Farnesyl pyrophosphate regulates adipocyte functions as an endogenous PPARγ agonist
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The cholesterol biosynthetic pathway produces not only sterols but also non-sterol mevalonate metabolites involved in isoprenoid synthesis. Mevalonate metabolites affect transcriptional and post-transcriptional events that in turn affect various biological processes including energy metabolism. In the present study, we examine whether mevalonate metabolites activate PPARγ (peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor γ), a ligand-dependent transcription factor playing a central role in adipocyte differentiation. In the luciferase reporter assay using both GAL4 chimera and full-length PPARγ systems, a mevalonate metabolite, FPP (farnesyl pyrophosphate), which is the precursor of almost all isoprenoids and is positioned at branch points leading to the synthesis of other longer-chain isoprenoids, activated PPARγ in a dose-dependent manner. FPP induced the in vitro binding of a co-activator, SRC-1 (steroid receptor co-activator-1), to GST (glutathione transferase)–PPARγ. Direct binding of FPP to PPARγ was also indicated by docking simulations. Moreover, the addition of FPP up-regulated the mRNA expression levels of PPARγ target genes during adipocyte differentiation induction. In the presence of lovastatin, an HMG-CoA (3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA) reductase inhibitor, both intracellular FPP levels and PPARγ-target gene expressions were decreased. In contrast, the increase in intracellular FPP level after the addition of zaragozic acid, a squalene synthase inhibitor, induced PPARγ-target gene expression. The addition of FPP and zaragozic acid promotes lipid accumulation during adipocyte differentiation. These findings indicated that FPP might function as an endogenous PPARγ agonist and regulate gene expression in adipocytes.
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INTRODUCTION

The pathophysiology of obesity and obesity-associated metabolic disorders including Type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia and cardiovascular disease is associated with abnormalities in endocrine signalling in WAT (white adipose tissue) [1,2]. The expansion of WAT during the development of obesity can occur through an increase in cell number and cell size [3]. Therefore the mechanisms controlling WAT development have been the focus of intense research.

The number of adipocytes is thought to increase as a result of the proliferation of pre-adipocytes and subsequent differentiation into mature adipocytes [4]. Adipocyte differentiation is characterized by marked changes in the pattern of gene expression that are achieved by sequential induction of various transcription factors [4]. PPARγ (peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor γ), a member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, plays a central role in the regulation of adipocyte differentiation [4]. PPARγ is a ligand-dependent transcription factor binding to the promoter of its target genes only as a heterodimer with RXR (retinoid X receptor) [4,5]. The thiazolidinediones, insulin sensitizers, promote the differentiation of pre-adipocytes by PPARγ activation [4] to increase the number of small adipocytes and to decrease the number of large adipocytes by increasing apoptosis [6], resulting in the improvement of metabolic disorders, such as insulin resistance. Although several naturally occurring compounds have been reported to activate PPARγ, the levels of these PPARγ ligands in tissue and plasma are frequently not precisely defined and, when so, are found at levels sometimes orders of magnitude lower than those required to activate specific α, γ or δ PPAR subtypes [7–9]. Thus the identification of bona fide high-affinity endogenous PPARγ ligands has been a controversial issue that, when resolved, will advance our understanding of PPARγ modulation and reveal new ways of intervention in diverse metabolic disorders and disease processes.

Non-sterol mevalonate metabolites involved in isoprenoid synthesis have until recently mainly been associated with the regulation of cell-cycle control and cytoskeletal organization [10]. Moreover, the mevalonate pathway in mammals has been shown to affect several nuclear hormone receptors (such as PPARs, PPARγ and liver X receptors) that regulate lipid and carbohydrate metabolism [11–14]. Previously, we have demonstrated that...
several isoprenoids contained in herbal and dietary plants function as PPAR ligands [15–19]. In the present paper, we report that FPP (farnesyl pyrophosphate), which is a mevalonate metabolite and the precursor of almost all isoprenoids, can activate PPARγ as an agonist and regulate the expression levels of PPARγ target genes during adipocyte differentiation. These findings suggest that FPP may function as an endogenous PPARγ ligand and regulate adipocyte functions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

FPP was from Wako Pure Chemicals (Osaka, Japan). LG100268 and lovastatin were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology and Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). Unless otherwise indicated, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma or Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan) and were of guaranteed reagent grade or tissue culture grade.

**Cell culture**

3T3-L1 murine pre-adipocytes (from A.T.C.C., Manassas, VA, U.S.A.) were cultured in a growth medium, DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO2. At 2 days after reaching confluence, the cells were incubated in a differentiation medium containing 0.25 μM dexamethasone, 10 μg/ml insulin and 0.5 mM IBMX (1-methyl-3-isobutylxanthine) in the growth medium with or without indicated compounds. After 48 h, the cells were harvested for mRNA expression level analysis. For the fatty acid synthesis activity assay and Oil Red O staining, 3T3-L1 cells were harvested for mRNA expression level analysis. For the fluorogenic assay, the samples were gently centrifuged and washed five times in the binding buffer. After the final wash, the resin was resuspended in 30 μl of SDS/PAGE sample buffer (Bio-Rad). Each sample was electrophoresed on a 7% denaturing gel. After blotting to PVDF membranes, an enhanced chemiluminescence system (NEW lifescience Products) was used to detect the SRC-1 protein banding to the PPARγ–GST protein. The density of protein bands was measured using the density analysis software NIH Image, as described previously [20].

**Luciferase assay**

A luciferase assay was performed as described previously [11–15]. Briefly, for the luciferase assay using GAL4 chimera systems, we transfected p4xUASg-tk-luc (a reporter plasmid, pM-hPPARγ or pM-hRXRα (an expression plasmid for a chimera protein for the GAL4 DNA-binding domain, and human PPARγ or RXRα-ligand-binding domains) and pRL-CMV (an internal control for normalizing transfection efficiencies) into CV1 cells. For luciferase assays using a PPARγ full-length system, pDEST-hPPARγ (a human PPARγ expression vector), a reporter plasmid (p3xPPRE-tk-luc) and pRL-CMV were transfected into CV1 cells. Transfections into CV1 cells cultured on 100 mm dishes were performed using Lipofectamine™ (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. At 5 h after the transfections, the transfected cells were seeded onto 96-well plates with the medium containing each compound. After a 24 h incubation, a luciferase assay was performed using the dual-luciferase system (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**GST (glutathione transferase) pull-down assay**

A GST pull-down assay was performed as described previously [12]. Briefly, for expression of the full-length PPARγ–GST fusion protein, the pDEST15 vector (Invitrogen) was used. Glutathione–Sepharose 4B (Amersham Biosciences) was washed extensively in binding buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM diithiothreitol and 10% glycerol) containing an appropriate concentration of protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and resuspended in a volume of the binding buffer sufficient to generate a 50% slurry. This slurry was mixed with each cell lysate of Escherichia coli expressing GST or human PPARγ–GST and incubated at 4°C overnight. The resin slurry was gently centrifuged, washed five times in the binding buffer to remove all unbound proteins and finally resuspended in 1 volume of the binding buffer. The GST pull-down experiments were conducted using 40 μl of GST-bound or GST—hPPARγ—bound, and unbound glutathione–Sepharose slurry and 20 μl of SRC-1—S2, a truncated SRC-1 (steroid receptor co-activator-1) protein (amino acids 623–770) produced by RTS500HY E. coli (Roche Diagnostics). After incubation for 2 h at 4°C with continuous rotation, the samples were gently centrifuged and washed five times in the binding buffer. After the final wash, the resin was resuspended in 30 μl of SDS/PAGE sample buffer (Bio-Rad). Each sample was electrophoresed on a 7% denaturing gel. After blotting to PVDF membranes, an enhanced chemiluminescence system (NEW lifescience Products) was used to detect the SRC-1 protein binding to the PPARγ–GST protein. The density of protein bands was measured using the density analysis software NIH Image, as described previously [20].

**Docking simulation study**

From among the substrates of PPARγ complexes contained in the PDB, 5HE (5-hydroxyicosapentaenoic acid) was selected on the basis of its similarity to FPP in terms of relevant factors, such as molecular length, molecular shape and the position of a polar group. The steric structure of the protein registered in the PDB with the 2V22 code was used for the molecular modelling of FPP in complex with PPARγ. In the model, the carboxylic acid of 5HE was substituted by phosphoric acid at the end of FPP; the OH group at the fifth carbon of 5HE was substituted...
by a second oxygen atom in phosphoric acid. The remaining part of the alkyl chain was aligned along the unsaturated hydrocarbon chain of 5HE. Using this model as an initial structure (Figure 3A), we carried out MD (molecular dynamics) simulation to examine the binding state of FPP. For comparison, the MD simulation of 5HE was also carried out to examine the binding stability of FPP. To construct the MD simulation system with the periodic boundary condition, each of these two complexes was placed in a cubic box (size of approximately 92 Å; 1 Å = 0.1 nm) filled with water molecules, and in order to neutralize this system, Na⁺ ions and Cl⁻ ions were included, for which the program AMBER 9 [23] was used. The total number of water molecules was approximately 10 010. We used the unified force-field model [24] of GAFF parameters [25] and RESP charges [26] for PPARγ with the modified topology file using an in-house program, GAFF parameters and AM1-BCC charges [27,28] for FPP and 5HE, and the TIP3P model [26] for water. To accelerate the calculation, a special-purpose parallel computer for non-bonded force sum, MD Server (http://www.nec.co.jp/press/en/0511/2901.html), was used. Temperature and pressure were controlled at 300 K and 1 atm respectively. The time step was 2 fs with the bond-length constraints for hydrogen atoms, and the simulation of each system was performed for 750 000 steps (corresponding to MD simulation of 15 000 ps).

Stable isotope-based fatty acid synthesis activity assay

Cells were washed with warm PBS, and the medium was replaced with glucose-free DMEM containing 4.5 mg/ml [13C6]-glucose. After a 48 h incubation, the medium was collected and diluted with methanol. Diluents were filtered using a 0.22 μm filter membrane, and filtrates were analysed using the LC/MS (liquid chromatography MS) system (Agilent Series 1100 LC system; Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). Cells were dissolved in 5 ml of 20% KOH [ethanol/water (4:6, v/v)], hydrolysed for 60 min at 80 °C, and then acidified with 6 ml of 5 M HCl. Fatty acids were extracted twice with 4 ml of diethyl ether, dried under N2 at 40 °C, then acidified with 6 ml of 5 M HCl. Fatty acids were extracted twice with 4 ml of diethyl ether, dried under N2 at 40 °C, dissolved in 5 ml of methanol and analysed using the LC/MS system.

Determination of FPP levels

Intracellular FPP levels were determined as described previously [29]. Briefly, NIH 3T3 cells cultured with each compound for 48 h in six-well plates were washed with PBS and collected. The cells were then extracted with butanol/75 mM ammonium hydroxide/ethanol (1:1.25:2.75, by vol.). After centrifugation, the supernatants were dried under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was then dissolved in 50 mM Tris/HCl assay buffer (pH 7.5) containing 5 mM dithiothreitol, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 μM ZnCl₂ and 1.0% octyl-β-D-glucopyranoside. Dansylated-GCVLS peptides (Hayashi Kasei, Osaka, Japan) and FPTase (farnesyl pyrophosphate transferase) were added to the extracted solution, and the assay mixture was then incubated at 38 °C for 120 min. The reaction was terminated by the addition of acetonitrile and hydrogen chloride. FPP mass-reacting with the dansylated substrate peptide as catalysed by FPTase was separated and quantified by HPLC coupled with a fluorescence detector at λex=335 nm and λem=528 nm using a C18 reversed-phase analytical column.

Oil Red O staining

Cells were fixed with 10% formaldehyde/PBS and stained with Oil Red O solution (0.5% Oil Red O-isopropyl alcohol/water; 3:2, v/v). After staining, Oil Red O was extracted from cells with isopropyl alcohol and the attenuation at 490 nm was measured. Levels of Oil Red O staining were corrected for non-specific binding levels of the stain to untreated cells.

RNA preparation and real-time fluorescence monitoring RT–PCR (reverse transcription–PCR)

Total RNA was prepared from 3T3-L1 cells using Sepasol®. RNA 1 Super (Nacalai Tesque) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA was reverse-transcribed using MMLV (Moloney-murine-leukaemia virus) reverse transcriptase (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To quantify mRNA expression, real-time RT–PCR was performed with a LightCycler system (Roche Diagnostics) using SYBR green fluorescence signals, as described previously [11–15,20]. The oligonucleotide primers of mouse 36B4 and adipogenic marker genes were designed using a PCR primer selection program in the website of the Virtual Genomic Center from the GenBank® Nucleotide Sequence Database. Primer sets used to measure the expression levels of 36B4, aP2 (adipocyte fatty-acid-binding protein), LPL (lipoprotein lipase) and adiponectin, have been described previously [11–15,20]. The primers used for the measurements of GLUT4 (glucose transporter 4) mRNA expression levels upstream and downstream were 5'-CGGATGTATGGGTTCTTACG-3' and 5'-TGAAGCTGGTCAACGTCG-3' respectively. To compare mRNA expression levels among the samples, the copy numbers of all of the transcripts were divided by that of mouse 36B4, showing a constant expression level in adipocytes. All of the mRNA expression levels are presented here as the ratio relative to that of the control in each experiment.

Statistical analysis

The results are presented as means ± S.E.M. and were statistically analysed by the unpaired Student’s t test or the Welch t test when variances were heterogeneous. Differences were considered significant when P was <0.05.

RESULTS

FPP activates PPARγ in the luciferase reporter assay

First, to examine whether mevalonate metabolites (Figure 1A) affect PPARγ ligand activity, we performed a luciferase reporter assay using the GAL4 chimera system. In this assay system, Pio (1 μM), a synthetic PPARγ agonist, significantly enhanced luciferase activity (Figure 1B). We evaluated PPARγ ligand activity in the presence of various mevalonate metabolites at a concentration of 1 μM. As shown in Figure 1(B), in the presence of 1 μM FPP, luciferase activity was increased 4.4-fold compared with the vehicle control, suggesting that FPP increased PPARγ ligand activity. At this concentration, GGPP (geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate) also activated PPARγ, but the activation induced by GGPP was much weaker than that induced by FPP. Next, we investigated by luciferase reporter assay whether FPP activates full-length PPARγ. In this assay system, the addition of FPP also increased luciferase activity in a dose-dependent manner, suggesting that FPP activates full-length PPARγ (Figure 1C). Because the agonists of RXRs, the heterodimer partners of PPARγ, also activate full-length PPARγ [30], we evaluated the effect of FPP on RXRα ligand activity by luciferase reporter assay using the GAL4 chimera system. As shown in Figure 1(D), FPP activated PPARγ in a dose-dependent manner, whereas FPP had
no effect on RXRα ligand activity. These findings indicate that FPP increased PPARγ ligand activity followed by the activation of PPARγ.

**FPP binds directly to PPARγ as an agonist**

To confirm the direct effects of FPP on PPARγ activation, a GST pull-down assay was performed. A co-activator, SRC-1, interacts with PPAR proteins when the agonists of PPARs bind to the PPAR-ligand-binding domain [31]. Therefore the in vitro binding of SRC-1 to PPARs in the presence of a compound suggests that the compound binds to PPARs as an agonist. Under these conditions in the presence of 50 μM Pio, a His-tagged SRC-1 fragment, bound to PPARγ, is shown in Figure 2(A). FPP (100 μM) recruited the SRC-1 fragment onto PPARγ proteins as well as Pio. The densities of the bands are presented in Figure 2(B). The degrees of binding of SRC-1 to PPARγ in the presence of 50 μM Pio and 50 and 100 μM FPP increased 17-, 6.1- and 15-fold respectively relative to that of the vehicle control. This suggests that FPP as an agonist of PPARγ can induce the binding of SRC-1 to PPARγ, which is important for the ligand-dependent activation of PPARγ. Next, to study co-activator recruitment to PPARγ kinetically, we performed an in vitro recruitment assay using pinpoint fluorescent labelling SRC-1 and GST–PPARγ. FPP enhanced the interaction between PPARγ and SRC-1 in a dose-dependent manner in a similar manner to Pio. As shown in Figure 2(C), the Scatchard plot shows a straight line. B_{max} (Xint) might be slightly different however; we confirmed that B_{max} (polarization) was approx. 260 mP at the maximum concentration in the case of all ligands, including troglitazone and rosiglitazone. The dissociation constants of FPP and Pio were estimated as 955 and 357 nM respectively. As GGPP also activated PPARγ weakly in the luciferase assay (Figure 1B), we performed a fluorescence polarization assay for SRC-1/PPARγ binding using GGPP. In that assay, we confirmed that GGPP did not induce an interaction between fluorescent SRC-1 and PPARγ. Moreover, we investigated another co-activator, CBP, for its recruitment to PPARγ. Similarly to SRC-1, CBP interacts with PPARγ when the agonists of PPARs bind to the PPAR-ligand-binding domain [32]. As is the case in SRC-1, FPP induced the interaction between CBP and PPARγ (Figure 2D), and this interaction was attenuated in the presence of GW9662, a synthetic PPARγ antagonist, suggesting that FPP binds to PPARγ specifically.

The X-ray crystal structure of the 5HE–PPARγ complex (PDB code 2VV2) and the model structure of the FPP–PPARγ complex shown in Figure 3(A) were subjected to MD simulation for 15 000 ps to examine the binding stability of FPP. The RMSD (root-mean-square displacement) of carbon atoms for 5HE and FPP for 15 000 ps, which is calculated with respect to the atomic coordinates at 15 000 ps, is shown in Figure 3(B). After the start of MD simulation, structural changes and positional displacements were induced in the initial structure for both 5HE and FPP. The structure of 5HE reached a steady state and position in approx. 5 000 ps, whereas that of FPP reached a steady state and position in approx. 3 000 ps. After the elapse of these times, both 5HE and FPP retained structural stability for the subsequent 10 000 ps in the presence of thermal fluctuation alone. For both 5HE–PPARγ and FPP–PPARγ complexes, the steady-state Cα RMSD was constant at approx. 1.1 Å. On the basis of these findings, the FPP–PPARγ complex shows the same level of stability as the 5HE–PPARγ complex on the time scale of this MD simulation. In Figure 3(C), the binding state of the initial model is compared with that of the MD-simulated model at 15 000 ps. The positions of the phosphate group are the same in both models, whereas the structures of the carbon chain are slightly different. These findings indicate that FPP can directly bind to PPARγ as its agonist.

The addition of FPP up-regulated PPARγ target genes during induction of adipocyte differentiation

To elucidate whether FPP actually induces PPARγ target gene expression during the induction of adipocyte differentiation, we evaluated mRNA expression levels using 3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes. Adipocyte differentiation was induced by the addition of a standard adipogenic mixture of dexamethasone, insulin and IBMX and an incubation for 48 h. FPP or Pio (a synthetic PPARγ agonist) was also added with this standard adipogenic mixture, IBMX and an incubation for 48 h. FPP or Pio (a synthetic PPARγ agonist) was added with this standard adipogenic mixture, IBMX and an incubation for 48 h. After 48 h, mRNA expression levels were measured. In the presence of 5 μM Pio, well-known PPARγ target genes, such as aP2, LPL and adiponectin, in adipocytes were induced 7.3-, 2.8- and 1.5-fold respectively (Figures 4A–4C). The addition of FPP also increased the mRNA expression levels of these genes in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 4A–4C). Treatment with 1 μM FPP resulted in 3.1-, 2.2- and 2.4-fold increases in aP2, LPL and adiponectin levels respectively. Moreover, the expression levels of GLUT4, which encodes the insulin-sensitive GLUT induced by PPARγ activation [33], were increased by both FPP and Pio (Figure 4D). These findings indicate that FPP treatment enhances PPARγ target gene expression and promotes adipocyte differentiation.

Next, we estimated the rate of de novo fatty acid synthesis from glucose after chronic FPP treatment using [13C6]-glucose and the LC/MS system. In the case of FPP addition to the medium throughout the differentiation period (day 0–10), total
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Figure 2  FPP induces the recruitment of co-activators to PPAR\(\gamma\) in vitro

(A) GST pull-down assay using full-length PPAR\(\gamma\) protein. Recombinant SRC-1 fragment (SRC-1-S2) containing a PPAR-binding region was incubated with GST–human PPAR\(\gamma\) and vehicle control, 50 \(\mu\)M Pio or 50 \(\mu\)M/100 \(\mu\)M FPP. After washing, the bound recombinant SRC-1 protein was detected by immunoblotting. Total GST–PPAR\(\gamma\) protein was detected by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining. The results are representative of three independent blots. (B) The densitometric analysis of immunoblotting membranes normalized by the amount of GST–PPAR\(\gamma\) of (A) is shown. The density of the vehicle control was set at 1 and the relative densities were presented as the fold induction relative to that of the vehicle control. (C) Scatchard plot analysis of the binding of a PPAR\(\gamma\) triangles ligand complex to the TAMRA–SRC-1 in the presence of pioglitazone (Pio; closed triangles) or FPP (closed circles). As the control experiment, TAMRA–SRC-1 was incubated together with GST protein. Ligand concentrations (in \(\mu\)M) at all data points are 0.15, 1.0, 2.0 and 3.8 for FPP and 0.1, 0.4, 1.2 and 3.8 for Pio from the left data point respectively. The results are representative of five independent blots. (D) The recruitment of CBP to PPAR\(\gamma\) in the presence or absence of FPP (50 or 100 \(\mu\)M) and GW9662 (25 \(\mu\)M) was determined by ELISA. All the values are means \(\pm\) S.E.M. for three to five tests. *\(P<0.05\), **\(P<0.01\).

Figure 3  FPP can directly bind to PPAR\(\gamma\) as an agonist in a docking simulation study

(A) Modelling of the docking position of FPP in PPAR\(\gamma\) protein by referring to the X-ray crystal structure of the 5HE–PPAR\(\gamma\) complex. FPP (green carbon atoms) and 5HE (white carbon atoms) are represented as a stick model. The protein surface is shown in the colour of the atom. Colours: grey, carbon; red, oxygen; blue, nitrogen; yellow, sulfur. The \(\alpha\)-helix by which the ligand-binding site is covered is represented by a red \(\alpha\)–trace model. (B) RMSD of carbon atoms for FPP (green) and 5HE (red). Each complex structure during simulation is overlapped on the structure at 15 000 ps with \(\text{C}_{\alpha}\) root-mean-square fitting. (C) Difference between MD-simulated pose at 15 000 ps (ball and stick model) and the initial pose of MD simulation (stick model).

Figure 4  The addition of FPP up-regulates PPAR\(\gamma\) target genes and promotes de novo fatty acid synthesis

(A–D) Expression levels of adipogenic marker genes, such as aP2 (A), LPL (B), adiponectin (C) and GLUT4 (D), in 3T3-L1 cells treated with or without FPP. 3T3-L1 cells were induced to differentiate with or without 0.01 \(\mu\)M/1 \(\mu\)M FPP or 5 \(\mu\)M Pio for 48 h. Total RNA was isolated and analysed by real-time monitoring RT–PCR. mRNA expression levels of each gene were normalized to the expression levels of the ribosomal 36B4 gene. The expression level of cells treated with the vehicle control is set at 100 % and relative expression levels are presented as the fold inductions over the vehicle control. (E) Rate of de novo fatty acid synthesis from glucose after chronic FPP treatment during adipocyte differentiation. 3T3-L1 cells were induced to differentiate and maintained with or without 1 \(\mu\)M FPP or 1 \(\mu\)M Pio for 10 days. Cells were analysed using \([^{13}\text{C}_6]\)glucose and the LC/MS system as described in the Materials and methods section. All of the values are means \(\pm\) S.E.M. for five or six tests. *\(P<0.05\), **\(P<0.01\) compared with vehicle controls.

Endogenous FPP might regulate PPAR\(\gamma\) activity during induction of adipocyte differentiation

To assess whether FPP produced endogenously affects adipocyte differentiation, we measured the aP2 expression level in the presence of inhibitors of the mevalonate pathway. We used two inhibitors, lovastatin for HMG-CoA
Figure 5  Endogenous FPP might regulate PPARγ activity during induction of adipocyte differentiation

(A) Intracellular FPP levels in NIH 3T3 cells treated with or without lovastatin (Lova) or zaragozic acid (ZA). NIH 3T3 cells treated with vehicle control, 10 μM Lova or 1 μM ZA for 48 h were extracted with butanol/75 mM ammonium hydroxide/ethanol (1:1.25:2.75, by vol.) and the intracellular FPP level was determined as described in the Materials and methods section. All of the values are means ± S.E.M. for three tests. (B) mRNA expression levels of aP2, LPL and adiponectin in 3T3-L1 cells treated with or without Lova or ZA. 3T3-L1 cells were induced to differentiate with vehicle control, Lova (5 or 10 μM) or ZA (0.5 or 1 μM) for 48 h. mRNA expression levels were determined as described in Figure 4. All the values are means ± S.E.M. for four to six tests. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 compared with vehicle controls.

***Figure 6  FPP promotes lipid accumulation during adipocyte differentiation

(A, B) 3T3-L1 cells were induced to differentiate with or without the indicated compounds for 48 h. The cells were incubated with or without the indicated compounds for an additional 2 days. The cells were fixed with formalin, and stained with Oil Red O. Microscopy views of representative 3T3-L1 cells (the original magnification is ×100) (A). Oil Red O was extracted from the cells with isopropyl alcohol and attenuation was measured at 490 nm (B). The levels of Oil Red O staining were corrected for the levels of non-specific binding levels of the stain to untreated cells. The values are means ± S.E.M. for four tests. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Finally, to investigate the effect of FPP on lipid accumulation during adipocyte differentiation, we performed Oil Red O staining. The addition of 1 μM FPP and zaragozic acid enhanced lipid accumulation during adipocyte differentiation (1.4- and 1.3-fold increases respectively; Figures 6A and 6B). This enhancement of lipid accumulation was cancelled in the presence of the PPARγ antagonist GW9662. Moreover, the lovastatin-induced decrease in lipid accumulation level was rescued by adding FPP, but not zaragozic acid. These findings suggest that FPP promotes lipid accumulation during adipocyte differentiation through PPARγ activation.

DISCUSSION

Isoprenoids make up a large group of essential molecules involved in diverse cellular processes including energy metabolism [10–14]. In all metazoan organisms, isoprenoids are produced via the (3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA) reductase catalysing the conversion of HMG-CoA into mevalonate, and zaragozic acid for squalene synthase catalysing the conversion of FPP into squalene (Figure 1A). As previously reported [29], in the presence of lovastatin, the intracellular FPP level decreased, whereas it increased in the presence of zaragozic acid in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts, which can differentiate to adipocytes by the ectopic expression of PPARγ [34] (Figure 5A). The intracellular FPP level decreased by 88.4 % in the presence of 10 μMLovastatin. On the other hand, 1 μM zaragozic acid induced a 49-fold increase in the intracellular FPP level. We then treated 3T3-L1 cells with these inhibitors during the induction of adipocyte differentiation, and measured the mRNA expression level of PPARγ target genes (aP2, LPL and adiponectin). As shown in Figure 5(B), the addition of 10 μM Lovastatin decreased the mRNA expression levels of PPARγ target genes (54 %, 38 % and 29 % decreases in aP2, LPL and adiponectin expression levels respectively). Conversely, these gene expression levels were increased by zaragozic acid in a dose-dependent manner; 1 μM zaragozic acid increased aP2, LPL and adiponectin mRNA expression levels by 2.5-, 1.6- and 1.5-fold respectively. These findings indicate that endogenous FPP can regulate PPARγ activity during the induction of adipocyte differentiation.
mevalonate pathway. Thus, in the present study, we assessed the effects of various mevalonate metabolites on PPARγ activity, which plays an important role in adipocyte differentiation. We have reported that one of the mevalonate metabolites, FPP, which is the precursor of almost all isoprenoids and is positioned at branch points leading to the synthesis of other longer-chain isoprenoids [35], enhances the expression levels of adipogenic genes, such as αP2, LPL, adiponectin and GLUT4, suggesting that the addition of FPP promoted adipocyte differentiation.

FPP plays an important role as the substrate of protein farnesylation reactions catalysed by FPTase [36]. Members of the Ras GTPase family are major substrates of post-translational modification by farnesylation, a process essential for their proper membrane localization and activation [37]. Previously, Klemm et al. [38] suggested that protein farnesylation is an essential process in adipocyte differentiation. Thus the increase in farnesylated protein level induced by FPP treatment might also contribute to the promoting effect of FPP on adipocyte differentiation. In our preliminary study, we tried the experiment using an inhibitor of farnesyltransferase (α-hydroxy farnesyl phosphonic acid) to elucidate the contribution of protein farnesylation. This inhibitor (1 μM) significantly inhibited the mRNA expression level of an adipogenic marker gene (αP2) during adipocyte differentiation, and this inhibitory effect was rescued by FPP treatment (1 μM). Under these conditions, it is suggested that the farnesyltransferase reaction hardly proceeds, because the binding ability of α-hydroxy farnesyl phosphonic acid to farnesyltransferase is 10-fold stronger than that of FPP [39]. These results might indicate that FPP induces adipogenic marker genes without affecting protein farnesylation. Besides serving as the substrate for post-translational modification, FPP has been reported to have several bioactivities as an endogenously produced ligand and this inhibitory effect was rescued by FPP treatment (1 μM).

Indeed, both glucose and lipid metabolisms of adipocytes were increased in parallel with adipocyte differentiation. These findings indicate that the isoprenoid and cholesterol biosynthesis pathways are flexibly regulated during adipocyte differentiation. Moreover, Camp et al. [47] reported that troglitazone and Pio have been reported to down-regulate SCD expression [48], whereas troglitazone and Pio have been reported to down-regulate SCD expression [49]. Thus it is likely that the increase in PPARγ-mediated gene expression levels in WAT is not always paralleled by amelioration of metabolic disorders, and that the agonist-dependent cofactor recruitment to PPARγ might be important for determining target genes. Further studies are required to elucidate the characteristics of FPP as a PPARγ agonist.

As previously reported [50], an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor, lovastatin, inhibited the induction of PPARγ target genes during adipocyte differentiation induction. Conversely, a squalene synthase inhibitor, zaragozic acid, promoted it. These findings indicate that mevalonate metabolites such as FPP are important for the regulation of adipocyte differentiation induction. As is the case for most anabolic pathways, isoprenoid biosynthesis involving the mevalonate pathway is regulated tightly in order to allow a constant production of various isoprenoid molecules and to avoid overaccumulation of toxic intermediates or products, such as cholesterol [51]. The feedback regulation of isoprenoid biosynthesis by cholesterol is achieved predominantly through the repression of transcription of genes that govern the synthesis of cholesterol, such as HMG-CoA reductase and HMG-CoA synthase [51]. This transcriptional regulation is performed by SREBPs (sterol-regulatory-element-binding proteins), which are transcription factors under the conditions of cholesterol starvation [51]. There is substantial evidence that most, if not all, enzymes of isoprenoid and cholesterol biosynthesis are under co-ordinated regulation by SREBPs, since the overexpression of these proteins in mice induces the expression of all enzymes involved in isoprenoid and cholesterol biosynthesis studied [52]. In the obese Zucker rat adipocytes, the expression level of the nuclear-active form of SREBP2 was found to be elevated compared with that in lean controls [53]. In agreement with that paper, in vivo experiments showed that cholesterol synthesis was found to be enhanced in the adipose tissue from obese rodents and humans [54]. In adipocyte differentiation, SREBP1 plays a key role [55] and the overexpression of SREBP1 in 3T3-L1-generated ligands for PPARγ, suggesting that PPARγ ligands are generated during isoprenoid and cholesterol biosyntheses in adipocytes [56]. In our preliminary study, the expression level of FPP synthase, which catalyses the conversion from geranyl pyrophosphate into FPP, was increased in parallel with adipocyte differentiation. These findings indicate that the isoprenoid and cholesterol biosynthesis pathways are flexibly regulated during adipocyte differentiation. Therefore there is a possibility that a regulatory mechanism for them is important for adipocyte differentiation. Although further studies are required, these papers raise the possibility that FPP stimulates PPARγ as an endogenously produced agonist.

In the present study, lovastatin treatment inhibited adipocyte differentiation. Although there have been a number of clinical reports indicating that statins affect insulin sensitivity, this is far from being an accepted fact in the field, with almost equal number of reports arguing against a direct impact on any parameters with respect to carbohydrate metabolism [57–60]. Much of the variability of observations is likely to be due to differences in the type of statin used, the duration of treatment and/or differences in patient populations. Even if statins inhibit
PPARγ activity in vivo, they do not necessarily decrease insulin-sensitivity, because not only the pharmacological activation of PPARγ induces by thiazolidinediones, but also the reduction in PPARγ activity prevents insulin resistance [61–64]. Thus, depending on certain conditions, the inhibitory effects of statins on adipocyte differentiation might be involved in the effects of statins on insulin sensitivity.

In conclusion, the present study indicates that FPP serves as an agonist of PPARγ in cultured adipocytes, and it might function as an endogenous regulator of adipocyte differentiation. The circulating FPP level in feeding dogs appears to be higher than that in fasting dogs, raising the possibility that it is affected by whole-body nutritional conditions [65]. Because the adipocyte differentiation process is closely related to obesity and obesity-associated metabolic disorders, FPP might be implicated in the pathogenesis of these metabolic diseases.
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