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ABSTRACT—Stephanie C. Herring, Martin P. Hoerling, James P. Kossin, Thomas C. Peterson, and Peter A. Stott

Understanding how long-term global change affects the intensity and likelihood of extreme weather events is a frontier science challenge. This fourth edition of explaining extreme events of the previous year (2014) from a climate perspective is the most extensive yet with 33 different research groups exploring the causes of 29 different events that occurred in 2014. A number of this year’s studies indicate that human-caused climate change greatly increased the likelihood and intensity for extreme heat waves in 2014 over various regions. For other types of extreme events, such as droughts, heavy rains, and winter storms, a climate change influence was found in some instances and not in others. This year’s report also included many different types of extreme events. The tropical cyclones that impacted Hawaii were made more likely due to human-caused climate change. Climate change also decreased the Antarctic sea ice extent in 2014 and increased the strength and likelihood of high sea surface temperatures in both the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. For western U.S. wildfires, no link to the individual events in 2014 could be detected, but the overall probability of western U.S. wildfires has increased due to human impacts on the climate.

Challenges that attribution assessments face include the often limited observational record and inability of models to reproduce some extreme events well. In general, when attribution assessments fail to find anthropogenic signals this alone does not prove anthropogenic climate change did not influence the event. The failure to find a human fingerprint could be due to insufficient data or poor models and not the absence of anthropogenic effects.

This year researchers also considered other human-caused drivers of extreme events beyond the usual radiative drivers. For example, flooding in the Canadian prairies was found to be more likely because of human land-use changes that affect drainage mechanisms. Similarly, the Jakarta floods may have been compounded by land-use change via urban development and associated land subsidence. These types of mechanical factors re-emphasize the various pathways beyond climate change by which human activity can increase regional risk of extreme events.
I7. THE 2014 DROUGHT IN THE HORN OF AFRICA: ATTRIBUTION OF METEOROLOGICAL DRIVERS

T. R. MARThews, F. E. L. OTTO, D. MiTcheLL, S. J. DaDsON, anD R. G. JOnes

Introduction. Drought has always been a natural part of climatic variability in Africa (Masih et al. 2014), but the Greater Horn of Africa region (GHoA; taken as the area east of the Nile River between Khartoum, Sudan, and Mombasa, Kenya, see Fig. 17.1) is especially vulnerable to the impacts of drought because of a unique combination of several adverse factors. Despite favorable soils, the GHoA has long experienced widespread poverty and high levels of food insecurity (Global Hunger Index 2013; FEWS NET 2015). Political instability and the high dependence of GHoA’s population on rain-fed agriculture exacerbate the impacts of droughts (Love 2009; Masih et al. 2014).

Most areas of the GHoA experience two rainy seasons: the “long rains” during March–June as the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) crosses the equator from south to north and the “short rains” during October–December as the ITCZ returns to the south (Yang et al. 2015). The long rains have received much recent attention, first, because identifying their large-scale climate drivers has proved challenging (Lyon and DeWitt 2012; Funk et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2014) and second, because since 1999 the long rains have been decreasing across the region (Lyon and DeWitt 2012; Lyon 2014), with disastrous consequences for local populations (Boulter et al. 2013; Masih et al. 2014).

The focus of this study is the 2014 drought in GHoA. In late 2013, the short rains failed almost completely in Kenya, Somalia, and southern Ethiopia, leading to an abnormally-dry growing season from January to March 2014, followed by a widespread drought in many agricultural areas of the GHoA because of much-reduced long rains during March to June (FAO 2014; ECHO 2014; see also Hoell and Funk 2014). A similar failure of both rainy seasons occurred in 2010–11, causing widespread crop failures in 2011 and, as a result of political instability, to famine in Somalia (UNOCHA 2011; Lott et al. 2013; Coghlan et al. 2014; Nicholson 2014). Because climate-related extremes have been the dominant trigger of natural disasters in the GHoA (Omondi et al. 2014), understanding these extreme climatic events and their impacts is critically important.

The decrease of the long rains during March to June has been the dominant driver of the increased frequency and severity of droughts in the GHoA in recent years (Yang et al. 2015), but how much of this decrease is part of natural variability and how much is attributable to anthropogenic climate change? Events such as drought usually occur as a result of a combination of factors (Trenberth 2012), only some of which may be related to recent human activities. Therefore, attribution studies of extreme climatic events are important, but such studies remain rare for Africa partly because of shorter instrumental records that limit our ability to assign causation with certainty (Tierney et al. 2013; Stott et al. 2014). The attribution issue gains added urgency because extreme weather events such as heatwaves, floods, and droughts can be associated with high levels of loss and damage to human society (James et al. 2014; Stott et al. 2014). In this study we ask whether human-induced climate change played a role in the meteorology of the 2014 East African long rains season that could have contributed to the 2014 drought in the GHoA.

Methods. We use probabilistic event attribution (PEA) techniques (e.g., Allen 2003; Stott et al. 2014), which
involve taking an ensemble approach to the problem of estimating the response of the climate system to external forcing (Massey et al. 2015). Two sets of simulations are employed: one factual set generated from multiple realizations of the event in question using observed climate forcings and one counterfactual set based on the “world that might have been without higher greenhouse gas emissions”. These counterfactual simulations are the result of running the same climate model but with anthropogenic greenhouse gas forcings removed (Otto et al. 2015). Comparisons between these two ensembles allow us to quantify the change in extreme event probability that is attributable to anthropogenic as opposed to natural drivers (Pall et al. 2011).

Large ensembles of model simulations are necessary for PEA to understand extreme or rare events (Allen 2003). Our ensemble sets are simulated using HadRM3P, a regional climate model, embedded in the Hadley Centre atmosphere-only general circulation model (HadAM3P) with perturbed initial conditions and observed sea surface temperatures (SSTs), derived from the operational sea surface temperature and sea ice analysis (OSTIA; Stark et al. 2007). These simulations were run using the volunteer computing framework weather@home (Massey et al. 2015).

We used a 0.44° resolution simulation domain (~50 km at midlatitudes) covering Africa from 14.3°S to 46.0°N and from 25.0°W to 62.6°E: a much greater area than the GHoA (Fig. 17.1) to ensure that edge effects were negligible during these simulations. Data from factual and counterfactual simulations form the basis of the subsequent analysis. Statistics are calculated for an area comprising south Ethiopia, north Kenya, and south-west Somalia, the areas where precipitation deficit, hydrological drought, and food insecurity overlapped during the 2014 Horn of Africa drought event (FAO 2014; hereafter the “center of drought impact”, CDI; Fig. 17.1).

Fig. 17.1. Long rains (Mar–Jun) total precipitation (mm) for 2014 as simulated from the weather@home factual experiment, showing the two ensemble members that presented the (a) driest and (b) wettest seasonal means over the CDI. For comparison, estimates of the observed precipitation for the same season are shown from (c) the TAMSAT (e.g., Tarnavsky et al. 2014; n.b. Yemen and oceanic points are not included in TAMSAT) and (d) TRMM datasets (e.g., Dinku et al. 2007) and (e) the anomaly between the mean factual ensemble and TAMSAT (green shows areas where the model overestimates precipitation, purple underestimations). The CDI during 2014 is boxed [Lake Turkana, Kenya (36.00°E), to the Juba River, Somalia (42.28°E), and from Wajir, Kenya (1.75°N), to Imi, Ethiopia (6.46°N)], coinciding approximately with the Turkana Basin/Southeastern Horn region of Liebmann et al. (2014).
**Results.** With the focus of our study on simulations of the 2014 East African long rains season (Fig. 17.1), we compared the weather@home simulations of the seasonal average rainfall in representative members of the factual ensemble with two estimates of the observed rainfall. Initially we examined the distribution of simulated average March to June precipitation over the CDI (Fig. 17.1) and divided this into 20 bins, from each of which an equal number of representative members was chosen (20 bins was an optimal number in order to allow adequate sampling of the tail of low-precipitation simulations). The general spatial pattern of observed rainfall estimates for the 2014 March to June season is correctly returned by the model simulations (Fig. 17.1). Specifically, the spatial mean long rains total precipitation for the CDI averaged across the ensemble was 155 mm (ranging from 94 mm to 195 mm for the minimal and maximal ensembles shown in Fig. 17.1) compared with TAMSAT (e.g., Tarnavsky et al. 2014) and TRMM (e.g., Dinku et al. 2007) data averages for 2014 of 169 mm and 201 mm, respectively. In relation to available data, 2014 was in the driest 33% of years recorded since 1983 (TAMSAT data, Supplemental Fig. S17.1) and its importance as an extreme drought event is not in question.

We focus on surface (1.5-m) meteorological variables that are relevant to the vegetation and soil moisture state of the land surface and therefore to indicators of drought. These are precipitation, which directly influences soil moisture, along with temperature, downward shortwave and longwave radiation, and specific humidity, which in turn affect potential evapotranspiration and other variables relevant to vegetation productivity. In Fig. 17.2 we compare simulations of these variables in the factual climate with the corresponding counterfactual simulations averaged over the CDI. These results show clear increases in the occurrence frequency of higher temperature and humidity at the surface (Figs. 17.2a,b), along with a slightly lower shortwave input but a higher longwave flux at the surface (Figs. 17.2c,d). These differences

![Fig. 17.2. Return-time periods (years) from the regional model (HadRM3P) showing the change in occurrence frequency between simulations of the factual (red) and counterfactual (blue) ensembles for extreme values of a selection of climate model outputs (a) surface temperature (K), (b) specific humidity (g g\(^{-1}\)), (c) downward shortwave radiation received at the surface (W m\(^{-2}\)), (d) downward longwave radiation (W m\(^{-2}\)) and (e) total precipitation (mm). Means and 5%–95% confidence intervals are calculated from bootstrapping for each threshold value across all ensembles in the set (for details see Otto et al. 2015).](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00115.1)
are attributable to human-induced changes in greenhouse gas concentrations. Nonetheless, there is no corresponding change in precipitation (Fig. 17.2e).

Discussion. As large-scale teleconnections play a significant role in the climate of the GHoA, for example, robust correlations have been found linking the East African long rains with SST oscillations such as the Indian Ocean dipole and the El Niño southern oscillation (ENSO; e.g., Funk et al. 2014; Lanckriet et al. 2014; Lyon 2014; Liebmann et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2014, 2015), it is important to note that both our factual and counterfactual simulation ensembles use SSTs with essentially the same oscillations and hence the same large-scale teleconnections. With the SSTs used in the counterfactual simulation being formed from observed values with estimated large-scale anthropogenic SST signals subtracted, the SST gradients, and their temporal evolution, are very similar to the observed values. Thus the same teleconnection signature will be present in both sets of simulations and by comparing these we are able to assess the additional effect of the anthropogenic influences (Otto et al. 2015).

Our results suggest that while anthropogenic increases in greenhouse gas concentrations and associated warming of sea surface temperatures did not increase the likelihood of reduced precipitation in the 2014 East African long rains season, human influences did result in higher temperatures and increased net incoming radiation at the surface over the region most affected by the drought. Conversely, Lott et al. (2013) did find that the failure of the 2011 long rains was more probable following anthropogenic climate change, indicating that climatic conditions for the 2014 drought were not identical to the 2011 drought in the same area. The drivers of the East African long rains are not yet sufficiently understood (Nicholson 2014; Yang et al. 2014, 2015) so there are many possible reasons why anthropogenic change was less significant in terms of climatological drought in 2014 than 2011: for example, 2014 was globally a warmer year than 2011 which perhaps overwhelmed a relatively small anthropogenic signal.

These findings do not constitute a clear attribution of the 2014 climatological drought to human influences. However, simulating the causal chain from precipitation deficit to hydrological or agricultural drought and other impacts is nontrivial and involves many processes that are themselves poorly known at high resolution (Prudhomme et al. 2014). Increased temperature and net incoming radiation at the surface both enhance evaporation and, therefore, the conditions for either or both hydrological or agricultural drought so we suggest that the occurrence of climatological drought and drought impacts may be decoupled in this region. Our results show that anthropogenic influence could well have contributed to drought conditions during the East African long rains but also that more comprehensive studies are required before more definitive statements can be made.
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Table 34.1. ANTHROPOGENIC INFLUENCE
ON EVENT STRENGTH †

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Not Found or Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>Australia (Ch. 31)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Australia, Adelaide &amp; Melbourne (Ch. 29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe (Ch. 13)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Australia, Brisbane (Ch. 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Korea (Ch. 19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Midwest (Ch. 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter Storms and Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern U.S. (Ch. 4)</td>
<td>N. America (Ch. 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N. Atlantic (Ch. 7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Precipitation</td>
<td>Canada** (Ch. 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jakarta**** (Ch. 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom*** (Ch. 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand (Ch. 27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>E. Africa (Ch. 16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle East and S.W. Asia (Ch. 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. Africa* (Ch. 17)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N.E. Asia (Ch. 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Levant (Ch. 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Singapore (Ch. 25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Cyclones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gonzalo (Ch. 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. Pacific (Ch. 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfires</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>California (Ch. 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Surface Temperature</td>
<td>W. Tropical &amp; N.E. Pacific (Ch. 20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.W. Atlantic &amp; N.E. Pacific (Ch. 13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Level Pressure</td>
<td>S. Australia (Ch. 32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Ice Extent</td>
<td></td>
<td>Antarctica (Ch. 33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Papers that did not investigate strength are not listed.

†† Papers that did not investigate likelihood are not listed.

* No influence on the likelihood of low rainfall, but human influences did result in higher temperatures and increased net incoming radiation at the surface over the region most affected by the drought.

** An increase in spring rainfall as well as extensive artificial pond drainage increased the risk of more frequent severe floods from the enhanced rainfall.

*** Evidence for human influence was found for greater risk of UK extreme rainfall during winter 2013/14 with time scales of 10 days

**** The study of Jakarta rainfall event of 2014 found a statistically significant increase in the probability of such rains over the last 115 years, though the study did not establish a cause.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVENT TYPE</th>
<th>COUNTRY/LOCATION</th>
<th>TOTAL NUMBER OF PAPERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heat</strong></td>
<td>Argentina (Ch. 9)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia (Ch. 30, Ch. 31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia, Adelaide (Ch. 29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia, Brisbane (Ch. 28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe (Ch. 13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Korea (Ch. 19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>China (Ch. 22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cold</strong></td>
<td>Upper Midwest (Ch. 3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Winter Storms and Snow</strong></td>
<td>Nepal (Ch. 18)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heavy Precipitation</strong></td>
<td>Canada** (Ch. 5)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand (Ch. 27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drought</strong></td>
<td>E. Africa (Ch. 16)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Levant (Ch. 14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tropical Cyclones</strong></td>
<td>Hawaii (Ch. 23)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wildfires</strong></td>
<td>California (Ch. 2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sea Surface Temperature</strong></td>
<td>W. Tropical &amp; N.E. Pacific (Ch. 20)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.W. Atlantic &amp; N.E. Pacific (Ch. 13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sea Level Pressure</strong></td>
<td>S. Australia (Ch. 32)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sea Ice Extent</strong></td>
<td>Antarctica (Ch. 33)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Papers that did not investigate strength are not listed.

†† Papers that did not investigate likelihood are not listed.

* No influence on the likelihood of low rainfall, but human influences did result in higher temperatures and increased net incoming radiation at the surface over the region most affected by the drought.

** An increase in spring rainfall as well as extensive artificial pond drainage increased the risk of more frequent severe floods from the enhanced rainfall.

*** Evidence for human influence was found for greater risk of UK extreme rainfall during winter 2013/14 with time scales of 10 days.

**** The study of Jakarta rainfall event of 2014 found a statistically significant increase in the probability of such rains over the last 115 years, though the study did not establish a cause.