Butyrate suppresses mRNA increase of osteopontin and cyclooxygenase-2 in human colon tumor tissue

F. Jahns1,2,*, A. Wilhelm1,2, N. Jablonowski1, H. Mothes3, Mariya Radeva2, A. Wölfert4, K. O. Greulich2 and M. Glei1

1Department of Nutritional Toxicology, Institute of Nutrition, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena, Dornburger Straße 24, 07743 Jena, Germany, 2Department of Single Cell and Single Molecule Techniques, Leibniz Institute of Age Research Fritz Lipmann Institute, Beutenbergstraße 11, 07745 Jena, Germany, 3Department of General, Visceral and Vascular Surgery, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena, Erlanger Allee 101, 07745 Jena, Germany and 4Institute of Pathology, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena, Ziegelmühlweg 1, 07743 Jena, Germany

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: + 49 3641 949669; Fax: + 49 3641 949672; Email: franziskajahns@aol.com

The short chain fatty acid (SCFA) butyrate, a product of fermentation of dietary fiber in the human colon, is found to exert multiple regulatory processes in colon carcinogenesis. The aim of this study was to find out whether butyrate affects the tumor-promoting genes osteopontin (OPN) and cyclooxygenase (COX)-2, their respective proteins and/or their functional activity in matched normal, adenoma and tumor colon tissues obtained from 20 individuals at colon cancer surgery. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction experiments showed increased levels of OPN and COX-2 messenger RNA in tumor tissues when compared with the adjacent normal samples (P < 0.001). The addition of butyrate reduced OPN and COX-2 mRNA expression in all tissue types compared with the related medium controls (tumor: P < 0.05). In tumor samples, a downregulation of up to median 35% (COX-2) and 50% (OPN) was observed, respectively. Thereby, tumors with lower levels of OPN basal expression were more sensitive to inhibition and vice versa for COX-2 in normal tissue. At the protein and enzyme level, which were determined by using western blot and enzyme immunometric assays, the impact of the SCFA was not clearly visible anymore. The active proteins of OPN and COX-2 (determined by prostaglandin E2) were found to correlate with their respective mRNA expression only in 50–63% of analyzed donors.

For the first time, our data reveal new insights into the chemoprotective potential of butyrate by showing the suppression of OPN and COX-2 mRNA in primary human colon tissue with the strongest effects observed in tumors.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer is the third most frequent malignancy in men and the second in women worldwide (1). The tumors develop in a multi-step process over years or decades and occur as sporadic colon cancer predominantly in the aging population. Dietary fiber is believed to lower the risk of colon carcinogenesis (2,3). The indigestible plant ingredients are fermented by the colonic microflora resulting in the formation of short chain fatty acids (SCFA) such as acetate, propionate and butyrate which seem to contribute to this impact. Besides its physiological relevance as an energy source, butyrate revealed chemopreventive properties against colorectal carcinogenesis via induction of apoptosis and differentiation, inhibition of proliferation and modulation of stress and detoxification-related genes (4–6). These apparent opposite effects of butyrate on normal and malignant colon cells are described as ‘butyrate paradox’ in the literature (7).

Presently, only few data is available concerning the impact of butyrate on tumor-promoting genes and their analog proteins which are often modified during the development of cancer. Osteopontin (OPN) and cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 are substantially involved in tumor growth and spreading and therefore represent promising targets in cancer therapy (8,9). OPN is a secreted glycosylated phosphoprotein located in the extracellular matrix. As an adhesion protein mediating cell attachment via interactions with integrins and CD44 variants and as a cytokine, it is acting physiologically in diverse cellular processes like immune response, bone mineralization and survival (10). In accordance with the pathological stage and patient’s survival, OPN was found in elevated levels in a variety of cancers (e.g. breast, lung, colon) (11,12) where it is implicated in tumor cell invasion and metastasis (13,14).

COX-2, the inducible and partly constitutive expressed isoform of COXs, is involved in the synthesis of prostaglandins that are particularly active in pathophysiological processes, like inflammation, pain, fever and tumor development (15). Over 70% of colorectal carcinomas and a subset of adenomas showed elevated levels of this protein (16,17). The expression of COX-2 and its principal metabolite prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) promote tumor growth by influencing characteristic attributes of cancer cells including invasion, angiogenesis and apoptosis (18).

A suppression of OPN and COX-2 messenger RNA (mRNA) and protein has been associated with a decreased metastatic spread, an inhibition of cell growth and an induction of apoptosis (9,19,20). Much of this work has employed colon cancer cell lines or animal models just as studies with butyrate. The aim of the present study was therefore to investigate the impact of a physiologically relevant dose of butyrate on gene expression and protein/enzyme levels of OPN and COX-2 in human colon tissues with different malignity degree ex vivo. The outcomes shall provide new insights whether surgical colon cancer tissue responds to butyrate in a manner similar to colon cancer cell lines and build a bridge to clinical research.

Material and methods

Patient material

Colon tumor and respective normal tissues were obtained from 20 patients with colorectal cancer who underwent surgical resection at the University Hospital of Jena. Five of them also displayed benign adenomas which were additionally resected. The study was approved by the ethical committee of the University of Jena (no. 1601-08/05) and all patients gave their informed consent. The group consisted of 8 men and 12 women with a mean age of 70.5 ± 13.2 years. None of the patients has received chemotheraphy or radiation prior to surgery. After removal the tissue samples were stored in Hank’s balanced salt solution (8.0 g/l NaCl, 0.4 g/l KCl, 0.06 g/l Na2HPO4, 2 H2O, 0.06 g/l KH2PO4, 1 g/l glucose, 0.35 g/l NaHCO3 and 4.8 g/l N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N’-2-ethanesulfonic acid; pH 7.2), transported on ice to the laboratory and prepared immediately. The colon epithelium was separated from the normal colon tissue by perfusion-supported mechanical disaggregation (21), whereas adenoma and tumor tissues were cut into small pieces of approximately 0.3–0.5 cm2. Tissue strips were either frozen in liquid nitrogen alone or submerged in RNA later (Qiagen, 49 3641 949672; Fax: +49 3641 949672; Email: franziskajahns@aol.com) until cytosol or RNA was being extracted. Pathological examination of the colon tissues assessed tumor stage and grading according to the Union for International Cancer Control classification (Table I).

Treatment of colon tissue with butyrate

Simultaneously, strips from normal, adenoma and cancerous colon tissue were placed into six-well plates (two to three strips per well) and treated either with 0 mM (control) or 10 mM butyrate (diluted in primary cell culture medium) under sterile conditions at 37°C in a 95% humidified incubator (5% CO2). The medium consisted of minimal essential medium with Earle’s salts enriched...
with 20% fetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 μg/ml gentamicin, 2.5 μg/ml fungizone, 10 ng/ml epidermal growth factor, 5 μg/ml insulin, 5 μg/ml transferrin and 5 μg/ml sodium selenite according to Rogler et al. (22). After incubation for 12 h, the tissue strips used for protein analyses were washed in Hank’s balanced salt solution and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples for gene expression studies were additionally submerge in RNA later.

**RNA isolation and complementary DNA preparation**

After homogenization of the tissue strips in RLT Plus buffer with the Polytron homogenizer 2100 (Kinematica AG, Littau/Lucerne, Switzerland), total RNA was isolated by using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA was eluted in 25 μl RNase-free water and quantified spectrophotometrically with the NanoDrop® ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). RNA integrity was checked before complementary DNA synthesis with the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Depending on the amount, 100–2500 ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed in a 20 μl reaction mix with Oligo(dT)12-18 primers using the SuperScript II First Strand cDNA Synthesis System (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany). Subsequently, the remaining RNA was removed by RNase H (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt/Main, Germany) treatment.

**Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction**

The efficiency of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was verified for all primer pairs by previous experiments and was in the acceptable range of 95–105%. Two microliters complementary DNA (5–30 ng RNA equivalents) prepared from different starting concentrations of total RNA were used in a 25 μl PCR mix containing qSYBR Green iMix (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany), RNase-free water and 10 pmol gene-specific primers: COX-2 forward, 5′-CGCTCAAGCCATACAGCA-3′ and reverse, 5′-GAATCTGTCGGTTGAT-3′; OPN forward, 5′-TTGAAATTCGAGAAAAAGCAGG-3′ and reverse, 5′-GCCTTCTTTGGATGACTGTT-3′; β-actin forward, 5′-AGG-TCGCTGGCTTCCGGAAGGTA-3′; and reverse, 5′-CCAGATGGAGGGAAGAAGAC-3′; β-glucuronidase (GUS) forward, 5′-TCGGAGCTGAGGAAGAAGATTG-3′ and reverse, 5′-TTTGTCAACTCAAGGTACCGAG-3′. After inactivation at 95°C for 2 min at 95°C, the amplification was carried out in 40 cycles involving denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s and extension (2 min) at 72°C. The specificity of the PCR products was confirmed by a subsequent melting curve analysis. All samples were analyzed in duplicate.

The expression of the targets was normalized to the geometric average of two reference genes (β-actin, GUS) based on the equation of Pfaffl et al. (23) involving efficiency (E) and quantification cycle (Cq). Since the reaction efficiencies of all primer pairs were close to 100%, E was set to 2.

\[
\text{Relative mRNA expression} = \frac{E_{\text{E(target)}}}{E_{\text{E(ref)}}} ^ {\Delta C_{\text{q(target)}} - \Delta C_{\text{q(ref)}}} .
\]

**Western blot analysis**

For detection of protein, equally treated colon tissue material of the same donors used for mRNA analysis was examined. Because of difficulties in OPN detection in previous experiments, only COX-2 protein expression was measured. Our investigations indicate that high protein amounts (≥240 μg) were necessary to verify COX-2 protein. Since cytosolic protein contents of the samples used in this study were often low (range 300–4900 μg/ml), the detection of COX-2 was restricted to a few donors.

Butyrate-treated (10 mM) and non-treated paired normal, adenoma and tumor tissues were homogenized with the Polytron homogenizer 2100 in cold lysis buffer (50 mM KH2PO4, 1 mM Na2EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1 mM Pefabloc; pH 7) and centrifuged (16 000g, 10 min, 4°C). Total protein contents were determined according to Bradford (24). For western blot analysis, 30–50 μg of total protein was mixed with 5× concentrated loading buffer (250 mM Tris-Cl pH 6.8, 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate; 50% glycerol; 0.1% bromphenol blue and 0.5 M dithiothreitol), separated by discontinuous sodium dodecyl-sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (storing gel: 4%; separating gel: 12%) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman, Florham Park, NJ). The membrane was blocked with 3% nonfat dried milk powder (β-actin: 5%; AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated with the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-COX-2 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), mouse anti-β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany), rabbit anti-mouse IgG-HRP (Dako, Hamburg, Germany) and goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Dako). By using enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK), proteins were finally visualized and band intensities were quantified by densitometric measurement using Quantity One software, version 4.1 (Bio-Rad).

**Determination of OPN and PGE2 levels in cell culture supernatants**

After 12 h butyrate treatment of colon epithelial tissues, cell culture media of all samples were collected and centrifuged (3900g, 10 min, 4°C) before being stored at –80°C. OPN and PGE2 contents in the supernatants were determined by using commercially available enzyme immunoassay kits according to the manufacturer’s manuals (OPN: Assay Designs, Ann Arbor, MI; PGE2: Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI). Levels expressed as nanograms per microliter were normalized to the total protein content of the cultured tissue.

**Statistical evaluation**

Statistical analysis was performed by the nonparametric Wilcoxon matched pairs test or the Kruskal–Wallis test using GraphPad Prism Software 5 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA), comparing two and multiple groups, respectively. The relation between two parameters was evaluated by the Spearman’s rank correlation test. Gender differences were identified by the Mann–Whitney test. All results reached significance when P < 0.05.

**Results**

**Basal COX-2 and OPN mRNA expression**

In this study, colon tissue material of 20 patients in total was used to examine basal mRNA expression levels of known tumor markers. The analysis revealed that COX-2 mRNA was elevated in tumor as compared with normal colon tissue (P < 0.001, Figure 1A). Expression changes ranged from 0.72- to 278-fold (median of 6-fold). The OPN mRNA level was also increased in nearly all malignant tissues (except one, P2) when compared with the related normal counterparts (P < 0.001, Figure 1B). Thereby, OPN transcripts were present in 3- to 299-fold higher amounts by showing a median increase of 23-fold. Among the analyzed patients, some individuals were marked by extremely high OPN (P6, P14, P15) and/or COX-2 mRNA levels (P6) in tumor tissue (fold changes >100) which indicates a patient-specific expression. However, due to the limited number of patients possessing premalignant lesions, the expression of both targets was not significantly (COX-2: P = 0.43, OPN: P = 0.58) changed in adenoma tissues when compared with the corresponding normal samples (Figure 1A and B).

Considering clinicopathological parameters, we demonstrated that gene expression of COX-2 and OPN were not correlated with tumor stage and grading (data not shown). But in contrast to COX-2, OPN was observed to be increased by median 41-fold during the progression of benign adenomas to malign tumors (P < 0.05). The influence of age and gender was evaluated in normal as well as in tumor tissue (data not shown). Males tended to express more COX-2 in normal colon tissue compared with females (P = 0.12). No difference between both groups was noted for OPN. Furthermore, neither the transcript amount of COX-2 nor those of OPN was age-related in any of the tissue types.

| Table I. Clinicopathological data of the patients analyzed in this study |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Patient and tumor characteristics | Number of patients |
| Mean age (years) ± SD | 70.5 ± 13.2 |
| Gender | 12 females, 8 males |
| Tumor stage | I, II, III, IV |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 10 |
| 4 | 7 |
| Tumor grading | 1, 2, 3, 4 |
| 1 | 10 |
| 2 | 7 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 1 |

One of the donors was only found with adenoma. In case of another patient, the tumor grading was not reported.

### References

Effect of incubation on COX-2 and OPN mRNA expression

The primary cell culture medium served as solvent for the SCFA and therefore was used as a negative control. The incubation (12 h) with medium alone caused a multi-fold induction of both targets in normal as well as in the cancerous colon tissues. Thereby, COX-2 expression was most affected by showing a median increase of 150-fold in normal (P, 0.001) and 81-fold in tumor colon tissue (P, 0.001) compared with the respective untreated counterparts (basal; Figure 1A). An induction of COX-2 could also be observed in the premalignant adenoma tissue, which nevertheless appeared less susceptible than the other ones (median fold change 23, P, 0.06; Figure 1A).

In contrast, OPN gene expression was not altered so dramatically (Figure 1B). The incubation of normal and adenoma tissue strips in medium resulted in a comparable increase of OPN mRNA (normal: median fold change 8.65, P < 0.001; adenoma: median fold change 7.65, P = 0.06), whereas this influence was less apparent in tumor tissue (median fold change 2.08, P < 0.001).

Effect of butyrate on COX-2 and OPN mRNA expression

To ascertain the effect of butyrate, the expression of butyrate-treated tissues had to be compared with the expression of the respective medium controls. The data indicate a modulation of mRNA expression of COX-2 and OPN in tissues of different stage of transformation in response to butyrate (Figure 2).

COX-2 mRNA expression was tendentially reduced by butyrate in normal colon tissues when compared with the related non-treated controls (medium, P = 0.07). The majority of patients (16 of 20) exhibited a decreased transcript level by median 41% (fold change 0.59). In adenoma and tumor tissues, similar results could be observed whereby the reduction of COX-2 mRNA expression in the malignant group achieved significance (median fold change 0.65, P < 0.05). Here, 14 of 20 donors showed decreased transcript levels after butyrate treatment, whereas all adenoma samples were found with reduced COX-2 mRNA levels (median fold change 0.63, P = 0.06). When the effects of butyrate in normal and tumor tissue were compared, the applied Wilcoxon matched pairs test confirmed that no differential regulation of COX-2 is present (Figure 2A).

The influence of butyrate on OPN gene expression was significantly depending on the tissue type. Although butyrate only insignificantly suppressed the medium-induced OPN mRNA increase by median 14% in normal colon mucosa (fold change 0.86), the amount of transcripts was downregulated by almost half in the malignant tumors (median fold change 0.48). Here, the expression of nearly all patients (15 of 20) exhibited a fold change <1 after butyrate treatment indicating a decreased gene expression. The rest appeared to be insensitive to the SCFA. In the pre-stage of tumor, adenoma, OPN gene expression was observed to be even more affected by butyrate as in the fully blown carcinomas. All the benign tissues of

Fig. 1. Relative mRNA expression of COX-2 (A) and OPN (B) and the influence of cell culturing in paired normal, adenoma and tumor colon tissue of 20 individual patients. mRNA levels were quantified before (0 h, closed squares) and after the treatment with medium (12 h, open circles) in a humidified incubator (37°C, 5% CO2) by using quantitative real-time PCR. Data are sorted by age of the patients (P), the small letter discriminates further between male (m) and female (f). For better visualization, basal levels and medium effects were each connected by a line.
the five donors investigated showed a butyrate-suppressed expression by median 75% (fold change 0.25, \( P = 0.06 \)) (Figure 2B).

Considering the clinicopathological characteristics of patients, no correlation between gender, age, tumor stage or grading and the individual effect of butyrate was found (data not shown). Further correlation analyses revealed that both genes appeared to be altered depending on their basal expression and the tissue type. The initial transcript amount of \( \text{COX-2} \) in normal colon epithelium (no effect in adenoma and tumor) correlated negative with the respective fold change after butyrate treatment \( (P < 0.05, r = -0.46, \text{Figure 3A}) \). This means that normal epithelia with higher levels of \( \text{COX-2} \) expression were more sensitive to inhibition by butyrate as those with lower expression. In transformed tissue, an inverse correlation was found for \( \text{OPN} \). Here, levels of \( \text{OPN} \) expression were more downregulated by the SCFA when the initial expression was low than at originally high expression \( (P < 0.05, r = 0.50, \text{Figure 3B}) \). Similar findings were also made in adenoma tissue \( (P = 0.08, r = 0.90, \text{data not shown}) \).

**Effect of butyrate on \( \text{COX-2} \) protein expression**

Since basal expression levels of \( \text{COX-2} \) protein were inconsistent in normal and tumor tissues and not meaningful when compared with the gene expression results (data not shown), we have focused on the analysis of \( \text{COX-2} \) levels after \textit{in vitro} culturing. Generally, only moderate changes of \( \text{COX-2} \) expression were observed in response to butyrate. Data of six patients showed median fold changes of 1.30 in normal tissue and 0.87 in cancerous tissue. A comparison of the gene and protein expression outcomes of these six donors revealed no correlation (data not shown). The western blot analysis showed either less, no or adverse effects at \( \text{COX-2} \) protein level in normal as well as in tumor colon tissue. Nevertheless, we suppose a link between the response to butyrate and age of the patients. Combining the
data of normal and tumor tissue, the protein fold change (effect of butyrate compared with related medium control) was observed to be above the mRNA fold change after butyrate treatment with increasing age of donors indicating a posttranscriptional regulation of COX-2 mRNA ($r = 0.47$, $P = 0.15$).

**Effect of butyrate on secreted PGE2 and OPN levels**

Because of problems in respect of the detection of COX-2 protein by western blot due to insufficient total protein amounts, the functional consequence of a possible downregulation by butyrate was examined by measuring the amount of PGE$_2$, the main mediator of COX-2, using enzyme immunoassay. The assay was performed with patient material ($n = 8$) which mainly showed a decreased COX-2 mRNA expression after butyrate incubation.

PGE$_2$ was well detectable in the cell culture supernatants (300–4000 pg/ml) derived from normal colon tissues treated with medium. After normalization to the total tissue protein content, similar high levels were observed in the media with exception of one donor (P4) showing a remarkably higher PGE$_2$ concentration than the others (Figure 4A). Exposure of normal tissue to butyrate (10 mM) had only a marginal influence on PGE$_2$ contents (median fold change: 0.80; Figure 4A). Solely two donors with strong modified PGE$_2$ levels (fold changes 0.06 and 7.28) were identified after treatment with the SCFA.

The respective tumor samples released median 1.63-fold more PGE$_2$ into the medium (Figure 4A and B) as the normal counterparts (range of raw values: 3000–10 000 pg/ml). The influence of the SCFA was controversial: half of the patients exhibited a reduced tumor-derived PGE$_2$ level, whereas the rest showed slightly increased levels (median fold change 0.62; Figure 4B). In adenoma samples, no meaningful results were obtained (data not shown).

A comparison of PGE$_2$ levels and the respective COX-2 mRNA expression revealed no significant correlation in both tissues (Figure 4C and D). In general, the COX-2 transcript amount was more strongly affected by butyrate than the PGE$_2$ protein level in most of the analyzed patients. However, in normal tissue, four of eight donors showed a tendential relation between COX-2 gene and PGE$_2$ protein expression data. A comparable correlation was also found in the tumor tissue (63%).

In contrast, OPN protein levels were much more difficult to detect since the kit used was not as sensitive as the PGE$_2$ enzyme immunoassay. OPN derived from colon tumor samples ranged from 700 to almost 3000 pg/ml. In the cell culture supernatants of normal tissues, the glycosylated phosphoprotein was entirely below the detection limit. After OPN levels had been normalized to the total protein content of the tissue, no general expression pattern was obvious after the treatment with butyrate (Figure 5A). Half of the patients showed a reduced OPN abundance, whereas the rest exhibited increased or unaltered levels. A correlation analysis according to Spearman revealed no relation between OPN mRNA and protein. Only four of eight patients showed an approximate conformity with the respective gene expression (Figure 5B). In contrast to COX-2, a divergent relation regarding the butyrate effect and age of donors is assumed. Younger patients showed stronger decreased mRNA levels in relation to their protein expression in tumor tissue after butyrate treatment. This effect is probably diminished or even inverted with ongoing age of patients indicating a different posttranscriptional mechanism as those regulating COX-2 mRNA expression ($r = -0.67$, $P = 0.18$).

---

**Fig. 4.** Effect of butyrate on PGE$_2$ levels derived from normal (A) and tumor colon tissue (B) of individual patients ($n = 8$). PGE$_2$ concentrations were determined in cell culture supernatants of butyrate-treated (10 mM, 12 h) and non-treated tissues (0 mM, 12 h) by enzyme immunoassay. A comparison of PGE$_2$ protein and the respective COX-2 mRNA expression after butyrate treatment is illustrated in (C) (normal) and (D) (tumor). Both parameters, expressed as ratios in relation to the corresponding controls (fold change), were not correlating according to the performed Spearman test. In all graphs, data are plotted according to age of the patients (P) in ascending order, the small letter discriminates further between male (m) and female (f).
Discussion

Basal expression levels

The overexpression of OPN and COX-2 mRNA observed in colon cancer is in line with previous results (11,25), but a marked increase of both genes and/or their respective proteins during progression of malignant transformation as reported by Agrawal et al. (26) and Soumaoro et al. (17) who concentrated only on basal expression levels was not found. The relative small number of donors used in this study and the unequal distribution of tumor stages can probably be attributed to the missing correlation between expression of OPN or COX-2 mRNA and tumor stages.

Besides clinical parameters, promoter polymorphisms (27), taking of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (e.g. aspirin) (28) or specific eating habits (29) may account for the interindividual differences of COX-2 expression in normal as well as in transformed tissue. A reported promoter polymorphism (30) and mutated ras (31) or p53 (32) proteins might be explain the different expression levels of OPN.

Influence of culturing on expression levels

After resection of colon tissue, an acute stress situation is occurring up to the end of the experiment. OPN and COX-2 mRNA were dramatically increased during culturing of the epithelial tissue strips in medium, with highest expression alterations in normal tissues. In the literature, OPN expression is reported to be frequently upregulated in response to various stressors (33). Thereby, the protein acts as a survival factor protecting cells from undergoing apoptosis via distinct pathways (34). Single components of the cell culture medium may enhance COX-2 and OPN expression in addition. Fetal calf serum is most probably the major inducing supplement (35,36) complemented by others (37–39). In consideration of the exogenous circumstances and medium composition, we suppose a synergistic effect leading to the increase of OPN and COX-2 mRNA. Therefore, assessment of the butyrate effects requires the comparison with a medium control.

Influence of butyrate on expression levels

Adding a physiological relevant dose of butyrate (10 mM) (40) inhibited the medium-induced OPN mRNA expression in tumor colon tissue dependent on the initial transcript amount. A similar suppression had been observed in adenoma and normal tissue with varying sensitivity to butyrate. However, these modifications did not correlate with the respective protein expression of OPN (r = −0.03). A previous study which indeed detected opposite effects of butyrate on OPN had been shown a similar variation between OPN gene (20-fold increase) and protein expression (3-fold increase) in MCE301 mouse colonic epithelial cells after 48 h butyrate (2 mM) treatment (41).

Based on these results and our own, we hypothesize a time lag between transcription and translation or posttranslational modifications, respectively. To definitely confirm or exclude this, kinetic studies of OPN at all expression levels have to be performed, prospectively. OPN is activated at the transcriptional level by several signaling pathways and transcription factors that are associated with cancer progression (14). Furthermore, the protein is extensively regulated at the posttranslational level by phosphorylation and O-glycosylation (42). These modifications are cell type specific and crucial for the biological activity of OPN (43).

Besides regulatory mechanisms, it also should be considered that different pieces of the same tissue were used for the various analyses, as observed in other studies. Due to the heterogeneity of tumors, it might be that different areas show variable effects to butyrate. This fact is especially interesting in the case of OPN since the protein which is equally produced by tumor cells and immune cells performs apparently divergent functions depending on the cell type (44).

A further aspect that should be considered when interpreting the results is the cleavage of OPN protein by thrombin under specific physiological circumstances in vivo (e.g. tissue injury or inflammation). Since we have to assume that a certain part of OPN has been cleaved without false sample handling, this part would not be detected from our and most other offered kits that measure only uncleaved OPN (45). Cleavage of full-length OPN plays apparently an important role in the regulation of OPN function (46) and hence should not be left unconsidered.

The underlying mechanism by which butyrate is modifying OPN gene expression is still obscure. But, a recently published study by Sharma et al. (47) provided new insights into the potential features of histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors regarding the experimentally induced OPN transcription which is associated with HDAC1. Since butyrate as a known HDAC inhibitor has been reported to inhibit HDAC1 activity in HeLa cancer cells, we assume a downregulation of OPN gene expression by its HDAC inhibitory activity. Nevertheless, the precise mechanism of action has to be elucidated in further experiments.

Moreover, in agreement with other in vitro studies (48), we observed a suppression of COX-2 (mRNA) expression through butyrate with significant outcome in colon tumor tissue. In normal colon mucosa, an inhibitory effect of butyrate was found with rising number of COX-2 transcripts that indirectly confirms the anti-inflammatory potential of the SCFA. The effects of butyrate at the expression levels of COX-2 as well as OPN mRNA in normal colon epithelium were similar to those in tumor tissue which is probably attributable to the altered metabolic phenotype of colon mucosa, seeming histologically...
normal (49). It should be kept in mind that this phenotype does not correspond to that of healthy volunteers anymore when working with these tissue specimens.

Some discordance appeared when relating the expression of mRNA to COX-2 protein synthesis and PGE₂ production. Only 4 of 12 normal and tumor tissues in total showed relative consistent mRNA and protein amounts. In contrast to our study, others have observed simultaneous changes after previous cytokine stimulation (48) of colon cancer cell lines, affecting all expression levels. Since we measured all parameters solely after 12 h, we cannot definitely rule out the possibility that there was insufficient time for the reduced mRNA levels to translate into reduced levels of protein and enzyme expression, respectively. To exclude or prove a time lag between transcription and translation, protein expression should also be investigated at a subsequent date, prospectively. This will be possible by further improving our primary cell culture where to date the maximum duration for culturing is 12 h. Additionally, the presence of serum in the cell culture medium might interfere with the regulation of COX-2 (50) and possibly accounts for the ambiguity. Therefore, caution should be taken when interpreting these results.

Alternatively, it has to be considered that COX-2 can be regulated at several levels, including transcription, mRNA stability and mRNA translation. Butyrate has been reported to inhibit the transcription elongation step but not transcription initiation or mRNA stability via the 3' untranslated region (51). In colon cells, transcriptional and posttranscriptional control of COX-2 gene expression is hypothesized as a possible decisive checkpoint, if deregulated leading to the constitutive overexpression of the protein as detected in cancer cells (52). Besides a direct action, the complex regulation of COX-2 offers also the possibility to target alternative pathways/mechanisms, which may finally modulate COX-2 functions. Butyrate has further been shown, for example, to modulate inflammatory mediators, such as nuclear factor-kappaB or tumor necrosis factor-α (53) and mitogen-activated protein kinases (54), which are involved in the transcriptional activation of COX-2 and trigger its expression in the early phases of carcinogenesis. Based on these facts and our results, we conclude that COX-2 might be prior targeted by butyrate in inflammatory processes and in the pre-stages of cancer that are associated with loss of transcriptional control of COX-2. With progression of tumor development, the effectiveness of butyrate to inhibit COX-2 expression seemingly decreases due to a predominantly posttranscriptional regulation of the gene (increase of mRNA stability) in the later stages of cancer (52). Even if the impact of the SCFA on COX-2 might be limited and thus its use to specific lesions, we do not generally doubt the effectiveness of butyrate as an anticancer/anti-inflammatory agent since a variety of other proteins/pathways which are dysregulated in colon cancer, rank among butyrate’s targets.

Besides COX-2, the production of PGE₂ is further regulated by the complex interaction of phospholipase A₂, microsomal prostaglandin E synthase and 15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase. All downstream enzymes in the COX pathway were found either up- or downregulated in colorectal cancer and represent additional targets for decreasing the level of PGE₂ (55–57). The activities of these enzymes have been observed to be functionally coupled and inducible by inflammatory cytokines (58,59). In agreement with Pugh and Thomas (60), who demonstrated increased levels of PGE₂ in colon polyposis and adenocarcinomas, we detected a higher PGE₂ release by tumors in the media when comparing with normal mucosa after in vitro culturing. Supplementation of the medium with butyrate was followed only by a minor insignificant decrease of PGE₂ levels in normal as well as in transformed tissues. Similar observations were also made by other groups.

To explain the lack of correlation between COX-2 mRNA levels and PGE₂ synthesis, biological factors (heterogeneity of the tumor, complex regulation of PGE₂) and the experimental design of the study have to be considered. A study of Sherratt et al. (61) indicated that no generalized co-regulation of COX-2 and microsomal prostaglandin E synthase is existing despite the functional coupling of both genes. Depending on the chemopreventive agent, COX-2 and microsomal prostaglandin E synthase can be differentially regulated resulting in opposed effects at the level of COX-2 mRNA expression and PGE₂ production. In contrast, a positive correlation between the protein expression levels of COX-2 and phospholipase A₂ was found in colon carcinomas (56), supposing a co-regulation. The missing stimulation of colon tissues by arachidonic acid (AA) provides an additional possibility that might explain the missing correlation between the abundance of COX-2 mRNA and PGE₂. To ensure the availability of free AA, exogenous AA is added in many experimental approaches. The experimental design what we have chosen did not allow the supply of AA since the tissue material was limited and therefore also used for analysis of further targets. AA is essential for the production of PGE₂ and can induce phospholipase A₂ as well as COX-2 that is accompanied by an increased level of PGE₂ (62). Alternatively, serum has also been reported to have a stimulative effect on PGE₂ production (58). Even if this stimulus is probably too low to release sufficient amounts of AA, it is more likely that the minor impact of butyrate is due to the marginal effects observed at the protein expression level of COX-2 since the inflammatory enzyme catalyzes the rate-limiting step in prostaglandin biosynthesis. In summary, for the first time, the present study shows the butyrate-initiated decrease of OPN and COX-2 mRNA in human colon tissues with different degree of transformation derived from individual donors. In this regard, only a few cell line studies exist so far, postulating occasionally contrary effects of butyrate. However, at the functional level, only minor insignificant reductions of OPN and PGE₂ levels appeared in this study. Based on these results, the use of butyrate as a single anticancer drug seems to be limited and further investigations are needed to define its impact on the synthesis of OPN and PGE₂ which are crucial for the development of colon cancer.
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