Residual Chemoresponsiveness to Acids in the Superior Laryngeal Nerve in “Taste-Blind” (P2X2/P2X3 Double-KO) Mice
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Abstract

Mice lacking both the P2X2 and the P2X3 purinergic receptors (P2X-dbKO) exhibit loss of responses to all taste qualities in the taste nerves innervating the tongue. Similarly, these mice exhibit a near total loss of taste-related behaviors in brief access tests except for a near-normal avoidance of acidic stimuli. This persistent avoidance of acids despite the loss of gustatory neural responses to sour was postulated to be due to continued responsiveness of the superior laryngeal (SL) nerve. However, chemoresponses of the larynx are attributable both to taste buds and to free nerve endings. In order to test whether the SL nerve of P2X-dbKO mice remains responsive to acids but not to other tastants, we recorded responses from the SL nerve in wild-type (WT) and P2X-dbKO mice. WT mice showed substantial SL responses to monosodium glutamate, sucrose, urea, and denatonium—all of which were essentially absent in P2X-dbKO animals. In contrast, the SL nerve of P2X-dbKO mice exhibited near-normal responses to citric acid (50 mM) although responsiveness of both the chorda tympani and the glossopharyngeal nerves to this stimulus were absent or greatly reduced. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that the residual avoidance of acidic solutions by P2X-dbKO mice may be attributable to the direct chemosensitivity of nerve fibers innervating the laryngeal epithelium and not to taste.
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Introduction

The palatability of foods and liquids taken into the mouth depends on sensory qualities extracted by multiple chemosensory and mechanosensory modalities of the oral and nasal cavities. The vaporous components of foods reflux through the nasopharynx to activate olfactory neurons and free nerve endings of the nasal cavity. The solid and liquid components of foods can stimulate taste buds or free nerve endings in the oropharynx. The sensations arising from taste bud cells are limited to the 5 basic tastes: salt, sweet, sour, umami, and bitter. Other sensations of flavor, for example, spiciness or irritation, are attributable to other modalities, including free nerve endings arising from the trigeminal, glossopharyngeal (GL), and vagus nerves. Thus, a potential item of food might be rejected because of an aversive taste, for example, being too bitter, or because of pain or irritation produced by activation of free nerve endings, for example, a hot pepper might be too hot.

The sense of taste plays a major role in acceptance or rejection of potential food items. In general, sweet and umami tastes evoke positive responses (increased consumption), whereas bitter or sour tastes are aversive. Salty has a mixed hedonic according to concentration. We recently investigated a line of knockout mice that lack 2 purinergic receptors, P2X2 and P2X3 (P2X2/P2X3 double-KO mice; P2X-dbKO) that
are expressed on taste nerves. In these P2X-dblKO mice, all taste functions are greatly reduced or absent (Finger et al. 2005). Specifically, the 2 taste nerves innervating taste buds on the tongue (chorda tympani [CT] and GL) in P2X-dblKO mice are almost completely unresponsive to all applied tastants, including sugars (sweet), monosodium glutamate (umami), denatonium benzoate (bitter), NaCl (salty), and citric acid and HCl (sour). In keeping with this lack of neural response, P2X-dblKO mice are also unable to recognize or respond to tastants in brief access tests and conditioned taste aversion paradigms (Eddy et al. 2009; Hallock et al. 2009).

The singular exception to this is that P2X-dblKO animals retain a near-normal avoidance of acidic solutions despite the lack of neural response to acids in the taste nerves innervating the tongue (Finger et al. 2005). Because all taste buds in the oropharynx appear, on anatomical criteria, to depend similarly on the presence of purinergic signaling mechanisms, including P2X2 and/or P2X3 (Finger et al. 2005), we conjectured that avoidance of acidic solutions by the P2X-dblKO mice might be due to the ability of acid (sour) solutions to activate chemosensitive ion channels on the free nerve endings of the posterior oral cavity, larynx, and oropharynx.

The lingual face of the larynx and epiglottis is heavily invested with peptidergic, fibers displaying immunoreactivity for transient receptor potential vanilloid-1 (TrpV1) (Yoshida et al. 2000; Kitagawa et al. 2002; Uno et al. 2004), and other chemosensitive Trp channels (Peyret et al. Gachons et al. 2011). Sour (acidic) substances activate nerve fibers of the superior laryngeal (SL) nerve (Dickman and Smith, 1988) to evoke reflex swallowing in a concentration-dependent fashion (threshold about 5mM for citric acid; Kajii et al. 2002). Because TrpV1 channels respond to acids as well as capsaicin and other irritant chemicals (Arai et al., 2010), we reasoned that acidic solutions taken into the mouth might be detected by these laryngeal and epiglottal nerve fibers to trigger avoidance responses in the absence of a functional taste system in the P2X-dblKO mice. In order to test this possibility, we compared responses of the lingual taste nerves (CT and GL) and the SL nerve, which innervates both taste buds and free nerve endings in the larynx, in wild-type (WT) and P2X-dblKO mice. Because the larynx contains taste buds as well as free nerve endings, we predicted that the taste component of the neural response would be absent in the P2X-dblKO mice, whereas activity arising from the free nerve endings would be intact. Moreover, the GL, but not the CT, contains polymodal nociceptor fibers that innervate the oral mucosa. These mucosal fibers should be relatively unaffected by genetic deletion of P2X2 and P2X3. Thus, acids may also directly activate nerve fibers through the agency of acid-gated ion channels (Olson et al. 1998; Ichikawa and Sugimoto 2002; Fukuda et al. 2006). Accordingly, we also wanted to compare the responsiveness of the CT, a pure taste nerve, with that of the GL, which contains both taste and general mucosal afferents.

Materials and methods

Animals

We utilized 3 lines of mice for these experiments. For the anatomical studies, we used a line expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the control of the transient receptor potential melastatin-5 (TrpM5) promoter. These mice, generated by R. Margolskee and S. Demak, are described in detail (Clapp et al. 2006) and have been utilized in many previous investigations on chemosensory systems. The TrpM5-GFP construct contained 5’–3’: 11 kb of mouse TrpM5 5’-flanking sequence, TrpM5 Exon 1 (untranslated), Introns 1, and the untranslated part of Exon 2, and eGFP. The TrpM5-driven GFP appears faithful to TrpM5 protein expression and is a convenient marker for taste buds as well as solitary chemosensory cells (Yoshida et al. 2000; Perez et al. 2002; Clapp et al. 2006; Kaske et al. 2007; Lin et al. 2008; Tizzano et al. 2011). For electrophysiological studies, we employed B6; 129-P2rx2tm1Ckn/P2rx3tm1Ckn (P2X-dblKO; n = 34) mice of either sex, along with the control WT line (n = 61) of similar background (mixed C57Bl6 and Ola) as in the previous studies (Finger et al. 2005; Eddy et al. 2009; Hallock et al. 2009; Stratford and Finger 2011). All housing and handling procedures were under the guidelines of either the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) of University of Colorado Denver (Aurora, CO) or the committee for Laboratory Animal Care and Use at Kyushu University (Fukuoka, Japan).

Anatomical studies

In order to assess whether the degree of innervation of the larynx and pharynx were similar in WT and P2X-dblKO mice, animals of each genotype were prepared for immunohistochemistry for protein gene product 9.5 (ubiquitin-C-terminal hydrolase 1) to reveal total innervation or for calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) to reveal peptidergic innervation, an indicator of capsaicin-sensitive (TrpV1-expressing) nerve fibers (Silver et al. 1991; Ishida et al. 2002; Gulbransen et al. 2008), largely polymodal nociceptors.

The mice were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg intraperitoneally; Ovation Pharmaceuticals Inc.) and perfused transcardially first with 0.9% saline and then with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. The larynx and nearby pharynx and esophagus were removed and post-fixed for 2-6 h before being transferred to buffer containing 20% sucrose as a cryoprotectant. The tissue blocks were frozen onto a cryostat chuck with OCT (Optimal Cutting Temperature compound; Fisher Scientific) and sectioned onto slides at a thickness of 15–20 μm. After being allowed to air dry for 8 h or longer, the slides were washed in buffer and then treated for immunocytochemistry. Following treatment in 1% normal goat serum in 0.1 M phosphate buffer with 0.3% Triton X 100 detergent, the sections were incubated overnight in primary antisera directed
against either PGP or CGRP (PGP9.5/rb, AbdSerotec, lot # 060810, code 7863-0504, 1:500; CGRP/rb, Bachem, lot A06256, code t-4032). Following 3 brief rinses, the tongue was exposed to secondary antiserum (1:400; Alexa Fluor 568, goat anti-rabbit, Invitrogen, code A11036 lot 757107) for 1–3 h. Following buffer washes, the sections either were counterstained with 4',6-diamino-2-phenylindole or NeuroTrace 640/660 deep-red fluorescent Nissl stain (Invitrogen cat # N21483) before coverslipping.

Images were acquired either on an Olympus Fluoview laser scanning confocal microscope using a ×20 oil immersion objective or with a monochrome Q-imaging camera on an Olympus BX41TF microscope with dry ×10, ×20, and ×40 objectives.

Recording from the CT, GL, and SL nerves

The detailed procedures for recording from the 3 nerves are given in Arai et al. (2010). In brief, under sodium pentobarbital anesthesia (40–50 mg/kg of body weight), the trachea of each animal was cannulated, and the mouse was then fixed in the supine position with a head holder to allow dissection of each of the nerves. The right CT nerve was exposed at its exit from the lingual nerve by removal of internal pterygoid muscle. Then, the CT nerve was dissected free from surrounding tissues and cut at the point of its entry to the bulla. Similarly, the right GL nerve was exposed by removal of the digastric muscle and posterior horn of the hyoid bone. The GL nerve then was dissected free from underlying tissues and cut near its entrance to the posterior lacerated foramen. To access the SL nerve, the sternohyoid and omohyoid muscles were retracted and tied. The right SL nerve was then dissected free from surrounding connective tissue and was cut close to its junction with the vagus nerve. For each nerve, the entire nerve was placed on a silver wire electrode and an indifferent electrode was positioned nearby in the wound. Neural responses induced by chemical stimulation of the tongue or throat was fed into an amplifier (Iyodenshikogaku K-1; total amplification of amplifier and integrator = ×100 000), monitored on an oscilloscope and an audio monitor. Whole-nerve responses were integrated with a time constant of 1.0 s and recorded on a computer for later analysis using PowerLab system (PowerLab/sp4; AD Instruments). The response magnitude was obtained by subtraction of the mean voltage prior to the stimulus (base line) from the mean voltage after taste stimulus.

Chemical stimulation

Chemical stimulation of the lingual taste fields was accomplished by flowing solutions across the anterior part of the tongue (for CT recording) or across the posterior part of the tongue (for GL recording) via an incision at the corner of the mouth. Test solutions flowed for ~30 s (for CT recording) or ~60 s (for GL recording). Between successive stimuli presentations, the tongue was rinsed with distilled water (DW) during the interval of ~60 s (for CT recording) or ~120 s (for GL recording). All test and rinse solutions flowed at a rate of ~0.1 mL/s.

Chemical stimulation of the pharynx was accomplished after removal of much of the epiglottis and other ventral laryngeal cartilage allowing direct visualization of the upper part of the larynx. Furthermore, removal of much of the epiglottis minimized the mechanical responses of the organ allowing for more distinctive chemical responses. Stimulus solutions were then applied by pipette and allowed to stand for ~30 s before being flushed away with a wash of 150 mM NaCl. The NaCl solution rinse was allowed to stand on the tissue during the interval of ~60 s between stimulus applications because the SL nerve shows a robust response to DW as this tissue normally is bathed in saliva or mucus containing isotonic concentrations of NaCl (Dickman and Smith 1988; Smith and Hanamori 1991).

A series of standard tastants including 3 acids were used to assess function of the responsiveness of each nerve. Table 1 lists solutions and concentrations used in the experiment. In addition, the SL nerve response in some animals was tested with Urea (300 mM), l-menthol (10 mM), monopotassium glutamate (MPG; 1000 mM), denatonium benzoate (denatonium; 10 mM), and caffeine (100 mM) to examine their response characteristics in order to better understand the function of the nerve. Because P2X-dblKO mice are severely deficient in their responses to all taste stimuli, normalization to function of the nerve. Because P2X-dblKO mice are severely deficient in their responses to all taste stimuli, normalization to a chemical stimulus was impossible. Therefore, the response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 List of solutions used in experiments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l-Menthol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denatonium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All solutions were dissolved in water except for the citric acid concentration series used for SL nerve data which were dissolved in 150 mM saline.*
magnitudes for CT nerve in both WT and P2X-dblKO were
normalized to electrical stimulation (ES); those for the GL
erve were normalized to the response to cold (−4°C) 10 mM
l-menthol; and those for the SL nerve were normalized to
the response to DW. The procedures of ES were described
previously (Yasumatsu et al. 2003, 2007).

Briefly, an Ag/AgCl electrode was placed on the inside wall
of the flow chamber or was placed directly on the tongue
when necessary. An Ag/AgCl indifferent electrode was posi-
tioned in nearby tissue. Anodal current was passed through
the tongue from a ramp current generator (Denis-Sekkei).
To ensure reliable electrical conductance, the bathing med-
ium used during the current stimulation was 1 mM NaCl
(Ninomiya and Funakoshi 1981). Previous studies demon-
strated that anodal current with an intensity of 20 μA (rate
of raise, 100 μA/s and duration, ~20 s) provoked robust re-
sponses in the CT at 2 weeks after nerve crush, despite no
significant neural responses to taste stimuli (Yasumatsu
et al. 2003, 2007). Thus, this ES paradigm directly activates
erve fibers without the necessity for taste bud function.
Therefore, we used the response to anodal current (20 μA,
rate of raise, 100 μA/s and duration, ~20 s) as the standard
to calculate relative magnitudes of CT nerve response to each
chemical stimulus (Finger et al. 2005).

The GL nerve of P2X-dblKO mice exhibits little or no re-
response to taste stimuli but still retains robust responses to
somatosensory stimuli (e.g., touch, low temperature and
menthol solutions; Finger et al. 2005). Thus, we used the re-
sponse to cold 10 mM l-menthol as the standard to calculate
relative magnitudes of response to each chemical stimulus.

For the response measurements of the SL nerve, DW is
used frequently as a standard stimulus because SL nerve fi-
bers are highly responsive to DW (Shingai 1980; Shingai and
Beidler 1985; Smith and Hanamori 1991). Furthermore, in
our preliminary experiments, we found that the responses
to DW did not differ significantly between the WT and
P2X-dblKO mice. Thus, we used the response to DW as
the standard to calculate relative magnitudes of response
to each chemical stimulus.

Data analysis

For the analysis of whole-nerve responses to each stimulus,
the magnitude of the integrated response at 5, 10, 15, 20, and
25 s (CT nerve), at 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, and 45 s (GL nerve),
or at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 s (SL nerve) after stimulus onset
were measured and averaged. In case of ES, we measured
6, 9, 12, 15, and 18 s after stimulus onset to select at least
5 points because the stimulus was recorded for 20 s. With
the use of these average values, relative response magnitude
(averaged) for each test stimulus was calculated, with the re-
sponse magnitude to ES (CT nerve), cold 10 mM l-menthol
(GL nerve) or DW (SL nerve) defined as a unity (1.0). These
relative response values were used for all statistical analysis.

Data are presented as group means ± the standard error
and were analyzed using appropriate 2-way repeated meas-
ures analyses of variance (ANOVAs; Statistica; StatSoft).
The concentrations of tastants tested were not entirely con-
served between the CT and GL nerve recordings and different
stimuli were used to normalize responses in these 2 nerves.
Thus, statistical analysis of data from each of these nerves
was made with separate 2-way repeated measure ANOVAs
with strain (WT vs. P2X-dblKO) as the between-group fac-
tor and taste solution concentration as the within-group fac-
tor for responses to each stimulus (acetic acid, NaCl, etc.).
Because not all stimulus concentrations were used in all
preparations, statistical analyses (ANOVA and difference
tests) utilized only a subset of the data, where complete or
nearly complete series were available. However, means
and standard errors were calculated for the full data set as
shown in all figures. Because the SL nerve was largely unre-
sponsive to lower concentrations of most taste stimuli (data
not shown), data analysis of SL neural responses to only the
highest concentration of each taste solution were made using
a 2-way repeated measure ANOVA with taste solution as
a within-group factor and strain as the between-group fac-
tor. Moreover, the SL nerve responds robustly to water,
which served as a response standard to which all SL neural
responses were normalized. Therefore, we also compared re-
sponses of the SL nerve with a range of concentrations of
citric acid mixed in 150 mM NaCl using a 2-way repeated
measures ANOVA with strain as a between subjects factor
and concentration as a within-subjects factor. Tukey’s hon-
est significant difference tests were used to assess statistically
significant (P < 0.05) main effects or interactions. In addition
to using post hoc analyses to compare differences between
WT and P2X-dblKO mice, we also utilized these statistical
analyses to calculate the threshold for CT and GL neural re-
sponse to each taste, defined as the concentration of tastant
whose response was significantly greater than the response to
the lowest concentration for each taste in each nerve.

Results

In both WT and P2X-dblKO animals, there was dense inner-
vation of the laryngeal epithelium, especially including the oral
face of the arytenoids (as reported previously for various spe-
cies including rodents, Uno et al. 2004, and cats Yoshida et al.
2000). Fine caliber nerve terminals enter the epithelium to ter-
minate, often as slight terminal varicosities at or within a few
micra of the epithelial surface (white arrowheads, Figure 1).

Taste nerve responses

Recordings from the CT and GL nerves showed minimal re-
sponses to classical taste stimuli in the nerves of P2X-dblKO
mice in contrast to the typical robust responses from the nerves
of WT mice (Figures 2–4) as reported previously (Finger et al.
2005). The current study used a more extensive taste battery
than the previous study but the findings are similar. None of
the acids tested - acetic, citric, or HCl - evoked a significant
taste nerve response in the CT nerve at low or moderate
Acid Responses in “taste-blind” Mice

concentrations (≤10 mM for the weak acids and ≤3 mM for HCl) but did evoke noticeable activity at high concentrations although still significantly less than in WT animals (Figure 3; for acetic, citric, and HCl acids, respectively, effect of strain, $F_{1,10} = 2.1, 134.8, 9.4$, all $P’s < 0.05$; effect of concentration, $F_{2–4,20} = 24.9, 72.4, 16.5$, all $P’s < 0.05$; strain × concentration interaction, $F_{2–4,20–40} = 19.2, 56.7, 8.5$, all $P’s < 0.05$ in all tastants). The acids did, however, evoke a low to moderate response in the GL nerve at mid concentrations, although still significantly less than in WT animals (Figure 4; for acetic, citric, and HCl acids, respectively, effect of strain, $F_{1,6–10} = 6.8, 354.1, 7.1$, all $P’s < 0.05$; effect of concentration, $F_{2–4,20–28} = 26.8, 31.9, 46.6$, all $P’s < 0.05$; strain × concentration interaction, $F_{2–4,20–28} = 5.6, 11.5, 18.2$, all $P’s < 0.05$ in all tastants). For nonacidic tastants, responses in the CT and GL nerves of P2X-dbKO animals were absent or significantly reduced even at the highest concentrations tested. The difference in responsiveness of the CT and GL nerves to acids may be attributable to the mixed nature of the GL nerve (taste plus general epithelial innervation), whereas the CT is a pure taste nerve.

SL nerve responses

As reported by others (Hanamori and Smith 1986; Dickman and Smith 1988), the SL nerve of WT mice responded to concentrated solutions of most taste-related substances (Figures 2

---

**Figure 1** Fluorescence micrographs of longitudinal sections through the trachea of (A) WT and (B) P2X-dbKO mice showing similar dense epithelial innervation (arrowheads) of the oral face of the arytinoids as revealed by PGP-immunoreactivity (red). In panel A, a taste bud (tb) is identifiable by the presence of a taste cell labeled by TrpM5-driven GFP. Panel A: Nomarski image. B: Counterstained with Neurotrace Green which is pseudocolored blue.

**Figure 2** Representative traces of nerve recordings from the CT, GL, and SL nerves. Note that responses to most conventional tastants (MSG, sucrose, NaCl, and citric acid) are greatly reduced or absent in the CT and GL nerves of P2X-dbKO mice compared with WT animals. In the SL nerve, responses to acids, KCl and QHCl, remain robust, although responses to the classical tastants MSG and sucrose are greatly reduced compared with WT.
Figure 3  Relative responses of the CT nerve in WT. WT = solid lines and filled circles and P2X-dblKO = dotted lines and open circles. The CT is a pure taste nerve and shows virtually no responses to classical tastants except at the highest concentrations. Responses normalized to ES. The gray boxes highlight the lowest concentration of each stimulus that evokes a statistically significant response in WT mice; the corresponding pH values of the different acids are given for reference. Values indicated are expressed as mean ± SE. Number of subjects are 6–16 for WT mice and 7 for KO mice. *P < 0.05; Tukey’s post hoc.

Figure 4  Relative responses of the GL nerve in WT (solid lines and filled circles) and P2X-dblKO (dotted lines and open circles) mice. The GL is a mixed nerve containing both taste and general epithelial fibers, including polymodal nociceptors. Like the CT, the GL shows greatly reduced responsiveness to nonirritant tastants, including MSG, sucrose, and QHCl. Ionic solutions, NaCl, KCl, and NH₄Cl, and acids show some residual responses but are still significantly reduced compared with WT. Responses normalized to cold 10 mM l-menthol. The shaded boxes highlight the lowest concentration of each stimulus that evokes a statistically significant response in each strain; the corresponding pH values of the different acids are given for reference. Values indicated are expressed as mean ± SE. Number of subjects are 14–23 for WT mice and 5–9 for KO mice. *P < 0.05; Tukey’s post hoc.
and 5). Responses were particularly robust to acetic acid, citric acid, KCl, NH4Cl, and monosodium glutamate (MSG). In contrast to WT mice, P2X-dbKO mice showed diminished responses to KCl, NH4Cl, MSG, and sucrose (post hoc analysis of the significant strain × taste solution interaction, $F(13, 148) = 2.3, P < 0.05$) but relatively normal responses to acetic acid, citric acid, HCl, NaCl, and quinine hydrochloride (QHCl; all $P's = 0.7–0.9$). The normally robust responses to MPG, urea, and denatonium were also significantly reduced or absent in the P2X-dbKO mice (all $P's < 0.05$), but responses to l-menthol and caffeine were not different than WT controls ($P's = 0.7$ and 0.9, respectively; Figure 5). Because the SL nerve is strongly responsive to water, which served as a response standard, we also compared responses of the SL nerve with a range of concentrations of citric acid mixed in 150 mM saline (Figure 6). The SL nerve showed a concentration-dependent response to citric acid (effect of concentration, $F(4, 36) = 14.9, P < 0.05$) that was not different between WT and P2X-dbKO mice (effect of strain, $F(1, 9) = 0.04, P = 0.8$). In summary, in the P2X-dbKO mice, the SL nerve responses to acids were substantially intact, whereas responses to typical bitter, umami, or sweet tastants were eliminated or significantly reduced. The threshold for this residual responsiveness to acidic stimuli (20 mM) is near the avoidance threshold for both the WT and the P2X-dbKO mice. Thus, laryngeal sensitivity to acids may underlie much of the avoidance of acidic stimuli in brief access tests by the P2X-dbKO mice.

**Discussion**

The sense of taste guards the entrance to the alimentary canal and guides animals to reject foods that are perceived as too bitter or too sour. Detection of these taste qualities is usually attributed to taste buds which display specific receptor mechanisms for bitter, via the G protein-coupled receptor-coupled family of taste receptor type 2 (T2R) receptors, and for sour, probably involving an apical proton conductance (Chang et al. 2010).

Despite the diversity of receptors and transduction cascades, transmission of taste information from the taste cells to the taste nerves requires functional P2X purinergic receptors (Finger et al. 2005). In the CT nerve, a pure taste nerve, mice lacking P2X2 and P2X3 receptors show essentially no responses to all classes of tastants including acids (sour; current results and Finger et al. 2005). This study also extends this severe deficiency in taste responsiveness in P2X-dbKO mice to the SL nerve, suggesting that transmission of taste information from taste buds is severely compromised in all taste fields in these knockouts.

In keeping with the essential role for purinergic signaling in transmission of taste information to the nerve fibers, the SL nerve of P2X-dbKO mice is nonresponsive to the taste qualities of umami (MSG), sweet (sucrose), and bitter (denatonium and urea) although it shows strong responses to acids. In addition, the SL nerve of the P2X-dbKO mice continues to exhibit significant responses to a variety of substances, including KCl, QHCl, menthol, MPG, and caffeine. These residual responses suggest that nontaste bud–mediated mechanisms may underlie to responsiveness to these substances. For example, in the SL nerve of P2X-dbKO animals, MSG is relatively ineffective at evoking neural responses, whereas MPG is effective. Therefore, the potassium ion must be the key to the response of the SL—an inference supported...
by KCl being a relatively potent stimulus for that nerve. In fact, activation by MPG may be attributable to the K\(^+\) ion acting directly to activate free nerve endings in the epithelium rather than acting via a taste bud–mediated transduction.

For nearly all taste modalities, the lack of neural response in the P2X-dblKO mice is mirrored by a lack of behavioral responsiveness to potential tastants in short-term intake tests (Finger et al. 2005; Eddy et al. 2009; Hallock et al. 2009). The residual behavioral avoidance of acidic solutions seemed enigmatic given the nearly total absence of taste responses to these substances in the CT nerve. The current study suggests that this behavioral responsiveness may be attributable to persistent acid sensitivity of SL and GL nerves and likely acid sensitivity of the trigeminal nerve in the P2X-dblKO animals.

**Neural responses to acids**

Sensory nerve fibers themselves can be responsive to acids applied to an epithelial surface. Most peripheral nerves, including the trigeminal nerve, possess polymodal nociceptors, which respond to acidification as well as to tissue damage and extreme temperatures (Bryant and Silver 2000; Liu and Simon 2000). The GL and SL nerves, unlike the CT nerve, contain polymodal nociceptors that innervate the lingual and oropharyngeal epithelium (Terenghi et al. 1986; Miyazaki et al. 1999; Yoshida et al. 2000; Hayakawa et al. 2010). The peptidergic nociceptive fibers, which contain substance P and CGRP, are sensitive to capsaicin (Nagy et al. 1981) and express the TrpV1 capsaicin receptor (Ishida et al. 2002). Furthermore, a significant proportion of the GL and SL response to acids in WT mice is attributable to the acid sensitivity of the TrpV1 receptor (Arai et al. 2010). Similarly, the residual response of the GL nerve to acids in P2X-dblKO mice is likely to be due to activation of the Trp receptors on the polymodal nociceptors of the nerves. The fact that a significant neural response to citric acid occurs in the GL nerve of P2X-dblKO animals only at higher concentrations (100 mM citric acid) and not at taste thresholds (Scalera, 2004; 3–10 mM for CT and GL response to citric acid; this study and Stratford and Contreras 2009) suggests the residual response is largely due to acid-gated channels on the nerve fibers themselves rather than through taste cell–mediated transduction. In the circumvallate papillae, CGRP- (and by association, TrpV1-) expressing nerve fibers heavily innervate not only the perigemmal epithelium, as in anterior tongue, but also extend numerous branches within the taste bud proper (Finger 1986; Huang et al. 2003). Activation of a weak acid, for example, citric acid, to the surface of the epithelium acidifies the full depth of the tissue nearly down to the basement membrane (Richter et al. 2003). Accordingly, intragemmal fibers with acid-gated ion channels are likely to respond directly to the acid without the need for taste cell transduction. We suggest that the residual GL response to weak acids in the P2X-dblKO mice is attributable to such acid-sensitive nerve fibers.

Even so, it seems unlikely that the near-normal behavioral avoidance of citric acid by P2X-dblKO mice (to 30 mM in 2-bottle tests; Finger et al. 2005) can be attributed to the small (<20%) residual GL response to high concentrations (>30 mM) of this substance. Rather, we suggest that the acid-responsiveness of the lingual trigeminal or SL nerves may largely underlie acid avoidance by P2X-dblKO animals. In support of this, the SL nerve response to citric acid is virtually identical to that of the WT over the critical concentration range (10–100 mM). Thus, the normal behavioral avoidance of citric acid by P2X-dblKO animals matches the near-normal response in the SL nerve.

The GL nerve provides dense peptidergic epithelial innervation to the oral face of the larynx and outer faces of the arytenoids (Figure 1 and Yoshida et al. 2000; Koike et al. 2004). Most peripheral pain fibers, including those of the vagus and GL nerves (Fukuda et al. 2006), possess acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs) as well as pH-sensitive Trp channels (Leffler et al. 2006). The ASIC channels tend to open at a pH slightly lower than neutral, that is, in the range of pH 5.0–7.0, although ASIC 2A gates at a much lower pH (Wu et al. 2004; Blanchard and Kellenberger 2011). Because the GL and SL nerves only respond at much lower pH, for example, pH 2.5–3.5, we suggest that most of the neural response to acid is attributable to non-ASIC mechanisms, most likely pH-sensitive Trp channels. Many free nerve endings express the capsaicin receptor, TrpV1 (Tominaga et al. 1998; Okano et al. 2006), which is gated by low pH as well as temperature and capsaicin (Tominaga et al. 1998). Indeed, Arai et al. (2010) showed that the bulk of the response of the SL to acetic acid is blocked by the TrpV1 antagonist, iodo-resiniferatoxin. Similarly, more than half of the response of the GL nerve to acetic acid is blocked by this TrpV1 antagonist. In somatic pain nerves, TrpV1 is responsible for the bulk of pH sensitivity down to a pH of ~5 (Leffler et al. 2006). However, the acid solutions we utilized have a pH range substantially lower than that (~3.3). Therefore, the presence of TrpV1 receptors on free nerve endings of these nerves does not fully explain the responsiveness to citric acid in the P2X-dblKO mice. Moreover, that the TrpV1 antagonist does not substantially reduce the response of the SL to citric acid or HCl, implies that these acids are likely acting via another mechanism. TrpA1 is another good candidate for neural responses to acids, in that, it is responsive to intracellular acidification by weak acids, such as acetic acid, but is nonresponsive to extracellular acidification as would occur from strong acids (Wang et al. 2011). Because TrpA1 is coexpressed in a subset of TrpV1-expressing ganglion cells, both channels may underlie the acid sensitivity of free nerve endings in the oropharyngeal mucosa.

In summary, our results show substantial responsiveness to weak acids in the SL nerve of P2X-dblKO mice. In the taste-specific CT nerve, these same acidic stimuli are ineffective at producing a response suggesting that the residual SL response may be attributable to the many free nerve endings...
in the laryngeal epithelium. Similarly, the GL nerve of P2X-dblKO mice shows significant albeit reduced responses to weak acids, that is also likely due to the presence of general mucosal nontaste fibers in that nerve. This residual sensitivity to acid stimuli in both GL and SL nerves likely accounts for the continued avoidance of acidic solutions by the P2X-dblKO mice even in the absence of a functional taste system.
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