Spatial Embryonic Origin Delineates GABAergic Hub Neurons Driving Network Dynamics in the Developing Entorhinal Cortex
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Abstract

Coordinated neuronal activity is essential for the development of cortical circuits. GABAergic hub neurons that function in orchestrating early neuronal activity through a widespread net of postsynaptic partners are therefore critical players in the establishment of functional networks. Evidence for hub neurons was previously found in the hippocampus, but their presence in other cortical regions remains unknown. We examined this issue in the entorhinal cortex, an initiation site for coordinated activity in the neocortex and for the activity-dependent maturation of the entire entorhinal–hippocampal network. Using an unbiased approach that identifies “driver hub neurons” displaying a high number of functional links in living slices, we show that while almost half of the GABAergic cells single-handedly influence network dynamics, only a subpopulation of cells born in the MGE and composed of somatostatin-expressing neurons located in infragranular layers, spontaneously operate as “driver” hubs. This indicates that despite differences in the origin of interneuron diversity, the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex share similar developmental mechanisms for the establishment of functional circuits.
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Introduction

The hippocampus and the 6-layered cortex share many common principles of circuit organization, are composed of related cellular archetypes, and display similar network dynamics. Accordingly, both structures are shaped by similar genetic and activity-dependent developmental programs. They share common pools of neuronal progenitors as well as a similar sequence for the emergence of correlated neuronal activities (Allene et al. 2008; Hébert and Fishell 2008; Egorov and Draguhn 2013). Both in the murine hippocampus and neocortex, GABAergic interneurons are known to participate in the emergence of a precise temporal sequence of distinct correlated neuronal activity patterns during early postnatal development (Crépel et al. 2007; Allene et al. 2008). Indeed, early coordinated neuronal activity in the form of giant depolarizing potentials (GDPs) is a hallmark of both hippocampal and neocortical developing networks (Ben-Ari et al. 1989; Ben-Ari 2002; Crépel et al. 2007; Allene et al. 2008). However, neocortical GDPs are...
preceded and shortly coexist with glutamate-driven early network oscillations (ENO) (Allene et al. 2008), indicating that the developmental schedules of the neocortex and the hippocampus also present region-specific characteristics, and suggesting a possibly stronger contribution of the glutamatergic cell population (Donato et al. 2017). In the CA3 region of the hippocampus, the coordination of GDPS has been demonstrated to be partly carried out by a major functional subset of early-born somatostatin-positive (Sat) GABAergic neurons called “hub” neurons (Bonifazi et al. 2009; Picardo et al. 2011; Villette et al. 2016). Hippocampal hub cells were identified as “functional hubs” because they were spontaneously and recurrently active at the onset of population bursts, giving them a high functional connectivity degree. However, these cells were also shown to be “driver hubs” since they were able to alter network synchronization when single-handledly activated (Cossart 2014; Wester and Mcbain 2016). Given that neuronal morphology, excitability, and connectivity are shaped by activity within both the hippocampus and neocortex (Lauri et al. 2003; Blankenship and Feller 2009; De Marco García et al. 2013), driver hub cells are potentially major participants in the assembly of cortical networks at early stages in both structures: not only can they affect network dynamics when stimulated (i.e., the driver attribute), but they also spontaneously coordinate neuronal synchronization in the absence of any external intervention (i.e., the hub attribute). Such distinction between “what a cell can do and what it actually does” is particularly relevant in the context of optogenetics and other means of cell-specific activity manipulations.

In the present study, we therefore asked whether driver hub neurons could also be identified in the developing entorhinal cortex. We chose to examine this issue in the entorhinal cortex for several reasons. First, the entorhinal cortex is the main interface between the hippocampus and neocortex and a critical component of the temporal lobe navigation and memory systems. Second, it displays a connectivity pattern that serves as a prototype for the transition between the 3-layered allocortex and the 6-layered neocortex, with layers I and IV being relatively free of neurons (Kerr et al. 2007; Canto and Witter 2012; Canto et al. 2008). Finally, the entorhinal cortex is an important initiation site for traveling calcium waves that spread through the entire cortex at early postnatal stages (Namiki et al. 2013). While it was recently shown that the entorhinal cortex provides an instructive signal for the activity-dependent maturation of the entire entorhinal–hippocampal network (Donato et al. 2017), the specific contribution of GABAergic circuits remains largely unexplored.

To investigate the role of GABAergic circuitry in the entorhinal–hippocampal network, we combined large scale 2-photon calcium imaging of multineuron dynamics in cortical slices with online functional connectivity analysis to identify functional hub cells without any a priori assumptions. These cells were then probed using patch-clamp recordings to identify driver hub cells capable of influencing network dynamics, as previously reported (Bonifazi et al. 2009; Picardo et al. 2011). We show that the developing entorhinal cortex includes functional hub neurons, and that these neurons can be either GABAergic or glutamatergic cells. Taking advantage of the 5-HT3aR-BAC-EGFP and Lhx6Cre:RCE transgenic mice that label nonoverlap- ing GABAergic neuron subpopulations exclusively originating from the caudal and medial ganglionic eminences, respectively (Fogarty et al. 2007; Batista-Brito and Fishell 2009), we further show that, independent of their spatial embryonic origin, almost half of the GABAergic neurons, but none of the glutamatergic cells, could single-handedly influence network dynamics when stimulated. However, driver hub cells were exclusively MGE-derived and composed of a subpopulation of Sst-expressing neurons with longer axons and located in the deeper cell layers. Altogether, this study paves our understanding of the power of sparse but specific GABAergic circuits to the maturation of the entorhinal–hippocampal system.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All animal use protocols were performed under the guidelines of the French National Ethic Committee for Sciences and Health report on “Ethical Principles for Animal Experimentation” in agreement with the European Community Directive 86/609/EEC. Double-heterozygous Lhx6Cre::RCE:LoxP, heterozygous and 5-HT3aR-BACEGFP male mice were crossed with 7- to 8-week-old wild-type Swiss females (C.E. Janvier, France) for offspring production. All efforts were made to minimize both the suffering and the number of animals used.

Slice Preparation and Calcium Imaging

Horizontal cortical slices (400 µm thick) were prepared from 8 postnatal days old (P8) mouse pups with a Leica VT1200 S Vibratome using the Virocheck module in ice-cold oxygenated modified artificial cerebrospinal fluid (0.5 mM CaCl2 and 7 mM MgSO4; NaCl replaced by an equimolar concentration of choline). Slices were then transferred for rest (1 h) in oxygenated normal ACSF containing (in mM): 126 NaCl, 3.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 1.3 MgCl2, 2.0 CaCl2, and 10 D-glucose, pH 7.4. For AM-loading, slices were incubated in a small vial containing 2.5 ml of oxygenated ACSF with 25 ml of a 1 mM Fura2-AM solution (in 100% DMSO) at 35–37°C. Slices were perfused with continuously aerated (3 ml/min; O2/CO2—95/5%) normal ACSF at 35–37°C. Imaging was performed with a multibeam multiphoton pulsed laser scanning system (LaVision Biotech) coupled to a microscope as previously described (Crépel et al. 2007; Allene et al. 2008; Bonifazi et al. 2009; Picardo et al. 2011). Images were acquired through a CCD camera, which typically resulted in a time resolution of 50–150 ms per frame. Slices were imaged using a 20×, NA 0.95 objective (Olympus). Imaging depth was on average 80 µm below the surface (range: 50–100 µm).

Electrophysiology

A total of 64 neurons were electrophysiologically stimulated and recorded following the criteria: (1) stable electrophysiological recordings at resting membrane potential (i.e., the holding current did not change by more than 15 pA); (2) stable network dynamics measured with calcium imaging (i.e., the coefficient of variation of the inter-GDPs interval did not exceed 1); and (3) good quality calcium imaging while recording. Neurons were held in current-clamp using a patch-clamp amplifier (HEKA, EPC10) in the whole-cell configuration. Intracellular solution composition was (in mM): 130 K-methylISO4, 5 KCl, 5 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 2.5 Mg-ATP, 0.3 GTP, and 0.5% neurobiotin. No correction for liquid junction potential was applied. The osmolarity was 265–275 mOsm, pH 7.3. Microelectrode resistance was 6–8 MΩms. Uncompensated access resistance was monitored throughout the recordings. Recordings were digitized online (10 kHz) with an interface card to a personal computer and acquired using Axoscope 7.0 software. For most stimulation
experiments, the movie acquisition time was separated evenly between (1) a 3 min resting period during which the cell was held close to $V_{\text{rest}}$ (i.e., zero current injection); (2) a 3 min stimulation period during which phasic stimulation protocols were applied; and (3) a 3 min recovery period where the cell was brought back to resting membrane potential. Stimulation protocol: suprathreshold current pulses (amplitude: 100–200 pA, duration: 200 ms) repeated at 0.1 and 0.2 Hz.

**Morphological Analysis**
A total of 30 neurons were recovered for morphological analysis. Slices were fixed overnight at 4°C in Antigenfix, rinsed in saline phosphate buffer (PBS) containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (PBST). After immersion in PBS containing 30% sucrose, slices were frozen in cold isopentane (−50°C), defrost in PBS, and finally incubated overnight at room temperature in cy3-streptavidin (1/1000 in PBST; Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA). Some filled cells were tested for Sat labeling, and slices were processed for immunocytochemistry as described below. Post hoc analysis was performed using a confocal microscope. Stacks of optical sections were collected for neuronal reconstruction with a computer-assisted system (Neurolucida, MicroBrightfield). Morphological variables included dendritic and axonal lengths.

**Immunocytochemistry**
After anesthesia in isoflurane, P8 pups were perfused with paraformaldehyde before brain extraction. Brains were postfixed overnight, washed in PBS, and 70 μm thick horizontal sections were obtained. Horizontal sections from fixed brains (70 μm thick sections, n = 3 pups) or 400 μm thick slices were processed for immunohistochemistry as described previously (Picardo et al. 2011). Goat anti-somatostatin primary antibody (1:2000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, SC-7819) was applied followed by incubation within donkey anti-goat DyLight™649 (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA).

**Analysis of Calcium Dynamics**
We used custom designed MATLAB software (Bonifazi et al. 2009) that allowed for: (1) the automatic identification of loaded cells; (2) measurement of the average fluorescence transients from each cell as a function of time; (3) detection of the onsets and offsets of calcium signals; and (4) reconstruction of the functional connectivity of the imaged network.

**Active Cell Detection**
A custom-made algorithm based on PCA/ICA was used and combined with morphological identification for cell detection. The offset PCA method was next applied (Kaifosh et al. 2013). Principal components displaying a variance greater than noise were fed to an iterative ICA algorithm (Mukamel et al. 2009). Cells were identified in the output of the ICA using 2D-spatial wavelet filtering matching the expected cell size (7–15 μm diameter), and cell contours were extracted. The fluorescence trace of each cell was calculated by averaging over the area inside each contour.

**Cell Activation Onset Detection**
An automatic algorithm based on deep learning was designed to detect the onsets of the fluorescence calcium transient using a pattern recognition neuronal network (“patternnet” Matlab function) with a hidden layer size of 10. The network was trained using 200 000 simulated calcium fluorescent transients mimicking the dynamics of the Fura2 dye.

**Network Burst Detection**
Network bursts were detected when peaks of synchronous calcium activation onsets exceeded chance levels. The threshold was defined as the 95th percentile of peak heights after reshuffling cell fluorescence traces.

**Functional Connectivity**
The relative delays of cell activation onsets were used to define the functional connectivity of the network. For each pair of cells, 2 statistical tests were made on the distribution of their onset time difference to assess whether or not they were connected. First, a uniformity test (Kolmogorov–Smirnov, with a threshold at 5% significance level) was applied in order to discard homogeneous distributions, and second, a t-test (with a threshold at 0.1% significance level) was applied in order to discard distributions centered at 0. If the results of both tests were significant (i.e., the onset time distribution was neither uniform nor centered at 0), the 2 cells were functionally linked, and the sign of the mean delay was used to define the direction of the link.

**Correlation of Cell Activity to Network Bursts**
For each cell, the correlation between the patterns of cell firing and network bursts was calculated in order to quantify how reliably a cell participated in network bursts. First, the delays between the activation onset of the cell and the peak of network activity were computed for each event. Then, the histogram of the distribution of the delays was used to find the most frequent delay, using 70 ms bins (70 ms = 1 imaging frame). The correlation coefficient was defined as the ratio between the number of events in the largest bin and total number of events. Thus, a correlation value of one means that the cell was always activated with the same delay ±35 ms relative to the burst. This calculation was only performed for cells that participated in at least 10 bursts.

**Driver Cell Test**
To assess whether the stimulation of a cell had an influence on network bursts, the frequency of burst occurrence was compared between stimulation and control periods (prestimulation and poststimulation). The distribution of frequencies within a period was calculated by taking the mean frequency over a 20 s sliding window. These distributions were then statistically compared (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, with a threshold at 1% significance level). A cell was qualified as a driver cell if (1) there was a significant frequency change between prestimulation and stimulation periods, and (2) this difference was no longer present between prestimulation and poststimulation periods.

**Results**

The Developing Entorhinal Cortex Contains Functional Hub Cells
In order to determine the participation of the GABAergic cell population in developmental network dynamics while distinguishing the contribution of cells from different embryonic origins, we used multibeam 2-photon excitation of entorhinal cortex slices (P8) from 3 different mouse lines: the GAD67-green...
fluorescent protein (GFP) knock-in (K3) (GAD67-GFP) (Tamamaki et al. 2003), or the 5-HT3aR-BAC-GFP (5-HT3aR-GFP) and the Lhx6Cre:RCE (Lhx6-GFP) mice that express GFP in all interneurons or exclusively in those originating from the CGE and MGE respectively (Fogarty et al. 2007; Batista-Brito et al. 2009; Sousa et al. 2009; Rudy et al. 2010). Slices were loaded with the calcium indicator Fura2-AM, to record spontaneous neuronal activity (Fig. 1). Within the 400 × 300 μm² field-of-view, the activity of 150 ± 23 cells (n = 64 slices), distributed across 3–4 layers, was simultaneously acquired (Fig. 1A). Active cells represented 70% of the imaged neuronal population. As previously described (Allene et al. 2008; Conhaim et al. 2010; Unichenko et al. 2014), the main activity pattern was a recurring population burst (Fig. 1B). As previously reported (Unichenko et al. 2014), using a cluster analysis based on pairwise correlation between cell recruitment in network bursts, we found that population events could be split into global (i.e., large amplitude) and local events (i.e., small amplitude, Fig. 1B,C). At the single-cell level, global and local bursts translated into prolonged and shorter membrane potential depolarizations in current-clamp recordings, respectively (Fig. 1D). Most events (92% ± 13%, n = 64 slices) were grouped in the same cluster of global synchronizations, indicating that neuronal dynamics at this stage of entorhinal cortex development are stereotyped and neurons are not randomly recruited in the synchronization process (Fig. 1E).

To identify functional hub neurons, we next computed the functional connectivity of the active cells based on the pairwise correlation between calcium activation onsets occurring within 500 ms of network bursts. Through this analysis of temporal correlations, a “functional connection” directed from neuron A to neuron B was established if the activation of A significantly preceded that of B (see Materials and Methods). In other words, neuron A displayed an output link to B if neuron A consistently fired before neuron B during bursts. A functional network describing the directed connectivity between all pairs of active neurons was constructed. We found that the distribution of the number of functional output links followed a power law (Fig. 2A), meaning that while most neurons where poorly connected, a small minority of cells, the “highly connected” (HC) neurons, displayed many functional outputs. To identify this small minority of HC neurons among all active neurons, for each movie, we used a threshold value of the 90th percentile of the degree distribution of output links (Fig. 2A). In other words, HC neurons were the 10% most functionally connected fraction of active cells; other cells were considered to be “slowly connected” cells (LC). Network burst dynamics were characterized by a build-up of activity lasting on average 290 ± 150 ms (Fig. 1B,C; n = 64 slices; mean ± std). For each imaged neuron, we estimated the correlation and the delay of activation relative to the network bursts (Fig. 2D, see Materials and Methods). The presence of a region (Fig. 2D) with high correlation starting 200 ms before the peak of synchrony indicated that network bursts repeatedly began with the synchronization of neurons plotted on the top left side of the distribution. By pooling the data from different slices (n = 4872 neurons, 64 movies), we found that 62% of HC neurons were in this region (correlation coefficient >0.4, Fig. 2D). We dubbed this subpopulation as functional hub cells because they are likely to be actively involved in the network synchronization process. It is important to note that this represents a very sparse subset of cells (i.e., 6% of the active cell population, which accounts for about 2% of the all imaged neurons).

Since GABAergic neurons can be identified by their expression of GFP in GAD67-GFP, 5-HT3aR or Lhx6-GFP slices, we next examined the relative distribution of functional hub neurons among the GABAergic and glutamatergic cell populations. In GAD67-GFP slices, we found that GABAergic neurons represented only a small fraction (13%) of the functional hub cell population (17/128, n = 27 slices). A similar ratio was obtained when considering only the MGE-derived cells, as 9% of functional hub cells were MGE-derived (7/78, n = 15 Lhx6-GFP slices). In contrast, none of the functional hub neurons originated from the CGE (0/47, n = 9 5-HT3aR-GFP slices). Therefore, functional hub neurons comprise glutamatergic cells and a small fraction of MGE-derived GABAergic neurons.

To conclude, a small set of glutamatergic and MGE-derived GABAergic functional hub neurons were spontaneously and reliably recruited at the onset of most network bursts. We next attempted at determining which of these functional hubs were additionally driver hub cells causally involved in the synchronization process.

Almost Half of the GABAergic, But no Glutamatergic Neurons, Could Single-Handedly Influence Spontaneous Network Bursts

We tested for the ability of single cells to influence spontaneous network bursts when stimulated (Figs 3 and 4). Glutamatergic, GABAergic, MGE-derived, and CGE-derived neurons were targeted for patch-clamp recordings in current-clamp conditions (n = 6, 27, 24, 13, respectively, Figs 3 and 4); HC neurons were identified based on their output connectivity degree as described above; cells were stimulated using intracellular current injections while imaging calcium responses in other neurons (see Materials and Methods). A phasic stimulation protocol was applied, that is, short suprathreshold current pulses repeated at 0.1–1 Hz (the frequency range of network burst occurrence). Cell/network interactions were estimated using 2 types of metrics (see Materials and Methods): (1) alterations in the average network burst frequency during stimulation revealed by statistically different distributions of interburst intervals in prestimulus conditions and during stimulation (P < 0.01, Student’s t test or Mann–Whitney test); and (2) induction by phasic stimulations of a forward or backward GFP phase shift in comparison to prestimulus conditions. A cell was considered to significantly affect network dynamics if it satisfied both criteria; in this case the cell was designated as a driver cell. None of the recorded glutamatergic cell significantly affected network dynamics when stimulated (Fig. 3A) whereas almost half of the GABAergic neurons could influence network bursts when stimulated (42%, n = 27 out of 64 recorded cells comprising GAD67-GFP, MGE and CGE-derived patched cells, Figs 3B,C and 4A,B). This represents a remarkably large proportion of cells compared with previous reports in the CA3 hippocampus (Bonifazi et al. 2009). Globally, 54% of cells decreased (Fig. 4A) network burst frequency by 22% ± 8% of control when stimulated, while 46% of cells increased (Figs 3B and 4B) burst frequency by 23% ± 7% of control (P < 0.01) when stimulated. Interestingly, in contrast to CA3 (Bonifazi et al. 2009), the recorded GABAergic driver cells were not necessarily also functional hubs as only 50% of the functional hubs (n = 3 out of 6 functional hub GAD67-GFP patched cells) were also drivers (Fig. 3B) and 48% of the LC GABA cells were drivers (n = 10 out of 21 LC GAD67-GFP patched cells; Fig. 3C). This indicates that cells that are not spontaneously leading neuronal activity can nevertheless perturb network dynamics when stimulated provided that they are GABAergic (Fig. 3C). We then investigated if the embryonic spatial origin of interneurons was a hallmark of a cell’s participation and influence on spontaneous
network activity. By analyzing the data obtained from Lhx6-GFP or 5-HT3a-GFP slices, we observed that MGE or CGE-derived neurons were: (1) almost equally likely to be drivers, as 42% of the MGE-derived cells (n = 10 out of 24 cells) and 31% of the CGE-derived cells (n = 4 out of 13) were drivers (Fig. 4A,B); and (2) driver hub cells could only be found among MGE-derived

Figure 1. Imaging the functional organization of neuronal activity in the developing entorhinal cortex. (A) Representative 2-photon calcium fluorescence image from an entorhinal cortex slice of a P8 GAD67-GFP mouse loaded with the calcium indicator Fura-2AM (left) and contour plot of the cells detected as active (right). Scale bar: 100 μm. (B) Histogram displaying the percentage of active cells as a function of time. Peaks in the histogram correspond to network bursts. Note that both global (red star) and local events (black star) can be observed. (C) Rasterplot of neuronal activity as a function of time, red stars indicate global events, black stars local events. (D) Long- (red stars) and shorter- (black stars) lasting membrane potential depolarizations indicating global and local events can be recorded in a MGE-derived GABAergic neuron from an entorhinal cortex slice of a Lhx6-GFP mouse. (E) Ordered similarity matrix of the burst events in (C).
neurons (Fig. 4A). In fact, a large majority of the MGE-derived functional hubs (80%, n = 5) also influenced network dynamics when stimulated (Fig. 4C). Hence, even though both functional hub and LC cells could influence network activity (driver cells), only driver hub cells were spontaneously and reliably active at the onset of early network bursts. Interestingly, these cells were only found among MGE-derived cells. We next focused our analysis on these driver hub cells.

Morphophysiological Characteristics of Driver Hub Cells

We next examined whether driver hub neurons described above represented a specific morphophysiological population (Table 1). While being recorded, glutamatergic and GABAergic cells were filled with neurobiotin and their anatomy was reconstructed post hoc for morphometric analysis (n = 30 GABA cells; n = 6 glutamatergic cells; Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 1). Different types of morphologies could be recovered: some cells possessed dense axonal collaterals while others displayed long axonal branches (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 1). Of all the parameters included in the analysis, driver hubs were most identifiable by their longer axonal length (average: 4091 ± 1352 μm, n = 5 cells) when compared with “nondriver” LC cells (average: 810 ± 232 μm, n = 7 cells; P < 0.01 Fig. 5C, see Materials and Methods). No significant difference was observed regarding dendritic length (driver hubs average: 698 ± 334 μm; nondriver LC average: 810 ± 232 μm). In addition, although driver hubs displayed variable morphologies, a significant fraction of them (80%) were located in layers IV/V/VI with axon collaterals extending towards layer I (Fig. 5). Driver hubs did not significantly differ from nondriver LC cells in their intrinsic membrane properties or action potential characteristics (Table 1), however, a large majority of them (84%, n = 6) displayed an adapting firing pattern in response to depolarizing current steps (Fig. 5F), whereas nondriver LC cells were twice as likely to be regularly firing (37%, n = 19, Fig. 5F). We conclude that the driver hub population is comprised of deep layer GABAergic neurons, with an extended axon towards upper layers, and an adapting firing pattern.

Aside from their morphological and electrophysiological properties, interneurons can also be identified by the expression of differential molecular markers (Miyoshi et al. 2007; Rudy et al. 2010; Miyoshi and Fishell 2011). Although molecular marker expression builds up during postnatal development before reaching its final mature characteristics, some molecular markers are already expressed early. Since driver hubs were...
MGE-derived with a soma in deeper layers and axon collaterals spanning towards upper layers, and given that their hippocampal counterparts expressed Sst (Picardo et al. 2011), we tested for the expression of this neurochemical marker. First, we characterized Sst expression within the entorhinal cortex in the Lhx6-GFP positive cells (Fig. 6A, B). A large fraction of Lhx6-GFP positive cells (34%) in the deeper layers expressed Sst as compared with the superficial layers (Fig. 6B). We last analyzed the expression of Sst among patched driver hubs and found that a majority of cells expressed Sst (3 out of 5 filled cells, Fig. 6C–E). In conclusion, entorhinal driver hubs comprise a subpopulation of Sst-containing GABAergic neurons.

Discussion

Self-generated recurring network bursts are a hallmark of developing networks that influence their final wiring diagram. Using an unbiased approach to extract functional connectivity in large networks and probe single-cell embedment, we show that GABAergic microcircuits are highly implicated in driving synchronization in the developing entorhinal cortex: almost half of these cells are capable of single-handedly affecting network activity when stimulated, whereas none of their glutamatergic counterparts displayed a similar capability. Still, only a specialized minority of deep layer MGE-derived neurons were spontaneously involved in the build-up of synchronization. These Sst-positive driver hub neurons displayed a longer axonal morphology spanning towards upper layers. This finding reveals that similar early developmental programs are shared between the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus to shape their functional wiring during postnatal development and perhaps scaffold their adult function.

Using transgenic mouse lines to label neurons according to their embryonic spatial origin, we show that driver hub cells originate from the MGE. This is reminiscent of the conclusions from a recent optogenetic study performed in the CA1 region of the hippocampus (Wester and McBain 2016). MGE-derived interneurons account for 70% of all cortical interneurons and are classified in 2 major subgroups according to their molecular expression profile: the parvalbumin (PV) and the Sst-expressing neurons. They distribute throughout all cortical layers although Sst tend to populate infragranular layers and to represent only 30% of the MGE-derived neurons (Xu et al. 2004; Wonders and Anderson 2006; Rudy et al. 2010; Bartolini et al. 2013). Between these 2 broad classes of MGE-derived neurons, driver hub cells are more likely to be of the Sst-expressing subtype given that: (1) some of the recorded cells could be immunohistochemically labeled post hoc with this marker; (2) they preferentially
Figure 4. Spatial embryonic origin determines single-cell network embedment and function. (A1, B1) Contour plots of imaged cells indicating active contours (gray) from 2 representative examples of entorhinal cortex slices from Lhx6-GFP (MGE-derived neurons, A1) and 5-HT3aR-GFP (CGE-derived neurons, B1) pups (P8). Schematic representation of the glass pipette (dashed line) indicates the GFP-positive stimulated cell. Arrows indicate the output functional links of the recorded cells. Scale bar: 100 μm. Both examples of MGE- and CGE-derived cells operate as drivers as they significantly affect network dynamics when receiving a phasic current-clamp stimulation (200 ms pulses 75-pA every 2 s) as indicated by the "network burst phase" plots (A2 and B2, see Materials and Methods) and "inter event interval" box plots (A3 and B3, see Materials and Methods). "Network burst phase" is defined as the normalized deviation from the median network burst occurrence (−1 means one more burst than expected) integrated in time. Scale bar: 100 μm. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. (C) Scatterplot of the correlation value and mean delay with respect to network bursts (see methods) for all imaged cells in Lhx6-GFP and 5-HT3aR-GFP slices. MGE-derived (blue) and CGE-derived (red) patched cells are represented depending on whether they operate as drivers (filled contours) or not (open contours).
distributed in deep layers; (3) their morphology could be reminiscent of the well described Martinotti-cells (Muñoz et al. 2014); and (4) a majority of them displayed an adapting/accmodating firing pattern in response to current injection rather than the typical regular/fast spiking response that characterizes PV neurons. 

The fact that driver hub neurons expressed Sst has various major functional implications. First, Sst interneurons are among the first interneuron subtypes to populate the neocortex (Miyoshi et al. 2007; Miyoshi and Fishell 2011) suggesting they might play an important pioneer role in the functional integration of cortical dynamics at those early stages (Lazarus and Huang 2011; Picardo et al. 2011). Remarkably, recent studies demonstrated the relevance of infragranular Sst neurons for the synaptic maturation of thalamocortical inputs onto PV interneurons and other Sst cells, but more specifically, for the precise timeline formation of cortical layers (Anastasiades et al. 2016; Marques-Smith et al. 2016; Tuncdemir et al. 2016). This process involves a transient innervation of these cells by thalamic inputs. In this way, these cells are ideally positioned to relay thalamic activity to local cortical circuits and as such support the early dialogue between thalamus and cortex (Minlebaev et al. 2011). Interestingly, Sst cells can also operate at a structural level, by modulating the formation of inhibitory synapse clusters and dendritic spines in distal apical dendrites of layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons during postnatal development (Oh et al. 2016).  

Nevertheless, it is important to consider that Sst cells are a heterogeneous population. In the visual cortex, up to 6 classes and dendritic spines in distal apical dendrites of layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons projecting to the septum (Villette et al. 2016). The vast majority of long-range GABAergic projecting cells (91%) in the neocortex express Sst together with other molecular markers such as nNOS and NPY (Tomioka et al. 2005). These long-range GABAergic cells have been mainly found in layer VI and connect cortical areas across the areal boundaries (Tomioka et al. 2005). Therefore, this cell type should function in coordinating oscillatory activity across cortical areas. Interestingly, long-range GABAergic Sst hippocampal cells projecting to the superficial layers of the medial entorhinal cortex (mEC) have been observed to be relevant for the synchronization of theta rhythms (Melzer et al. 2012). Unfortunately, we cannot conclude at present whether entorhinal driver hub cells develop into long-range projecting neurons like their hippocampal counterparts. 

The development of the entorhinal cortex shows many similarities, but also distinct differences when compared with the hippocampus. Most interestingly, we observed that almost half of the GABAergic neurons could act as driver cells independently from their functional connectivity degree. This is in marked contrast with the developing CA3, but in agreement with recent studies emphasizing the embedment of adult cortical circuits into a dense inhibitory network, including mEC upper layers (Couey et al. 2013; Karnani et al. 2014). Interestingly, stellate cells of the mEC were recently shown, through genetic manipulations, to drive the sequential maturation of entorhinal–hippocampal networks (Donato et al. 2017). Although we did not sample from any stellate cell in our glutamatergic cell dataset, we did not find any evidence that single-glutamatergic neurons could influence network dynamics, even when they were identified as functional hubs (Fig. 2A). This is in agreement with previous work, where early-born CA3 glutamatergic neurons were shown to be active at the onset of network bursts, but nevertheless were not drivers unless stimulated as a population (Marissal et al. 2012). It will therefore be of major interest to study the interaction between stellate cells and the driver hub GABA neurons described here, as the former may well, as a population, feed the activation of the latter. This finding adds to a number of additional differences previously observed during the development and integration of GABAergic microcircuits into functional networks in the neocortex and hippocampus. For example, while hippocampal GABAergic inputs ultimately develop in a highly target-specific manner (Lee et al. 2014) with “sister” principal neurons issued from the same progenitor cell sharing common GABAergic inputs (Xu et al. 2014), neocortical GABAergic innervation indistinctively provides an inhibitory “blanket” (Karnani et al. 2014) with “sister neurons” preferentially coupled to one another through glutamatergic synapses (Yu et al. 2009). In addition, whereas time and place of birth are responsible for generating phenotypic diversity of neocortical GABAergic neurons into adulthood, recent evidence indicates a frequent dual origin for members of seemingly uniform hippocampal subpopulations as described for O-LM (Chittajallu et al. 2013) and neurogliaform cells (Tricoire et al. 2011). Despite these differences, it is important to acknowledge that both in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex, driver hub neurons are likely early-born GABAergic neurons (Picardo et al. 2011). Certainly, the preferential infragranular positioning of these MGE-derived cells in the entorhinal cortex specifies an early temporal origin, and MGE-derived interneurons, like pyramidal cells, are generated in an inside–out manner in the 6-layer cortex, with MGE-derived Sst-cells populating infragranular layers being the earliest generated (Miyoshi et al. 2007; Tuncdemir et al. 2016). 

CGE-derived interneurons account for approximately 30% of the total interneuron population and include diverse subtypes of interneurons that express 5-Ht3aR (Rudy et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2010). As MGE-derived interneurons, CGE cells can also be classified by their differential expression of molecular markers such as vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP), reelin and calretinin (CR) in addition to their morphological and physiological characteristics. Interestingly, the functional connectivity schemes recently described for these cells, and the VIP cells in particular, may well explain their modulating network activity despite the

---

**Table 1 Morphophysiological properties of driver hub cells and non-driver low connected (LC) cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hub cells</th>
<th>LC cells</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic properties</td>
<td>n = 6</td>
<td>n = 16</td>
<td>M&amp;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm (MOHms)</td>
<td>1014 ± 351</td>
<td>1375 ± 237</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crm (pF)</td>
<td>65 ± 29</td>
<td>60 ± 12</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>40.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP duration (ms)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP threshold (mV)</td>
<td>–44 ± 2</td>
<td>–44 ± 1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vrest (mV)</td>
<td>–44 ± 6</td>
<td>–45 ± 3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphometric properties (µm)</td>
<td>n = 5</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>M&amp;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axon length</td>
<td>4091 ± 1352</td>
<td>810 ± 232</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendrite length</td>
<td>2743 ± 834</td>
<td>3887 ± 1696</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firing pattern</td>
<td>n = 6</td>
<td>n = 19</td>
<td>X²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>1 (16%)</td>
<td>7 (37%)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adapting</td>
<td>5 (84%)</td>
<td>12 (63%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n, number of cells; M&W, P value from Mann and Whitney test; X², P value from Chi² test.
Figure 5. Morphophysiological properties of driver hub cells in the developing entorhinal cortex. (A,B) Composite drawings of neurobiotin-filled neurons reconstructed with the Neurolucida workstation. The somatodendritic domains of the cells are in black. Axons are in green, blue or red for the GFP-positive neurons filled in GAD67-GFP, Lhx6-GFP or 5-HT3aR-GFP mouse slices, respectively. Roman numbers indicate cortical layers; WM: white matter. (C,D) Distribution of the somata of the filled cells throughout the entorhinal cortex. Gray indicates nonhub LC cells, black nondriver hub cells, and respectively in green and blue, the GAD67-GFP and Lhx6-GFP cells that are driver hub cells. (E) Histogram showing that the mean length (±SD) of driver hubs axons is significantly longer that for LC cells. (F): Representative current-clamp traces of the 2 main evoked firing patterns (adapting or regular spiking) and histogram plotting the distribution of firing patterns among driver hub cells and nondriver LC neurons.
fact that they were poorly functionally connected. Indeed, this population supports disinhibition in the adult cortex, mostly via the selective targeting of Sst neurons by VIP cells (Lee et al. 2013; Pfeffer et al. 2013; Pi et al. 2013). If the same connectivity motif applies during early development, then it is possible that the network in influence of some CGE-derived neurons operates through their ability to modulate the activity of MGE-derived functional hub cells. Paired recordings between CGE-derived neurons and MGE-derived functional hub neurons will certainly help deciphering this issue.

In conclusion, our data extends the understanding of early cortical organization by showing the existence of GABAergic driver hub neurons in the developing entorhinal cortex. It also reveals how “hidden” effective connectivity patterns can have a functional influence at the network level when artificially activated. Therefore, caution should be taken when interpreting the developmental consequences of cell-specific manipulations without considering the natural recruitment of these circuits into spontaneous network bursts. Self-generated coordinated activity is a hallmark of developing networks but also a characteristic property of recurrent networks supporting a cognitive function such as the hippocampus and the entorhinal cortex. This work shows how the differential functional role of various GABAergic circuits in this essential property is determined as early as their embryonic place of birth.
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