin et al. 2014; Luo et al. 2013; van Mantgem et al. 2013) that indicate increased occurrence of area burned and wildfire intensity and duration over the western United States. The CESM1 projects only a slight increase in annual precipitation accompanied with increasing surface warming after 1990 through 2070 (Supplemental Figs. S2.2b,c), consistent with those produced by the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) ensembles (Neelin et al. 2013). At face value, these simulations of a slightly wetter climate from 1990 onward could explain the cessation of the simulated fire probability increase at the end of 20th century (Supplemental Fig. S2.2c). However, the KBDI and the extreme fire risk measures, computed here in terms of the fractional area and the extreme fire danger days (Figs. 2.2b,c), do show a steady and rapid increase from early 1990s and 2000s.

To what extent can the change of the extreme fire risk over California be attributed to global warming? First, we need to understand how much fluctuation is caused by natural climate variability alone (e.g., Kitzberger et al. 2007). Analyzing the 1800-year pre-industrial simulation of the CESM1 by treating the simulation as 18 member ensembles of 100-year simulation, the pre-industrial simulations envelops entirely both the KBDI and the extreme fire risk measures fluctuation for the period spanning 1920–80.
Beginning in the 1990s—the later part of the historical simulation—a clear separation emerges between the extreme fire risks driven by the anthropogenic climate forcing and that of natural climate variability. However, 2014 occurred in a period of rapidly increasing extreme fire risk. The pace of increasing extreme fire risk according to simulation has accelerated since the early 21st century and is expected to surpass the range of natural climate variability. Observations show much faster increases of the KBDI and extreme fire risk measures (gray lines in Fig. 2.2).

The accelerated increase in the KBDI and the extreme fire risk in relation to the projected wetter climate in California is intriguing. To increase extreme fire risk, two basic situations need to be present: one is abundant fuel load (i.e., surface vegetation coverage enhanced through precipitation), and the other is the occurrence of a hot-and-dry climate regime or drought to dry the vegetation. A process called CO$_2$ fertilization (Donohue et al. 2013) tends to increase vegetation activity simply through the uptake of an increasing atmospheric CO$_2$. Under such a scenario along with a wetter climate, vegetation growth would increase and subsequently supply sufficient fuel load. Though population growth and associated urban area change are accounted for in the model, the CESM1 produced fire probability does not account for incidence of human-caused fire ignition, which correlate with population growth.

The extent to which man-made global warming has increased the risk or strength of the recent drought in California has been an active area of research. For example, the severity of the 2014 drought in California was previously analyzed and its potential link to anthropogenic warming was suggested (Diffenbaugh et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015, 2014) despite presence of natural climate variability (Wang and Schubert 2014). However, it is important to point out from this study that, the increase in extreme fire risk is expected within the coming decade to exceed that of natural variability and this serves as an indication that anthropogenic climate warming will likely play a significant role in influencing California's fire season.

**Conclusions.** The 2014 fire season saw the second largest burned area in northern California since 1997, next only to 2012, and ranks the highest since 1979 in the case of extreme fire risk over the entire state.
Although both fire measures are based upon observations, these derived variables do exhibit uncertainty (Giglio et al. 2013; Xia et al. 2012). The recent extreme fire seasons have occurred in a time of drought. Some measures of extreme fire risk are also expected to increase in the future despite the overall lack of change in the mean fire probability and annual precipitation simulated by climate models for the next 50 years. Our result, based on the CESM1 outputs, indicates that man-made global warming is likely one of the causes that will exacerbate the areal extent and frequency of extreme fire risk, though the influence of internal climate variability on the 2014 and the future fire season is difficult to ascertain.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Not Found or Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>Australia (Ch. 31)</td>
<td>Australia, Adelaide &amp; Melbourne (Ch. 29)</td>
<td>Australia, Brisbane (Ch. 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe (Ch. 13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Korea (Ch. 19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia, Adelaide &amp; Melbourne (Ch. 29)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia, Brisbane (Ch. 28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Midwest (Ch. 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter Storms and Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern U.S. (Ch. 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N. America (Ch. 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N. Atlantic (Ch. 7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Precipitation</td>
<td>Canada** (Ch. 5)</td>
<td>Jakarta**** (Ch. 26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom*** (Ch. 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand (Ch. 27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>E. Africa (Ch. 16)</td>
<td>Middle East and S.W. Asia (Ch. 15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. Africa* (Ch. 17)</td>
<td>N.E. Asia (Ch. 21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Levant (Ch. 14)</td>
<td>Singapore (Ch. 25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Cyclones</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gonzalo (Ch. 11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. Pacific (Ch. 24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfires</td>
<td></td>
<td>California (Ch. 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Surface Temperature</td>
<td>W. Tropical &amp; N.E. Pacific (Ch. 20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.W. Atlantic &amp; N.E. Pacific (Ch. 13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Level Pressure</td>
<td>S. Australia (Ch. 32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Ice Extent</td>
<td></td>
<td>Antarctica (Ch. 33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Papers that did not investigate strength are not listed.

†† Papers that did not investigate likelihood are not listed.

* No influence on the likelihood of low rainfall, but human influences did result in higher temperatures and increased net incoming radiation at the surface over the region most affected by the drought.

** An increase in spring rainfall as well as extensive artificial pond drainage increased the risk of more frequent severe floods from the enhanced rainfall.

*** Evidence for human influence was found for greater risk of UK extreme rainfall during winter 2013/14 with time scales of 10 days.

**** The study of Jakarta rainfall event of 2014 found a statistically significant increase in the probability of such rains over the last 115 years, though the study did not establish a cause.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Not Found or Uncertain</th>
<th>Total Number of Papers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>Argentina (Ch. 9)</td>
<td>Australia (Ch. 30, Ch. 31)</td>
<td>Melbourne, Australia (Ch. 29)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia, Adelaide (Ch. 29)</td>
<td>Australia, Brisbane (Ch. 28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe (Ch. 13)</td>
<td>S. Korea (Ch. 19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>China (Ch. 22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Midwest (Ch. 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter Storms and Snow</td>
<td>Nepal (Ch. 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern U.S. (Ch. 4)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N. America (Ch. 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N. Atlantic (Ch. 7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Precipitation</td>
<td>Canada** (Ch. 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jakarta**** (Ch. 26)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand (Ch. 27)</td>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom*** (Ch. 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S. France (Ch. 12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>E. Africa (Ch. 16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle East and S.W. Asia (Ch. 15)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Levant (Ch. 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>E. Africa* (Ch. 17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N. E. Asia (Ch. 21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S. E. Brazil (Ch. 8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Singapore (Ch. 25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Cyclones</td>
<td>Hawaii (Ch. 23)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gonzalo (Ch. 11)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfires</td>
<td>California (Ch. 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>W. Pacific (Ch. 24)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Surface Temperature</td>
<td>W. Tropical &amp; N.E. Pacific (Ch. 20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.W. Atlantic &amp; N.E. Pacific (Ch. 13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Level Pressure</td>
<td>S. Australia (Ch. 32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Ice Extent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antarctica (Ch. 33)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Papers that did not investigate strength are not listed.
‡‡ Papers that did not investigate likelihood are not listed.
* No influence on the likelihood of low rainfall, but human influences did result in higher temperatures and increased net incoming radiation at the surface over the region most affected by the drought.
** An increase in spring rainfall as well as extensive artificial pond drainage increased the risk of more frequent severe floods from the enhanced rainfall.
*** Evidence for human influence was found for greater risk of UK extreme rainfall during winter 2013/14 with time scales of 10 days
**** The study of Jakarta rainfall event of 2014 found a statistically significant increase in the probability of such rains over the last 115 years, though the study did not establish a cause.