

Contents

Editors	xv
List of Contributors	xvii
Chemicals Alternatives Assessment (CAA): Tools for Selecting Less Hazardous Chemicals	1
<i>Margaret H. Whittaker and Lauren G. Heine</i>	
1.1 Introduction to Chemicals Alternatives Assessments	2
1.2 Common Traits Among CAA Paradigms	4
1.2.1 Step One: Hazard Assessment Through Literature Search and Data Identification	5
1.2.2 Step Two: Hazard Classification and Benchmarking of Relevant Data	6
1.2.3 Step Three: CAA Report Preparation	7
1.3 Life-cycle Assessment and Chemicals Alternatives Assessment	8
1.4 Chemical Alternatives Assessment Paradigms in Use: a Critical Evaluation	9
1.4.1 US EPA's Design for the Environment (DfE)	30
1.4.2 CPA's GreenScreen™	30
1.4.3 Cradle to Cradle® (C2C)	33
1.4.4 TURI's Pollution Prevention Options Analysis System (P2OASys)	34
1.4.5 The Chemical Scoring and Ranking Assessment Model (SCRAM)	35
1.4.6 Chemicals Assessment and Ranking System (CARS)	36
1.4.7 SC Johnson & Son's Greenlist™	36
1.4.8 PRIO	37
1.4.9 The Quick Scan	37
1.4.10 The Column Model and GHS Column Model	38
1.4.11 Evaluation Matrix	38

Issues in Environmental Science and Technology, 36

Chemical Alternatives Assessments

Edited by R.E. Hester and R.M. Harrison

© The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013

Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry, www.rsc.org

1.5	Challenges Facing Chemicals Alternatives Assessment Methods	39
1.5.1	Chemicals Alternatives Assessments and Data Gaps	39
1.6	Conclusion	40
	References	42
 European Initiatives for Selecting Sustainable Flame Retardants		44
<i>Adrian Beard</i>		
2.1	Introduction – What are Flame Retardants?	45
2.1.1	Bromine and Chlorine	46
2.1.2	Phosphorus	49
2.1.3	Nitrogen	50
2.1.4	Mineral Flame Retardants	51
2.1.5	Other Flame Retardants and Synergists: Borates, Zinc Compounds and Expandable Graphite	51
2.2	Environmental and Human Health Concerns About Halogenated Fire Retardants	52
2.3	European Activities Related to Non-halogenated Flame Retardants	55
2.3.1	Formation of the Phosphorus, Inorganic and Nitrogen Flame Retardants Association (pinfa)	55
2.3.2	Technology Drivers: Electronics Groups iNEMI and HDPUG	56
2.3.3	European Legislation: RoHS and REACH	56
2.3.4	GreenScreen™	59
2.3.5	ENFIRO	59
2.3.6	Ecolabels	62
2.4	Conclusion	63
	References	64
 MBDC Cradle to Cradle® Product Evaluation and Certification Program		67
<i>Jay J. Bolus, Rachel Platin and Christoph Semisch</i>		
3.1	Introduction	67
3.1.1	What is Cradle to Cradle® Design?	69
3.1.2	Long-term Goals – Short-term Actions and Transitions	69
3.1.3	The Cradle to Cradle Principles	70
3.1.4	Complementary Metabolisms	72
3.2	Product Certification Program Overview	75
3.2.1	Certification Levels	75
3.2.2	Program Categories	76
3.2.3	The Cradle to Cradle Certified ^{CM} Marks	76

<i>Contents</i>	ix
3.3 Product Certification Overview	76
3.3.1 Scope	77
3.3.2 Continuous Improvement and Optimization	78
3.3.3 Material Health	78
3.3.4 Material Reutilization	80
3.3.5 Renewable Energy and Carbon Management	82
3.3.6 Water Stewardship	83
3.3.7 Social Fairness	84
3.3.8 Certification Program Summary	85
Notes and References	86
China's Implementation of Alternatives Assessment in the Building Industry: GIGA	88
<i>Brandon Zang, Raefer K. Wallis and Ryan D. Dick</i>	
4.1 Introduction	89
4.2 China Context	90
4.2.1 Health	90
4.2.2 Barriers to Health	90
4.3 Resurgence of Health	91
4.3.1 Trust as a Catalyst	92
4.3.2 Wealth as a Catalyst	92
4.3.3 Access to Information as a Catalyst	92
4.4 Social Media and the Rebuilding of Trust	93
4.4.1 Perfect Storm of Change	94
4.5 Minimization <i>Versus</i> Regeneration in China	94
4.5.1 Regenerative Chemistry	95
4.6 GIGA (Green Ideas, Green Actions)	95
4.6.1 GIGA: the User's Perspective	96
4.6.2 GIGA: Green Algorithm	96
4.6.3 GIGA: Unpacking Alternatives Assessment	97
4.7 Impact: Process	101
4.8 Alternatives Assessment at Scale	103
4.9 Conclusion	105
References	106
A Collaborative Industry and University Alternative Assessment of Plasticizers for Wire and Cable	108
<i>Gregory Morose and Monica Becker</i>	
5.1 Background	109
5.2 Project Workplan	111
5.3 Selection of a Chemical/Chemical Class of Concern and Application	112

5.4	Pilot Project Team Formation	113
5.5	Screening Against the Red List	113
5.6	Using the Quick Chemical Assessment Tool (QCAT) to Screen Chemicals	115
5.7	Applying the GreenScreen™	117
5.8	Conducting the Chemical Hazard Assessment Portion of the GC3 Project	119
5.8.1	Obtaining Data for GreenScreens™	119
5.8.2	Reviewing Draft GreenScreens™	121
5.9	Results	121
5.9.1	Results of the GreenScreen™ Assessments of Alternative Plasticizers	121
5.9.2	Technical and Cost Evaluation of Plasticizers	122
5.9.3	Lessons Learned in the Project	123
5.10	Conclusion	126
	Acknowledgements	127
	References	127
	Chemical Hazard Assessment and the GreenScreen™ for Safer Chemicals	129
	<i>Lauren G. Heine and Shari A. Franjevic</i>	
6.1	Introduction	130
6.1.1	Origins of the GreenScreen™ for Safer Chemicals	131
6.2	How it Works	133
6.2.1	Assess and Classify Hazards	133
6.2.2	Apply the Benchmarks	139
6.2.3	Make Informed Decisions and Drive Innovation	144
6.3	Continual Improvement	148
6.3.1	Advances to the GreenScreen™ Method	148
6.3.2	Developing Infrastructure for the GreenScreen™ Program	148
6.4	Conclusion	151
	Acknowledgement	152
	References	152
	Hewlett-Packard's Use of the GreenScreen™ for Safer Chemicals	157
	<i>H. A. Holder, P. H. Mazurkiewicz, C. D. Robertson and C. A. Wray</i>	
7.1	Introduction	157
7.2	Tools and Methods	158
7.2.1	Restricted Substance List (RSL) Screening	159
7.2.2	Risk Phrase or Hazard Statement Screening	159

<i>Contents</i>	xi
7.2.3 Multi-criteria Decision Analyses	160
7.2.4 Life-cycle Analysis (LCA)	161
7.2.5 Risk Assessment	161
7.2.6 Scoring Schemes, Including the GreenScreen for Safer Chemicals	163
7.3 Integrated Alternatives Assessment Protocol	168
7.3.1 Step 1 – Identify Substances of Concern	169
7.3.2 Step 2 – Characterize Function and End Uses of the Substance	169
7.3.3 Step 3 – Identify Potential Alternatives	169
7.3.4 Step 4 – Assess Chemical Hazards	170
7.3.5 Step 5 – Evaluate Technical and Economic Performance	170
7.3.6 Step 6 – Apply Life-cycle Thinking	170
7.3.7 Step 7 – Approve Alternatives	171
7.4 Opportunities for Improvement	171
7.4.1 Methods	171
7.4.2 Infrastructure	173
7.4.3 Criteria for Preferred Material in Ecolabels	174
7.5 Conclusion	174
References	174

DSM's Sustainability Journey Towards a Proactive Ingredient Policy for Gaining Effectiveness in the Design of Better Products **177**

Thomas A. J. Wegman, Fredric Petit, Annette Wilschut, Theo Jongeling and Gaelle M. Nicolle

8.1 General Introduction: Sustainability as Business Growth Driver Opportunity and Global Trends	177
8.1.1 Ecological Benefits: Transparent Assessment with Life-cycle Assessment (LCA)	178
8.1.2 Importance of Global Platforms and Partnerships	179
8.1.3 The Challenges Ahead for a Life Sciences and Materials Sciences Company	179
8.2 A Brighter Future with Composites	182
8.2.1 Leading-edge Performance	182
8.2.2 Lower Eco-footprint	183
8.2.3 Elimination of Substances of hazardous concern	186
8.2.4 BluCure™ Cobalt-free Curing Systems	187
8.2.5 Alternatives to Styrene Reactive Diluents	189
8.2.6 Introduction of Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP)	191

8.3	Facing the Technical, Performance and Cost Challenges: the Introduction of Halogen-free Flame Retardants	193
8.3.1	Overcoming Technical Challenges	195
8.3.2	Moving Forward	195
8.4	Conclusion	196
	References	196
 US Environmental Protection Agency's Design for the Environment (DfE) Alternatives Assessment Program		198
<i>Clive Davies, Melanie Adams, Emily Connor, Elizabeth Sommer, Caroline Baier-Anderson, Emma Lavoie, Laura Romano and David DiFiore</i>		
9.1	Introduction	199
9.2	Selecting an Approach for Chemical Substitution	200
9.3	PentaBDE Case Study	202
9.3.1	How DfE Conducted CAA for PentaBDE	203
9.3.2	Limitations of Original Methodology and Criteria	206
9.4	New CAA Methodology and Criteria: Steps to Conducting a CAA	208
9.4.1	Step 1: Determine Feasibility	208
9.4.2	Step 2: Collect Information on Chemical Alternatives	208
9.4.3	Step 3: Convene Stakeholders	209
9.4.4	Step 4: Identify Alternatives	209
9.4.5	Step 5: Conduct the Hazard Assessment	209
9.4.6	Step 6: Apply Economic and Life-cycle Context	211
9.4.7	Step 7: Apply the Results in Decision-making for Safer Chemical Substitutes	211
9.5	Application of New CAA Criteria	212
9.5.1	BPA Alternatives in Thermal Paper Partnership	212
9.5.2	Flame Retardant Alternatives to DecaBDE Partnership	214
9.6	Safer Product Labeling Program Case Study	216
9.6.1	Functional Use Concept Advances Greener Chemistry	216
9.6.2	Ingredient-class Criteria Define 'Safer' Chemicals	217
9.6.3	Partnership Process Fosters Teamwork in Safer Formulation	219
9.6.4	Development of Safer Alternatives	221
9.7	What's Next	222
9.7.1	The Community of Practice is Growing	222
9.7.2	Promoting Harmony in CAAs	224
	References	225

NGO Initiatives in the EU – Identifying Substances of Very High Concern (SVHCs) and Driving Safer Chemical Substitutes in Response to REACH **230**

Jerker J. Ligthart

10.1	ChemSec Background	231
10.2	Overview of NGO Initiatives – Putting REACH into Practice and Working with Companies	232
10.2.1	The SIN List	232
10.2.2	Substitution Support Portal – SUBSPORT	232
10.2.3	Transparency	233
10.2.4	Business Initiatives	234
10.2.5	NGO–Business Cooperation – Electronics	234
10.2.6	Engaging Financial Investors	235
10.3	The SIN List in Focus	236
10.3.1	REACH and Substances of Very High Concern	236
10.3.2	General Principles Used for the Compilation of the SIN List	238
10.3.3	How the SIN List Has Been Used and Received	238
10.3.4	Detailed Methodology	239
10.3.5	The First Assessment Process (SIN List 1.0)	242
10.3.6	The Second Assessment Process (SIN List 2.0)	244
10.4	Finding Safer Alternatives Through SUBSPORT	248
10.4.1	Background	248
10.4.2	The Web Portal	250
10.4.3	The Substitution Case Story Database	250
10.5	Conclusion	253
	References	254

Alternatives Assessment in Regulatory Policy: History and Future Directions **256**

J. A. Tickner, K. Geiser, C. Rudisill and J. N. Schifano

11.1	Introduction	257
11.2	Rationale for Informed Substitution	257
11.3	Evolution of Alternatives Assessment Elements in Government Chemicals Reduction Policies	259
11.3.1	Chemical Restriction and Phase-out Policy Development	259
11.3.2	Alternatives Assessment Policy Development	261
11.3.3	Convergence of Chemical Restriction and Alternatives Assessment Policies	263
11.4	Alternatives Assessment in Government Chemicals Policies: a Categorization	264

11.5	Examples of Regulatory Informed Substitution Policies	273
11.5.1	Pollution Prevention Planning Requirements – Massachusetts Toxics Use Reduction Act	274
11.5.2	Alternatives Assessment as a Precursor to Regulation – California Safer Consumer Product Regulations	275
11.5.3	Alternatives Assessment as a Precursor to Regulation – REACH	277
11.5.4	Requirements for Use of Acceptable Substitutes – US EPA SNAP Program	278
11.5.5	Classification-based Substitution Requirements – European Union Regulation of Chemicals in the Workplace	280
11.6	Lessons Learned from Alternatives Assessment in Regulatory Policy	281
11.7	Conclusion	286
	References	288
	Subject Index	296