Enhanced inhibition of urinary bladder cancer growth and muscle invasion by allyl isothiocyanate and celecoxib in combination
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Allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) occurs in cruciferous vegetables that are commonly consumed by humans and has been shown to inhibit urinary bladder cancer growth and progression in previous preclinical studies. However, AITC does not significantly modulate cyclooxygenase-2 (Cox-2), whose oncogenic activity has been well documented in bladder cancer and other cancers. Celecoxib is a selective Cox-2 inhibitor and has been widely used for treatment of several diseases. Celecoxib has also been evaluated in bladder cancer patients, but its efficacy against bladder cancer as a single agent remains unclear. In a syngeneic rat model of orthotopic bladder cancer, treatment of the animals with the combination of AITC and celecoxib at low dose levels (AITC at 1 mg/kg and celecoxib at 10 mg/kg) led to increased or perhaps synergistic inhibition of bladder cancer growth and muscle invasion, compared with each agent used alone. The combination regime was also more effective than each single agent in inhibiting microvesSEL formation and stimulating microvesSEL maturation in the tumor tissues. The anticancer efficacy of the combination regime was associated with depletion of prostaglandin E2, a key downstream signaling molecule of Cox-2, caspase activation and downregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor in the tumor tissues. These data show that AITC and celecoxib complement each other for inhibition of bladder cancer and provide a novel combination approach for potential use for prevention or treatment of human bladder cancer.

Introduction

Allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) is a phytochemical known to possess antimicrobial and anticancer activities (1). It occurs in many cruciferous vegetables, which are commonly consumed by humans, such as Brussels sprouts, cabbage, cauliflower and kale, and is particularly abundant in mustard, horseradish and wasabi. In fact, AITC is mainly responsible for the pungent tastes of these vegetables. In plants, AITC is synthesized and stored as a glucosinolate (sinigrin) and is generated upon sinigrin hydrolysis by coexisting myrosinase, which, under normal circumstance, is physically segregated from its substrate (1). Myrosinase activity is also present in the intestinal microflora in animals and humans, and sinigrin that escapes the action of plant myrosinase may be converted to AITC in vivo (2), although our recent study indicates that <10% of orally dosed sinigrin is converted to AITC in F344 rats (3). In vivo, AITC is rapidly metabolized through the mercapturic acid pathway, and the metabolites, mainly the N-acetyllysysteine conjugate, are disposed almost exclusively in urine (1). Many lines of evidence suggest that AITC is a highly promising agent for bladder cancer prevention and treatment as discussed below.

First, the biological activities of AITC metabolites, including the N-acetylcyesteine conjugate, which are believed to serve as carriers of AITC, resemble that of the parent compound (4,5). Second, not only is the bioavailability of AITC extremely high—more than 90% of an oral dose is absorbed—but also nearly 80% of orally dosed AITC is disposed in urine within 24 h (6–8), rendering the bladder perhaps the most exposed organ to AITC. Indeed, we have shown that concentrations of AITC equivalent are two to four orders of magnitude higher in the urine than in the blood in rats dosed orally with AITC (9,10). Thus, orally administered AITC is selectively delivered to bladder via urinar excretion. Third, we have shown that orally administered AITC, AITC-rich mustard seed powder or the N-acetylcyesteine conjugate of AITC each inhibited bladder cancer growth and muscle invasion in an orthotopic rat bladder cancer model (3,9,10). Finally, given that bladder cancer originates predominately from the epithelial cells in the inner surface, intravesical drug delivery is a desirable approach in order to avoid or reduce systemic side effects of the drug. Indeed, all agents that are currently used to prevent recurrence of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer, e.g. Bacillus Calmette–Guerin (a live attenuated form of Mycobacterium bovis), are delivered intravesically via a urethral catheter. Importantly, intravesical delivery of AITC can be achieved by oral administration, thereby eliminating the need for urethral catheterization.

Our recent work has also revealed insight into the mechanism by which AITC targets cancer cells. We have shown that AITC promotes ubiquitination and degradation of α- and β-tubulin by binding to their cysteine residues and arrests cells in mitosis, which in turn leads to cell death by mitotic catastrophe through the mitochondrion-mediated apoptotic pathway via Bcl-2 phosphorylation (11). AITC is a new class of mitotic blocker, as its mechanism of action differs from that of taxol and vinblastine; the latter compounds work by modulating tubulin polymer stability. However, as shown in the present study, AITC does not modulate cyclooxygenase-2 (Cox-2). Cox-2 catalyzes the rate-limiting reactions in prostaglandin biosynthesis and is a well-known oncogene in a wide variety of cancers, including bladder cancer (12,13). Cox-2 expression was also found to be significantly associated with bladder cancer recurrence and progression (14,15). In animal models of bladder cancer, tumor growth could be significantly inhibited by Cox-2 inhibitors (16–20). Therefore, we hypothesized that combination of AITC with a Cox-2 inhibitor might lead to better outcome for inhibition of bladder cancer than AITC alone. Celecoxib, a well-known selective Cox-2 inhibitor, was chosen to test our hypothesis. Celecoxib has been evaluated in bladder cancer patients in clinical trials, but its efficacy as a single agent for bladder cancer prevention or treatment remains uncertain (21–23). Our present study shows that the combination of AITC with celecoxib at low dose levels causes strong inhibition of bladder cancer and is more effective than each agent used alone.

Materials and methods

Chemicals
AITC, thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide and soy oil were purchased from Sigma. AITC was redistilled before use. Celecoxib was purchased from LKT Laboratories.

Cell culture and cell proliferation assay
Rat bladder cancer cell line AY-27, which was originally established from a bladder cancer in F344 rat (24), was provided by Dr R.B.Moore at the University of Alberta in Canada. AY-27 cells were cultured as described previously (9). The effects of AITC and/or celecoxib on cell proliferation were measured using a previously published protocol (9). Briefly, AY-27 cells were grown in 96-well plates in the presence or absence of the test compound for 72 h, followed by measurement of cell density by the thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide-based MTT assay.

Abbreviations: AITC, allyl isothiocyanate; Cox-2, cyclooxygenase-2; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; PBS/T, phosphate-buffered saline with Tween 20; PGE2, prostaglandin E2; SMA, α-smooth muscle actin; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; VMI, vascular maturation index.
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The猴子是眼睛吗？
The anticancer activities of celecoxib and/or AITC were evaluated in a rat orthotopic model of bladder cancer, as recently described (9). Briefly, female F344 rats (Harlan) at 9–10 weeks of age were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine; next, their urinary bladders were treated via a catheter with 0.4 ml of 0.1 N HCl for 15 s, neutralized immediately with 0.4 ml of 0.1 N KOH for 15 s and washed at 60°C for 1 h before being further treated with the same solution via a catheter with 1 x 10⁴ AY-27 cells in 0.5 ml serum-free medium, which was kept in the bladder for 1 h. The rats were then randomly assigned to different experimental groups, and all treatments were initiated 1 day after cancer cell inoculation and continued for 21 days. AITC was freshly dissolved in soy oil, whereas celecoxib was first dissolved in a small amount of dimethyl sulfoxide and then diluted in soy oil (the final concentration of dimethyl sulfoxide was 7.5%) by treatment with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine as a chromogen (Dako) for 5 min. After rinsing with PBS/T, the slides were treated with serum-free protein block for 5 min and then incubated with the above-mentioned Cox-2 antibody for 60 min, followed by incubation with the above-mentioned goat anti-mouse secondary antibody for 30 min and then streptavidin–alkaline phosphate conjugate (#434322; Invitrogen) for 20 min. After rinsing with PBS/T, the slides were stained with the Fast Red chromogen (#TA-060-AL; Thermo Scientific) for 10 min and then counterstained with hematoxylin. Endothelial cells (CD31) were stained pink, and pericytes (SMA) were stained brown. Isotype-matched negative controls were used on duplicate slides at the same concentration of the primary antibodies. For quantifying vascular maturation index (VMI), snapshots of the ×200 high-power fields were taken under identical setting using a Canon EOS Digital Rebel XSII/ES500 450 D camera, followed by quantification of the CD31 pixels and the overlaying SMA pixels using the magic wand tool of Adobe Photoshop CS3 (Version 10). VMI was calculated based on the total pixels of CD31* SMA* areas and the pixels of CD31 in these areas in the double stained (CD31/SMA) tissue sections. CD31/SMA-based determination of VMI in tumor tissues has been widely used.

Results

The effects of AITC and/or celecoxib on Cox-2, PGE₂ and cell proliferation in cultured bladder cancer cells

As mentioned before, in bladder cancer cells, we have shown previously that AITC modulates multiple cellular targets and causes mitotic arrest and mitotic catastrophe. However, at the concentrations where AITC was shown to exert the above-described effects and inhibited cancer cell growth (Figure 1A), it did not significantly modulate PGE₂ production (Figure 1B). Cox-2 inhibition by celecoxib does not enhance the growth inhibition of AITC (Figure 1C), a key cancer-related prostaglandin generated in the Cox-1/Cox-2 pathway of arachidonic acid metabolism. In contrast, as expected, celecoxib, a Cox-2-selective inhibitor, was highly effective in depleting PGE₂ as its level in the medium was reduced by 91% after treatment of AY-27 cells with celecoxib at 5 μM for 24 h (Figure 1C). Interestingly, inhibition of Cox-2 by celecoxib and the ensuing decrease in PGE₂ level did not lead to inhibition of cell proliferation, as no growth inhibition of AY-27 cells was detected after treatment with celecoxib at 5 μM for 72 h, although, at much higher concentrations, celecoxib inhibited cell proliferation (IC₅₀ of 34.1 μM; Figure 1D), which was apparently mediated by a Cox-2-independent mechanism. A previous study also found that celecoxib at the concentrations of <25 μM did not inhibit the growth of multiple human bladder cancer cell lines in culture (20). Consistent with these results, growth inhibition of AY-27 cells by AITC was not affected by the addition of 5 μM celecoxib, as the IC₅₀ of AITC in such a combination (6.1 μM; Figure 1E) was nearly identical to that of AITC as a single agent (6.2 μM; Figure 1A).

Clearly, in cultured cells, Cox-2 inhibition by celecoxib does not enhance the growth inhibitory activity of AITC. Prostaglandins are excreted out of cells and function through G-protein-coupled membrane eicosanoid receptors. It is possible that excessive dilution of cell-excreted prostaglandins by the culture medium might have rendered it undetectable of any Cox-2-mediated effect of celecoxib on cell growth.
Combination of AITC and celecoxib versus a single agent for inhibition of bladder cancer in vivo

We have shown previously that daily oral administration of AITC at 1 mg/kg body weight inhibited bladder cancer growth by 30% in rats inoculated orthotopically with AY-27 cells (9), but Cox-2 expression in the cancer tissues remained unchanged (data not shown). Despite the lack of a joint effect of the two agents in cultured bladder cancer cells as described above, we thought that combination of AITC and celecoxib might lead to a different outcome in vivo, as extracellular dilution of cell-excreted prostaglandins is probably limited. A previous study showed that bladder cancer incidence induced by a chemical carcinogen was significantly reduced in rats and mice fed with celecoxib in the diet at daily dose of ~30–40 mg/kg body weight (18). A lower but clinically relevant dose of celecoxib (10 mg/kg) was used in the present study. Thus, female F344 rats were inoculated with AY-27 cells intravesically via a urethral catheter (1 x 10^6 cells per rat); daily treatment with vehicle, AITC (1 mg/kg) and/or celecoxib (10 mg/kg) was initiated the next day and continued for 3 weeks. Both agents were administered to the animals via oral intubation, and in the combination group, the two agents were administered 5 h apart in order to avoid potential direct interaction between them. The animals were killed 24 h after the last dose, and the bladders were promptly collected. Two control groups were used: one group of rats were given the vehicle twice daily, to serve as the control for the two agents evaluated in combination, as the two agents were given to each animal 5 h apart each day, whereas the second control group of rats were given the vehicle only once daily to serve as the control for each agent evaluated as single agents. Such a plan also allowed an adequate number of rats to be inoculated with a single preparation of AY-27 cell suspension in a relatively short period of time to ensure cell viability. The appearance and behavior of the animals were normal during the experiments, and their body weight gains over the experimental period were similar between the controls and the treatment groups (Figure 2A and B). Average bladder tumor weight was 63% lower in the rats treated with the combination of AITC and celecoxib than in the control rats (Figure 2C), and the number of rats bearing muscle-invasive tumor decreased 69% in the combination regimen group compared with the control group (Figure 2E). Bladder tumors in the second control group, with which AITC and celecoxib were evaluated as a single agent, appear to grow somewhat faster than that in the first control group (Figure 2D), but the difference
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Inhibition of bladder cancer by AITC and celecoxib

at 1 mg/kg or celecoxib at 10 mg/kg once daily for 3 weeks, Cox-2 expression in the bladder tumor tissues was not significantly affected (Figure 3A), as measured by western blotting. A representative western blot result is shown in Figure 3B. Cox-2 protein levels in the tumor tissues of rats treated with the combination of AITC and celecoxib were 39% lower than in the control rats (Figure 3A), albeit not statistically significant. PGE₂ levels in the tumor tissues were measured by an ELISA. Although AITC appears to be totally ineffective on PGE₂, treatments with celecoxib and celecoxib in combination with AITC were associated with 50 and 61% decreases in PGE₂ level in the tumor tissues, respectively, the latter of which was statistically significant (Figure 3C). These results are consistent with the known ability of celecoxib to inhibit the catalytic activity of Cox-2 and also suggest that AITC may enhance the PGE₂-lowering effect of celecoxib. Our results are consistent with a previous study, showing that a dose of 10–50 mg/kg/day of celecoxib inhibited tissue PGE₂ production (25).

Caspase activity in the bladder tumor tissues was also measured, using the CasPASE Apoptosis Assay kit, which detects the combined activities of caspase-3, -7 and -10. AITC was shown previously to cause apoptosis of bladder cancer cells via mitochondria-mediated activation of caspase-3 (11) but is not known to modulate caspase-7 and -10. Thus, the changes detected by this assay kit may represent mainly, if not entirely, the effect of AITC on caspase-3. Three weeks of treatment with AITC (1 mg/kg daily) or celecoxib (10 mg/kg daily) increased caspase activity in the tumor tissue by 2.6- and 2.9-fold, respectively, although neither increase was statistically significant (Figure 3D). Combining AITC with celecoxib (same dose levels and treatment duration as in the single agent groups) increased the caspase activity 4.7-fold (Figure 3D), which is statistically significant. The results suggest that the combination regimen may be more effective in stimulating apoptosis in the tumor tissues than each agent alone.

Change in tumor vasculature after treatment with AITC and/or celecoxib

Our previous studies suggest that AITC may inhibit angiogenesis in bladder tumor tissues in vivo (3,10). On the other hand, Cox-2 was shown previously to stimulate angiogenesis (26). We examined the effect of AITC and/or celecoxib on microvessel density in the tumor tissues. Tumor microvessels were immunostained via endothelial cell marker CD31 (see Figure 4A–D for representative images). After
3 weeks of treatment with celecoxib at 10mg/kg or AITC at 1mg/kg, tumor tissue microvessel density decreased 46% or 55%, respectively, whereas the combination treatment of the two agents led to 71% decrease in tumor microvessel density (Figure 4I). Thus, AITC, in combination with celecoxib, was particularly effective in inhibiting tumor angiogenesis. Moreover, treatment with AITC and/or celecoxib also significantly increased tumor tissue vascular maturation (see Figure 4E–H for representative images of the double staining of endothelial cells and pericytes), and VMI increased 2.4-fold after treatment with each agent alone and 2.9-fold after the combination treatment (Figure 4J).

VEGF is well known to play an important role in tumor angiogenesis. Our previous studies suggest that AITC may downregulate VEGF in bladder tumor tissues (3,10). Celecoxib has also been shown to inhibit VEGF expression in bladder tumors (27) and other tumors (28). When VEGF level in the bladder tumor tissues was measured by ELISA in the present study, each agent alone or in combination was found to lower VEGF level by 30–36%, with the reduction in the combination group reaching statistical significance (Figure 4K).

**Discussion**

Both AITC and celecoxib as single agents showed antitumor activities in the syngeneic rat orthotopic model of bladder cancer. Similar AITC efficacy against bladder cancer was shown previously (9). In the present study, AITC and celecoxib were evaluated at 1 and 10mg/kg, respectively. Whether dose escalation of celecoxib would lead to increased antitumor efficacy in this model remains to be investigated, but dose escalation of AITC did not result in increased antitumor efficacy in our previous study (9). Combination of the two agents resulted in an increased, perhaps synergistic antitumor effect, inhibiting tumor growth by 63%, compared with 17% in celecoxib alone and 23% in AITC alone. The combination regimen also appears to be more effective than each agent alone with regard to inhibition of tumor muscle invasion, activation of apoptosis, inhibition of tumor microvessel formation and promotion of tumor vascular maturation. Moreover, although AITC itself showed no inhibitory activity against Cox-2 and PGE$_2$, as expected, it appears to potentiate the PGE$_2$-lowering activity of celecoxib. It is also worth noting that tumor vascular normalization has been shown to result in better intratumoral drug delivery (29,30); our results, therefore, suggest that AITC and/or celecoxib may be potentially useful for facilitating intratumoral delivery of agents currently used in bladder cancer treatment, such as mitomycin and platinum compounds.

Although the inhibitory mechanism of AITC against bladder cancer cells has been well studied as mentioned before, further study is needed to better understand the anticancer mechanism of celecoxib in bladder cancer. In cultured AY-27 cells *in vitro*, celecoxib at the concentration that caused marked decrease in PGE$_2$ level did not inhibit cell growth and had no impact on cell growth inhibition by AITC, but at higher concentrations, celecoxib inhibited cell growth. *In vivo*, celecoxib both decreased PGE$_2$ level in tumor tissue and exhibited antitumor activity, especially in combination with AITC. In view of the *in vitro* data, it remains a question as to what extent a Cox-2-dependent mechanism or Cox-2-independent mechanism may account for the antitumor activity of celecoxib *in vivo*. The mechanism by which AITC and celecoxib inhibit microvessel formation and induce microvessel normalization also requires further investigation. In the present study, tumor tissue levels of VEGF were reduced 30–36% after treatment with these agents, and the decrease was statistically significant in the combination group, suggesting that VEGF may play an important part in enabling the impact of AITC and celecoxib on tumor vasculature.

The present study shows that combination of AITC with celecoxib is more efficacious than each agent alone for inhibition of bladder cancer. Further evaluation of such a combination is warranted for potential clinical translation. Our findings also raised the question as to whether other Cox-2-targeting agents, e.g. aspirin, may also complement AITC for inhibition of bladder cancer. It has been reported that celecoxib and certain other Cox-2 inhibitors at high dose levels may cause cardiovascular toxicity (31–33). Combination with AITC may allow dose reduction for celecoxib or a similar compound, while at the same time achieving increased antitumor efficacy. In the present study, we observed no abnormalities of heart histology and no change in heart tissue PGE$_2$ levels in the rats treated by the combination of AITC and celecoxib (data not shown). Moreover, since AITC is commonly consumed by humans via cruciferous vegetables and certain Cox-2 inhibitors, e.g. celecoxib and aspirin, are also widely taken by humans, our present finding suggests a need for epidemiological studies on the potential joint effect of the consumption of AITC-containing cruciferous vegetables and the use of Cox-2 inhibitors on bladder cancer and other cancers.
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