Effect of Acute Stress on Taste Perception: In Relation with Baseline Anxiety Level and Body Weight
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Abstract
We aimed to determine the effect of acute stress on taste perception and its modulation in relation to body weight and baseline anxiety in this study. The anxiety of the participants, randomly allocated to stress (n = 35) or control (n = 16) groups, was assessed by State Trait Anxiety Inventory. Stroop color-word interference and cold pressor tests were applied as stress protocol. Glucose and salt taste detection thresholds were evaluated before and after the stress protocol in the stress group and corresponding times in the control group. Stress protocol increased heart rate and blood pressure as an indicator of stress system activation. Following stress glucose and salt thresholds decreased in the stress group, unchanged in the control group. Prestress salt thresholds were positively and decrements in salt thresholds were negatively correlated with trait anxiety scores of participants. The state anxiety levels of stress group positively correlated with the decrease in glucose thresholds. Waist-to-hip ratio was negatively correlated with prestress salt thresholds of the subjects. Our results revealed that thresholds for sweet and salty tastes are modulated during stressful conditions. Our data also demonstrated a relationship between taste perception and baseline anxiety levels of healthy individuals, which may be important to understand the appetite alterations in individuals under stressful conditions.
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Introduction
Stress is the response of our body to emotional or physical threats and the stressors initiate a stress response that temporarily changes certain physiological functions in the body. These changes are associated with increased activity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis that leads to the release of glucocorticoids, mainly cortisol, and sympathetic nervous system (SNS) where activation results with the release of noradrenaline and adrenaline (Axelrod and Reisine 1984). One of the physiological changes as a response to acute stress is altered food and energy intake (Oliver et al. 2000; Wardle et al. 2000; Zellner et al. 2006; Newman et al. 2007; Al’Absi et al. 2012). Both losing appetite (Charmandari et al. 2005; Adam and Epel 2007) and overeating (Gluck et al. 2004; García-Prieto et al. 2007; Newman et al. 2007) were reported in response to acute stress exposure depending on the type, duration and magnitude of the stimulus.

Taste perception plays a major role in appetite and food choices but the effect of stress on taste sensitivity has not been investigated extensively in humans. Taste perception is determined by genetic, hormonal and metabolic factors. It can also be influenced by psychological conditions of the individual. It was demonstrated that the perceived duration of bitter, sour and sweet taste perceptions was shortened; the total amounts of bitter, sour and sweet tastes were reduced following mental stress (Nakagawa et al. 1996). Another study has reported that normal individuals who were exposed to stress were shown to have increased sensitivity to the bitter taste of saccharin (Dess and Edelheit 1998). There are also studies linking different affective states and anxiety to taste (DeMet et al. 1989; Miller and Naylor 1989; Al’Absi et al. 2012). Higher recognition thresholds for sucrose and altered suprathreshold measures of sucrose taste intensity and pleasantness were reported in depressed patients (Steiner et al. 1969; Amsterdam et al. 1987). A recent study pointed out the relation between acute stress and reduced sweet, sour and salty taste perception (Al’Absi et al. 2012). Taken these data together, it is apparent that taste perception is related with affect and stress response.
On the other hand, taste perception may influence eating behavior and hence body weight; and body weight changes may cause further modulations on taste perception (Woschnagg et al. 2002). Several studies have tried to elucidate the relationship between taste perception and obesity. Some of them failed to show this relationship, which mostly focused on sweet taste (Malcolm et al. 1980; Frijters and Rasmussen-Conrad 1982; Grinker et al. 1986; Anderson 1995). Whereas some other studies have shown a relationship between different taste thresholds and body weight (Bartoshuk et al. 2006; Simchen et al. 2006; Pasquet et al. 2007; Sartor et al. 2011). These limited and conflicting results led us to examine the relationship between body mass index (BMI) and taste perception as the second aim of this study.

On this background, we aimed to determine the effect of acute stress and body weight on sweet and salty taste detection thresholds and to investigate the correlation between baseline anxiety levels and perception of taste.

Materials and methods

Participants

Volunteers responding to a public announcement in the University participated to the study. They have given their informed consent and the experimental protocol designed in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki was also approved by Ethical Commission of Hacettepe University. The participants were healthy, nonsmoking volunteers having no history of any systemic disease and use of medication. Exclusion criteria were chronic illness, history of eating disorders and current dieting, high State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) scores as indicated below. All participants completed a self-administered questionnaire (STAI) before the experimental protocol.

General characteristics of the groups are given in Table 1. There were 35 participants (19 females and 16 males) in the stress group and 16 participants (9 females and 7 males) in the control group. Ages of both groups ranged from 18 to 21 years. BMI of the stress group was 23 ± 0.4 kg m−2, with three overweight participants (two women and one man). BMI of the control group was 22 ± 0.7 and only one of the participants was overweight. Mean age and BMI of the genders were comparable in both groups, but the waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) of males was higher than females ($P < 0.01$). The participants scored within the normal range of STAI ($40$ for STAI-S and STAI-T) were included in the study.

Taste perception test

Each tastant (glucose and sodium chloride) was diluted with distilled water that was also used for rinsing mouth after each solution. The solutions (Table 2) were stored at +4 °C and before the taste perception test they were allowed to reach room temperature. Plastic cups were used to present the solutions and each cup was marked with a code, by which both the participant and the tester were blinded to the content. The taste perception test was done between 11.30 am and 13.00 pm in a fasted state on weekdays. The participants were asked to have a light breakfast on the test day and refrain from eating, drinking, except for water, and exercise after breakfast until the experimental protocol.

The taste perception test was a stair-case method modified from Cornsweet (1962). Taste detection thresholds for glucose and sodium chloride (NaCl) were determined by this method. Each participant was informed about the set of taste categories he or she could be faced with (such as salty and sweet) but the test was carried out in blind conditions (the order of presentation of the solutions was not known by the participants). Two series of solutions were presented in a random order. The solutions were offered in increasing concentrations. The participants took the solutions from the cups with a 10-mL plastic spoon. The solutions were swirled in the mouth to allow it to reach all parts of the mouth cavity and not swallowed. The mouth was washed with distilled water after tasting each solution and there were 30 s intervals between successive solutions. Once the taste of

| Table 1 | General characteristics of the participants |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Control group (n = 16) | Stress group (n = 35) | Control group (n = 16) | Stress group (n = 35) | Control group (n = 16) | Stress group (n = 35) |
| Age (years) | 20 ± 0.2 | 19 ± 0.2 | 22 ± 0.7 | 23 ± 0.4 | 0.76 ± 0.02 | 0.78 ± 0.01 | 28 ± 1.3 | 32 ± 1.2 | 37 ± 2.0 | 38 ± 1.0 |
| BMI (kg m−2) | 22 ± 0.7 | 23 ± 0.4 | 22 ± 0.7 | 23 ± 0.4 | 0.76 ± 0.02 | 0.78 ± 0.01 | 28 ± 1.3 | 32 ± 1.2 | 37 ± 2.0 | 38 ± 1.0 |
| WHR | 0.76 ± 0.02 | 0.78 ± 0.01 | 0.76 ± 0.02 | 0.78 ± 0.01 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 37 ± 1.3 | 37 ± 1.3 | 0.76 ± 0.02 | 0.76 ± 0.02 |
| State anxiety score | 28 ± 1.3 | 32 ± 1.2 | 28 ± 1.3 | 32 ± 1.2 | 37 ± 2.0 | 38 ± 1.0 | 37 ± 2.0 | 38 ± 1.0 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 |
| Trait anxiety score | 37 ± 2.0 | 38 ± 1.0 | 37 ± 2.0 | 38 ± 1.0 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 |

Values are given as mean ± SEM.

| Table 2 | Concentrations of taste perception test solutions |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Bottle no | NaCl concentration | Glucose MH concentration | | | |
| | g L−1 | mol L−1 | g L−1 | mol L−1 | |
| 1 | 0.22 | 0.004 | 0.77 | 0.004 | |
| 2 | 0.45 | 0.008 | 1.55 | 0.008 | |
| 3 | 0.9 | 0.015 | 3.1 | 0.015 | |
| 4 | 1.82 | 0.030 | 6.2 | 0.030 | |
| 5 | 3.65 | 0.060 | 12.37 | 0.060 | |
| 6 | 7.3 | 0.120 | 24.75 | 0.120 | |
| 7 | 14.6 | 0.250 | 49.5 | 0.250 | |
| 8 | 29.2 | 0.5 | 99 | 0.5 | |
| 9 | 58.4 | 1 | 198 | 1 | |

Glucose MH = glucose monohydrate
two successive concentrations was recognized correctly, the subject was given the previous unrecognized concentration. This up-and-down procedure was performed twice until the taste of two increasing stimuli was named correctly. Actual detection threshold was recorded as the lowest concentration recognized.

**Stress test**

After the first session of the taste perception test, the participants, randomly allocated to the stress group, were exposed to the stress protocol. The participants of the control group sat quietly for 20 min without being exposed to the stress protocol until the second session of taste perception test. A sphygmomanometer cuff was placed in dominant arms of the participants to measure blood pressure (BP). Electrocardiogram electrodes were placed on right and left arms and left leg to monitor heart rate (HR). After instrumentation, participants of the stress group were instructed about the test and the stress protocol was started.

Stress protocol comprised a “Stroop color-word interference test” followed by “cold pressor test.” It has been demonstrated previously that this protocol is effective to elicit psychological stress with reliable adrenocortical and cardiovascular responses, has test–retest reliability and is not gender-specific (Pehlivanoglu et al. 2005; Pehlivanoglu et al. 2012). The computerized version of the Stroop color-word interference test was composed of three parts each lasting 3 min. In the first part, participants were required to indicate the color of the word independently of the meaning of the written word. Participants were instructed to choose the color of each word as quickly and as accurately as possible while ignoring the written color names. The second part required the participants to indicate the written color names of the words independently of the color of the words. In the third part, the tasks of the first and second parts changed sequentially with a warning sound. As soon as the Stroop color-word interference test was finished, cold pressor test was applied for 3 min and right hands of the participants, up to the wrist-fold, were immersed into cold water at +4°C. The Stroop test is known to elicit predominantly a β-adrenergic response and the cold pressor test elicits an α-adrenergic response (Pickering and Gerin 1990). Stress responses of the participants were followed via the changes in HR and BP throughout the stress protocol. HR and BP data were gathered via appropriate transducers to a data acquisition and analysis system (BIOPAC MP30, BIOPAC Systems Inc., California). Stress group underwent to the second session of taste perception test as soon as the stress protocol lasted.

**Anthropometric measurements**

A relationship between sensory capabilities and body weight was previously brought into attention (Griep et al. 2000; Tepper and Ullrich 2002; Simchen et al. 2006). Therefore, in order to evaluate the effect of body weight and fat distribution on taste thresholds, anthropometric measurements (body weight, body height, waist and hip circumference) were done. Body weight (kg) and height (m) were measured for the calculation of the BMI (kg m⁻²). The values of BMI, as suggested by World Health Organization (2000), were used to group the subjects as underweight, normal, overweight or obese. Waist and hip circumferences were used to calculate WHR, which is a simple but reliable measure of intra-abdominal and total fat. The waist and hip circumference measurements were obtained in standing position. The waist circumference (cm) was measured at the site of the smallest circumference between the rib cage and the iliac crest, whereas the hip circumference (cm) was measured at the level of maximum extension of the buttocks. The WHR was calculated as waist circumference divided by hip circumference (cutoff points to define obesity was 1.0 in men and 0.85 in women as defined by World Health Organization [2000]).

**State Trait Anxiety Inventory**

The baseline anxiety levels of the participants were assessed using the Turkish translation of the STAI. It was translated and validated in Turkish by Oner and LeCompte (1985). This questionnaire consists of two scales: the state scale (anxiety state) and the trait scale (anxiety trait). The state scale measures the instant and temporary feelings of anxiety and trait scale measures chronic feelings of anxiety. Both scales have 20 questions with four possible responses to each question (Likert scale). In responding to the state scale, the participants rated the statement that best describes the intensity of their feelings from 1 to 4 (not at all to almost always, respectively). A high rating indicates the absence or presence of anxiety for some state anxiety items and some trait anxiety items (Spielberger 1975). The ratings of anxiety-absent items are reversed for the calculation of the score. Scores for both state and trait anxiety scales can vary from a minimum of 20 to a maximum of 80, whereas a cutoff score was 40 for state anxiety and 60 for trait anxiety in the originally defined version (Spielberger and Gorsuch 1983), whereas a score of 40 is assumed as the limit for normal in the recent studies (Livadas et al. 2011; Manocha et al. 2011).

**Data analyses**

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 15.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). All data were given as mean ± SEM. Sample size was predetermined by power analysis (α = 0.05 and β = 0.90). Within and between group comparisons for the taste thresholds and changes in taste threshold for control and stress groups at two trials (for group and time variables) were performed with repeated measures of analysis of variance (ANOVA). Intergroup differences based on gender or STAI scores were evaluated by...
Student’s t-test. Relation between continuous variables was determined by Spearman correlation coefficient. Variation in BP and HR throughout the experimental protocol was evaluated with repeated measures of ANOVA. Significance level was determined as $P < 0.05$.

**Results**

The acute stress induced by “Stroop color-word interference test” followed by “cold pressor test” in the stress group was confirmed with HR and BP measurements. HR and BP levels were significantly higher during the stress protocol ($P < 0.01$). HR, systolic and diastolic BPs of the control group did not change significantly throughout the experimental protocol (Table 3).

Following acute stress exposure detection thresholds for both tastes decreased in the stress group. The glucose threshold decreased from $0.051 ± 0.007$ mol L$^{-1}$ to $0.037 ± 0.005$ mol L$^{-1}$ ($P < 0.01$) and NaCl threshold decreased from $0.016 ± 0.0015$ mol L$^{-1}$ to $0.012 ± 0.0011$ mol L$^{-1}$ ($P < 0.05$) after acute stress protocol (Figure 1). Following quite rest of the control group taste thresholds remained the same. The glucose threshold of the control group was $0.054 ± 0.009$ mol L$^{-1}$ at the first session of the taste perception test and was $0.051 ± 0.008$ mol L$^{-1}$ at the second session of the taste perception test ($P > 0.05$). The NaCl threshold was $0.017 ± 0.003$ mol L$^{-1}$ at the first session and $0.016 ± 0.002$ mol L$^{-1}$ at the second session of the taste perception test ($P > 0.05$). The thresholds for both glucose and NaCl were similar in stress and control group in the first session ($P > 0.05$) whereas both values were significantly lower in stress group compared with control group in the second session of the taste perception ($P < 0.05$).

Pre- and poststress salt thresholds were positively correlated with trait anxiety scores of the subjects (prestress: $r = 0.317$, $P = 0.022$; poststress: $r = 0.682$, $P = 0.0001$) (Figure 2A and Figure 2B).

### Table 3 Heart rate, systolic and diastolic BP values of the stress and control groups before, during and after acute stress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test conditions</th>
<th>HR (beat per min)</th>
<th>SBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>DBP (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stress group (n = 35)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prestress test</td>
<td>82 ± 15</td>
<td>105 ± 12</td>
<td>70 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of the first part</td>
<td>92 ± 15*</td>
<td>113 ± 12*</td>
<td>74 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of the second part</td>
<td>97 ± 15*</td>
<td>115 ± 11*</td>
<td>76 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of the third part</td>
<td>104 ± 14*</td>
<td>115 ± 10*</td>
<td>76 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of the cold pressor test</td>
<td>110 ± 12*</td>
<td>116 ± 13*</td>
<td>78 ± 8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group (n = 16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After first session</td>
<td>73 ± 2</td>
<td>115 ± 4</td>
<td>71 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before second session</td>
<td>74 ± 1</td>
<td>108 ± 5</td>
<td>71 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± SEM. All values of the stress group were compared with the prestress values of the same group ($*P < 0.01$). HR, heart rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.
2B). Trait anxiety scores of the stress group were also negatively correlated with the decrease in salt thresholds after acute stress exposure ($r = -0.345, P = 0.004$). There was no correlation between pre- and poststress glucose threshold and state or trait anxiety scores. On the other hand, the state anxiety levels of the stress group were positively correlated with the decrease in glucose thresholds ($r = 0.548, P = 0.001$).

Our results pointed out a correlation between intra-abdominal and total body fat and salty taste sensitivity, represented by lower threshold. This relationship was demonstrated for prestress salt thresholds. Although there was no correlation for BMI, WHR was negatively correlated with prestress salt thresholds of the subjects ($r = -0.532, P = 0.008$) (Figure 3). The decrease in sweet and salty taste thresholds after stress protocol in the stress group was not correlated with BMI or WHR. These correlations were comparable in both genders.

### Discussion

The novel finding of this study is decreased taste thresholds for both glucose and NaCl in response to acute stress in individuals with normal anxiety scores. Although the basic neurochemistry of the stress response is now well understood, much remains to be discovered about how the components of this system interact throughout the body, particularly with the gustatory system. Taste is a complex sensory information and our understanding about taste sensitivity regulation is limited. Recent advances have elucidated a number of neurotransmitter and neuropeptide signaling agents in the taste bud that may regulate taste sensitivity (Herness et al. 2002a, 2002b; Ogura 2002; Kaya et al. 2004; Zhao et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2010). A large body of evidence suggests that noradrenaline, the main sympathetic neurotransmitter, is one of these agents. The effect of noradrenaline, on taste sensation was demonstrated almost 30 years ago (Morimoto and Sato 1982). It was shown that responses in gustatory afferent nerves were enhanced by exogenous noradrenaline. In a recent study about the neurotransmitter modulations on different taste thresholds, it was demonstrated that taste receptor cells respond to noradrenaline (Heath et al. 2006). This study showed that noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors alter human taste thresholds for sour and bitter, but not for sweet or salt, via a peripheral mechanism. Albeit our results seem contrary to the findings of Heath et al. (2006), the participants of the above-mentioned study were not in a stressed state. Stress reaction is a complex issue with the release of stress-related hormones like adrenaline, noradrenaline and cortisol. These hormones together may have complex and confounding effects on taste perception. An in vitro study showed that taste receptors can selectively take up noradrenaline synthesized in catecholaminergic nerve fibers, repackage and release it on depolarization (Dvoryanchikov et al. 2007). It was also demonstrated that exogenous noradrenaline enhances a calcium-activated chloride current via β-adrenergic receptors in taste receptor cells (Herness and Sun 1999; Herness et al. 2002a) and taste cells respond to both α- and β-adrenergic agonists (Herness et al. 2002b). Collectively, these data imply that adrenergic signaling, thereby SNS, can play modulatory roles in processing of gustatory information. Our results too contribute to the role of SNS and peripheral regulation of taste sensitivity.

A recently discovered signaling system modulating emotional responses to environmental impacts is constituted by the endocannabinoid system. Stress has a major effect on the endocannabinoids, and once the endocannabinoid system is activated, it executes anxiolytic and stress-relieving functions. Besides their other physiological functions, endogenous cannabinoids affect appetite and stimulate food intake (Jamshidi and Taylor 2001; Kirkham et al. 2002; Cota et al. 2003). Endocannabinoids not only stimulate food intake via central systems but also may increase palatability of foods by enhancing peripheral taste responses. This assertion was supported with a recent study showing that endocannabinoids enhanced sweet taste responses in the peripheral taste system of mice (Yoshida et al. 2010). The major limitation of this study is lacking of measurements regarding the activation of the endocannabinoid system, restricts our comments about this system’s activation and its impact on central or peripheral processing of taste perception during stress and it needs further studies.

Everybody experiences anxiety when faced with a stressful situation. Stress and anxiety do not define the same condition, but they tend to reinforce and perpetuate each other. Stress is a nonspecific biological response to a stressor (environmental, physiological or psychological) an organism
et al. (2006) reported that in younger adults overweight was associated with lower sour and bitter taste perception. Studies focusing on the relationship between taste sensitivity to the bitter taste of 6-n-propyliouracil (PROP) revealed a negative association between PROP sensitivity and BMI (Tepper 1999; Tepper and Ullrich 2002; Padiglia et al. 2010). In contrast, a positive association between BMI and preference for flavor-amplified yoghurt was observed in another study (Griep et al. 2000). Even though we found no correlation between BMI and taste thresholds, the WHR was negatively correlated with prestress salt thresholds of the participants. BMI is widely used for classification of overweight and obesity, but it does not account for the wide variation of the body fat distribution and abdominal fat mass (World Health Organization, 2000). WHR, on the other hand, has been shown to be the best simple measure of both intra-abdominal and total fat (Lemieux et al. 1996; Han et al. 1997). Several studies have reported a stronger positive association between obesity-related morbidity (Ho et al. 2001; Visscher et al. 2002) and abdominal adiposity (measured by WHR) than with overall adiposity (as measured by BMI). To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate a relationship between WHR and salty taste sensitivity. Similar to our results, Pasquet et al. (2007) demonstrated a relationship between body weight and taste sensitivity for salt. They found an increased sensitivity to sodium chloride in massively obese adolescents. The decreased salty taste thresholds that we observed with subjects who have higher WHR may be associated with obesity-related metabolic and/or hormonal changes and needs further investigation.

In conclusion, our findings show that sweet and salt taste thresholds are modulated during stressful conditions. Our data also demonstrated a relationship between taste sensitivity and baseline anxiety level of healthy individuals. These modulations may be important to understand the appetite alterations in individuals under stressful conditions, which deserve further studies.
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