Id2, Id3 and Id4 overcome a Smad7-mediated block in tumorigenesis, generating TGF-β-independent melanoma
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The role for the inhibitors of differentiation (Ids) proteins in melanomagenesis has been poorly explored. In other cell types, Ids have been shown to contribute to cell proliferation, migration and angiogenesis and, along with a number of other genes, are direct downstream targets of the transforming growth factor (TGF)-β pathway. Expression of Smad7, which suppress TGF-β signaling, or synthetic TGF-β inhibitors, was shown to potently suppress melanomagenesis. We found that endogenous Id2, Id3 and Id4 expression was elevated in 1205Lu versus 1205Lu cells constitutively expressing Smad7, indicating Ids may play a role in melanomagenesis. Therefore, the effects of Tet-inducible expression of Id2, Id3 or Id4 alone with Smad7 in TGF-β-dependent 1205Lu human melanoma cells were explored in vitro and in vivo. 1205Lu cells formed subcutaneous tumors in athymic mice, whereas cells expressing Smad7 failed to form tumors. However, 1205Lu cells expressing Smad7 with Id4 revealed an average of 31% necrosis, compared with 5.2% in tumors from 1205Lu with vector only. Downstream, Ids suppressed cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, and re-upregulated invasion and metastasis-related genes matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2), MMP9, CXCR4 and osteopontin, shown previously to be downregulated in response to Smad7. This study shows that Id2, Id3 and Id4 are each able to overcome the potent tumorigenic block mediated by Smad7, to varying degrees. Conversely, Id small interfering RNA knockdown suppressed anchorage-independent growth of melanoma. Histology of tumors from 1205Lu cells expressing Smad7 + Id4 revealed an average of 31% necrosis, compared with 5.2% in tumors from 1205Lu with vector only. Downstream, Ids suppressed cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, and re-upregulated invasion and metastasis-related genes matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2), MMP9, CXCR4 and osteopontin, shown previously to be downregulated in response to Smad7. This study shows that Id2, Id3 and Id4 are each able to overcome TGF-β dependence, and establish a role for Ids as key mediators of TGF-β melanomagenesis.

Introduction

Different TGF-β family members are involved in diverse cellular functions (1). Smads 2 and 3 are receptor-regulated Smads and propagate the TGF-β signal through interaction with the co-Smad, Smad4. Smads 6 and 7 are inhibitor Smads and function to repress the TGF-β signal by competing with receptor-regulated Smads for the receptor (TβR) (1). In normal cells, TGF-β induces phosphorylation of Smads 2 and 3, which results in their association with Smad4 and, in turn, inhibits Id synthesis (2,3). It has been suggested that Id deregulation is a contributing factor to cancer initiation and progression (3). In early-stage melanomagenesis, TGF-β potently inhibits growth, whereas in late-stage melanoma, cells secrete high levels of TGF-β, to which cells become desensitized and eventually use as a positive growth signal (4,5). In malignant melanoma, TGF-β overproduction correlates with increased tumor thickness and disease progression (6). In late-stage disease, TGF-β is also associated with a significantly decreased survival time and suppression of the immune response (6,7). The switch from TGF-β growth inhibition to growth promotion may somehow be linked to inhibitors of differentiation (Ids), which are typically suppressed by TGF-β in normal cells, although not in all malignant melanomas.

Ids are a small family of helix-loop-helix factors, which lack a basic domain and the ability to associate directly with DNA. Ids are believed to function primarily by sequestration of other factors, including certain basic helix-loop-helix, E-twenty six and retinoblastoma proteins, thereby acting as dominant-negative transcription factors. In this way, Ids regulate a myriad of cellular functions including cell cycle progression and proliferation, migration, angiogenesis and invasion while simultaneously inhibiting differentiation (8,9). Id expression has been found upregulated in many types of cancer, including those of the breast, pancreas, ovaries, and head and neck; implicating Ids as cooperating oncogenes (3). Id2 shows some association with melanoma, whereas the roles of Id3 and Id4 have not been well examined, begging the question of the potential role of these Ids in this disease.

In some melanomas, unlike primary cells, Id2 is not downregulated by TGF-β, a mechanism proposed to explain loss of melanoma growth inhibition in response to TGF-β (10). Id2, but not Id1 or Id3, was found to interact physically and genetically with hypophosphorylated retinoblastoma family members, important in cell cycle progression and melanomagenesis (11,12). Consensus on the correlation between Id3 and Id4 expression and prognosis in human cancer has been mixed. Id3 is upregulated in some cancers including prostate (13), ovarian (14) and non-small-cell lung carcinoma (15), but, conversely, carries potentially inactivating mutations in 36 of 53 cases of Burkitt’s lymphoma (16). In breast and prostate cancer, as well as leukemia, the Id4 gene has been shown to be silenced by hypermethylation, suggesting its role as a putative tumor suppressor; however, Id4 silencing correlates with improved clinical outcome in glioblastoma (17–19) suggesting that Id3 and Id4 can function either as cooperating oncogenes or tumor suppressors, depending on the type of cancer. Their exact roles in melanoma are as yet undetermined.

Expression of Smad7 in 1205Lu metastatic melanoma cells results in a tumorigenic block through both TGF-β-dependent and -independent mechanisms. Using multiple approaches including subcutaneous injection, intra-cardiac injection and human skin grafts, others and we found that Smad7 not only blocked melanoma formation but also mitigated metastasis in highly aggressive, TGF-β-dependent 1205Lu cells. Mechanisms for these observations include downregulation of metastasis-related genes such as matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2), MMP9, osteopontin and CXCR4, as well as stabilization of cell-attachment-related proteins β1-catenin and N-cadherin (20–23).

This study evaluates the role of Id2–4 during melanomagenesis in the presence of a functioning or blocked TGF-β pathway, via Smad7. We show that Id2–4 are each able to overcome the potent tumorigenic block imposed by Smad7. This lends confirmation that Id2, Id3 and Id4 alone can promote tumorigenesis independently, to varying degrees, following TGF-β inhibition (24,25).

Materials and methods

Cell culture, retroviral transductions and expression vectors

1205Lu, 1205Lu/Smad7, WM852, Sk-28 and 501-Mel were kindly provided by Dr A.Mauviel (Institut Curie, Orsay, France). Cells have been cultured and characterized as described previously (20,23). Pigmented MNT1 melanoma cells were kindly provided by Dr V.Hearing (NIH, Bethesda, MD) and maintained as described previously (26). Primary human foreskin keratinocytes and human foreskin melanocytes (HFM) were derived from neonatal foreskin and cultured as described with approval from Georgetown IRB (27). 1205Lu cells expressing Smad7 (1205Lu/S7) or vector only (1205Lu/Vc) were transduced with pLHCl-DsRed retrovector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) as described previously (28). Id2, Id3 and Id4 were each separately
cloned into pcDNA4/T0 Tet-on expression vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). 1205Lu cells were transfected with pcDNA6/Tet repressor, selected in 10 μg/ml of blasticidin; then transfected with pcDNA4/T0-Id constructs and further selected with 1200 μg/ml of Zeocin™ (Invitrogen) for >2 weeks. Highly expressing Tet-inducible clones were isolated, confirmed by immunoblot and used for subsequent studies.

**Immunoblot analysis, immunoprecipitation and antibodies**

Protein analyses were performed according to standard protocols. For most immunoblots, 40 μg of total protein was resolved on 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane and blocked in 5% non-fat milk. Corresponding blots with primary antibody were incubated overnight, and membranes were then washed with 3x phosphate-buffered saline–TWEEN. Secondary donkey anti-mouse-horseradish peroxidase or sheep anti-rabbit-horseradish peroxidase was used at 1:8000 dilution. Enhanced chemiluminescence (Thermo, Rockford, IL) was used to detect proteins. Smaδ7 (N19), Id2 (C20), Id3 (C20) and Id4 (L20) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Phospho-Smaδ3 was a generous gift from Dr Edward Loef (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase was used as a loading control using antibody from Millipore (Billerica, MA).

In vivo subcutaneous injection

Subcutaneous injections were performed using athymic NCr-nu/nu mice (Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN). Animals were anesthetized using 2% isoflurane. A total of 1 x 10⁷ cells of each type were injected into hind flanks using a 20 gauge syringe. At least eight animals were used for each condition. Animals were monitored for tumor growth for 60 days via Maestro II™ live-animal fluorescence imaging (Caliper Life Sciences, Hopkinton, MA). Animals were euthanized, tumor tissue was harvested and fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin; fresh 5 μm sections were cut using a microtome. All animal protocols were approved and performed according to guidelines established by the Georgetown University Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Transient transfection**

Equal cell numbers were plated into six-well or 10 cm² dishes, 24 h before transfection. A total of 2.5 or 5 μg of either Id4 or pcDNA4-empty vector control plasmid were used per well using Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen) and cells were transfected for 4 h. Transfection was removed; cells were washed in 1x phosphate-buffered saline and replaced with growth media.

**Quantitative reverse transcription–PCR and primer sequences**

Quantitative reverse transcription–PCR was performed by standard protocols using two-step reverse transcription–PCR (Invitrogen). 0.75 μg of RNA and specific primers: MMP2 forward-5'-CTGCGTTTCTACGCTGGCT-3'; reverse-5'-TGCTAATGAGTGTAGAAGG-3'; MMP9 forward-5'-CATCAGGAAGACGCCCA-3'; reverse-5'-AAGACGCCGCGCTTCGTG-3'; MMP12 forward-5'-TGCTGAGAAGGCTGCAA-3'; reverse-5'-TTGGGAGACGCCCTTGC-3'; CXCR4 forward-5'-CAGTGGCCGACCTCTCTTT-3'; reverse-5'-CAGTGGTGGCCAGGGG-3'; osteopontin forward-5'-AGGCGAGACGACAGCATCGT-3'; reverse-5'-TGCGTGAAGACGGTCGCA-3'; β-actin forward-5'-GCCACTCCTCCAGCTCTTCT-3'; reverse-5'-ATTGCCAGGGTGATCGTG-3'; β-actin forward-3'-CATGCGTTTCTACGCTGGCT-3'; reverse-5'-CATGCGTTTCTACGCTGGCT-3'.

**Id knockdown**

Knockdown experiments were performed as reported previously (20) using small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) specific for Id2, Id3, Id4 or scrambled siRNA controls (Santa Cruz).

**Colony-forming assays**

The colony assay was performed with modification as described previously (22,29). Briefly, 1205Lu, 1205Lu/Smaδ7, WM852 or Sk-28 melanoma cells expressing DoRed were seeded into 12-well plates at low density (5 x 10³ cells per well) following knockdown of Id2, Id3 or Id4. Scrambled sequences were used as control for knockdown. Cells were mixed with growth media containing 0.3% low-melting agarose then layered onto a 0.6% solid agarose containing 0.3% low-melting agarose then layered onto a 0.6% solid agarose. Individual cell colonies were isolated following the introduction of Mel501, Sk-28 and WM852 melanoma cells. Interestingly, Id4 was expressed in Mel501, Sk-28 and WM852 melanoma cells. Interestingly, Id4 was expressed in pigmented HFsMs and at low levels in 1205Lu/Vc, but not detected in other melanoma lines. The loss of Id4 protein expression may be due to promoter methylation, as has been observed in other cancer types (19). Importantly, Id2, Id3 and Id4 each appeared to be TGFB-dependent, as they were observed elevated in 1205Lu/Vc when compared with 1205Lu cells expressing Smaδ7 (1205Lu/S7; Figure 1, lanes 6 and 7). These results suggested that Ids may revert 1205Lu/S7 cells back to the tumorigenic phenotype observed with 1205Lu/Vc cells.

**Role of Ids in proliferation**

Effects of Id2–4 on cell cycle were first assessed in normal HF (Figure 2A and B). To determine if Id mediated changes in cell proliferation in vitro, HF expressing green fluorescent protein were compared with cells expressing Id2 (top), Id3 (middle) or Id4 (bottom). In HF expressing either Id2 or Id3, S-phase was significantly increased, indicating an alteration in cell proliferation, with a more robust increase (1.98-fold; P < 0.01) detected in cells expressing Id3. Id3 also induced a concomitant drop in G2-phase (P < 0.001) and increased in G/M-phase (P < 0.001). HFMs expressing Id4 did not result in statistically significant changes to the percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle, suggesting that Id4 does not significantly alter proliferation in melanocytes.

To investigate if Id2, Id3 or Id4 expression alters proliferation or tumorigenesis in Smaδ7-expressing 1205Lu melanoma cells, stable transfectants of each Id in a tetracycline-on (Tet-on) expression system were generated in 1205Lu/S7 cells (see Materials and methods). Individual cell colonies were isolated following the introduction of each construct (S7/Id2, S7/Id3 and S7/Id4) and assayed for expression

**Results**

Upregulation of Id2, 3 and 4 in 1205Lu metastatic melanoma cells

Endogenous Id protein expression was examined in TGFB-β-dependent and -independent melanoma cell lines (Figure 1). Immunoblots were probed for Id2, Id3 or Id4. In general, Id protein expression was variable across the multiple melanoma cell lines examined, this was not unexpected as certain Ids share some overlapping functions, e.g. Id2 with Id4 (31–33). Id2 was weakly expressed in HFM and increased in melanoma. Id3 was not observed in HF, yet was expressed in MNT1 and 1205Lu, and at higher levels in the more proliferative Mel501, Sk-28 and WM852 melanoma cells. Interestingly, Id4 was expressed in pigmented HFSMs and at low levels in 1205Lu/Vc, but not detected in other melanoma lines. The loss of Id4 protein expression may be due to promoter methylation, as has been observed in other cancer types (19). Importantly, Id2, Id3 and Id4 each appeared to be TGFB-β-dependent, as they were observed elevated in 1205Lu/Vc when compared with 1205Lu cells expressing Smaδ7 (1205Lu/S7; Figure 1, lanes 6 and 7). These results suggested that Ids may revert 1205Lu/S7 cells back to the tumorigenic phenotype observed with 1205Lu/Vc cells.
Id2-4 result in TGF-β-independent tumors in melanoma

Fig. 2. Id2 and Id3 increase S-phase in primary HFM, but not in 1205Lu in cell culture. (A) HFM were transiently transfected with Id2 (top), Id3 (middle) or Id4 (bottom) and subjected to cell cycle analysis after 48h; the percentage of cells in each cell cycle phase are plotted. HFM cells expressing either Id2 or Id3 showed a statistically significant increase in percentage of cells in S-phase of the cell cycle, whereas Id4 resulted in no significant changes. (B) Ectopic expression of Id2, Id3 or Id4 in HFM after 120h. (C) Smad7 blocks TGF-β expression in 1205Lu cells. Cells were subjected to immunoblot with antibodies to Smad7 (upper panel; 51 kDa) or p-Smad3 (middle panel; 52 kDa) to confirm Smad7 expression and inhibition of TGF-β signaling. (D) Stable clones of 1205Lu/S7 melanoma cells expressing Ids were subjected to immunoblot with antibodies to Id2 (top panel), Id3 (middle panel) or Id4 (bottom panel) to confirm Id protein expression. (E) Endogenous expression of Id2, Id3 or Id4 in 1205Lu is shown alongside induced Id clones. (F and G) S7/Id cell cycle analysis. No changes in S-phase were detected in S7 cells expressing Id2, Id3 or Id4. Stable S7/Id2 (top), S7/Id3 (middle) or S7/Id4 (bottom) clones were grown in presence or absence of tetracycline over a 48, 72 and 120h time course and showed only an increased percentage of cells in G2/M phase in both Id2- and Id4-expressing cells. Statistically significant changes are depicted with asterisk(s) and were compared with matched un-induced populations. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001. Results show the mean ± SE of three independent experiments, where each experiment was performed in triplicate.
Fig. 3. Id2, Id3 or Id4 expression bypasses Smad7-mediated block in tumorigenesis. (A) S7/Id cells were xenografted into athymic mice (n = 8) continuously fed with doxycycline-supplemented feed to induce Ids and were subjected to live-animal fluorescence imaging. Representative images of animals taken at days 7, 19, 33, 44 and 60 are shown; no tumor growth in S7/Vc animals (top) and prominent tumor formation in all 1205Lu/S7 cells expressing Ids (lower panels). Right panel shows representative images of animals lacking tumor formation after being injected with the same S7/Id clones grown in the absence of doxycycline. (B) Tumor size was monitored over the time course and plotted for mice fed with doxycycline. (C) No tumor growth was observed in animals maintained with feed lacking doxycycline, 1205Lu/Vc cells are shown for comparison. (D) Tumor lysates were probed for Id2, Id3 or Id4 expression. Protein expression indicates Ids were expressed in vivo and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase was used as a loading control. (E) Colony formation assay. 1205Lu (top), WM852 (middle) or Sk-28 melanoma cells (bottom) were transfected with siRNAs specific for Id2, Id3, Id4 or scrambled siRNA controls as described previously (20), then analyzed for colony formation in soft agar as described in Materials and methods. To confirm knockdown, cells were subjected to immunoblot analysis using antibodies specific for each Id (F) and blots were quantified by densitometry (G). Kd: Id siRNA knockdown. Results show the mean ± SE of three independent experiments, where each experiment was performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001.
of Ids, as well as Smad7 and p-Smad3. TGF-β signaling was completely inhibited (Figure 2C and D). 1205Lu cells expressing Smad7 plus Id2, Id3 or Id4 are also shown alongside 1205Lu/Vc in order to compare the level of Id overexpression achieved in Smad7 cells (Figure 2E). As we previously showed Id2 increases both S-phase and contributes to increased tumorigenesis in mouse fibroblasts (28), we investigated whether a similar effect could be observed in melanoma cells and therefore performed cell cycle analysis of 1205Lu/Id expressing cells in the presence or absence of Tet. However, no changes in S-phase were observed in S7/Id2, S7/Id3 or S7/Id4. This result was perhaps not surprising as previous work showing the same 1205Lu cells expressing Smad7, which mitigated tumorigenesis in vivo, also did induce changes in melanoma proliferation in vitro (22). Yet, an increase (P < 0.01) in G2/M was observed in S7/Id2 cells at 72 (1.67-fold) and 120 h (1.79-fold) after Tet induction (Figure 2F and G). S7/Id3 cells did not exhibit any significant changes in the cell cycle. However, similar to the S7/Id2-expressing cells, S7/Id4 cells showed a 1.27-fold increase (P < 0.05) in the G2/M population 120 h after Tet induction (Figure 2F), suggesting an overlapping relationship in cell division between Id2 and Id4 expression in 1205Lu melanoma cells.

### Role of Id2–4 in melanomagenesis

We then sought to examine whether Id2, Id3 or Id4 can bypass the TGF-β requirement for tumorigenesis in 1205Lu cells expressing Smad7. Therefore, 1205Lu/S7 cells were subcutaneously injected into athymic mice and maintained ad libitum with doxycycline-supplemented feed to induce Id expression. 1205Lu/Vc formed vigorously growing tumors, whereas 1205Lu/S7 did not, as we previously reported (20). However, 1205Lu cells harboring Smad7 along with Id2, Id3 or Id4 (S7/Id2, S7/Id3 or S7/Id4) were each able to establish subcutaneous tumors, though only in the presence of doxycycline. The ability of these cells to form tumors in the absence of TGF-β signaling (Figure 3A) demonstrates that Ids can completely bypass

### Table I. Comparison of tumor sizes induced by Id2, Id3 and Id4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line 1</th>
<th>Cell line 2</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1205Lu/Vc</td>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Vc</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205Lu/Vc</td>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id2</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205Lu/Vc</td>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205Lu/Vc</td>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id4</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id3</td>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Vc</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id4</td>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Vc</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id4</td>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id2</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id3</td>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id3</td>
<td>1205Lu/S7/Id4</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Day 60 ANOVA:

| 1205Lu/S7/Id2 | 1205Lu/S7/Id3 | <0.001  |
| 1205Lu/S7/Id3 | 1205Lu/S7/Id2 | <0.001  |
| 1205Lu/S7/Id4 | 1205Lu/S7/Id2 | 0.008   |
| 1205Lu/S7/Id2 | 1205Lu/S7/Id3 | 0.015   |
| 1205Lu/S7/Id4 | 1205Lu/S7/Vc  | 0.005   |
| 1205Lu/S7/Id4 | 1205Lu/S7/Id3 | 0.201   |

**Fig. 4.** Tumor proliferation and necrosis. Ki-67 immunofluorescence was performed to assess in vivo proliferation; Ki-67 (red), 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (blue). (A) Five high-power fields (×40) representing >300 cells per field were used to count the number of Ki-67-positive cells; % Ki-67-positive cells in tumors are shown. (B) Ki-67 expression is quantitated and compared with 1205Lu/S7. All Id expressing tumors were more proliferative than non-tumorigenic S7-expressing cells. (C) Examining necrosis in xenografts. Ten low-power (×20) images were randomly captured across each tumor section; necrosis was readily detected and encircled using Image J software (NIH). Representative images are shown. (D) Tumors expressing S7/Id4 had an average of 31% necrosis, whereas other S7/Id expressing tumors had little observed necrosis. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001.
the previously established requirement for the TGF-β pathway for melanomagenesis in 1205Lu cells (22,23). Live fluorescence imaging (see Materials and methods) revealed that animals xenografted with S7/Id3 or S7/Id4 had the largest tumors when compared with either S7 or S7/Id2 (Figure 3B and Table I). In the absence of doxycycline, animals xenografted with the same cells did not develop a single tumor, showing the absolute requirement for Ids in these cells (Figure 3A, right panel and C). These results support the hypothesis that these Ids are able to overcome the Smad7-mediated block in tumorigenesis and support a role for Ids in melanomagenesis.

To further address the ability of Ids to modulate tumorigenicity, a colony-forming assay was performed using Id2, Id3 or Id4 siRNA-mediated knockdown. WM852 and Sk-28 cells have elevated levels of endogenous Id2 and Id3 expression when compared with 1205Lu and were therefore included in the assay (Figure 1). We observe a significant reduction in the ability of 1205Lu, WM852 and Sk-28 cells to

Fig. 5. Id2–4 increases expression of tumor-promoting genes and reduces expression of p15^{ink4b}. Id2 (A), Id3 (B) and Id4 (C) were transiently expressed in 1205Lu/Vc 1205Lu/S7 cells and subjected to quantitative reverse transcription–PCR using primers specific to MMP2, MMP9, osteopontin and CXCR4. Fold-change in expression was calculated after normalization against β-actin. Sk-28 cells with low metastatic potential were used a negative control. Cells were collected after 48 h. Results show the mean ± SE of three independent experiments, where each experiment was performed in triplicate. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001. (D) Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors expression profile in response to Id2, Id3 or Id4 in 1205Lu cells expressing Smad7. (E) Model of alternate pathways for the role of Ids in TGF-β-mediated melanogenesis.
form colonies in soft agar following reduced Id expression, especially for Id2 and Id3 knockdowns (Figure 3E). Knockdown of Id4 expression impaired colony formation in 1205Lu cells, though not in other cells examined, likely due to the low levels of endogenous Id4 expression observed in WM852 and Sk-28 cells (Figure 1). Immunoblot analysis revealed that Id levels were reduced following knockdown using specific siRNAs (Figure 3F and G).

To assess tumor proliferative potential, tissues were harvested and stained with the Ki-67 proliferation marker. 1205Lu/Vc and S7/Id3 tissues had the highest percentage of Ki-67 cell positivity, with averages of 15.3% and 14.5%, respectively. Interestingly, S7/Id2 and S7/Id4 had fewer Ki-67 positive cells (6.1% and 6.9%, respectively; Figure 4B). This was unexpected as S7/Id4 tumors had substantial growth observed via fluorescence imaging. However, hematoyxalin and eosin sections revealed extensive multifocal necrosis within S7/Id3 tumors, explaining the low number of Ki-67 positive cells observed. To quantify necrosis, 10 low-power (×20) images of hematoyxalin and eosin sections were analyzed per tumor, and necrotic areas were analyzed using Image J analysis software (NIH). Necrotic areas were then expressed as a percentage of total area per image. S7/Id4 tumors had extensive necrosis, with an average of 31% (Figure 4C and D). By contrast, 1205Lu/Vc tumors exhibited an average of only 5.2% necrosis, whereas S7/Id2 and S7/Id3 showed minimal necrosis with 0.13% and 0.95%, respectively. Even the aggressive 1205Lu/Vc tumors, which had substantially more tumor growth than those expressing Id4, did not show the degree of necrosis observed in S7/Id4 sections, suggesting an active process rather than one that arose due to an exhaustion of tumor resources.

To investigate the mechanism by which Ids bypass TGF-β-mediated tumorigenesis, Id2, Id3 or Id4 were transiently expressed in both 1205Lu/Vc- and 1205Lu/S7-expressing cells; stable downregulation was not performed, as Ids have overlapping functions. Quantitative reverse transcription–PCR was then performed to examine four tumor-promoting genes shown previously to be downregulated in response to Smad7 in 1205Lu cells (23). Id2, Id3 and Id4 strikingly de-repressed MMP2, MMP9, CXCR4 and osteopontin (Figure 5A–C), suggesting that Id2, Id3 and Id4 play a role downstream of TGF-β-mediated tumorigenesis. In fact, Id2, Id3 and Id4 even upregulated MMP2, MMP9, osteopontin and CXCR4 in 1205Lu parental cells. CXCR4 was observed to have the most robust upregulation in response to Id expression across each of the different Ids examined. Furthermore, Ids have been shown to alter the expression of certain cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors. To address whether Id2, Id3 or Id4 can alter expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors in vitro, 1205Lu cells expressing Smad7 were transiently transfected for p15ink4a, p16ink4a, p18ink4b, p19ink4b, p19ink4c, p21cip1, p27kip1 and p57kip2. We found that p15ink4a–protein expression was significantly reduced in response to Id2, Id3 and Id4 expression after 48 h (Figure 5D). This is in agreement with previous observations showing Id2 reduces p15ink4a expression in melanoma (10), p27kip1 was also somewhat reduced by Id2, whereas p19ink4b was slightly reduced by both Id2 and Id4.

**Discussion**

Id2, Id3 and Id4 are each able to overcome the potent tumorigenic block provided by Smad7 in 1205Lu metastatic melanoma cells, thus generating TGF-β-independent tumors in the previously TGF-β-dependent 1205Lu melanoma cells. Previous work has shown that both in the presence of Smad7, the endogenous TGF-β antagonist, as well as synthetic TGF-β inhibitors, melanogogenesis is blocked in 1205Lu cells, establishing the requirement for TGF-β in transformation (22,23). Observations shown here suggest an Id-related tumorigenic mechanism acting downstream or independently of TGF-β. We believe that Ids mediate tumorigenesis primarily downstream of TGF-β (Figure 5E, thick arrows) because (i) Smad7 blocks Id expression and (ii) re-expression of Ids overcome Smad7-mediated repression of tumorigenesis. We further hypothesize that the mechanism responsible was due, in part, to elevated MMP2, MMP9, CXCR4 and osteopontin expression shown previously to be downregulated in response to Smad7 (23). In our model, these four genes are re-upregulated, to varying degrees, in response to Id2, Id3 and Id4 expression, with CXCR4 demonstrating the most robust response. MMPs have a strong link to invasion and tumorigenic progression and are Id-dependent, as Id2 and Id3 silencing results in a poten (15) t loss of MMP gene expression (34); however, the association between MMPs and Id4 was unknown prior to this work. Ectopic Id4 expression has also been shown to elevate upstream stimulatory factor-1 expression levels in cervical cancer cells (35). Increased CXCR4 expression in response to Id2–4 in this study may, therefore, be due to upregulation of upstream stimulatory factor-1, which can be associated with an E-box at -260 bp in the CXCR4 promoter, stimulating its expression (36). The induction of osteopontin that we observed may be due to the interaction of Ids with their known partner E47. This would disrupt E47/Twist basic helix-loop-helix heterodimers, relieving repression of osteopontin. This was shown to be the mechanism by which ectopic Id expression in mesenchymal cells induces osteopontin (37).

Increased necrosis (Figure 4D) was observed in tumors expressing S7/Id4 when compared with 1205Lu, yet 1205Lu formed even larger tumors than mice xenografted with S7/Id4 (Figure 3B and C). Further analysis of S7/Id4 tumor histology revealed an innate immune cell infiltration composed of tissue histiocytes, which are present in athymic nude mice (data not shown). We believe this phagocytic component found in S7/Id4 tumors may have also contributed to the observed necrosis and therefore reduced proliferation detected by Ki-67 staining. The mechanism for histocyte infiltration and necrosis is currently under investigation.

In conclusion, we find that Id2, Id3 and Id4 are each able to contribute significantly to melanomagenesis independent of TGF-β in 1205Lu melanoma cells. Further work is required to address whether TGF-β inhibitors might inadvertently select for Id-positive tumors and could be used as adjuvants with Id-antagonists, such as Id aptamers, which are currently under study (38,39). At present, only anti-Id4 appears to be a reliable measure of Id protein levels for use on paraffin sections in our hands. However, in order to fully address any relationship between Id expression and prognosis in melanoma, future studies will need to examine Id status in patient-derived tumors and determine correlation with overall survival.
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