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**RORβ Induces Barrel-like Neuronal Clusters in the Developing Neocortex**
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Neurons in layer IV of the rodent whisker somatosensory cortex are tangentially organized in periodic clusters called barrels, each of which is innervated by thalamocortical axons transmitting sensory information from a single principal whisker, together forming a somatotopic map of the whisker pad. Proper thalamocortical innervation is critical for barrel formation during development, but the molecular mechanisms controlling layer IV neuron clustering are unknown. Here, we investigate the role in this mapping of the nuclear orphan receptor RORβ, which is expressed in neurons in layer IV during corticogenesis. We find that RORβ protein expression specifically increases in the whisker barrel cortex during barrel formation and that in vivo overexpression of RORβ is sufficient to induce periodic barrel-like clustering of cortical neurons. Remarkably, this clustering can be induced as early as E18, prior to innervation by thalamocortical afferents and whisker derived-input. At later developmental stages, these ectopic neuronal clusters are specifically innervated by thalamocortical axons, demonstrated by anterograde labeling from the thalamus and by expression of thalamocortical-specific synaptic markers. Together, these data indicate that RORβ expression levels control cytoarchitectural patterning of neocortical neurons during development, a critical process for the topographical mapping of whisker input onto the cortical surface.
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Introduction

The richness and diversity of our sensory perceptions and motor actions largely originate in the neuronal networks formed by the diverse subtypes of neurons of the neocortex. Along its tangential plane, the neocortex is patterned into distinct functional regions, including the motor, somatosensory, visual, and auditory cortical areas, in which distinct neuronal subtypes form precise area-specific circuits (Krubitzer 2007; O'Leary and Sahara 2008). The generation and differentiation of the distinct subtypes of cortical neurons in each of these areas during development results from combinatorial interactions between neuron type-specific and area-specific programs of gene expression. These molecular programs give rise to the area-specific cytoarchitectural, hodological, and functional features of the adult neocortex (reviewed in Molyneaux et al. 2007). Over the past few years, we and others have made substantial progress toward identifying molecular mechanisms that control both subtype-specific and area-specific differentiation of cortical projection neuron and interneuron (Arlotta et al. 2005, 2008; Molyneaux et al. 2005, 2007, 2009; Ozdinler and Macklis 2006; Alcamo et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2008; Joshi et al. 2008; Lai et al. 2008; Azim, Jabaudon, et al. 2009; Azim, Shnider, et al. 2009; Tomassy et al. 2010). During cortical arealization, the Studer lab and ours have shown that the transcription factor *Coup-TFI* acts in the developing somatosensory cortex to repress default corticospinal motor neuron differentiation programs, thereby imparting this area with sensory features (Armentano et al., Tomassy et al. 2010). Similarly, the Gan lab and our own showed that the transcription factor *Bhlhb5* critically controls postmitotic fate acquisition in projection neurons of layers II-V in an area-specific manner (Joshi et al. 2008). However, despite recent progress in understanding molecular controls over area-specific differentiation of distinct subtypes of cortical neurons, how these neurons assemble to form area-specific circuits with distinctive cytoarchitectural features remains unknown.

Two main hypotheses have been put forth to explain how cortical areas are specified during development. The protomap hypothesis postulates that area identities are specified in neocortical progenitors at early stages of development in response to morphogens secreted by signaling centers in the telencephalon. This information is translated into a spatial map in postmitotic neurons through regulation of proliferation, differentiation, and migration (Rakic 1988, 2009). In contrast, the protocortex (or "tabula rasa") hypothesis states that the spatial identity of neocortical neurons is established by cues from thalamic afferents innervating specific areas in a modality-specific manner (O'Leary 1989; Mallamaci and Stoykova 2006). Recently, both hypotheses have been integrated into a single model in which intrinsic and extrinsic factors work in combination to specify area identity in 2 developmental phases. At early stages, prior to innervation from thalamocortical afferents, areal identity is established cell-intrinsically in the progenitors and postmitotic neurons, whereas at later stages, extrinsic input refines and sharpens areal boundaries. These stages are mirrored by changes in expression of area identity genes from broad gradients to sharp boundaries of expression.

Area-specific cytoarchitectural features are particularly striking in the rodent whisker somatosensory cortex, where neurons in layer IV assemble into periodic clusters called barrels. Barrels are dominated by input from a single whisker and are formed by columnar clusters of layer IV neurons surrounding the fasciculated thalamocortical axons originating in neurons of the ventral posterior medial (VPM) nucleus of the thalamus. Barrels develop...
rapidly during the first few postnatal days and are severely disorganized by lesions to whiskers or their afferent pathways during this critical period of development (reviewed in Erzurumla and Kind 2001; López-Bendito and Molnár 2003). Although the whisker-to-barrel system has been widely used to study the development, topography, and plasticity of thalamocortical connectivity, the molecular mechanisms that underlie the whisker-specific clustering of layer IV cortical neurons are essentially unknown. In accordance with the protomap hypothesis described above, while the initial specification of the barrel fields is initially cell intrinsic, this cytoarchitecture after birth is sculpted by sensory input from the periphery (i.e., thalamocortical axons), which are attracted specifically to this particular area and are essential for full differentiation of the barrels (Gitton et al. 1999).

Here, we show that RORβ, a nuclear orphan receptor of previously unknown function in the neocortex, functions in regulating neuronal patterning during cortical development. RORβ is expressed at progressively increasing levels by neurons in layer IV in the whisker somatosensory cortex during barrel formation. Overexpression of RORβ during cortical development is sufficient to induce the periodic clustering of cortical neurons in vivo, forming structures with characteristics of barrels that receive synaptic input specifically from thalamocortical neurons. Together, these data reveal a central cell-intrinsic function for RORβ in regulating neuronal patterning in the developing neocortex and suggest that this orphan receptor contributes centrally to the cytoarchitectural patterning of layer IV neurons into barrels during somatosensory cortex development.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The day of vaginal plug detection was designated as E0.5. The day of birth was designated as P0. All mouse studies were approved by the Massachusetts General Hospital IACUC and were performed in accordance with institutional and federal guidelines. Barreless mice were a generous gift from Egbert Welker, Lausanne University, Switzerland (Welker et al. 1990).

Immunocytochemistry

Brains were fixed and stained using standard methods. For immunofluorescence studies, brain sections were blocked in a 0.3% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals), 8% goat or donkey serum, 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals), and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (0.025%) for 1 h at room temperature, before incubation in primary antibody. Primary antibodies and dilutions used were rabbit anti-RORβ, gift of H. Stunnenberg (1:2000); mouse anti-SATB2 (1:200; Abcam), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000; Molecular Probes); mouse anti-synaptophysin (1:500; Chemicon); and mouse anti-VGLUT2 (1:200; Chemicon). Appropriate secondary antibodies were obtained from the Molecular Probes Alexa series and used at dilutions of 1:500.

Cytochrome Oxidase Staining

Freshly prepared brain sections were incubated in a developing solution containing 10% (w/v) sucrose, 0.0005% (w/v) cytochrome C (Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals), 0.00025% (w/v) of 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at 37 °C until optimal staining intensity was achieved. Brain sections were then rinsed 3 times in PBS and subsequently mounted onto slides for imaging.

In Utero Electroporation

Experiments were performed essentially as described in Lai et al. (2008). Briefly, timed pregnant CD1 females carrying embryonic day 12.5 (E12.5) embryos were anesthetized via an intraperitoneal injection of 0.015 cc/g body weight of Avertin (1.25% of 2,2,2-tribromoethanol) in a solvent containing 0.63% isomyl alcohol by weight (in double-distilled H2O) together with 0.3 mL of MgSO4 (5 mg/mL in 0.9% NaCl) for tocolytic purposes. Single embryos at a time were then removed and gently pulled through a preprepared embryo-holding chamber filled with prewarmed PBS. A total of 1 μL of RORβ or FEZF2 overexpression (CMV-actin-RORβ-IRES-GFP or CMV-actin-FEZF2-IRES-GFP) DNA plasmid vector (1.0 μg/μL DNA plasmid mixed with 0.005% (w/v) Fast Green in sterile 0.1 M phosphate buffer) was then injected into either lateral ventricle under ultrasound guidance (Veo 770, VisualSonics) and electroporated into ventricular progenitor cells with five 30 V pulses of 50-m duration at 1-s intervals using 0.5-cm-diameter platinum electrodes and an ECM 830 Square Wave electroporator (BTX-Harvard Apparatus). Injected embryos were then returned to the abdominal cavity and allowed to develop normally until processed at the appropriate age for immunocytochemical or labeling analysis.

Anterograde Thalamocortical Labeling

For anterograde tracing of thalamocortical axons, brains of pups previously electroporated at E12.5 were collected at P5 and fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4 °C. Brains were then hemi-dissected, and, starting at the rostral portion of the dorsal thalamus, a transverse incision was made at the level of the VPM nucleus. A small crystal of 1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetra-methyl-indocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI) was inserted into the VPM under stereomicroscopic guidance. Brains were then placed in PBS and left for 9 days at 37 °C to allow for DiI diffusion. Following diffusion, the brains were sectioned coronally on a vibrating microtome (Leica) at 80 μm thickness and analyzed as "wet-mounts" for appropriate DiI crystal placement under a Nikon Eclipse E1000 epifluorescence microscope. Only those brains with confirmed placement of DiI into the VPM were included for subsequent confocal microscopic analysis.

Light and Confocal Microscopy

Slides were visualized using a Nikon Eclipse E1000 fluorescence microscope equipped with an X-Cite 120 illuminator (EXFO), and images were acquired using a Q-imaging Retiga EX camera (Q-Imaging Corporation, Surrey, Canada). Confocal images were collected using a BioRad Radiance 2100 Rainbow laser-scanning confocal microscope based on a Nikon E800 microscope. Images were assembled in Adobe Photoshop (v. 10), with adjustments for contrast, brightness, and color balance to obtain optimal visual reproduction of data.

Results

RORβ Expression Increases in Neurons in Layer IV of the Developing Somatosensory Cortex during Thalamocortical Afferent Innervation

RORβ is known to be expressed at high levels in layer IV of the somatosensory cortex, but the roles of this orphan receptor during corticogenesis were previously unknown. To investigate whether RORβ might function in the differentiation of neurons in layer IV, we first investigated the temporal and regional expression of RORβ in the developing mouse brain. Immunocytochemical analysis reveals that RORβ protein is expressed in the lateral cortical plate at E18.5 (Fig. 1A,F) and is only very weakly expressed by cortical neurons at E15.5 (Fig. 1E). RORβ protein expression likely follows Rorβ messenger RNA (mRNA) expression by a few days since expression of the transcript in the cortex has been reported to begin at about E14.5 (Schaeren-Wiemers et al. 1997; Azadi et al. 2002; Nakagawa and O’Leary 2003). At P0, as thalamocortical axons invade the cortical plate and establish synapses with cortical neurons in primary sensory areas (López-Bendito and Molnár 2003), RORβ expression progressively increases in neurons in layer IV of the
Abbreviations: S1BF: S1 barrel field; SP: subplate; CP: cortical plate; MZ: marginal zone; II/III-VI: neocortical layers II/III-VI. Scale bars: 500 μm (A–D), 50 μm (E,F), 100 μm (G–I).

Prospective somatosensory, visual, and auditory cortices (Fig. 1B–D,G–I). RORβ is also expressed by scattered neurons in layer V in these areas, but at much lower levels. The increase in RORβ expression is particularly striking in the whisker barrel cortex of the somatosensory cortex, where sharp expression domains in layer IV are clearly visible by P4 (Fig. 1H), and distinct barrels are present by P7 (Fig. 1D,I). These results demonstrate that expression of RORβ protein is precisely spatially and temporally regulated during cortical development, as has been reported for Rorβ mRNA (Nakagawa and O'Leary 2003). These data reveal that RORβ protein expression becomes progressively restricted primarily to neurons in layer IV of the sensory cortices, with a striking postnatal increase in expression in the somatosensory cortex.

Postnatal Increase in RORβ Expression in the Somatosensory Cortex Is Regulated by Thalamocortical Input

RORβ expression in neurons in layer IV of the whisker barrel cortex sharply increases between P0 and P4, while axons of thalamocortical neurons located in the VPM nucleus are invading the neocortex. We hypothesized that the increase in RORβ expression during the first few postnatal days might at least partially depend on innervation of neurons in layer IV by thalamocortical axons.

We find that this is indeed the case, using 2 complementary strategies to specifically disrupt the normal innervation of layer IV neurons by VPM thalamocortical afferents. First, we performed a neonatal unilateral section of the infraorbital nerve, which prevents sensory input from the whiskers from reaching the thalamus, and which dramatically disrupts VPM thalamocortical axon pathfinding and cortical barrel formation (Van der Loos and Woolsey 1973; Erzurumlu and Kind 2001). These experiments reveal that neurons in layer IV in whisker input-deprived cortex express reduced levels of RORβ as compared with the contralateral undeprived neurons (Fig. 2A–B'). Importantly, this decrease in RORβ expression does not reflect a nonspecific effect on layer IV neuron protein synthesis, or increased cell death, since expression of SATB2, a transcription factor expressed by neurons in layer IV (Alcamo et al. 2008; Britanova et al. 2008), is unaffected by input deprivation following infraorbital nerve section (Fig. 2C–D').

A second set of experiments, using transgenic mice with abnormal thalamocortical innervation (the "barrelless" mice strain, which lacks Adcy1 gene function; Welker et al. 1996; Abdel-Majid et al. 1998), demonstrates decreased cortical RORβ expression, but to a lesser extent than following infraorbital nerve section (Fig. 2E). These barrelless mice also express RORβ more broadly in layers V, IV, and II/III. Whereas the "barrelless" genetic manipulation affects thalamocortical neurons throughout their differentiation, infraorbital nerve lesions produce an acute disruption of thalamocortical input, which might cause a stronger effect on layer IV RORβ expression. Together, these findings indicate that the postnatal increase in RORβ protein expression in neurons in layer IV of the primary somatosensory cortex is at least partially non-cell autonomous and depends on thalamocortical axon innervation.

Overexpression of RORβ during Corticogenesis Induces Barrel-Like Periodic Clustering of Neurons

Neurons in layer IV of the whisker barrel cortex, which express the highest levels of RORβ protein of all cortical neurons, are not distributed homogenously but instead aggregate into periodic clusters to form the barrels. Our observations suggest...
that ROR\(\beta\) might critically regulate layer IV neuron clustering since 1) the rapid postnatal increase in ROR\(\beta\) expression in the whisker barrel cortex temporally coincides with barrel formation (Fig. 1); and 2) reduced levels of ROR\(\beta\) expression in the somatosensory cortex after infraorbital nerve section or genetic manipulation are associated with abnormal barrel formation (Fig. 2; Van der Loos and Woolsey 1973; Welker et al. 1996).

We investigated whether direct manipulation of ROR\(\beta\) expression in neocortical neurons during corticogenesis might modify neuronal distribution and clustering. To this end, we focally overexpressed ROR\(\beta\) in cortical neurons via in utero electroporation of an ROR\(\beta\)-expressing plasmid into cortical progenitors at embryonic day (E) 12.5, as deep layer cortical neurons are being generated, well before the normal developmental period of barrel formation. These experiments reveal that ROR\(\beta\) overexpression dramatically affects neuronal distribution in the neocortex and leads to periodic, focal clustering of the transfected neurons (Fig. 3). Whereas neurons expressing the control GFP vector become distributed evenly throughout the electroporated area (Fig. 3A,A',C,C',E,E'), ROR\(\beta\)-GFP-expressing neurons become largely distributed into discrete periodic clusters (Fig. 3B,B',D,D',F,F'). These clusters are already present by E18.5, before maturation of the whisker somatosensory circuits. At E18.5, the clusters are found mostly at the border between the subcortical white matter and developing cortical plate (Fig. 3B,B'). Over the next few days, many of the ROR\(\beta\)-overexpressing neurons migrate into the cortical plate and become even more strikingly clustered by P3 (Fig. 3D,D'), retaining a periodic columnar organization at P7 (Fig. 3F,F').

Figure 2. Thalamocortical input specifically regulates postnatal ROR\(\beta\) protein expression. Immunocytochemical analysis of bilateral coronal hemisections showing ROR\(\beta\) protein expression in neurons in layer IV of the whisker barrel cortex in a P7 mouse after perinatal unilateral section of the left infraorbital nerve (A-B'). ROR\(\beta\) expression in layer IV neurons of the control right whisker barrel field (A,A') is much stronger than in the left barrel field that underwent ION section and subsequent deprivation of vibrissal input (B,B'). In contrast, levels of another layer IV-expressed gene, SATB2, are unaffected by ION nerve section (compare with A). Arrows delineate the area where most ROR\(\beta\)-expressing neurons are located (layer IV). Abbreviations: S1BF, S1 barrel field; II/III-VI: neocortical layers II/III-VI. Scale bars: 500 \(\mu\)m (A,B), 100 \(\mu\)m (C-D,E), 50 \(\mu\)m (C',D').
differentiating cortical neurons is sufficient to induce an area-dependent clustering into barrel-like structures, bypassing the requirement for functional thalamocortical innervation and connectivity between the whiskers, the thalamus, and the somatosensory cortex.

**RORβ-Induced Neuronal Clusters Are Specifically Targeted by Developing Thalamocortical Axons**

Neurons in layer IV, which during neocortical development express the highest levels of RORβ, are the main postsynaptic targets of neurons located in the principal sensory thalamic nuclei. We thus investigated whether the ectopic clusters of neurons induced by RORβ overexpression might be specifically innervated by thalamocortical axons, potentially indicating an instructive role for RORβ in the assembly of thalamocortical circuits during development.

To assess the synaptic integration of clustered RORβ-overexpressing neurons within the cortical circuitry, we first investigated whether these neurons receive synaptic input by analyzing the distribution of the presynaptic marker synaptophysin (Stettler et al. 1996). This analysis reveals that the clusters of RORβ-overexpressing neurons are synapse-rich (Fig. 4A–C), with levels of synaptophysin expression surpassing those found in genuine cortical barrels (Fig. 4D). Neurons in layer IV are mostly excitatory interneurons, which normally establish short-range connections within a single barrel column, thus contributing to the high synaptic density of barrels (Schubert et al. 2007). In order to examine whether the high synaptic density within the neuronal clusters was specifically induced by RORβ overexpression, we next used FEZF2 overexpression to generate ectopic corticospinal projection neurons in the subcortical white matter (Molyneaux et al. 2005) and examined the synaptic density of these long-range projecting ectopic neurons (Fig. 4E–G). In contrast to RORβ-overexpressing neurons and despite a similar density of transfected neurons, there are very few synapses onto FEZF2-overexpressing neurons and overall synaptic density is well below that of the corresponding barrel cortex (Fig. 4H).

Figure 3. RORβ overexpression induces area-specific periodic neuronal clustering. In utero overexpression of RORβ at E12.5 induces periodic neuronal clustering in the cortex and subcortical white matter at E18.5 (B,B', compare with A,A', where a control GFP-expressing plasmid was used), P3 (D,D', compare with C,C', and P7 (F,F', compare with E,E'). An RORβ-ires-GFP or control-GFP plasmid construct was electroporated so that RORβ-overexpressing neurons or control neurons can be visualized in green using anti-GFP immunocytochemistry. Arrowheads in B', D', and F' highlight individual clusters of RORβ-overexpressing neurons. The dashed lines outline the cortical surface (and also the ventricular surface in A). Using a whole-mount preparation (G,H; same brain shown in F,F'), the neuronal clusters can be seen as periodically aligned along a mostly anteroposterior axis (H). Quantification of the electroporation results (I) reveals that RORβ overexpression in posterior and lateral cortical areas is most efficient in generating multiple clusters. Circles indicate individual measurements; dotted lines connect measurements within the same brain, error bar: SEM, *P < 0.05 (Mann-Whitney test) Abbreviation: CP: cortical plate. OB: olfactory bulb, Cb: cerebellum, Cx: cerebral cortex, V,VI: neocortical layers V,VI. Scale bars: 500 µm (C,D,E,F), 100 µm (A,B,A'-F'), 2 mm (G), 200 µm (H).
Therefore, the barrel-like synaptic features of the periodic neuronal clusters are specifically induced by RORβ overexpression and correspond to the normal synaptic features of layer IV excitatory interneurons.

We next examined whether at least some of the afferent synaptic contacts within the RORβ-induced neuronal clusters might be established by neurons located in the thalamus, a possibility suggested by the observation that a subset of these synapses (labeled by synaptophysin) do not express RORβ-GFP (Fig. 4A–C’). Anterograde labeling from the thalamus with DiI supports this hypothesis and reveals that thalamocortical axons project along ectopic RORβ-expressing neurons (Fig. 5A–B’), often in close apposition to clusters of RORβ-positive neurons (Fig. 5B’). Innervation of RORβ-overexpressing neurons by thalamocortical afferents is further suggested by positive staining of the neuronal clusters for the mitochondrial enzyme cytochrome oxidase (Fig. 5C–E’). Since thalamocortical axon terminals are rich in mitochondria, this method is widely used to identify cortical barrels (Wong-Riley and Welt 1980), and this finding supports the presence of thalamocortical afferents in the RORβ-overexpressing clusters (Fig. 5F).

However, DiI labeling does not reliably enable identification of presynaptic terminals, and positive cytochrome oxidase staining could reflect a high density of cell bodies, and thus mitochondria. We therefore next directly examined whether presynaptic terminals of thalamocortical axons are located in the neuronal clusters by assessing expression of the vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGLUT2), which in the cortex is exclusively expressed at presynaptic thalamocortical terminals (Fujiyama et al. 2001; Nakamura et al. 2005; Liguz-Lecznar and Skangiel-Kramska 2007). This approach reveals that the RORβ-expressing neuronal clusters contain high densities of thalamocortical synapses (Fig. 6A–C’), comparable to those found in genuine cortical barrels (Fig. 6D). Remarkably, thalamocortical innervation is specifically induced by RORβ overexpression since FEZF2-overexpressing neuronal heterotopies were devoid of VGLUT2 immunoreactivity, despite a similar density of transfected neurons (Fig. 6E–H). Together, these results indicate that RORβ-expressing neurons are specifically targeted by axons of thalamocortical neurons, strongly suggesting that this orphan receptor plays a role in the guidance of thalamocortical axons to their proper cortical target during cortical development.

Figure 4. Clusters of RORβ-overexpressing neurons are synapse rich. Clustered RORβ-overexpressing neurons after in utero electroporation at E12.5 with an RORβ-HRES-GFP plasmid have a high synaptic content, as indicated by strong synaptophysin immunoreactivity (A–C’), even exceeding levels found in genuine cortical barrels (D). In contrast, ectopic neurons generated by overexpression of a FEZF2-HRES-GFP plasmid are synapse-poor (E–G’). (H) Cortical barrel in the same brain and using the same settings as in (E–G’), showing high synaptic density. Arrowheads point to GFP-RORβ-positive neurons. Abbreviations: Cx: cortex; sp: subplate; Str: striatum; S1: primary somatosensory cortex; Syn: synaptophysin; wm: white matter; II/III-V: neocortical layers II/III-V. Scale bars: 100 μm (A–H), 50 μm (A’–G’).
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Discussion

Topographical mapping of sensory input and motor output onto the neocortical surface is a fundamental organizational principle of the mammalian neocortex and can be detected at the molecular, cellular, anatomical, physiological, and behavioral levels of nervous system organization (Krubitzer 2007; O'Leary et al. 2007). Topographical mapping of sensory input is particularly striking in the rodent somatosensory whisker cortex, where distinct periodic clusters of layer IV neurons receive input from single principal whiskers to form structures called barrels, which are easily identified in coronal brain sections even without specialized staining. The cortical mapping of the whisker pad is somatotopical; neighboring barrels represent neighboring whiskers, and the size of each barrel is proportional to the density of innervation of its corresponding whisker follicle. Within barrels, neurons in layer IV have been previously shown to express high levels of the nuclear orphan receptor RORβ, but though this specific expression has been employed to identify these neurons for years, the functions of this nuclear receptor during corticogenesis have previously remained unknown (Jetten and Joo 2006).

The experiments presented here demonstrate that early RORβ expression in the somatosensory cortex precedes thalamocortical innervation and barrel formation and that overexpression of RORβ in cortical neurons during development is sufficient to induce periodic neuronal clusters reminiscent of barrels. These results suggest that RORβ acts in a dose-dependent manner to regulate barrel formation upon innervation of layer IV neurons by thalamocortical axons.

This model is in accordance with the findings of the Wassef group, where specification of the barrel cortex is initially cell-autonomous (as measured by expression of the somatosensory cortex-specific transgene H-2Z1), and only after birth can this gene expression be regulated by thalamocortical axons, which are attracted specifically to this particular area (Gitton et al. 1999). Here, we show that baseline RORβ expression is initially cell-autonomous but is increased at postnatal stages by arrival of thalamocortical afferents. Increases in RORβ expression cause clustering of neurons in layer IV and likely participates in the guidance of thalamocortical axons to their targets, as shown by the results of our overexpression experiments. Consistent with this possibility, recent findings in the Reeler mouse, where the laminar organization of the cortex is roughly inverted, show that RORβ-expressing neurons, while loosely distributed, form barrel equivalent-columns that specifically receive thalamocortical input (Wagener et al. 2010).

Figure 5. Clusters of RORβ-overexpressing neurons colocalize with thalamocortical axons. Schematic representation of the photomicrograph in B, showing a coronal brain section with anterograde labeling of thalamocortical afferents by a crystal of DiI placed in the thalamus (red) and the location of the clusters of RORβ-overexpressing neurons (green) (A). Anterograde labeling of thalamocortical afferents shows apposition of thalamocortical axons and RORβ-overexpressing neurons (arrowheads in B′, magnified from the boxed area in B) (B,B′). Cluster of RORβ-overexpressing neurons (delineated by 4 arrowheads), enriched in cytochrome oxidase-positive presynaptic terminals (C–E, magnified in C′–E′). This brain was electroporated in utero at E12.5 with an RORβ-IRES-GFP plasmid (C–E). Genuine cortical barrels are shown in F (barrel delineated by 4 arrowheads). Abbreviations: Cx: cortex; Hip: hippocampus; sp: subplate; Thal: thalamus; wm: white matter; II/III-V: neocortical layers II/III-V. Scale bars: 500 μm (A), 100 μm (C–E,F), 10 μm (B′,C′–E′).
Taken together, these experiments reveal a novel and instructive function for ROR\textsubscript{b} in regulating the spatial distribution of neurons in layer IV during corticogenesis and identify a novel molecular component that controls the topographical organization and patterning of sensory areas during development.

**Activity-Dependent Regulation of ROR\textsubscript{b} Expression**

A first indication from these results that ROR\textsubscript{b} expression in neurons in layer IV of the somatosensory cortex might function in barrel formation and thalamocortical afferentation emerged from the observation that the sharp postnatal increase in ROR\textsubscript{b} expression levels specifically in the somatosensory cortex temporally coincides with the period of cortical invasion by axons of thalamocortical neurons located in the VPM nucleus (P0–P4) and immediately precedes cortical barrel formation (P4–P6) (Schaeren-Wiemers et al. 1997; Azadi et al. 2002; Nakagawa and O’Leary 2003). Since layer IV neurons are the main postsynaptic targets of thalamocortical neurons, we hypothesized that there might be a causal relationship between the increase in ROR\textsubscript{b} expression and cortical barrel formation. We find strong evidence for interactions between ROR\textsubscript{b} expression, thalamocortical innervation, and barrel formation since either surgical or genetic interference with thalamocortical innervation disrupts the normal postnatal increase in layer IV neuron ROR\textsubscript{b} expression (and barrel formation) (Fig. 2), and ROR\textsubscript{b} overexpression is sufficient to induce neuronal clusters with features reminiscent of barrels prior to maturation of the thalamocortical system (Fig. 3).

These converging findings suggest a model in which thalamocortical input acts postnatally in an activity-dependent manner to increase baseline levels of ROR\textsubscript{b} in target neurons in layer IV, which in turn leads to dose-dependent neuronal aggregation and barrel formation. Supporting this interpretation, acute deafferentation of layer IV neurons via infraorbital nerve section affects ROR\textsubscript{b} expression to a much greater extent than genetically disrupting thalamocortical patterning in “barrelless” Adcy1 loss-of-function mice, suggesting that activity-dependent mechanisms play a critical role in controlling ROR\textsubscript{b} levels. Interestingly, ROR\textsubscript{b} expression in layers II/III in the barrelless cortex is comparable to that of the input-deprived cortex, further suggesting that thalamocortical axons may act to maintain and restrict ROR\textsubscript{b} high expression levels to neurons in layer IV. These experiments also reveal that overexpression of ROR\textsubscript{b} at high levels in developing cortical neurons obviates the requirement for thalamocortical afferentation and causes neuronal clustering. Interestingly, neurons from caudolateral cortical regions, where sensory areas are located, were the most susceptible to the clustering effects of ROR\textsubscript{b} overexpression, suggesting either an area-specific heterogeneity in differentiating neurons with regard to clustering, or the presence of non-cell autonomous area-specific factors regulating cluster generation. Finally, in further support of ROR\textsubscript{b} expression regulating neuronal clustering in
a dose-dependent manner, layer Vb neurons normally express RORβ at low levels and are sparsely innervated by collaterals from the VPM thalamocortical axons that innervate layer IV neurons (Jensen and Killackey 1987) but do not display either increase in RORβ expression or clustering upon postnatal afferentation.

**Potential Instructive Role for RORβ in the Clustering of Thalamocortical Axon Terminals**

Interestingly, our data suggest that, in addition to its permissive role in controlling neuronal clustering in response to presynaptic signals from thalamocortical axons, RORβ likely plays an instructive role in guiding these axon terminals to their proper neuronal targets. Indeed, induced clusters of RORβ-overexpressing neurons are richly innervated by thalamocortical axons, as demonstrated by high-density VGLUT2 immunoreactivity. Remarkably, we demonstrate that this innervation is specific to RORβ-overexpressing neurons since it is not seen with FEZF2 overexpression (Fig. 6). Such reassignment in thalamocortical connectivity has been observed following manipulation of molecular gradients in cortical neurons, most strikingly following ectopic expression of FGFR8, which leads to a duplication of the whisker barrel cortex (Fukuchi-Shimogori and Grove 2001) but also after more global shifts in anteroposterior or mediolateral gradients of gene expression. Examples of the latter include the areal shifts in thalamocortical connectivity that we and others have identified with loss of function of Coup-TFI, Bhlhb5, Emx1, or Pax6 function (Stoykova et al. 2000; Bishop et al. 2003; Joshi et al. 2008; Tomassy et al. 2010). Interestingly, in Coup-TFI mutant mice, there is a congruent shift in the pattern of RORβ expression and thalamocortical connectivity of VPM neurons (Armentano et al. 2007), while in FGFR8 hypomorphs, in which RORβ expression is unchanged, the topography of projections between the dorsal thalamus and rostral neocortex remains unaffected (Garel et al. 2003). Together, these results strongly support an instructive role for RORβ in thalamocortical axon guidance. Furthermore, these results suggest that the tropism of thalamocortical axons for the induced heterotopic clusters of RORβ-misexpressing neurons reflects erroneous positional information provided to thalamocortical axons by these neuronal clusters.

Remarkably, axons of thalamocortical neurons are able to specifically innervate RORβ-expressing neuronal clusters despite of the heterotopic location of these clusters in the subcortical white matter and deep cortical layers. Similarly, in the Reeler mouse cortex, thalamocortical terminals revealed by VGLUT2 are distributed trough the cortical thickness but focally enriched in a patchy fashion resembling the distribution of RORβ-expressing cells (Wagener et al. 2010).

Interactions with subplate neurons are thought to play a critical role as intermediate targets for thalamocortical axons on their way to their principal neuronal targets in layer IV (McConnell et al. 1989; Allendoerfer and Shatz 1994; Kanold 2009). However, interactions between the subplate and thalamocortical neurons do not appear to play a role in setting the directionality of pathfinding, but, rather, seems to be required to establish responsiveness to intracortical area-specific attractant cues (Molnár et al. 1998; Shimogori and Grove 2005). This is the case in transgenic mice in which subplate neurons are abnormally located in superficial cortical layers, such as after preplate splitting defects, or in the Reeler mouse, in which thalamocortical neurons are still able to reach their normal targets in layer IV (Terashima et al. 1987; Rakic et al. 2006). In agreement with these prior interpretations, although our experiments using DiI anterograde labeling from the thalamus lack the resolution to identify functional thalamocortical synapses onto RORβ-overexpressing neurons, they reveal an abundant network of thalamocortical axons within the subplate (Fig. 5B), where axonal sorting might take place. This subplate sorting process likely accounts for the subsequent normal targeting to neurons in layer IV and abnormal targeting of heterotopic clustered RORβ-overexpressing neurons.

**Mechanisms of RORβ Action**

The periodic clustering of RORβ-overexpressing neurons and the specific thalamocortical afferentation of these clusters suggest that RORβ functions to regulate cell–cell interactions and axon targeting. In contrast, the heterotopic location of RORβ-overexpressing neurons is likely to be a nonspecific effect on neuronal migration and neuronal differentiation (as seen with the diffuse neuronal heterotopias occurring after overexpression of FezF2). RORβ might function to regulate receptors and/or ligands involved in cell–cell and axon–cell interactions. For example, EphA receptors and ephrin-A ligands have recently been shown to similarly regulate the lateral dispersion of migrating cortical neurons, and overexpression of Epha7 leads to columnar aggregates of neurons throughout all cortical layers (Torii et al. 2009). Interestingly, another ephrin, Ephrin A5, has been shown to play a role in the guidance of thalamocortical axons toward superficial cortical layers (Maruyama et al. 2008). It would therefore be interesting in future studies to investigate whether RORβ might regulate expression of Eph/Ephrin family members. Interestingly, although the periodic clustering may in principle be initiated at the level of the progenitors, this is not likely a central mechanism since a discontinuous/periodic pattern of staining in the ventricular and subventricular zone, where the progenitors are located, was never observed.

RORα, a related member of the ROR family, is expressed at high levels in Purkinje cells of the developing cerebellum, in which it is critical for not only neuronal differentiation and survival but also for the proper targeting of afferent innervation. Lack of RORα expression leads to the absence of parallel fiber input and the persistence of innervation from multiple climbing fibers (Mariani 1982; Boukhtouche et al. 2006; Janmaat et al. 2009). These RORα results suggest the possibility that regulation of expression of target-derived pathfinding molecules might be a feature shared by multiple ROR family members. RORβ knockout mice have been reported to display retinal degeneration and a duck-like gait but specific alterations in the connectivity or distribution of cortical neurons have, to the best of our knowledge, not been reported (André, Conquet et al. 1998; Andre, Gawlas et al. 1998; Jetten and Joo 2006; Masana et al. 2007). Given the high degree of homology between ROR family members, and partially overlapping patterns of expression (RORα is also expressed in the neocortex and thalamus; Nakagawa and O’Leary 2003), compensatory mechanisms might have occluded the consequences of loss of cortical RORβ function in these studies.

In contrast, the gain-of-function strategy used here is comparatively resistant to compensatory up- or downregulation of other genes with similar functions, enabling identification of
the function of RORβ in neuronal clustering and barrel formation. Given the potential functional overlap between members of the ROR protein family, combined loss of RORα and RORβ might provide valuable information regarding the molecular mechanisms controlling cortical barrel formation.

In summary, the results reported here demonstrate that the nuclear orphan receptor RORβ functions in the cytoarchitectural patterning of neocortical neurons and guidance of thalamocortical axons to their cellular targets, potentially acting on cortical barrel formation through activity-dependent regulation of expression by these thalamocortical afferents. Future investigation into the transcriptional networks activated by RORβ expression might provide important insights into molecular controls over topographical mapping in the neocortex.

Funding
National Institutes of Health (NIH) (NS49553, NS45523 to J.D.M.; additional infrastructure supported by grant number NS41590 to J.D.M.); Harvard Stem Cell Institute; Spastic Paraplegia Foundation; Travis Roy Foundation; Massachusetts Spinal Cord Injury Research Fund; Jane and Lee Seidman Fund for CNS Research; and Emily and Robert Pearlstein Fund for Nervous System Repair to J.D.M. The Swiss National Science Foundation and Pierre Mercier Science Foundation partially supported D.J.; NIH predoctoral NRSA (F31 NS063516) partially supported S.J.S.; Harvard Stem Cell Institute Internship Program and a Mary Gordon Roberts Fellowship partially supported D.T.; a Caja Madrid Foundation fellowship partially supported M.J.G.

Supplementary Material
Supplementary material can be found at: http://www.cercor.oxfordjournals.org/

Notes
We thank L. Pasquina, D. Schuback, E. Sievert, and T. Yamamoto for superb technical assistance; L. Frangeul for excellent technical and scientific assistance; Dr E. Welker for generous sharing of the "barrelless" mice; and past and present members of our laboratory for helpful suggestions. Conflict of Interest: None declared.

References


