Ocular dominance columns (ODCs) exist in many primates and carnivores, but it is believed that they do not exist in rodents. Using a combination of transneuronal tracing, in situ hybridization for Zif268 and electrophysiological recordings, we show that inputs from both eyes are largely segregated in the binocular region of V1 in Long Evans rats. We also show that, interspersed between this binocular region and the lateral border of V1, there lies a strip of cortex that is strongly dominated by the contralateral eye. Finally, we show that callosal connections colocalize primarily with ipsilateral eye domains in the binocular region and with contralateral eye input in the lateral cortical strip, mirroring the relationship between patchy callosal connections and specific sets of ODCs described previously in the cat. Our results suggest that development of cortical modular architecture is more conserved among rodents, carnivores, and primates than previously thought.
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Introduction

In primary visual cortex (V1, area 17), ocular dominance columns (ODCs, aggregates of cells with the same eye preference), and orientation columns (aggregates of cells selective for the same orientation of edges or bar-like stimuli) have been extensively studied in a variety of mammalian species (Tootell et al. 1988; reviewed in Horton and Hocking 1996; Van Hooser 2007). These studies revealed that while ocular dominance and orientation columns are evident in many carnivores and primates, there is little evidence of their existence in V1 of other orders such as rodents (Dräger 1975; Metin et al. 1988; Fagiolini et al. 1994; Gordon and Stryker 1996; Antonini et al. 1999; Girman et al. 1999; Ohki et al. 2005; Ohki and Reid 2007). This apparent difference between animal groups suggests that mammals can be broadly divided into species that have columnar architecture in visual cortex and those that do not (Horton and Hocking 1996; Van Hooser 2007). Surprisingly, response tuning properties of visual cortical neurons do not seem to depend on the existence of orientation columns because these properties are remarkably similar in animals with and without orientation columns (Girman et al. 1999; Van Hooser et al. 2005, 2006). These observations have cast doubt on the idea that wiring of functional visual cortical circuits follows the same master plan in all species (Horton and Adams 2005; Van Hooser 2007). In addition, the lack of ocular dominance and orientation columns in the gray squirrel—a diurnal, highly visual rodent whose brain size compares to that of animals with ocular dominance and orientation columns—implies that the absence of columnar architecture in visual cortex in rodents cannot be attributed solely to their small brain or nocturnal habit (Van Hooser et al. 2005, 2006). Instead, these studies have led to the idea that in animals like rodents, functional architecture in visual cortex is fundamentally different from that in carnivores and primates.

In the cat, visual callosal connections form patches that are closely correlated with ODCs (Olavarria 2001, 2002). Prompted by preliminary observations that callosal connections are also patchy in Rat V1 we set out to investigate whether callosal patches reflect an underlying pattern of ocular dominance domains that has not been previously described in the rat. We examined this possibility using a combination of anatomical and functional approaches. We found that inputs from both eyes segregate into eye-specific domains in a binocular region of V1. Moreover, we found that in this region callosal patches correlate primarily with ipsilateral eye domains. Surprisingly, our results also show that between this binocular region and the lateral border of V1 lies a narrow, callosal rich region that is dominated nearly exclusively by the contralateral eye.

Materials and Methods

Normal adult Long Evans hooded rats (Rattus norvegicus, Charles River Laboratories) were used and procedures were performed according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Washington and Vanderbilt University, and are in accordance with the animal care guidelines of the National Institutes of Health, USA.

Intracortical and Intracortical Injections of Anatomical Tracers and Histochemical Processing

Intracortical and intracortical tracer injections were performed under anesthesia induced and maintained with isoflurane (5 and 2.5%, respectively) in air. Retino-thalamo-cortical projections were revealed following intravitreal injections of the transneuronal tracer horseradish peroxidase conjugated to wheat-germ agglutinin (WGA-HRP; Sigma Co.). Due to its transneuronal transport property, demonstrated at the ultrastructural level (Itaya and Van Hoesen 1982), this tracer has been widely used in studies of retino-thalamo-cortical projections in a variety of species, including rats (Gerfen et al. 1982; Itaya and Van Hoesen 1982; Kageyama et al. 1990), cats (Anderson et al. 1988; Olavarria 2001), ferret (Ruthazer et al. 1999; White et al. 1999), and monkeys (Horton and Hocking 1996). Moreover, cortical patterns of WGA-HRP labeling interdigitate with patterns labeled from the opposite eye with tritiated proline—another widely used transneuronal tracer—in cats (Hata and Stryker 1994) and monkeys (Horton and Hocking 1996), providing evidence that WGA-HRP patterns represent ODCs. A total volume of 6 μL of 3% WGA-HRP in saline was pressure injected over ~15 min through glass micropipettes (50–100 μm tip diameter). The injection site was ~2.0 mm posterior to the corneal limbus, at a depth of ~2.0 mm. Callosal connections were labeled following multiple intracortical injections of either horseradish peroxidase...
midline suture, and 0.0 tex. The tracers were pressure injected through glass micropipettes retrogradely (Katz et al. 1984), while BDA (10 kDa) is transported mainly anterogradely, although some retrograde labeling may occur (Reiner et al. 2000). The fluorescent tracer RB is transported retrogradely (Katz et al. 1984).

For all intracortical tracers used, evenly spaced injections (0.2 μL each) were delivered at a depth of ~800–1000 μm in occipital cortex. The tracers were pressure injected through glass micropipettes (50–100 μm tip diameter) over an area extending ~2–7 mm lateral to midline suture, and 0–6.0 mm anterior to lambda suture. During the tracer injections, the dura was kept intact and moist with saline. Following the injections, the bone chip was repositioned and the skin was sutured by planes.

Four days following intracortical injections of WGA-HRP and 2–3 days following intracortical injections of anatomical tracers (HRP, BDA, or RB) animals were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (100 mg/kg i.p.) and perfused through the heart with 0.9% saline, 4% PFA in 0.1 M PB, 20% sucrose solution for ~1 additional hour. Tissue blocks to be sectioned in the coronal plane were kept in 4% PFA at 4°C overnight. Hybridized sections were washed by successive immersion in wash buffer 1 (2 × SSC, 50% formamide, 0.1% NLS, 60°C; 20 min, twice), RNase A buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, 10 mM ethylenediamine-N,N,N’,N’-tetraacetic acid [EDTA], 500 mM NaCl; pH 8.0) containing 20 μg/mL RNase A (37°C, 30 min), 2 × SSC/0.1% NLS (37°C, 20 min) and 0.2 × SSC/0.1% NLS (37°C, 15 min). Hybridization signals were visualized with alkaline phosphatase (AP) immunohistochemical staining using a DIG detection kit (Roche Diagnostics). Sections were mounted onto glass slides, dehydrated through a graded series of increasing ethanol concentrations followed by xylene, and then coverslipped with Permount.

**Electrophysiology**

Electrophysiological recordings were performed under urethane anesthesia (1200 mg/kg i.p.) supplemented with ketamine (76 mg/kg i.m.). Atropine sulfate (0.1 mg/kg i.p.) was used to reduce tracheal secretion, and dexamethasone (0.001 mg/g s.c.) to prevent brain edema. Body temperature was maintained at 37°C with a heating pad. Pups were diluted with atropine sulfate ophthalmic solution (1%, Bausch and Lomb), and artificial tears (polyethylene glycol eye drops) and silicone oil were used to protect the corneas and the cerebral cortex, respectively. An area of occipital cortex extending from 2 to 7 mm in the mediolateral direction, and from the lambda suture to 5 mm in the anteroposterior direction was exposed leaving the dura intact. Multunit activity was recorded with glass-insulated tungsten electrodes (1–2 MΩ; FHC) oriented perpendicularly to the cortical surface at depths of ~400–600 μm. Signals were filtered and amplified with conventional electrophysiological instrumentation, displayed on an oscilloscope and an audio monitor, and stored in a computer. Visual stimuli consisted of hand-held light bars either flashed or swept across the visual field at a distance of 30 cm from the rat’s eyes. Each eye was stimulated separately while the nonstimulated eye was covered. Visual responses elicited from an eye ceased when the eye was covered, indicating that covering of the eyes is effective in preventing visual stimulation. Electrode penetrations, spaced at least 200 μm apart, were arranged in a grid over the lateral half of V1. At each recording site, the ocular preference of the multitunit activity was rated on the Hubel and Wiesel’s 1–7 scale (Hubel and Wiesel 1962), according to which responses in ocular dominance Group 1 were driven only by the contralateral eye while responses in ocular dominance Group 7 were driven only by the ipsilateral eye. Binocular responses were rated in between, with 4 indicating about equal responsiveness to both eyes.

**In Situ Hybridization (ISH) for Zif268**

In 3 rats, monocular injections of tetrodotoxin (TTX) were administered under general anesthesia induced with a mixture of ketamine (60–90 mg/kg) and xylazine (6–9 mg/kg), and maintained with isoflurane (1–5%). A total volume of 5 μL of TTX (1 mM) was slowly injected into the vitreous of the left eye with a Hamilton Syringe fitted with a glass pipette. Rats recovered and moved freely in their home cages for a period of 24 h, after which time they were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine followed by an overdose of pentobarbital (120 mg/kg) and perfused intracardially with 4% PFA in 0.1 M PB. The cortical mantles were separated from the brain and flattened. The tissue was postfixed overnight in 4% PFA/30% sucrose/PB at 4°C and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose/PB at 4°C for 1–2 additional nights. Once frozen, the flattened tissue was cut tangentially to the pial surface on a sliding microtome at 60 μm thickness. The sections were kept in a cryoprotectant solution (30% glycerol, 30% ethylene glycol, 40% 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline) at ~20°C.

**Preparation of Probes**

Plasmids with inserts of specific sequences to rat Zif268 and RORβ were prepared with the conventional TA-cloning technique. The sequences of primer sets to clone rat Zif268 were cccaggacaattggaattgc (forward) and aagggccacagactgtaac (reverse), targeting 1878–2678 of NM_001964 including primers. Those for RORβ were aagagcaacagttggagag (forward) and gtcagagctgcctgag (reverse), targeting 278–957 of XM_001098940. The sequences were amplified by RT-PCR from rat whole-brain cDNA (Zyagen, San Diego, CA) and inserted into pCRII-TOPO plasmid vectors (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Those plasmids were amplified by transfecting into competent cells (E. coli) (Invitrogen), and purified. DIGoxigenin (DIG)-labeled antisense and sense riboprobes were prepared from the plasmids using a DIG–dUTP labeling kit (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). RNA Probes were then purified with ProbeQuant G-50 Micro Columns (GE Healthcare Life Science, Pittsburgh, PA).

**Colorimetric ISH**

Free-floating sections were soaked in 4% PFA/0.1 M PB (pH 7.4) overnight at 4°C, permeabilized with 0.3% Triton-X for 20 min at room temperature and treated with 3 μg/mL proteinase K for 30 min at 37°C. After acetylation, the sections were incubated in hybridization buffer (5x standard saline citrate [SSC]: 150 mM NaCl, 15 mM Na citrate, pH 7.0), 50% formamide, 2% blocking reagent (Roche Diagnostics), 0.1% N-lauroylsarcosine (NLS), 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 20 mM maleic acid buffer; pH 7.5) containing 1.0 μg/mL DIG-labeled riboprobe at 60°C overnight. Hybridized sections were washed by successive immersion in wash buffer 1 (2 × SSC, 50% formamide, 0.1% NLS; 60°C, 20 min, twice), RNase A buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, 10 mM ethylenediamine-N,N,N’,N’-tetraacetic acid [EDTA], 500 mM NaCl; pH 8.0) containing 20 μg/mL RNase A (37°C, 30 min), 2 × SSC/0.1% NLS (37°C, 20 min) and 0.2 × SSC/0.1% NLS (37°C, 15 min). Hybridization signals were visualized by alkaline phosphatase (AP) immunohistochemical staining using a DIG detection kit (Roche Diagnostics). Sections were mounted onto glass slides, dehydrated through a graded series of increasing ethanol concentrations followed by xylene, and then coverslipped with Permount.
hemisphere opposite to the recordings, 1 mm lateral to the midline, 3 mm deep, and extending posteriorly from 3 to 6 mm measured from the bregma suture. Rats with and without callosal transection were perfused immediately at the end of the recording sessions, and the recorded hemispheres were flattened for tangential sectioning. The callosotomy was inspected in coronal sections and only cases with a complete transection of the splenium were analyzed. In 4 rats, cortical cytoarchitecture and visual responsiveness was assessed in the HRP injected hemisphere 2–3 days after the injections. Finally, in 2 additional rats, the splenium was transected before the HRP injections, and care was taken to prevent HRP from spilling into the cut. Two days later the animals were perfused and the presence of HRP labeling in both hemispheres was analyzed in coronal sections.

**Data Acquisition and Analysis**

**Identifying the Border of V1 in Anatomical Tracer Experiments**

The cytoarchitectonic criteria typically used to identify the border of V1 in coronal sections (Krieg 1946; Caviness 1975; Zilles et al. 1980) cannot be easily applied in tangential sections. We therefore used the myelination pattern, which can be readily displayed in tangential sections. Previous studies show that cytoarchitectonic and myeloarchitectonic criteria for identifying V1 are in close agreement (e.g., Olavarria and Van Sluyters 1984). Before histochemical processing for anatomical tracers, unstained tangential sections were scanned to identify the myelin pattern (Richter and Warner 1974; Laing et al. 2012). In these images, the transition from dense myelination in V1 to reduced myelination at the border of V1 was delineated with the aid of the filter “trace contour” in Adobe Photoshop CS5 (Adobe Systems, CA) (Fig. 1). The myelin pattern was optimally displayed in tangential sections passing through Layer 4 (~500–650 µm deep) and was confirmed in 2 or more sections from the same animal (Fig. 1). Further information for identifying the border of V1 was provided by the relationship that is known to exist between this border and distinct features of the callosal pattern in V1 (Olavarria and Van Sluyters 1985; Laing et al. 2012).

Following histological processing for anatomical tracers, digital images of labeling patterns were obtained by scanning the sections at 2400 dpi using an Epson 4990 scanner. The distribution of callosal cells labeled retrogradely with the fluorescent tracer RB was analyzed using a microscope equipped with a motorized stage (LEPCO) controlled by a Dell XPS T500 computer and a graphic system (Neurolucida, MicroBrightField, Williston, VT). High-magnification images of anatomical tracer labeling or cortical cytoarchitecture were obtained using a DMR Leica microscope coupled to a Leica DC 300F digital camera.

---

**Figure 1.** Ipsilateral eye dominance domains in V1 demonstrated by transneuronal transport of WGA-HRP. (A,B) Tangential sections from 2 rats show dark patches of densely labeled axonal terminations. The black lines indicate the border of V1 as determined from the myelin pattern (see Materials and Methods). Dashed lines delineate the subdivision of V1 into medial (MS), central (CS), and lateral (LS) segments. (C,D) Myelin patterns from 2 tangential sections taken at the level of Layer 4 (~500 and 540 µm deep, respectively) from case shown in A. Arrows indicate the borders of V1 and Area 18a as determined from the myelin pattern. An area of dense myelination corresponding to primary auditory cortex [A] is visible lateral to Area 18a. (C) Contours of Area V1 delineated from the myelin patterns in C and D using the filter “trace contour” in Adobe Photoshop (see Materials and Methods). These contours were used to draw the border of V1 in A. Black dots mark blood vessels that are also indicated in A, C, D (white arrowheads). Note that panel A is slightly rotated clockwise with respect to panels C–E. (F) Subcortical WGA-HRP labeling in case shown in A. Coronal sections through the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN; top image), and superior colliculus (SC; bottom image) illustrating the contralateral (left), and ipsilateral (right) WGA-HRP labeling patterns. Dorsal is up. Scale bars = 1.0 mm.
Digitized images of anatomical tracer and myelin labeling patterns obtained from each animal were carefully aligned with each other in Adobe Photoshop, using the border of V1, the edges of the sections, radial blood vessels and other fiducial marks as reference. Specific labeling patterns were reconstructed by merging series of carefully aligned neighboring sections. Noise and high pass filters were applied to these patterns to remove gradual changes in staining density across the entire image. Thresholded version of these patterns was then prepared, making sure that they accurately represented the labeling pattern observed in the tissue sections. Quantitative comparisons of anatomical features were evaluated with Student’s t-test using Bonferroni corrections as needed (significance set at \( P < 0.01 \)). Area measurements were not corrected for potential tissue shrinkage.

The patterns of eye-specific WGA-HRP labeling and callosal connections were reconstructed from series of tangential sections and correlated with each other as described previously (Olavarria 2001). The distribution of callosal labeling was compared with thresholded images of the patterns of eye-specific domains, and the \( \chi^2 \) test (1 df, significance set at \( P < 0.01 \)) was used to test whether the distribution of callosal connections in both eye-specific domains was different from chance. Counts of RB-labeled cells were estimated by dividing the total pixel count for scored RB cells by the average number of pixels in a single cell. When callosal connections were labeled with BDA, \( \chi^2 \) was calculated after the analyzed region was divided into 100 equal units.

In the ISH experiments, V1 was identified using the silver procedure for myelin fibers (Gallyas 1979) in a set of tangential sections. The location of Layer 4 was identified by the high expression of ROR \( \beta \) mRNA (Hirokawa et al. 2008). Images of myelin and Zif268 expression patterns were captured with a Nikon Eclipse E800M microscope using a high-density CCD color digital camera, DXM1200F (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The brightness and contrast of the images were adjusted using Photoshop CS3 Extended (Adobe Systems, CA), and the overall patterns of Zif268 mRNA expression in both hemispheres were reconstructed from 3–5 tangential sections by carefully aligning consecutive sections guided by radial blood vessels and tissue edges.

In the electrode recording experiments, the locations of recording sites with reference to local blood vessels were marked on digital images of the intact cortical surface taken at the beginning of the recording sessions. These images were later correlated with images of the most superficial tangential sections, and the recording sites were matched to the pattern of electrode penetrations observed in these sections. Superficial sections were aligned with deeper sections using the pattern of penetrating blood vessels, section borders, and other landmarks. Following histological processing, the recording sites were assigned to specific regions in V1 that were identified by the patterns of HRP-labeled callosal connections and myelination. Only penetrations judged to be oriented perpendicularly to the cortical surface were analyzed. To evaluate the ocular preference of responses within each of the analyzed regions, a contralateral bias index (CBI) was calculated using the formula:

\[
CBI = \frac{(n_1 - n_7) + 2/3(n_2 - n_6) + 1/3(n_3 - n_5) + N!}{2N}
\]

where \( N \) is the total number of recorded sites and \( nx \) is the number of sites in an ocular dominance group \( x \). This index ranges from 0.0 to 1.0, values that indicate complete dominance by the ipsilateral or the contralateral eye, respectively. CBIs for the cortical regions analyzed in intact brains were compared using Bonferroni-corrected repeated measures Student’s \( t \)-tests (significance set at \( P < 0.01 \)). CBIs from intact and callosotomized brains were compared using Bonferroni-corrected independent samples Student’s \( t \)-tests (significance set at \( P < 0.01 \)). Figures were prepared using Adobe Photoshop CS5 and all imaging processing used, including contrast enhancement and intensity level adjustments, were applied to the entire images.

**Results**

**Input From the Ipsilateral Eye Forms Separate Patches In Rat Primary Visual Cortex**

Our preliminary observation of callosal patches in V1 led us to hypothesize that input from the ipsilateral eye is segregated into specific domains in the rat, and that these domains correlate with callosal patches, as occurs in the cat (Olavarria 2001, 2002). To test whether inputs from the ipsilateral eye form eyespecific domains in V1, we injected WGA-HRP into one eye and examined the resulting pattern of retino-thalamo-cortical input to V1. In the hemisphere ipsilateral to the injected eye, labeling was found in discrete patches located within a restricted portion, \(~1.0\) mm wide, of V1 (Figs 1A,B, 2). However, in all cases analyzed, we observed that WGA-HRP labeling was absent or markedly reduced in a narrow strip of cortex, \(~0.22\) mm in width, that directly adjoined the lateral border of area 17. To aid in the identification of the layer distribution of

**Figure 2.** Patterns of transneuronal WGA-HRP labeling at different depths in V1 following an injection of the enzyme into the ipsilateral eye. (A) Myelin pattern in section cut at \(~540\) \( \mu \)m deep. Arrows indicate border of V1. (B–E) The pattern of WGA-HRP labeling was most distinct in sections through Layer 4 (C, 480 \( \mu \)m) and less distinct in sections through lower Layer 3 (B, 360 \( \mu \)m). The pattern was weak or not visible at the level of layer 5 (D, 720 \( \mu \)m), but it was again visible in sections through Layer 6 (E, 900 \( \mu \)m). The labeling pattern in sections through Layer 6 resembled the pattern observed in sections through Layer 4 suggesting that they are in register. Scale bar = 1.0 mm.
WGA-HRP labeling observed in tangential sections, we also visualized the labeling pattern in coronal sections (see Supplementary Fig. 1) (see also Gerfen et al. 1982; Itaya and Van Hoesen 1982; Kageyama et al. 1990). The WGA-labeling patterns illustrated in Figure 1A, B are from sections cut at the level of Layer 4, where the pattern is most distinct (see also Fig. 2C, see Supplementary Fig. 1). Labeling was also seen in sections through lower layer 3 (Fig. 2B, see Supplementary Fig. 1), but the pattern was less distinct. In deeper sections, the pattern was weak or not visible at the level of Layer 5 (Fig. 2D and see Supplementary Fig. 1), but it was again visible in sections through Layer 6 (Fig. 2E and see Supplementary Fig. 1). The labeling pattern in sections through Layer 6 resembled the pattern observed in sections through Layer 4 (cf. Fig. 2C,E), suggesting that patterns of geniculate input to both layers are in register with each other, as occurs in the cat (LeVay and Gilbert 1976).

### Tripartite Subdivision of V1

Based on the pattern of ipsilateral transneuronal WGA-HRP labeling described above, we recognized 3 main subdivisions within V1: 1) The Central Segment (CS), defined as the area containing the ipsilateral eye-specific domains (outlined by dashed lines in Fig. 1A, B). We estimate that the surface area occupied by ipsilateral eye domains is about one-third of the total surface area of the CS (see below). 2) The medial segment (MS), located medial to the CS, presumably represents the peripheral monocoulor visual field. 3) The lateral segment (LS), located between the CS and the lateral border of V1.

Examination of WGA-HRP labeling in the superior colliculus (SC) and dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (dLGN) showed the typical patterns of retinal projections to these nuclei in the rat (Fig. 1F). Ipsilateral to the injected eye, labeling was restricted to one or more densely labeled patches in dorsomedial regions of the dLGN, while contralaterally the labeling was uniformly dense throughout the dLGN, except for a small dorsomedial region that presumably corresponds to the ipsilateral eye recipient zone. Similarly, weak, patchy input was seen in the ipsilateral SC, while dense, uniform labeling occupied the contralateral SC. These observations make it unlikely that the patchy distribution of ipsilateral eye input in V1 is due to obvious anomalies in retino-thalamic projections, or incomplete or uneven uptake of the tracer by retinal ganglion cells.

### Patchy Callosal Connections In Rat Primary Visual Cortex

Previous studies report that the distribution of callosal connections in visual cortex peaks at the border region between areas 17 and 18a and extends some distance into these areas (e.g., Cusick and Lund 1981; Olavarria and Van Sluyters 1985). However, in preliminary experiments we observed that callosal connections in V1 are often markedly inhomogeneous in the tangential plane. In the present study we carefully examined the distribution of callosal cells and fibers in V1 as revealed by multiple, evenly spaced intracortical injections of several anatomical tracers, including HRP (Fig. 3A, B, Supplementary Fig. 2A), BDA (Fig. 3C), or RB (Supplementary Fig. 2B). We observed that, independent of the tracer used, 2 callosal regions could be readily recognized in tangential sections through Area V1 in the hemisphere opposite to the injections: a medial callosal region, ~1.0 mm wide at the widest part, where callosal connections typically formed distinct, densely labeled patches of different shapes and sizes (arrows in Fig. 3A–C), and a lateral region, indicated by the dashed line in Figure 3A–C, where callosal connections form a band ~0.22 mm wide abutting the border of V1. In most rats examined, this band was homogeneously labeled throughout its anteroposterior extent, but occasionally the labeling was less dense at one or 2 discrete sites. By its location and width, the lateral callosal band corresponds closely with the LS described above (cf. Figs 1 and 3; see below). In agreement with previous descriptions of the laminar distribution of labeled callosal connections (e.g., Olavarria and Van Sluyters 1985), we observed that the callosal pattern was apparent in tangential sections through Layers 2–6, although it appeared somewhat sharper at depths that likely correspond to Layer 4 (~500 μm deep). The patchy appearance of the callosal pattern in tangential sections is not likely due to incomplete or uneven infiltration and transcallosal transport of the tracers because the dLGN ipsilateral to the cortical injections was uniformly labeled with the tracer used in the experiments (see Supplementary Fig. 3A).

As noted above, both the patchy medial region and the lateral band were observed in the patterns of callosal labeling regardless of the tracer used. At higher magnification, retrogradely labeled somas and dendritic branches were observed with HRP (Fig. 3D–G and Supplementary Fig. 2A), retrogradely labeled somas were observed with RB (see Supplementary Fig. 2B), while dense networks of labeled fibers, likely including axonal fibers and terminations, and some dendritic branches, were observed with BDA (Fig. 3H). These observations are in agreement with previous descriptions of the transport properties of HRP (Trojanowski et al. 1981), BDA (Reiner et al. 2000), and RB (Katz et al. 1984), and confirm that regions giving rise and receiving visual callosal connections are in close spatial register in Rat V1 (e.g., Cusick and Lund 1981; Olavarria and Van Sluyters 1985).

### Ipsilateral-Eye Domains Correlate With Callosal Patches In Rat V1

The pattern of ipsilateral eye domains resembled the pattern of callosal patches in the medial callosal region. Patches of ipsilateral eye input and of callosal connections tended to be circular or oval in shape, and often the largest patches were located at midanteroposterior levels (Figs 1 and 3). However, more complex shapes that sometimes appeared to be formed by the fusion of nearby patches were also observed. Callosal and ipsilateral eye patches were arranged anteroposteriorly into either single or double strings of patches (Figs 1, 3 and 5).

To assess the similarity between patches of callosal connections and of ipsilateral eye input quantitatively, we measured the size and number of callosal patches and ipsilateral eye domains in different group of rats. On average, ipsilateral eye domains analyzed in 7 rats measured 0.12 mm² (SEM = 0.0082 mm²) in size, and their number ranged from 7 to 12 (M = 9.3, SEM = 0.81). Callosal patches analyzed in 7 rats measured 0.12 mm² (SEM = 0.0084 mm²) in size and their number ranged from 6 to 12 (M = 8.0, SEM = 0.76). We found no significant differences between ipsilateral eye domains and callosal patches when size of patches (P = 0.68) and number of patches (P = 0.27) were compared across groups (Fig. 4A, B, respectively). Furthermore, when the surface area occupied by ipsilateral eye domains (33.9 ± 0.06%) and callosal patches (34.6 ± 0.02%) was...
compared in the CS (Fig. 4C), no significant difference was observed ($P = 0.96$).

To test the hypothesis that ipsilateral eye domains are spatially correlated with callosal patches, we labeled both projections in the same animal. Figure 5 illustrates results from 2 animals that received an intraocular injection of WGA-HRP into the right eye, and intracortical injections of either RB (Fig. 5A–D) or BDA (Fig. 5E–H) into the left hemisphere. In the first case, the pattern of ipsilateral eye-specific domains is shown in panels A and B, while the pattern of callosal connections labeled with RB is shown in panel C. These patterns are superimposed in panel D, with the areas of overlap colored yellow. Similarly, in the second case Panels E and F show the ipsilateral eye-specific domains, and panel G shows the pattern of callosal connections labeled with BDA. Panel H shows the superimposition of both patterns, with the areas of

**Figure 3.** Patchy callosal connections demonstrated in tangential sections through the right V1 following multiple intracortical injections of HRP (A,B) or BDA (C) into the left hemisphere. The black lines indicate the border of V1. Dark areas in lateral V1 correspond to dense accumulations of transported tracer. This labeled callosal region consists of a series of patches located medially (arrows in A–C) and a densely labeled band adjacent to the lateral border of V1 (dashed lines in A–C). Panels D and E are higher-magnification views of the callosal patches within the boxes in A and B, respectively, and the boxes in D and E are shown at higher magnification in F and G, respectively. Higher-magnification views of HRP-labeled regions show primarily retrogradely labeled somas (dark dots in D and E) and finer labeling likely contained in axons and dendrites (Trojanowski et al. 1981). Arrows in F and G indicate retrogradely HRP-labeled somas. Panel H is a high-magnification view of the BDA-labeling within the box in C, and it illustrates that BDA (10 kDa) primarily labels fibers (black arrowheads) that likely transported BDA anterogradely, and occasionally somas and dendrites (arrows) (Reiner et al. 2000). A: anterior, L: lateral. Scale bar in C = 1.0 mm; in D–H = 100 μm.
overlapped colored yellow. In both rats, callosal patches were significantly correlated with ipsilateral eye-specific domains in the areas analyzed (outlined by dashed gray lines in Fig. 5D, H) ($\chi^2$, $P < 0.01$). Note that the area analyzed excludes the LS, where callosal connections typically form a homogeneous band. Similar results were obtained in 2 other rats in which callosal connections were studied following multiple injections of RB ($\chi^2$, $P < 0.01$). These results show that callosal patches are in spatial correlation with the ipsilateral eye domains, as it occurs in the cat (Olavarria 2001). In addition, they show that the lateral, homogeneously labeled band of callosal connections overlaps with the LS (indicated by the black dashed line in Fig. 5).

**Areas of Reduced Contralateral Eye Input In V1 Correlate With Callosal Patches**

We next investigated whether contralateral eye input to the CS occupies territories that are complementary to, and interdigitated with, the ipsilateral eye domains. If so, one would expect that contralateral eye input would be reduced or absent in areas of ipsilateral eye input. Moreover, since ipsilateral eye domains overlap with callosal patches, areas of reduced contralateral eye input should also correlate with patches of callosal connections.

Contralateral WGA-HRP labeling was densely distributed throughout V1 except for small areas of reduced labeling in the CS ($n = 3$). Further, intracortical injections of tracers in the opposite hemisphere of the same animals revealed that the areas of reduced contralateral labeling were significantly correlated with patches of callosal input. Figure 6 illustrates results from the right hemisphere of an animal that received an intraocular injection of WGA-HRP into the left eye, and intracortical injections of BDA into the left hemisphere. Areas of reduced contralateral eye input (Fig. 6A) are outlined in green in Figure 6B, while BDA-labeled callosal regions in the same hemisphere are shown in Figure 6C (red outline). In Figure 6D, the outline of the callosal pattern (red line) is superimposed to a thresholded representation of the pattern of WGA-HRP labeling, in which the areas of reduced labeling appear white. Analysis of these data shows that callosal patches are significantly correlated with areas of reduced contralateral eye input in the CS ($\chi^2$, $P < 0.01$). Plots of the labeling density (Fig. 6D) show that the increase in the density of callosal labeling (red trace) corresponds precisely with a reduction of input from the contralateral eye (green trace). These results provide anatomical evidence of partial segregation between contralateral and ipsilateral eye input in the CS. In addition, they show that the LS receives strong input from the contralateral eye, and confirm data in Figure 5 illustrating that the LS overlaps with a dense band of callosal connections in lateral V1.

In summary, our anatomical data provide evidence that the CS receives largely segregated input from both eyes, while the MS and LS are exclusively or primarily innervated by direct projections from the contralateral eye. In addition, our analysis of the correlation between retino-thalamo-cortical projections and callosal connections indicates that callosal patches in the CS overlap with ipsilateral eye domains, while the lateral callosal band overlaps with contralateral eye input to the LS.

**Functional Segregation of Contralateral and Ipsilateral Eye Domains in V1 Demonstrated With ISH for Zif268**

Do the patterns of eye-specific inputs demonstrated anatomically result in neural responses in V1 that are also eye-specific? We addressed this question by analyzing the patterns of expression of the immediate early gene Zif268, which is rapidly and transiently induced by neuronal activity (Sheng and Greenberg 1990; Chaudhuri et al. 1995, 1997; Markstahler et al. 1998; Caleo et al. 1999; Horton et al. 2000; Takahata et al. 2009, 2014; van Brussel et al. 2009). Previous analysis of Zif268 mRNA expression after monocular inactivation with either intraocular injections of TTX, monocular deprivation or enucleation have revealed Zif268 activation patterns that closely resemble the patterns of ODCs demonstrated with anatomical methods (e.g., Chaudhuri et al. 1995, 1997; Horton et al. 2000; Takahata et al. 2009, 2014). We therefore used Zif268 induction to map functional activation driven by each eye in V1 and compared the resulting maps with anatomical patterns of geniculocortical projections. Studies using coronal sections have reported that the basal expression of Zif268 is relatively high in V1 of normally behaving rats, and that labeling is visible in all layers, except Layer 1, but most
prominently in Layers 4 and 6 and least densely in Layer 3 and 5 (Schlingensiepen et al. 1991; Caleo et al. 1999).

In 3 rats, TTX was injected into one eye to down regulate the expression of Zif268 mRNA in territories innervated by that eye. We observed a striking similarity between the patterns of Zif268 activation within V1 and the distribution of eye-specific domains revealed by the transneuronal transport of WGA-HRP (cf. Figs 1 and 7B). In the hemisphere ipsilateral to the active eye (Fig. 7B), strong Zif268 activation was restricted to a number of distinct, densely labeled patches resembling those revealed by WGA-HRP labeling. The size of Zif268 patches measured in 3 rats was not significantly different from the size of patches labeled with WGA-HRP ($P = 0.46$). Moreover, patches labeled by the 2 methods were restricted to the CS.

Equally striking was the similarity between the Zif268 staining pattern observed in the hemisphere contralateral to the active eye and the pattern of WGA-HRP transport from the contralateral eye. Here, the pattern of Zif268 activation was strong throughout V1 except in a number of small patches in the CS that, judging by their location and number, likely correspond to regions innervated by the inactivated ipsilateral eye (Fig. 7A). In both hemispheres, the patterns of Zif268 labeling in V1 were more distinct at the levels of Layer 4 (Fig. 7F) and 6 (Fig. 7H) than at the levels of Layers 2–3 (Fig. 7D) and 5 (Fig. 7G). Finally, consistent with previous studies in normal rats (Caleo et al. 1999), in a control animal we observed a strong and homogenous pattern of Zif268 throughout V1, without obvious periodicity in labeling density (Fig. 8). The sense probes detected no signals stronger than background (Fig. 8B).

It is interesting to note that while the active eye induced strong Zif268 expression in the contralateral LS (Fig. 7A), it failed to induce obvious Zif268 expression in the ipsilateral LS (Fig. 7B). These results were unexpected because they suggest that the binocular zone does not extend to the lateral border of V1 as is commonly believed. Instead, our results provide evidence that the LS in hooded rats is predominantly monocular.
Electrophysiological Mapping of Ocular Dominance Preference in Normal and Callosotomized Rats

Our analysis of the patterns of Zif268 activation in rats monocularly injected with TTX suggests that the functional patterns of eye-specific representation in V1 are relayed through eye-specific projections demonstrated with WGA-HRP. However, we showed that callosal patches colocalize with ipsilateral eye domains revealed with WGA-HRP, and that the LS overlaps with a dense, homogeneous band of callosal connections. An obvious question is whether both the ipsilateral eye-driven activity observed in the CS and the contralateral eye-driven activity observed in the LS are relayed through the callosum, rather than through direct retino-thalamo-cortical projections. We addressed this question by recording visual responses in V1 electrophysiologically, both in intact rats and in rats that underwent callosotomy at the beginning of the recording session (see Materials and Methods).

In both intact and callosotomized rats, electrode penetrations orthogonal to the brain surface were arranged in a grid over the lateral half of V1. The multiunit activity driven by visual stimulation of each eye was compared at each site and the ocular preference was rated on the Hubel and Wiesel's scale (Hubel and Wiesel 1962). Two or 3 days prior to the recordings, these rats received intracortical injections of HRP in order to label the callosal connections in the recorded hemisphere. This allowed correlating the electrode penetrations with eye-specific regions identified in the callosal pattern in V1. Intraocular injections of WGA-HRP were not used to identify these regions in order to avoid the risk of retinal damage, which could have interfered with the recording of evoked responses from the injected eye.

In both experimental groups, electrode penetrations were assigned to one of 3 groups. In the CS, penetrations that were located outside callosal patches were grouped as "interpatch", penetrations that were located within callosal patches were grouped as "on patch". The third group consisted of penetrations that fell within the LS. Penetrations that could not be assigned to one of these regions with certainty were not included. Figure 9A,B illustrates an experiment performed in a rat with intact callosum, in which the location of recording sites (white, black dots in A, B, respectively) were identified with reference to the callosal pattern in the same animal. These data illustrate that penetrations within patches tended to have higher Hubel and Wiesel scores (stronger ipsilateral eye responses) than those falling either between patches or within the LS.

Data pooled from all penetrations assigned to each cortical region show that in both intact and callosotomized rats the binocularity assessed using the scale of Hubel and Wiesel (1962) changed markedly depending on the region that was recorded.
These data were analyzed by comparing the CBIs for each region in both intact and callosotomized rats (Fig. 9E). Consistent with our functional analysis using ISH for Zif268 (Fig. 7), in intact rats we found that CBIs were significantly higher on interpatch (M = 0.88, SEM = 0.02, n = 12) and LS (M = 0.93, SEM = 0.03, n = 9) regions when compared to CBIs for patches (M = 0.51, SEM = 0.04, n = 11) (P < 0.01, Bonferroni-corrected repeated measures Student’s t-tests). No significant differences were found between the interpatch region and LS (P > 0.01). In callosotomized rats we found that the region-specific CBIs (interpatch: M = 0.85, SEM = 0.05, n = 7; LS: M = 0.96, SEM = 0.02, n = 9; patch: M = 0.51, SEM = 0.03, n = 12) were no significantly different from the corresponding values in intact rats (P > 0.01, Bonferroni-corrected independent
logical methods. Although recordings within ipsilateral eyes possessed with both ISH for Zif268 expression and electrophysiological data were collected from these 2 rats. These results provide evidence that the ocular dominance preference assessed within callosal regions in the CS and LS does not depend primarily on activity relayed through the callosal commissure.

A possible confound of our callosotomy test is that the HRP injections may cause extensive cortical damage, essentially obliterating callosal input to the recorded hemisphere in the control group. To address this possibility, we examined the cytoarchitecture of V1 and cell responsiveness in 4 rats that received multiple, evenly spaced injections of HRP in visual cortex of one hemisphere. Recording from lateral V1 in the injected cortex was performed 2–3 days following the HRP injections. HRP labeling in the contralateral hemisphere and ipsilateral thalamus was revealed as in the experiments described above. We observed that cytoarchitectonic changes produced by the HRP injections were restricted to the injection tracks, without obvious changes in the surrounding cortex. Moreover, in all 4 rats stimuli-evoked cell responses in lateral V1 were comparable in strength to responses recorded from lateral V1 in a rat not injected with HRP (see Supplementary Fig. 3). These observations provide evidence that visual responsiveness was maintained in the HRP injected hemisphere.

In 2 additional rats, the splenium was transected before the intracortical injections of HRP. Strong HRP labeling was present in the cortex, thalamus and superior colliculus of the injected hemisphere, while no labeling was observed in visual cortex of the opposite hemisphere (Fig. 9F), confirming the effectiveness of our procedure for transecting the callosal commissure. No physiological data were collected from these 2 rats.

**Discussion**

Using a combination of anatomical tracers we have demonstrated clear patchiness in the distribution of eye-specific retino-thalamo-cortical projections and callosal connections in V1 of Long Evans rats. Moreover, we found that eye-specific and callosal patches bear a systematic relationship with each other, as well as with functional representations of each eye assessed with both ISH for Zif268 expression and electrophysiological methods. Although recordings within ipsilateral eye domains in V1 had significantly higher Hubel and Wiesel scores (stronger ipsilateral eye responses) than those falling either between patches or within the LS, sites exclusively dominated by the ipsilateral eye were relatively uncommon within ipsilateral domains (Fig. 9). These observations suggest that, as in the cat (Hubel and Wiesel 1962; Shatz et al. 1977), input from both eyes may overlap to some extent in Layer 4. In the rat this overlap may be more evident for penetrations within ipsilateral eye domains due to the small size of these domains compared with the size of contralateral eye domains within the CS.

**Previous Studies in Rodents and Ferrets**

Previous studies in rats using transneuronal techniques have not described eye-specific domains possibly because they sectioned the brain in the coronal plane, an approach that is not optimal for detecting the relatively small periodicities we describe (Gerfen et al. 1982; Itaya and Van Hoesen 1982; Itaya 1988; Kageyama et al. 1990). Moreover, most of these studies did not use Long Evans rats. Although the existence of cortical patches dominated by one eye has been suggested in studies using physiological techniques (Caleo et al. 1999) or changes in glucose metabolic activity (Thurlow and Cooper 1988), these studies were not primarily focused on this issue and did not attempt to demonstrate eye-specific segregation with anatomical methods. Caleo et al. (1999) revealed the pattern of Zif268 immunostaining in rat visual cortex after monocular injections of TTX, but they do not report the existence of patchy staining in the binocular region, possibly because they displayed the labeling data as revealed in single coronal sections. Finally, a small-scale (<100 μm) honeycomb pattern has been described at the Layer 1–2 border in visual cortex of Wistar albino rats (Ichinohe et al. 2003), but its relationship with the patterns of thalamic or callosal projections described here, if any, remains to be investigated.

In mice, no cortical organization related to ocular dominance has been reported by studies using various techniques (Dräger 1974; Antonini et al. 1999; Kalatsky and Stryker 2003; Mrsic-Flogel et al. 2007; van Brussel et al. 2009). However, a study in knockout mice lacking the transmembrane glycoprotein...
Figure 9. Electrophysiological mapping of ocular dominance preference in intact and callosotomized rats. (A) Pattern of callosal connections in the right V1 demonstrated after multiple injections of HRP into the opposite hemisphere in an intact rat. HRP-labeled cells in the region indicated by the box are shown at higher magnification in Supplementary Figure 2A. Speckles in medial regions of V1 represent reaction artifact. (B) Thresholded version of the callosal pattern. The dashed line in A and B indicates the approximate border between the CS and the LS, while the solid line indicates the border of V1. Dots in A and B indicate electrophysiological recording sites in the same animal. In B, the Hubel and Wiesel rating for ocular preference is indicated by the number next to the penetrations. (C,D) Recording sites were classified into 3 groups depending on whether they fell on a patch or interpatch regions of the CS, or within the LS. The histograms represent the percent of sites assigned to each of the 7 Hubel and Wiesel ocular dominance classes in each of these regions in intact (C) and callosotomized rats (D). The number of sites recorded in each region is indicated. (E) Comparison of contralateral bias indices (mean ± SEM) for the interpatch, patch, and LS regions in intact and callosotomized rats (see Results for statistical analysis). The number of rats analyzed in each group is indicated. (F) Effectiveness of the callosotomy procedure. The sections shown were taken 6.0 mm (back panel) and 4.2 mm (front panel) from bregma. White arrow shows transection of the corpus callosum. Black arrowheads indicate borders of V1. LGN = lateral geniculate nucleus, LP = lateral posterior nucleus. Scale bars = 1.0 mm.
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Ten-m3 showed interdigitation of ipsilateral and contralateral eye domains in V1 (Merlin et al. 2012). These authors hypothesize that segregation of eye domains is likely linked to abnormalities associated with the dramatic expansion of the ipsilateral projection. It will be interesting to determine to what extent the mechanisms mediating the segregation of eye domains in these mutant mice compare with those giving rise to the eye-specific segregation we have shown in normal, Long Evans rats.

The LS resembles a cortical strip in ferret V1 that adjoins the 17/18 border and receives subcortical input only from the contralateral eye (Ruthazer et al. 1999; White et al. 1999). The temporal retina in the ferret projects to both hemispheres (Vitek et al. 1985; Morgan et al. 1987), and the crossed projection likely innervates this continuous contralateral eye domain, conveying a representation of central portions of the ipsilateral visual field (White et al. 1999). The temporal retina in the rat also projects bilaterally (Lund et al. 1974; Reese and Cowey 1987), and the contralateral projection is thought to innervate a lateral strip in V1 (Lewis and Olavarria 1995). Our results are consistent with this interpretation and suggest that the LS is the target of projections from the contralateral temporal retina. Thus, the LS could be considered as a single contralateral eye domain that is likely homologous to the continuous contralateral eye band observed in ferret V1.

Comparison With Studies in the Cat
In the cat, callosal connections correlate with contralateral ODCs within a narrow strip known as the 17/18 transition zone (TZ), and with ipsilateral ODCs in regions of V1 located immediately medial to the TZ (Olavarria 2001, 2002; Alekseenko et al. 2005). Olavarria (1996) proposed that callosal linkages between these cortical regions are stabilized during development by influences delivered by bilateral projections from temporal retina. This mechanism would not only induce the formation of callosal patches, but also be responsible for the correlation of callosal patches with either contralateral or ipsilateral ODCs in different regions of V1 (see Fig. 9B in Olavarria 2001).

Since the temporal retina also projects bilaterally in the rat (Lund et al. 1974; Reese and Cowey 1987) it is possible that a similar mechanism operates in this rodent. Figure 10 illustrates our view of the relationship between retinal projections, eye-specific domains and point-to-point callosal connectivity (Lewis and Olavarria 1995) in Long Evans rats. The temporal retina of the right eye (colored dark gray) projects both to ipsilateral eye domains in the right CS (dark gray circular areas) and to the LS in the left hemisphere (dark gray strip). These cortical regions map the same sector of the left hemifield (colored dark gray) and are interconnected via callosal regions that overlap the dark gray circular areas in the CS of the right hemisphere, and the dark gray LS in the left hemisphere. Thus, as in the cat (Olavarria 2001, 2002), callosal connections in the rat correlate preferentially with ipsilateral eye domains in the CS and with contralateral eye input in the LS. Note that callosal connections link retinotopically matching, but anatomically nonmatching, locations (Lewis and Olavarria 1995; Olavarria 1996, 2001; Bosking et al. 2000; Olavarria and Hiroi 2003). Callosal commissure. Consistent with this diagram, split optic chiasm experiments in the cat indicate that callosal connections convey contralateral eye input to the TZ (Berlucchi and Rizzolatti 1968; Lepore and Guillemot 1982; Rochefort et al. 2007). In addition, Cerri et al. (2010) showed that callosal connections convey ipsilateral eye input to the binocular region in rat V1. These authors recorded from V1 after injecting TTX into the ipsilateral thalamus to block crossed and uncrossed retinal projections and reported that the inactivation primarily reduced the responses driven by the contralateral eye.

However, in agreement with previous studies in hooded rats (Adams and Forrester 1968; Silveira et al. 1989; but see Restani et al. 2009) and cat (Minciacchi and Antonini 1984), we found that section of the callosum did not significantly alter the ocular dominance map in the CS and LS, indicating that

![Diagram relating the patterns of retinal projections to eye-specific domains in V1 and to the callosal linkages established between these domains. For simplicity only projections from the right temporal (dark gray) and left nasal (light gray) retinas are shown and the geniculate relay stations have been omitted. This diagram summarizes our finding that callosal connections correlate preferentially with ipsilateral eye domains in the CS (dark gray circular areas in the right CS) and with contralateral eye input in the LS (dark gray strip at left). The pathway colored light gray illustrates that input from the left nasal retina to the right CS is distributed preferentially to noncallosally connected regions (light gray regions) surrounding the ipsilateral eye domains, being therefore unable to convey ipsilateral eye input transcortically to the left LS. This diagram helps explain our observation that LS in hooded rats is strongly dominated by the contralateral eye. Dashed line indicates the medial border of the CS, T, temporal; N, nasal; MS, medial segment; CS, central segment; LS, lateral segment. Diagram is based on our present results as well as previous studies of the point-to-point callosal connectivity in rat visual cortex (Lewis and Olavarria 1995; Olavarria and Hiroi 2003).](https://academic.oup.com/cercor/article-abstract/25/10/3314/383767)
binocularity at sites within callosal territories does not depend significantly on callosal input. Although at first sight these results appear to contradict the previous studies mentioned in the paragraph above, they are consistent with the hypothesis that callosal fibers link cortical sites that are under the influence of the same temporal retina (see Fig. 10), as well as with the prediction that section of the callosal commissure would not have a significant effect on binocularity in V1 (Olavarria 2001, 2002). As illustrated in Figure 10, callosal input to either the LS or to ipsilateral eye domains largely duplicates the direct retino-thalamo-cortical input to these cortical regions originating from the same temporal retina. Thus, either the callosal connections or the retino-thalamo-cortical projection would be able to sustain visual cortical activity when the other pathway is abolished.

### Why is the LS Largely Monocular?

Studies in albino rats (Diao et al. 1983) and sheep (Pettigrew et al. 1984) show that a region corresponding to the LS is highly binocular, and that input from the ipsilateral eye to this region comes through the callosum. In contrast, we found that the LS is strongly dominated by the contralateral eye in spite of the fact that the LS is richly innervated by the callosum. Why are callosal connections in hooded rats unable to relay indirect input from the ipsilateral eye to the LS? Figure 10 illustrates that the LS in the left hemisphere (dark gray strip) cannot receive transcalloal input from the retinotopically matched nasal retina in the ipsilateral eye (colored light gray) because the regions innervated by this eye in the contralateral, right CS (light gray areas) are largely acallosal. However, if both the light and dark gray territories were intermixed in the right CS, as it would occur in an animal without occular dominance domains, then input from the left hemiretina (light gray) arriving to the right CS would have access to the left LS through the callosum, leading to an increased binocularity in the left LS. Thus, our findings in Long Evans rats raise the possibility that albino rats and sheep lack segregated occular dominance domains in V1, a possibility that is consistent with our preliminary results in albino rats (Olavarria et al., unpublished data) and with previous findings in the sheep (Pettigrew et al. 1984). In this context, our observation that callosal connections do not relay significant input from the ipsilateral eye to the LS further supports our findings that eye-specific domains are functionally segregated in Long Evans rats.

In conclusion, we have shown that Long Evans rats have anatomically and functionally segregated eye-specific domains and patchy callosal connections. We also showed that patches of callosal connections correlate with specific sets of ocular dominance domains just as they do in the cat. These observations suggest that at least some aspects of the modular architecture of rat visual cortex develop according to principles observed in higher species. They also raise the possibility that modular architecture in visual cortex is more conserved among rodents, carnivores, and primates than previously thought.
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