Macrophages induce COX-2 expression in breast cancer cells: role of IL-1β autoamplification
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Tumor-associated macrophages and high levels of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) are associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer patients, but their potential interdependence has not been evaluated. The objective of this study was to determine whether macrophages regulate COX-2 expression in breast cancer cells. For this purpose, THP-1 cells were cocultured with HCC1954 breast cancer cells. Coculture led to increased COX-2 expression in the HCC1954 cells and elevated prostaglandin E₂ levels in conditioned media. Similar results were observed when THP-1 cells were incubated with HCC1937 breast cancer cells or when human monocyte-derived macrophages were cocultured with HCC1954 cells. Coculture triggered production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in HCC1954 cells. COX-2 induction was blocked in cells preincubated with an reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (ROS) in HCC1954 cells. COX-2 induction was blocked in cells preincubated with an reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase inhibitor or by silencing p67PHOX, a subunit of NADPH oxidase. ROS production triggered activation of Src and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs). Blocking Src or MAPK activities or antagonizing the activator protein-1 (AP-1) transcription factor attenuated COX-2 induction in breast cancer cells. In addition, coculture led to a rapid rise in interleukin-1β (IL-1β) expression in both breast cancer cells and macrophages, and macrophage-mediated induction of COX-2 was blocked in breast cancer cells treated with IL-1β-neutralizing antibody or interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra). Thus, macrophage-mediated induction of COX-2 in breast cancer cells is a consequence of IL-1β-dependent stimulation of ROS → Src → MAPK → AP-1 signaling. IL-1β-dependent induction of COX-2 in breast cancer cells provides a mechanism whereby macrophages contribute to tumor progression and potential therapeutic targets in breast cancer.

Materials and methods

Reagents

RPMI-1640 medium and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) and DMEM/F-12 media were obtained from Gibco (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). PP1, PP2, PD98059, SB202190, diphenyleneiodonium (DPI), N-acetylcycteine, all-trans-retinoic acid (ATRA), phenol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA), lipopolysaccharide (from Escherichia coli serotype 0111:B4), p38 MAPK activity assay kit and β-actin antibody were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). ON-Targetplus non-targeting siRNA pool (NS siRNA) and siRNAs targeting p67PHOX, Src and phospho-Src ( Tyr416) were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies (Santa Cruz, CA). siRNAs for cell cycle proteins were obtained from Dharmacon (ThermoFischer Scientific, Lafayette, CO). IL-1Ra, IL-1β-neutralizing antibody, human recombinant interferon γ (IFNγ) and mouse IgG1 were obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN).

Cell culture

Human breast carcinoma cell lines HCC1954, HCC1937 (15), MCF-7 (16) and SK-BR-3 (17), human monocytic cell line THP-1 (18), human urothelial carcinoma cell line RT-4 (19) and murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7 (20) were purchased from ATCC. HCC1954, HCC1937, MCF-7, SK-BR-3 and THP-1 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with FBS, RT-4 cells were maintained in McCoy’s 5A medium supplemented with FBS. C57/B6 cells were grown as described previously (21).

Abbreviations: ATRA, all-trans-retinoic acid; AP-1, activator protein-1; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; CM, conditioned media; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; DMEM, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; FBS, fetal bovine serum; iFGFR1, inducible fibroblast growth factor receptor 1; JNK, c-Jun N-terminal kinase; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; mRNA, messenger RNA; NADPH, reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PGE₂, prostaglandin E₂; ROS, reactive oxygen species; TAM, tumor-associated macrophage.

Introduction

Macrophages are a major component of the inflammatory infiltrate observed in many tumors including carcinoma of the breast (1,2). Evidence suggests that tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) produce a variety of inflammatory mediators that influence angiogenesis, proliferation, integrity of the extracellular matrix, invasion and metastasis (1,3). In the breast, the presence of high numbers of TAMs is associated with poor prognosis (1,4). Despite intense investigation, the mechanisms by which TAMs contribute to tumorigenesis and/or progression of breast cancer remains incompletely understood (5–7). In this regard, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is overexpressed in ~40% of invasive breast cancers and is associated with increased proliferation, high histological grade, metastasis and reduced survival (8,9). Furthermore, treatment with COX-2 inhibitors or gene ablation reduced experimentally induced breast cancers (10–12), and the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs is associated with a reduced incidence of breast cancer (13,14). Although TAMs and elevated COX-2 expression are independently associated with an aggressive tumor phenotype, the regulatory role macrophages may have on COX-2 expression in breast cancer cells is incompletely understood.

To determine whether macrophages regulate COX-2 expression in breast cancer cells, the two cell types were cocultured utilizing a transwell system. Macrophages induced COX-2 expression in cancer cells and elevated prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) levels in conditioned media (CM). Coculture triggered a rise in reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels in the breast cancer cells, which led to activation of Src kinase and subsequently mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) family members. Blocking Src or MAPK activities or antagonizing the activator protein-1 (AP-1) transcription factor attenuated COX-2 induction in breast cancer cells. In addition, coculture led to a rapid rise in interleukin-1β (IL-1β) expression in both breast cancer cells and macrophages, and macrophage-mediated induction of COX-2 was blocked in breast cancer cells treated with IL-1β-neutralizing antibody or interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra). Thus, macrophage-mediated induction of COX-2 in breast cancer cells is a consequence of IL-1β-dependent stimulation of ROS → Src → MAPK → AP-1 signaling. These findings provide new insights into a mechanism whereby macrophages contribute to tumor progression and suggest potential therapeutic targets for tumors containing elevated numbers of TAMs.
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Cell culture

Human breast carcinoma cell lines HCC1954, HCC1937 (15), MCF-7 (16) and SK-BR-3 (17), human monocytic cell line THP-1 (18), human urothelial carcinoma cell line RT-4 (19) and murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7 (20) were purchased from ATCC. HCC1954, HCC1937, MCF-7, SK-BR-3 and THP-1 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with FBS, RT-4 cells were maintained in McCoy’s 5A medium supplemented with FBS. C57/B6 cells were grown as described previously (21).

Isolation of human peripheral blood monocytes (PBM)

Mononuclear cells were isolated from whole human blood (22). PBMs were recovered from the mononuclear cell population utilizing the EasySep...
proliferation in transformed mammary epithelial cells was regulated by macrophages, murine RAW264.7 macrophages or elicited peritoneal macrophages were cultured on porous tissue culture inserts and then placed into wells containing Ras-transformed mouse mammary epithelial cells (C57/Ras). As seen in Figure 1A, COX-2 expression was induced in C57/Ras cells after 24 h coculture with either RAW264.7 macrophages or elicited peritoneal macrophages. In

Isolation of elicited mouse peritoneal macrophage

Thioglycollate-elicited mouse peritoneal macrophages were obtained from Swiss Webster mice as described earlier (23). Mice were injected intraperitoneally with 3% Brewer Thioglycollate Medium (Difco). Four days later, peritoneal cells were harvested by lavage, recovered by centrifugation and resuspended in DMEM with FBS.

Coculture

HCC1954, HCC1937 or C57/Ras cells were plated into six-well dishes (1.5 to ～2 × 10^6 cells per well) in their culture media described above. THP-1 cells (2 to ～2.5 × 10^6 cells per insert), blood monocytes (1.5 to ～2 × 10^6 cells per insert) or peritoneal macrophages (1 to ～2 × 10^6 cells per insert) were plated directly on the transwell inserts (0.4 μm, BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA) in their culture medium. THP-1 cells were treated with PMA (10 ng/ml) overnight to differentiate them into macrophages. Blood monocytes were activated by treating with IFNγ (20 ng/ml) and lipopolysaccharide (10 ng/ml) for 4 days. Medium was replaced on the third day. Prior to coculture, breast cancer cells and macrophages were washed (×3) with either DMEM or RPMI containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (basal medium). After the last wash, the appropriate basal medium was added to the breast cancer cells and inserts containing macrophages were placed in each well.

Western blotting

Immunoblotting for detection of COX-2, p63PHOX, ERK, phospho-ERK, J̄un, phospho-J̄un, Src, and phospho-Src was carried out as described previously (24).

Real-time polymerase chain reaction

Total RNA from cell lysates was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). RNA (1 μg) was reverse transcribed using murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase and oligo dT(16) primer. The resulting complementary DNA was then used for amplification. Each polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reaction volume was 20 μl and contained 5 μl complementary DNA, 2 × SYBR Green PCR master mix and forward and reverse primers. Real-time PCR primers for COX-2 were forward, 5'-CCCTTGGTGTCAAAGGTTA-3' and reverse, 5'-GCCCTCGGTATTGCATGC-3'. Primers for IL-1β were forward, 5'-GGACAAACCTGAG-GAAGATGC-3' and reverse, 5'-CTGTTATCCCCATGGTGCAA-3'. Primers for β-actin were forward, 5'-AGAAATCTGGCCACACACC-3' and reverse, 5'-AGAGGCCTACAGGGATAGCA-3'. The messenger RNA (mRNA) levels were normalized to β-actin. Relative expression was determined by ddCT (relative quantification) analysis.

ROS measurement

The effect of coculture with macrophages or coculture CM on ROS levels in breast cancer cells was determined utilizing a chloromethyl derivative of fluorescein (CM-H2DCFDA) according to a protocol provided by Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). CM-H2DCFDA was maintained at ～20°C until immediately before use. The stock solution was prepared under low light conditions and stored in an aluminum foil-wrapped tube to protect the dye from photodegradation. Breast cancer cells were preloaded for 30 min with phosphate-buffered saline containing 10 μmol/l CM-H2DCFDA in foil-wrapped plates. Subsequently, the dye was removed and breast cancer cells were cocultured with macrophages in medium free of phenol red in foil-wrapped plates for the indicated time periods. For experiments utilizing CM, the cocultured CM were prepared utilizing medium free of phenol red. Fluorescence was measured in a CytoFluor™ 4000 multi-well plate reader (excitation: 485 nm; emission: 520 nm) and the levels of ROS were normalized by the amount of protein in each sample. Levels of fluorescence in CM-H2DCFDA loaded breast cancer cells exposed to H2O2 and phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) were used as positive controls to optimize the conditions for measuring ROS.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

HCC1954 cells were harvested following coculture. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were conducted utilizing the ChampionChIP Kit (SA-Biosciences, Frederick, MD). Briefly, cells were washed and cross-linked with formaldehyde. The chromatin was sheared by sonication. Immunoprecipitation using phospho-J̄un antibody was performed. Real-time PCR was performed on the eluted product using primers targeting the COX-2 promoter. DNA product before antibody immunoprecipitation was used as total input for normalization.

Statistical analysis

Mean levels of PGE2, COX-2 mRNA, ROS, IL-1β concentration or IL-1β mRNA were compared utilizing analysis of variance. If a significant difference between means was observed, individual comparisons were made utilizing the Newman–Keuls test (25).

Results

Macrophages induce COX-2 expression in breast cancer cells

Evidence suggests that COX-2 plays a role in the formation and progression of breast cancer (8,9). To determine whether COX-2 expression in transformed mammary epithelial cells was regulated by macrophages, murine RAW264.7 macrophages or elicited peritoneal macrophages were cultured on porous tissue culture inserts and then placed into wells containing Ras-transformed mouse mammary epithelial cells (C57/Ras). As seen in Figure 1A, COX-2 expression was induced in C57/Ras cells after 24 h coculture with either RAW264.7 macrophages or elicited peritoneal macrophages. In
a similar experiment, COX-2 expression was induced in human breast cancer cell line HCC1954 following coculture with either PMA-treated human THP-1 monocytes or PBM-derived macrophages (Figure 1B). Likewise, COX-2 expression was induced in human breast cancer cell line HCC1937, when cocultured with THP-1 cells (Figure 1C). Consistent with the induction of COX-2, the level of PGE2 in CM recovered from cocultured HCC1954 and THP-1 cells were significantly increased (Figure 1D).

In this coculture model, macrophages do not make direct contact with tumor cells. To demonstrate the presence of soluble factors that regulate COX-2 expression in tumor cells, naive HCC1954 cells were treated with CM recovered from HCC1954 and THP-1 cells cocultured for 0.5–3 h. As seen in Figure 1E and F, COX-2 protein and mRNA levels increased in naive HCC1954 cells incubated with coculture CM. The magnitude of CM-mediated induction of COX-2 in HCC1954 cells increased when cells were cocultured for longer periods of time (Figure 1E and F). Taken together, these data demonstrate that COX-2 was induced in breast cancer cells cocultured with macrophages.

ROS-dependent COX-2 induction in HCC1954 cells

ROS-mediated signaling has been reported to regulate COX-2 gene expression in a variety of cell types (26–28). Therefore, we determined whether macrophage-induced COX-2 expression in breast cancer cells was dependent on ROS generation. For this purpose, ROS levels were examined in HCC1954 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells and naive HCC1954 cells treated with CM. ROS levels increased in the breast cancer cells under either experimental setting (Figure 2A). Preincubation of HCC1954 cells with diphenyleneiodonium (DPI) DPI, a reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADPH) oxidase inhibitor, blocked the observed increase in ROS levels (Figure 2A) and COX-2 expression (Figure 2B). Preincubation (2 h) of HCC1954 breast cancer cells with 0–500 μM N-acetylcysteine led to a dose-dependent inhibition of COX-2 induction by coculture CM (data not shown). To further evaluate the role of NADPH oxidase, the p67PHOX regulatory subunit of NADPH oxidase was silenced-utilizing siRNA (Figure 2C). Silencing of p67PHOX blocked coculture and CM-mediated induction of ROS (Figure 2D) and COX-2 (Figure 2E). Thus, the induction of COX-2 in breast cancer cells cocultured with macrophages was dependent on ROS generation.

**ROS induces COX-2 by stimulating Src → MAPK → AP-1 pathway**

ROS initiate signaling cascades via the activation of Src kinase (29,30). Therefore, the phosphorylation of Src was examined in HCC1954 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells or treated with CM. Under either condition, Src phosphorylation increased rapidly and robustly (Figure 3A) and was blocked by preincubation with DPI (Figure 3B). Importantly, the Src kinase inhibitors PP1 and PP2 suppressed COX-2 induction in HCC1954 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells or incubated with coculture CM (Figure 3C). Similarly, silencing of Src with siRNA blocked COX-2 induction in HCC1954 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells (Figure 3D).

A variety of stimuli activate MAPK family members leading to COX-2 induction (24,31). Src mediates ROS-induced activation of MAPKs (32). Therefore, we determined whether macrophage-induced activation of Src in breast cancer cells triggered the activation of ERK, p38 and JNK. As seen in Figure 4A, levels of phospho-ERK in HCC1954 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells or incubated with coculture CM was strongly increased. The activation of ERK was blocked when HCC1954 cells were preincubated with PP1 or PP2 (data not shown). Furthermore, the induction of COX-2 observed in HCC1954 cocultured with THP-1 cells or incubated in coculture CM was markedly reduced when cells were preincubated with the MEK inhibitor PD98059 (Figure 4B).

Similarly, p38 activity was increased in HCC1954 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells or incubated in coculture CM (Figure 4C). Moreover, SB202190, a p38 MAPK inhibitor, suppressed both coculture and CM-mediated induction of COX-2 in breast cancer cells (Figure 4D). Coculture of HCC1954 and THP-1 cells or incubation with COX-2 induction with CM also led to a rapid increase in JNK phosphorylation and increased amounts of cJun and phospho-cJun in HCC1954 cells (Figure 4E). Notably, coculture or CM-mediated induction of COX-2 in HCC1954 cells was suppressed by pretreatment with a JNK inhibitor (Figure 4F).

cJun is a component of the AP-1 transcription factor, which plays a central role in the regulation of COX-2 gene expression (24,33).
Several experiments were carried out to determine whether cJun was important for macrophage-mediated induction of COX-2. First, ChIP assays demonstrated that c-Jun led to a rapid increase in the binding of phospho-cJun to the COX-2 promoter in HCC1954 cells (Figure 4G). Next, we demonstrated that the induction of COX-2 was markedly reduced in HCC1954 cells in which c-Jun expression was silenced. Finally, ATRA, an AP-1 antagonist, suppressed c-Jun-mediated induction of COX-2 in HCC1954 cells (Figure 4I).

COX-2 induction is mediated by IL-1β

To identify the soluble mediator(s) that led to induction of COX-2 in coculture, proinflammatory cytokines, IL-1β and tumor necrosis factor-α were measured. Coculture led to a rapid and marked increase in IL-1β levels in CM (Figure 5A). In contrast, tumor necrosis factor-α levels did not increase significantly until 1 h (data not shown). Therefore, we evaluated whether macrophage-mediated induction of COX-2 was IL-1β-dependent. For this purpose, HCC1954 cells were pretreated with IL-1Ra or neutralizing anti-IL-1β IgG. Both IL-1Ra and anti-IL-1β blocked the induction of COX-2 in HCC1954 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells or incubated with coculture CM (Figure 5B and C).

IL-1β can stimulate ROS production through the activation of NADPH oxidase complex (34,35). Since macrophage-induced expression of COX-2 in HCC1954 cells was dependent on both ROS and IL-1β (Figures 2 and 5), we determined the effect of IL-1Ra on ROS levels in HCC1954 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells. As seen in Figure 5D, macrophages failed to induce ROS in breast cancer cells cocultured with IL-1Ra. These data indicate that IL-1β plays a causal role in macrophage induction of ROS and subsequently COX-2 expression in the breast cancer cells.

Recent studies of human urothelial cancers demonstrated a positive correlation between the degree of macrophage infiltration and the level of COX-2 expression in tumor cells (36). Given this finding, we determined whether macrophages induced COX-2 in RT-4 urothelial cancer cells. COX-2 expression was upregulated in RT-4 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells or incubated in cocultured CM (Figure 5E). Importantly, the induction of COX-2 in RT-4 cells was markedly attenuated by IL-1Ra. Thus, IL-1β plays an important role in mediating macrophage-dependent COX-2 induction in urothelial cancer cells in addition to breast cancer cells.

Autoamplification of IL-1β expression in macrophage breast cancer cell cocultures

The ability of HCC1954/THP-1 coculture CM to induce COX-2 in naive HCC1954 cells increased as a function of duration of coculture and was greater than that observed utilizing CM derived from THP-1 cells alone (Figure 6A). Since COX-2 induction in HCC1954 cells was dependent on IL-1β (Figure 5B and C), we monitored IL-1β expression by IL-1β and HCC1954 cells over the 12 h experimental period. As seen in Figure 6B, HCC1954 cells secreted relatively small amounts of IL-1β. In CM recovered from THP-1 cells, IL-1β levels rose from ~250 pg/ml at 1 h to ~1000 pg/ml at 6 and 12 h. CM recovered from HCC1954/THP-1 cocultures at 1 h contained 1500 pg/ml IL-1β and 4000 pg/ml at 6 and 12 h. Thus, the level of IL-1β in cocultures was higher than found when HCC1954 or THP-1 cells were cultured alone, suggesting the importance of macrophage–tumor cell interactions for regulating IL-1β production.

When IL-1β message levels in HCC1954 cells were monitored utilizing real-time PCR, relative expression showed little change over time (Figure 6C). In contrast, there was a dramatic increase in amounts of IL-1β mRNA in HCC1954 cells cocultured with THP-1 cells. Likewise, the expression of IL-1β in THP-1 cells increased >10-fold when cocultured with HCC1954 cells. Thus, coculture stimulated IL-1β expression by both cell types.

To determine whether the observed increase in IL-1β expression resulted from autoamplification (37), the ability of exogenous IL-1β to induce IL-1β in HCC1954 and THP-1 cells was investigated. As shown in Figure 6D, exogenous IL-1β strongly induced IL-1β in both HCC1954 and THP-1 cells. This inductive effect of IL-1β was blocked by IL-1Ra. Furthermore, IL-1Ra blocked coculture CM-mediated induction of IL-1β in both naive HCC1954 and THP-1 cells (Figure 6E). To corroborate and extend these observations, the ability of exogenous IL-1β to stimulate the production of IL-1β by MCF-7 and SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells was determined. Cells were treated with 10 ng/ml IL-1β for 3 h, medium removed, and fresh medium was added for another 3 h. Levels of IL-1β in media derived from control MCF-7 cells increased >20-fold following treatment with exogenous IL-1β (17 ± 3 versus 380 ± 52 pg IL-1β/μg cell protein; mean ± standard deviation; n = 6; P < 0.0001). Likewise, levels of IL-1β in media derived from SK-BR-3 cells increased >25-fold following treatment with IL-1β (29 ± 4 versus 759 ± 75 pg IL-1β/μg cell protein; mean ± standard deviation; n = 6; P < 0.0001). Collectively, these data suggest that IL-1β secreted by macrophages stimulates an
autoamplification process, which results in accumulation of IL-1\(\beta\) and induction of COX-2 expression in breast cancer cells.

**Discussion**

Stromal–epithelial interactions are important in breast carcinogenesis. Both an increased number of TAMs and elevated COX-2 levels in tumor cells have been associated with poor prognosis for breast cancer patients (1,4,8,9). However, little is known about the potential of stromal cells to regulate the expression of COX-2 in tumor cells. Here, we showed that IL-1\(\beta\) secreted by macrophages, an important stromal component in many breast cancers, stimulated a ROS/Src/MAPK/AP-1 pathway in breast cancer cells leading to increased COX-2 levels. Several studies have yielded *in vivo* results, which underscore the relevance of these mechanistic studies. Levels of IL-1\(\beta\) are higher in invasive breast cancers than in ductal carcinoma *in situ* or benign lesions, and IL-1\(\beta\) content in breast cancer correlated with the degree of macrophage infiltration (38). IL-1 genetic polymorphisms have been associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer patients (39), and mammary tumor growth was inhibited in IL-1\(\beta\) knockout mice (40). Moreover, in a mouse model of mammary tumorigenesis, the activation of an inducible fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (iFGFR1) transgene within epithelial cells resulted in induction of IL-1\(\beta\) and COX-2 in the mammary gland, recruitment of macrophages and the formation of hyperplastic-budding structures (41). Treatment of these mice with neutralizing antibody to IL-1\(\beta\) led to reduced levels of COX-2 in mammary epithelium, and a significant decrease in hyperplastic lesions present in the mammary glands (41). Similarly, treatment with a selective COX-2 inhibitor led to a decreased frequency of hyperplastic lesions. Collectively, these data demonstrate the potential of targeting inflammatory mediators as a therapeutic strategy.

Macrophage–epithelial interactions were suggested to be important in the iFGFR1-inducible model since activation of iFGFR1 in stably transduced HC-11 mammary epithelial cells (HC-11/R1) failed to induce IL-1\(\beta\) expression, whereas levels of IL-1\(\beta\) were significantly increased in the cocultures of HC-11/R1 cells and RAW264.7 macrophages (41). In monocultures, activation of iFGFR1 resulted in robust...
induction of COX-2 in HC11/R1 cells. In contrast, COX-2 was weakly induced by recombinant IL-1β and iFGFR1-induced COX-2 was unaffected by blocking anti-IL-1β IgG. These data indicate that IL-1β and iFGFR1 induce COX-2 expression via different pathways in the HC-11/R1 cells (41).

In the current study, we present evidence that IL-1β secreted by macrophages stimulates an autoamplification loop, which results in enhanced expression of IL-1β in both macrophages and breast cancer cells. The importance of IL-1β was underscored by evidence that treatment with either an IL-1Ra or a neutralizing antibody to IL-1β blocked coculture and CM-mediated induction of COX-2 in tumor cells (Figure 5). The inductive effects of IL-1β were mediated by NADPH oxidase-dependent production of ROS. Several findings support this conclusion. Coculture or treatment of breast cancer cells with CM led to both increased ROS and COX-2 levels (Figure 2). Importantly, treatment with DPI or silencing of p67 PHOX suppressed the induction of both ROS and COX-2 (Figure 2). Given the evidence that IL-1β induced ROS was causally linked to the induction of COX-2, we next attempted to elucidate the signal transduction pathway.

ROS generated by NADPH oxidase can activate Src kinase (42). This mechanism was important for IL-1β-mediated induction of COX-2, since DPI blocked both coculture and CM-mediated activation of Src and induction of COX-2. Moreover, silencing of Src or treatment with Src kinase inhibitors blocked the induction of COX-2 (Figure 3). Src can mediate ROS-dependent activation of MAPKs (32) and the activation of MAPKs plays a central role in regulating COX-2 transcription (31,43–45). Several lines of evidence suggest that IL-1β induced COX-2 via activation of ERK, p38 and JNK MAPKs. The activities of ERK, p38 and JNK were elevated in breast cancer cells following coculture or treatment with CM. Selective inhibitors of MAPK kinase, p38 and JNK suppressed the induction of COX-2. In addition, c-Jun, a component of the AP-1 transcription factor, plays a key role in IL-1β-mediated induction of COX-2. Western blotting showed a rapid increase in levels of c-Jun and phospho-c-Jun following coculture or treatment with CM (Figure 4H and I). Collectively, these findings are consistent with previous evidence that stimulation of MAPKs results in AP-1-dependent induction of COX-2 transcription (24,33,46).

Based on these intriguing findings, future studies are warranted to determine whether cross talk between macrophages and tumor cells amplifies the production of other molecules implicated in carcinogenesis. Notably, both IL-1β (47) and COX-derived PGE2 (48) were shown to mediate the accumulation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in 4T1 mammary carcinoma, which inhibit immune surveillance and allow the proliferation of malignant cells. The results of the current study suggest that the reported immuno-suppressive effects of IL-1β may be mediated, in part, by the induction of COX-2 in breast cancer cells and subsequent synthesis of PGE2. Additional studies are needed to test this possibility.

Macrophages are broadly classified as M1 or M2 depending on activating signals and ensuing functional activities (49,50). M1 macrophages express high levels of inflammatory cytokines (i.e. tumor necrosis factor-α and IL-1β), major histocompatibility complex class II molecules and ROS and reactive nitrogen intermediates. In contrast, the M2 phenotype is characterized by relatively low levels of inflammatory cytokines, ROS and reactive nitrogen intermediates and high levels of scavenger receptors, arginase, transforming growth factor-β and IL-10 (49,50). That said, the phenotype of
TAMs is influenced by tumor type and stage and specific location in the tumor (51,52). Thus, it is probably that TAMs fall into subtypes between the M1 and M2 polarized phenotypes. In reference to the macrophages utilized in these coculture studies, PMA-treated THP-1 monocytes differentiate into macrophages with M2 functional properties (53), IFN-$\gamma$ and lipopolysaccharide activated peripheral blood-derived macrophages express the M1 phenotype (49) and based on major histocompatibility complex-class II expression and inflammatory cytokine expression, thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages are tilted toward the M1 phenotype (54,55). We have shown that all three macrophage populations stimulated COX-2 expression in species appropriate breast cancer cells. The observation that phenotypically diverse macrophages are able to induce COX-2 in breast cancer cells points to the robustness of this effect.

In summary, although increased numbers of TAMs and elevated COX-2 expression are associated with aggressive breast cancers, the role of macrophages in regulating COX-2 levels in breast cancer cells is not well understood. Here, we demonstrate that IL-1$\beta$ secreted by macrophages stimulates an autoamplification loop, which results in enhanced expression of IL-1$\beta$ by both macrophages and breast cancer cells. IL-1$\beta$ triggers the activation of a ROS$\rightarrow$Src$\rightarrow$MAPK$\rightarrow$AP-1 pathway in breast cancer cells leading to increased COX-2 levels. Finally, in so much that components of this signaling pathway have been identified as therapeutic targets in the treatment of breast cancer (56,57), our results suggest a rationale for targeting these mediators in breast cancers characterized by large numbers of macrophages.
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