It is now well established that inhibitory interneurons of the cerebral cortex display large diversity, but where each subclass originates and how they acquire final position and physiological characteristics is only begin to be elucidated. Recent studies indicate that the phenotypes of many forebrain interneurons are specified in the ganglionic eminence (GE) at the time of their origin. However, developmental history of cannabinoid type 1 receptor (CB\(_1\)) positive (+) interneurons is not known. Here, we focus on the origin and migratory routes of prospective CB\(_1\)/cholecystokinin (CCK)+ and CB\(_1\)/reelin/calretinin+ ϒ-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-ergic hippocampal interneurons. We have used variety of markers and a combination of methods, including immunocytochemistry at light and electron microscopic level, and in utero electroporation, to identify a subpopulation of CB\(_1\)+ cells at the time of their origin in the caudal GE and pallial-subpallial boundary at the 11th-12th embryonic days. We have followed their migration, first radially to the marginal zone, then tangentially in the lateral-to-medial direction within the dorsal telencephalon, before they reach their final destination in the hippocampus proper and the dentate gyrus where they differentiate into CB\(_1\)/CCK+ or CB\(_1\)/reelin/calretinin+ GABAergic interneurons. Thus, the specific subclasses of CB\(_1\)+ inhibitory interneurons, similar to the projection neurons, are determined at the time and place of last cell division and follow their own complex migratory pattern to the final positions.
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**Introduction**

It has recently become evident that basic phenotypes as well as the prospective laminar and area positions of major interneuronal types, similar to the projection neurons, may be determined at the time of their last cell division at distinct sites in the proliferative zones. However the details for the specific subclasses are still missing. It is generally agreed that the interneurons, including those of the hippocampal formation, are generated before projection neurons (Lubbers et al. 1985; Soriano et al. 1986) and are imported from the ganglionic eminence (GE) of the ventral telencephalon (Anderson et al. 1997; Lavdas et al. 1999; Wichterle et al. 1999; Nery et al. 2002; Ang et al. 2003; Lopez-Bendito et al. 2004). However, it is unknown whether different subclasses of hippocampal interneurons have a distinct time and place of their origin. The present study is focused on the origin and fate of a small but distinct and functionally significant (Freund et al. 2003; Piomelli 2003) subclass of ϒ-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-ergic hippocampal interneurons.

Although, CB\(_1\) has been identified in glutamatergic neurons (Berrendero et al. 1998; Marsicano and Lutz 1999; Fernandez-Ruiz et al. 2000; Kawamura et al. 2006; Katona et al. 2006; Monory et al. 2006; Yoshida et al. 2006), accumulation of CB\(_1\) in the cell bodies and proximal dendrites was found only in a subclass of GABAergic interneurons, which also contain neuropeptide CCK (Katona et al. 1999; Morozov and Freund 2003b). Some CB\(_1\)/cholecystokinin (CCK)+ interneurons are transiently stationed, and then synaptically interconnected, in the stratum molecular of the developing hippocampus before they translocate their somas across the full width of the dentate gyrus and settle in the hilus (Morozov et al. 2006). In this final position, they acquire connectivity into the synaptic network and the morphologic characteristics of typical basket interneurons (Freund and Buzsaki 1996). In the present study, we used immunocytochemical labeling at the light and electron microscopic level as well as in vivo electroporation of fluorescent DNA plasmid to trace the origin and developmental history of hippocampal CB\(_1\)+ cells in the embryonic and early postnatal rodent brain. Our findings of early commitment of CB\(_1\)+ hippocampal interneurons, identification of the site of their origin, description of their complex migratory routes and morphogenetic transformations have relevance for understanding their function and the potential effects of cannabinoids on prenatal brain development.

**Materials and Methods**

All animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and comply with the National Institutes of Health guidelines for animal care and use. For terminal surgery, the animals were deeply anaesthetized with pentobarbital (0.05 mL/10 g of body weight).

**Immunocytochemistry for Light and Electron Microscopy**

Timed-pregnant C57BL mice at embryonic day 11.0 (E11.0) (n = 7 embryos from 3 litters), E11.5 (n = 11 embryos from 5 litters), E12.5 (n = 17 embryos from 6 litters), E13.5 (n = 16 embryos from 6 litters), E15.5 (n = 18 embryos from 5 litters), and E18.5 (n = 15 embryos from 6 litters) were decapitated and the embryo brains were removed and immersed overnight in a fixative containing 4% paraformaldehyde, 0.2% picric acid, and 0.2% glutaraldehyde. Postnatal Sprague-Dawley rats (postnatal day 2 [P2], n = 3; P4, n = 3; P8, n = 3; P12, n = 3; P20, n = 3) and postnatal P5 (n = 2) and young adult C57BL mice (P30, n = 2) were perfused transcardially by the same fixative. Vibratome 100-μm-thick or 60-μm-thick (for embryos or postnatal animals, respectively) coronal sections were used for pre-embedding immunocytochemistry and evaluated in light photomicroscope Axiosplan 2 (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) or further prepared for transmission electron microscopy as previously described (Morozov and Freund 2003a, 2003b; Morozov et al. 2006). Specificity of the method was tested by omitting the primary antibody from the staining protocol. No staining was observed in negative control sections.
The polyclonal anti-CB1 antibody was raised against the last 31 amino acids (C-terminus) of mouse CB1 (made in guinea pig; Frontier Science, Japan), the last 15 amino acids (C-terminus) or first 77 amino acids (NH-terminus) of rat CB1 (both made in rabbit; gifts from K. Mackie, University of Washington, WA) and was used at 1:1000, 1:2000, and 1:5000, respectively. The monoclonal mouse anti-reelin antisera (CR-50; gift from M. Ogawa, Kochi Medical School, Japan) was used at a dilution of 1:2000. The following primary antibodies were also used: monoclonal mouse anti-CCK (CURE Gastroenteric Biology Center, Los Angeles, CA; 1:5000) or anti-Tuj1 (Covance, Berkeley, CA; 1:1000), rabbit anti-calretinin (Swant, Switzerland; 1:5000), rabbit anti-GABA (Sigma, St Louis, MO; 1:5000), rabbit anti-Tbr1 (Chemicon International, Inc., Temecula, CA; 1:1000) and rabbit anti-DIx (Distal-less homoeodomain) (GenWay Biotech, Inc., San Diego, CA; Panganiban et al. 1995; 1:1000).

For fluorescent microscopy, corresponding combination of Alexa A-594- and Alexa A-488-anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgGs (all from Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR; 1:300) or Cy3-anti-guinea pig (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Inc., West Grove, PA; 1:300) was used as secondary antibodies. For conventional light and electron microscopy, biotinylated anti-rabbit, anti-guinea pig or anti-mouse IgGs (1:300) and Elite ABC kit (all from Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine-4HCl (DAB) or Ni-intensified DAB as a chromogen were used. We performed immunogold labeling of CB1 using goat anti-rabbit IgGs conjugated with 1-nm gold particles (1:80) and subsequent silver intensification with R-Gent SE-LM kit (all from Aurion, Wageningen, The Netherlands).

Specificity of the anti-CB1 antisera was confirmed in the embryos of CB1 knockout mice (Ledent et al. 1999); the results are published in our previous study (Berghuis et al. 2007). In cases of the double immunolabeling with the antibodies made in the same host species (rabbit for CB1/GABA, CB1/Tbr1, and CB1/calretinin, or mouse for reelin/CCK), a complete cycle of immunolabeling for CB1 or reelin was fulfilled first, then immunolabeling for another antigen was done using a contrast immunofluorescent or immunoperoxidase marker. Although cross reactivity was seen in several specimens, the antigens could be detected by their morphology (dotted staining for CB1 and reelin vs. diffuse for GABA, calretinin and CCK or nuclear for Tbr1).

In Utero Electroporation

In utero electroporation was performed in CD1 timed-pregnant mice at E11.5 and E12.5 following previously described procedures (Saito and Nakatsuji 2001; Sarkisian et al. 2006). The dams were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (37 mg/kg)/xylazine (1.9 mg/kg). A DNA solution of pCAGGS-EGFP (enhanced green fluorescent protein) plasmid (0.5 mg/mL) (Hashimoto-Torii et al. 2003) was injected into the cerebral lateral ventricle using a pulled glass capillary and electroporation was applied across the brain using a BTX ECM830 Square Wave Electroporator with 5 electric pulses at 25 V for 5 ms each, with 950-ms intervals. Dames were sutured and placed on heating pads

We used the Emx1iresCre transgenic mouse in which Cre recombinase was under the transcriptional control of the Emx1 locus (Gorski et al. 2002) crossed to LtzRosa 26 reporter mouse in which the expression of β-galactosidase could be activated by Cre (Soriano 1999). 15-day-old mice (n = 2) were perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde. Immunolabeling for fluorescent microscopy was performed using mouse anti-β-galactosidase (Promega, Madison, WI; 1:100) and guinea pig anti-CB1 antibodies (Frontier Science, Japan; 1:1000) as above.

Cell Birth Dating with Bromodeoxyuridine Administration

Intraperitoneal bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) injection (50 μg/g body weight, twice with 2 h interval) was performed in timed-pregnant CD1 mice at E10.5 (n = 2), E11.5 (n = 5), E12.5 (n = 2), E13.5 (n = 3), and E14.5 (n = 4), which were allowed to survive until E15.5 or E18.5. The embryo brains were fixed and sectioned as above. For singular BrdU detection, the sections were first kept in 2N HCl at +40 °C during 40 min then rinsed in buffer, permeabilized in 0.5% Triton X-100 and immersed in mouse anti-BrdU antisera (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA; dilution 1:100) overnight at room temperature. The sections were further prepared for fluorescent microscopy and photographed as above.

For double BrdU and CB1 immunolabeling, CB1 was first detected as above (DAB as the chromogen) then HCl treatment and anti-BrdU immunoperoxidase labeling, omitting Triton X-100 and using DAB-Ni as the chromogen were performed. The specimens were then postfixed, embedded and analyzed in light and electron microscopes as above.

Results

Emergence of CB1 in Postmitotic Neurons in the Developing Mouse Forebrain

We took advantage of the unexpectedly early expression of CB1 in the embryonic mouse telencephalon. Postmitotic CB1+ neurons could be identified by clusters of immunolabeled vesicles in the cytoplasm of the cell bodies and proximal dendrites (Fig. 1) what was further confirmed by colocalization of CB1 and neuron specific class III β-tubulin (Tuj1) at early developmental stages (Supplementary Fig. 1). We used antisera against distinct epitopes of rodent CB1 that are reliable markers for these cells in the postnatal rodent brain (Katona et al. 1999; Morozov and Freund 2003b). Selectivity of the antisera for mouse embryo brain was confirmed in our previous study (Berghuis et al. 2007) as well as our pilot experiments using preabsorption of IgGs with fusion proteins and embryos of CB1 knockout mice. In our hands, the anti-C-terminus and the anti-NH-terminus antisera produced the reproducible patterns of immunolabeling which were complimentary at the electron microscopic level (Fig. 1).

CB1+ cells were not detected in the embryonic mouse telencephalon before or at E11. The occasional CB1+ cells could be observed in the wall of the cerebral vesicle at E11.5; but, 1 day later, at E12.5, we identified numerous intensely labeled CB1+ cells in the subpial area of the GE as well as in the marginal zone (MZ) of the dorsal telencephalon (Fig. 2A). At subsequent stages of development, CB1+ cells could also be seen in other parts of the GE (not shown), particularly in the vicinity of the prospective amygdala, where CB1/CCK+ interneurons were probably destined for the basolateral amygdalar nucleus as has been previously reported (Katona et al. 2001; Medina et al. 2004). In the present study, we focus on the CB1+ cells stationed in the caudal side of the GE, which enter the dorsal telencephalon and then undergo a characteristic change in their distribution. More specifically, in E12.5 embryos, numerous CB1+ cells could be seen in the wall of the cerebral vesicle, but they were not present in the hippocampal primordium or cortical hem (Fig. 2A). However, 1 day later, at E13.5, CB1+ cells were easily detectable also in the hippocampal primordium (Fig. 2B). Significantly, 2 days later, at E15.5, CB1+ cells became rare in the neocortex and cingular cortex, whereas a large number was accumulating in the prospective subiculum and hippocampal primordium, particularly in the segment of the incipient fissure. Finally, at E18.5, CB1+ cells became very numerous in the hippocampal primordium, whereas they are seen very rarely in the entorhinal cortex and subiculum (Fig. 2C). The dramatic and systematic changes in the distribution of the CB1+ cells over time are suggestive of neuronal migration from the GE to the hippocampus and call...
for additional exploration of the spatio-temporal course of their origin and translocation to the place of final residence. Although detailed birth dating analysis is not completed, our preliminary, short time survival, experiments show that after BrdU injections at E11.5-E13.5, BrdU+ cells can be located in the MZ of the prospective neocortex and hippocampus in the E15.5 mouse embryo. Three days later, at E18.5, there are fewer BrdU+ cells in the neocortical MZ, whereas some of them now can be detected as double labeled (BrdU/CB1+ cells in the hippocampal formation, indicating that they may have been generated around E11.5-E13.5 in the GE (Supplementary Fig. 2).

**Hippocampal CB1+ Cells Originate in the Pallial-Subpallial Boundary and/or the Caudal GE**

To prove that CB1+ cells originate at the specific sites of the GE and then migrate to the hippocampus via the neocortical plate, we had to exclude the possibilities that the timing of their appearance and disappearance is not due to differential cell
death and/or sequence of CB1 expression across these areas. First, our electron microscopy analysis of the distribution of necrotic, apoptotic and autophagy cells in the telencephalon did not display any particular spatio-temporal pattern in these 3 areas. Furthermore, the possibility that CB1+ neocortical cells are losing their immunochemical marker in the MZ of the dorsal cerebral wall, whereas other cells upregulate the very same peptide in the hippocampal primordium was eliminated by our detailed analysis of immunochemical properties of these cells (see next sections below). Finally, to exclude the possibility that all CB1+ cells are generated locally in each area, with a systematic delay of the onset of generation in the hippocampus compared with the neocortex, we used DNA plasmid to transfected neural precursors by in utero focal electroporation in each area and traced VZ cells and their progeny by the expression of EGFP. We found that electroporation in the hippocampal primordium at E12.5, with sacrificing after 6 days, at E18.5, yields numerous cells in the hippocampus that are expressing either EGFP or CB1 but not a single cell that expresses both markers (Fig. 3A,B). Thus, we concluded that delay of development of the hippocampus cannot explain changes in the distribution of CB1+ neurons in

Figure 3. Origin of hippocampal CB1+ neurons. Telencephalic progenitors were labeled by in utero electroporation at E12.5 and the brains were fixed at E18.5. EGFP is depicted in green; CB1+ cell bodies are detected as clusters of red spots. (A, B) Electroporation into the dorsal neocortex and hippocampus (notice robust labeling of vertical cells; set of small arrows) does not reveal colocalization of EGFP and CB1 in the same cells although EGFP+ (empty arrows) and CB1+ (empty arrowheads) cells are abundant in the zone of the hippocampal fissure. This is clearly seen at high magnification in (B) depicting the framed area in (A). (C–E) Tracing the migration pathway of EGFP+ cells from their origin at the proliferative zone of the caudal GE and the pallial-subpallial boundary to the hippocampus. Robust labeling of the radial glial cells (set of small arrows) marks the peak site of electroporation between the dorsal neocortex (ctx) and the caudal GE (cge). Numerous horizontally oriented EGFP+ cells can be detected in the neocortex and hippocampus (hip; arrowheads in C). Most of the EGFP+ cells demonstrate detectable CB1+ (arrows in D and E). The framed area in (C) is enlarged in (D). Insert (E) depicts a single optical section taken at high magnification from the framed area in (D). Several EGFP/CB1+ cells (arrows in C) have vertical morphology indicating their distribution through the hippocampus during perinatal period. The ventricular surface in (A) and the borders between the hippocampal layers in (D) are demarked with dashed lines. Abbreviations: dg, dentate gyrus primordium; s.o, stratum oriens; s.p, stratum pyramidale; s.r, stratum radiatum. Scale bars: (A, C) 200 μm, (D) 50 μm, (B, E) 10 μm.
the cerebrum during the course of prenatal development, but rather that they are generated in another place, then migrate and arrive to their final destination as postmitotic cells.

To determine the exact site of origin of CB1+ cells and the possible routes of their migration, we performed multiple electroporations into various locations of the telencephalon. The electroporation was performed at E11.5–E12.5 and the surviving embryos (n = 22) were fixed at E14.5–E18.5 and analyzed for the presence of EGFP using fluorescent microscopy. The systematic analysis revealed that the site of electroporation was critical for the subsequent distribution of EGFP+ cells in the telencephalon. Thus, electroporation into the dorsal necortex (n = 10) did not lead to the horizontal translocation of EGFP+ cells (not shown), which is in harmony with previous reports (Takiguchi-Hayashi et al. 2004). However, injections into the developing necortex (latero-caudal migratory stream), which also confirm findings reported in numerous publications (for review see Marin and Rubenstein 2001). But in contrast to the large number of CB1+ cells, only few if any EGFP+ cells have reached the hippocampus by E14.5–E16.5 (not shown). Conversely, electroporation into the caudal portion of the GE (n = 6) revealed numerous EGFP+ cells in the developing necortex (latero-caudal migratory stream), which also confirm findings reported in numerous publications (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. 3). Importantly, many EGFP+ cells in the hippocampus clearly express CB1, indicating that the hippocampal CB1+ interneurons originate from the caudal GE or pallial–subpallial boundary (n = 6) resulted in numerous EGFP+ cells in the MZ of the cingular cortex and hippocampus (Fig. 3C, Supplementary Fig. 3). Importantly, many EGFP+ cells in the hippocampus clearly express CB1, indicating that the hippocampal CB1+ interneurons originate from the caudal GE or pallial–subpallial boundary (Fig. 3D,E), although we cannot exclude the possibility that some of the CB1+ cells might be generated in other sites, such as the medial GE, anterior entopeduncular area, ventral thalamus and so on. Migration of CB1+ cells is further confirmed with our findings that in the E16.5 embryos, EGFP+ cells assumed a horizontal or quasirandom orientation of their processes, which is characteristic of migrating or more mature nonpyramidal neurons, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 3); whereas, 2 days later (E18.5), many EGFP+ cells in the hippocampus became vertically oriented, suggesting their migratory mode and redistribution within the hippocampal formation (Fig. 3C,D).

Migrating CB1+ Cells Acquire Dlx and GABA upon Arrival to the Hippocampus

Our observations are suggestive that CB1 is a novel marker of a specific subclass of prospective interneurons which is identifiable before expression of other interneuronal markers such as proteins encoded by Dlx and GABA. Addressing this point, we performed another set of immunocytochemical examinations using antibodies recognizing all known Dlx proteins considered reliable markers of prospective interneurons (Panganiban et al. 1995). In accordance with our data described above (Fig. 2), as well as extensive literature (e.g., Anderson et al. 1997), double immunolabeling of CB1 and Dlx revealed that these cell populations have different locations in the mouse embryonic telencephalon during early gestation (Fig. 4A). Nevertheless, at E18.5 (Fig. 4B) as well as in young postnatal mice (Supplementary Fig. 4), Dlx/CB1+ cells are detectable in the hippocampus. Accordingly, at E12.5, GABA is well-detectable in the GE but not in the neocortex (Hevner et al. 2003; our not shown data), where CB1+ cells transiently locate; but, at E16.5 onwards, when GABA is upregulated in the neocortex and hippocampus, nearly all CB1+ cells coexpress GABA (Fig. 5A–D). Thus, CB1+ cells do not express other interneuronal markers while migrating through the neocortex, but acquire Dlx, GABA (present research), and CCK (Morozov and Freund 2003a, 2003b) upon arrival to the hippocampus.

Prospective CB1+ Interneurons Are Distinct from the Pallium-Derived Cajal–Retzius Cells

To exclude the possibility that CB1 may be transiently expressed in the GABA-negative cells in the embryonic cerebral MZ, and that this marker later appears in the GABA+ hippocampal interneurons, we performed immunocytochemical analysis of CB1 and T-box transcription factor (Tbr1) which is specific for pallium-derived glutamatergic Cajal–Retzius neurons, that are numerous in the cerebral MZ after E11.5 (Hevner et al. 2003). Although, the patterns of anti-CB1 and anti-Tbr1 labeling appeared similar at E12.5–E16.5, repeated analysis did not reveal colocalization of these 2 markers at the electron microscopic level. In contrast, we have observed that CB1+ vesicles and Tbr1+ nuclei are labeled in adjacent cells (Fig. 5E). Furthermore, distribution patterns of the CB1+ and Tbr1+ cells become different at E18.5, when CB1+ cells disappear from the MZ and emerge in the dentate gyrus and the hippocampus proper (Fig. 5F). In rare cases in the stratum radiatum, CB1+ vesicles and Tbr1+ nuclei appear adjacent to each other at the light microscopic level; nevertheless, electron microscopic examination shows unequivocally that they are localized in separate cells (n = 4; not shown). This led us to the conclusion that CB1+ cells are Tbr1-negative in both the embryonic neocortex and in the hippocampus. Finally, the subpallial origin of CB1+ cells is further confirmed by the absence of coexpression of CB1 and β-galactosidase in the Emx1IRESCre-LacZ Rosa 26 transgenic mouse which constantly trace Emx1+ pallium-derived neurons (Gorski et al. 2002) in the hippocampus of early postnatal mice (n = 2; Supplementary Fig. 5). Thus, in contrast to a recent report (Mulder et al. 2008), our detailed analysis at the light and electron microscopic levels indicates that CB1+ neurons do not express pallial markers while they are migrating across the embryonic cerebrum.

Because CB1+ cells originate and enter the MZ simultaneously with Cajal–Retzius neurons, we examined whether these 2 cell classes can be distinguished from each other by cell class-specific immunocytochemical markers, such as calretinin and reelin (Ogawa et al. 1995). Double immunolabeling of CB1 and reelin or CB1 and calretinin revealed that the majority of CB1+ cells were negative to other markers. However, coexpression of these markers was detected in some cells during the prenatal and postnatal periods (Fig. 6); and in some cases, both CB1 and reelin could be co-localized in the very same vesicle (Fig. 6C). Unfortunately, the exact fraction of CB1+ cells that also express reelin or calretinin was difficult to estimate in densely packed tissues such as the cortical and hippocampal primordia. CB1+/reelin+ cells could be transiently located in the molecular and granule cell layers of the dentate gyrus during the first postnatal week (Fig. 6E) and later in the hilus (Fig. 6F), confirming their secondary migration in this brain tissue (Morozov et al. 2006). Remarkably, in spite of an extensive search, we did not find colocalization of CCK and reelin in the hippocampus of young adult mice, being in harmony with the absence of colocalization of CCK and calretinin in rat cerebral cortex (Somogyi et al. 2004). Thus, we conclude that a small number of CB1+ cells coexpress reelin and calretinin, what is
also in harmony with the reported migration of reelin/calretinin+ cells which originate in the pallial-subpallial boundary from the developing brain homeobox 1 (Dbx1) expressing cells (Bielle et al. 2005). Thus, the main body of the CB1+ cell population is negative for reelin and calretinin. These cells likely originate in the caudal part of the GE and migrate to the hippocampal formation where they acquire CCK during the perinatal period (Morozov and Freund 2003a, 2003b).

Together, our findings suggest that CB1+ hippocampal interneurons originate in the caudal GE and/or the pallial-subpallial boundary (ventral and lateral pallium) during early gestation (~E11.5-E12.5), then, they migrate in the lateral-to-medial direction and can be seen transiently in the neocortical MZ (~E12.5-E14.5) before relocating to the hippocampal primordium (~E13.5-E15.5). Their final distribution within the developing hippocampal formation occurs during the perinatal and early postnatal period (Fig. 7).

Figure 4. CB1+ and Dlx+ cells have different locations in the mouse embryo telencephalon during early gestation; but later, they are coexpressed in the hippocampal interneurons. (A) At E12.5, Dlx+ and CB1+ cells have complimentary patterns of location. As shown by immunofluorescent microscopy, Dlx+ nuclei (green; arrowheads in A1 and A3) are located in the GE of the telencephalon, whereas CB1+ vesicles (red; arrows in A2 and A3) are distributed through the GE and neocortical MZ (see main text for further explanation). (B) Two arbitrarily chosen Dlx+ cells (numbered arrows) are depicted in the hilus of the dentate gyrus at E18.5. Notice these cells in the specimen trimmed for ultrathin sectioning before electron microscopy examination in (B3). The cell #1 is shown in electron micrographs in (B1) and (B2) where its profile is demarked with red dotted lines. Dlx+ nucleus (n+; notice anti-Dlx DAB-Ni immunoprecipitation; arrowheads) of the cell is surrounded by several Dlx-negative (n−) nuclei. A fragment of cytoplasm of the cell framed in (B3) is enlarged in (B4) demonstrating plural CB1+ vesicles (small arrows). The border between the stratum granulosum (s.g) and the hilus is demarked with dashed line. Abbreviations: ctx, neocortex; ge, ganglionic eminence. Scale bars: (A) 100 μm, (B1, B2) 20 μm, (B3, B4) 0.5 μm.

Discussion

The cannabinoid receptor, CB1, is present in a subclass of GABAergic hippocampal interneurons which also express the neuropeptide CCK (e.g., Katona et al. 1999; Monory et al. 2006). This cell subclass can be distinguished by the presence of CB1 in the membrane of intracellular vesicles, within both the somatic cytoplasm and proximal dendrites, allowing their positive identification at the ultrastructural level (Katona et al. 1999; Morozov and Freund 2003b). Our detailed analysis of the developmental history of the CB1+ hippocampal interneurons in the embryonic mouse telencephalon reveals several conceptually and biomedically important findings, including 1) the early commitment to CB1+ phenotype following the last cell division and relocation from the VZ to MZ; 2) the selective and relatively sharply defined place of their origin at the specific area of the caudal GE and its border to the pallium in the dorsal telencephalon; 3) their complex, 3-phasic migratory pathway
crosses the borders of 3 major telencephalic subdivisions—GE, neocortex, and hippocampus; and, 4) delay of detectable expression of Dbx and GABA in CB1+ cells while they are migrating through the neocortex and acquiring these interneuronal markers upon arrival to the hippocampus. These results provide new insight into evolution and the possible role of this relatively rare, but important, cellular subclass of the hippocampal formation.

**Early Commitment in the Proliferative Zone**

Our finding that CB1+/CCK+ and CB1+/reelin/calretinin+ hippocampal interneurons originate already at E11.5-E12.5 was unexpected for the GABAergic interneurons, because such early generation precedes not only most inhibitory neurons, but also generation of the majority of projection cortical neurons in the embryonic mouse telecephalon. Their early commitment suggests that these cells may be related to other classes of pioneering neurons in the developing cerebrum. This link is suggestive by the coexpression of CB1 with reelin and calretinin, indicating some similarity to the Cajal–Retzius cells. This heterogeneous neuron subgroup is known to play a critical role in the lamination of both the cerebral neocortex and hippocampus (reviewed in Soriano and Del Rio 2005). Some Cajal–Retzius cells that express Tbr1 (Hevner et al. 2003) are generated in the cortical hem (hippocampal primordium) and distribute through the cerebral MZ by mediolateral migration (Takiguchi-Hayashi et al. 2004; Borrell and Marin 2006). Another subgroup of Cajal–Retzius cells that express Tbr1 (Hevner et al. 2003) are generated in the cortical hem (hippocampal primordium) and distribute through the cerebral MZ by mediolateral migration (Takiguchi-Hayashi et al. 2004; Borrell and Marin 2006). Another subgroup of Cajal–Retzius cells which transiently express Dbx1 is generated at the pallial-subpallial boundary and migrates in the opposite direction (Bielle et al. 2005). Our data indicate that CB1+ cells are Tbr1-negative and display the pattern of migration as well as immunohistochemical properties like Dbx1-derived cells (Bielle et al. 2005). This indicates that CB1+/reelin/calretinin+ neurons most likely are
generated in the pallial–subpallial boundary from the Dbx1+ precursors. In contrast, CB1+/CCK+ cells probably are generated in the caudal GE and their genetic lineage is unknown.

Our present study uncovered not only the early genesis of CB1+ subtype of GABAergic interneurons, but also show that they are produced at a very restricted segment of the proliferative VZ and subsequently follow a very specific migratory route to a very distant part of the telencephalon. These findings support the concept of early regionalization of neuronal production in the proliferative zones (Rakic 1988). This concept, known as the protomap hypothesis, was initially based on the ablation experiments and heterologous transplantations and indicated that cortical projection neurons are committed to their phenotypes as well as to areal and laminar positions at the time of their last cell division in the proliferative VZ of the telencephalon (McConnell 1988; Rakic 1988). Similar regionalization of neuronal production has been observed in the spinal cord (Jessell 2000). The protomap hypothesis has been amply confirmed by the application of modern methods of molecular genetics, which shows that cortical pyramidal cells are initially prespecified independently of their synaptic input (Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove 2003; Chen, Schaevitz, et al. 2005; Chen, Rasin, et al. 2005; O’Leary and Borngassser 2006; Shen et al. 2006; Storm et al. 2006; Cholfin and Rubenstein 2007; Molyneaux et al. 2007). In spite of this, most developmental neurobiologists have assumed that developing interneurons are not committed from the moment of generation to the specific morphogenetic phenotypes, but rather, that they are initially equipotent and disperse randomly throughout the cortical plate to be only subsequently modeled by the specific afferents in order to serve their inhibitory function appropriate for a given cortical area and/or layer. Our present findings, together with an increasing number of studies (Lavdas et al. 1999; Nery et al. 2002; Lopez-Bendito et al. 2004; Xu et al. 2004; Fogarty et al. 2007; Merkle et al. 2007; Batista-Brito et al. 2008), show that cerebral interneurons are committed early in the specific segments of the proliferative zones as has been predicted by the protomap hypothesis (Rakic 1988).

Three-Phase Migratory Routes

Our data indicate that CB1+ cells first migrate radially, from the VZ of the ventral telencephalon to the MZ of the dorsal neocortex where they acquire robust CB1 accumulation. Then, we could follow their tangential, lateral-to-medial, migration confined to the MZ all the way to the hippocampal primordium, where they arrive between E13.5 and E15.5. Significantly, CB1+ cells do not move farther, across the fimbria toward the septum, presumably due to chemorepulsive proteins detected in the septum and the choroid plexus (Hu 1999). Instead, during the last prenatal days and the early postnatal period, about one half of them assume a vertical orientation (Fig. 7B) and migrate across the full width of the

Figure 6. CB1 is present within a subset of Cajal-Retzius cells. (A, B) CB1/calretinin+ cells (arrows) in the mouse cerebral MZ at E13.5. A CB1+ calretinin-negative cell is marked with arrowhead in (A). Calretinin is visualized with diffuse DAB immunoprecipitation, whereas CB1+ vesicles—with black DAB-Ni staining. The profiles of a calretinin+ cell are depicted with black dotted lines in electron micrographs in (B). The framed area is also shown at high power micrograph in (B). Notice number of CB1+ vesicles (double arrows) in the calretinin+ cell. (C–F) Coexpression of CB1 and reelin was detected in a subset of neocortical and hippocampal neurons at all time-points analyzed in prenatal and postnatal rodent. (C) Double immunolabeling of CB1 (silver intensified immunogold particles; small arrows) and reelin (DAB immunoprecipitation in the cisterns of the endoplasmic reticulum and vesicles, characteristic for intracellular reelin location; arrowheads) shown in serial electron micrographs in mouse embryo hippocampus at E18.5. Notice that one of the vesicles contains both markers (arrows and arrowheads). (D–F) Arbitrarily chosen sections of mouse embryo neocortex (E13.5, D), early postnatal rat (P2; E) and young adult mouse hippocampal dentate gyrus (P30; F) immunolabeled for CB1 (left column) and reelin (right column). The cells expressing both markers are indicated with white arrows. Number of CB1+ cells is reelin-negative (white arrowheads). Reelin+ but CB1-negative cells are marked with empty arrowheads. Notice a CB1/reelin+ vertical bipolar cell (white double arrow in E) near the border of the strata molecular s.m) and granulosum (s.g) presumed to be migrating toward the hilus in accordance with previously demonstrated migration of CB1+ cells (Morozov and Freund 2003a, 2003b). (F) Adult location pattern of CB1+ and reelin+ cells in the hilus with number of cells bordering the granule cell layer (s.g), again in accordance with the pattern of the cells terminated their relocation (Morozov et al. 2006). The pial surface in (D) is demarked with a white dotted line. The borders between the hippocampal layers in (E) and (F) are demarked with dashed lines. Scale bars: (A, D–F) 20 μm, (B, C) 1 μm.
developing granule cell layer to settle in the hilus of the dentate gyrus (Morozov et al. 2006). The remaining half stays in the hippocampus proper, where they settle in the strata oriens, pyramidale, and radiatum (present research; Morozov and Freund 2003a). Thus, we conclude that prospective CB1+ hippocampal interneurons are a unique subclass of the early generated neurons, which vanguards (E12–E15) lateral-to-medial migration of the main cohort of the GABergic interneurons peaking between E14 and E19 (Anderson et al. 1997; Pleasure et al. 2000; Polleux et al. 2002; Ang et al. 2003).

Perhaps the most intriguing finding in the present study is the complex migratory pathways of CB1+ cells which change the direction of movement several times and cross the borders of 3 major telencephalic subdivisions, whereas the majority of postmitotic neurons in all tissues of the vertebrate central nervous system migrate in one direction, usually remaining within the radial domain superjacent to the place of their origin (for review see Rakic 1988; Jessellm 2000). There are, however, several notable exceptions where the postmitotic migrating cells cross the borders of brain subdivisions in their route to the final destination. The oldest and well-established example is migration of neurons from the rhombic lip of the romhhencephalon to the gray nucleus of the pons that is preserved in all mammals, including human, where it is most prominent (Essick 1912; Rakic 1990, 2000; Rodríguez and Dymecki 2000). Other examples of a transdivisional migratory routes are the migration stream from the telencephalic GE to the dorsal thalamus of the diencephalon that so far can only be observed in human (Rakic and Sidman 1969; Letinic and Rakic 1996; Garaschuk et al. 2000) and may be particularly vulnerable to cannabis self-administration or medical use of CB1 antagonists.

Functional Considerations

The role of CB1 located in the cell membrane of axons in depolarization-induced suppression of neurotransmitter release from the CB1+ synaptic boutons is well-documented (Llano et al. 1991; Pittler and Alger 1992; Kreitzer and Regehr 2001; Wilson and Nicoll 2002; Freund et al. 2003; Piomelli 2001; Wilson and Nicoll 2002; Freund et al. 2003; Piomelli 2003). Simultaneously, CB1 located in the membrane of intracellular vesicles in the cell bodies and proximal dendrites transmits a unique form of slow self-inhibition detected in CB1/CCK+ interneurons in postnatal rats. Such self-inhibition was shown independent on neurotransmission, but rather on Ca2+-dependent autocrine action of cannabinoids, synthesized by and targeted to the same neuron (Bacci et al. 2004). Tangentially migrating neurons in the fetal neocortical MZ, which accumulate CB1 in the cell bodies but have not yet extended CB1+ axons, likely follow the cannabinoid-dependent maintenance of [Ca2+] oscillations (Rakic et al. 1996; Komuro and Rakic 1996; Garaschuk et al. 2000) and may be particularly vulnerable to cannabis self-administration or medical use of CB1 agonists and antagonists.

The colocalization of CB1 and reelin in the same intracellular vesicles suggests a possibility of interaction between these 2 molecular pathways. Indeed, externalization and internalization of CB1 vesicles are known to be cannabinoid-dependent
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