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Cytogenetic complexity in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: definitions, associations, and clinical impact
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Recent evidence suggests that complex karyotype (CK) defined by the presence of ≥3 chromosomal aberrations (structural and/or numerical) identified by using chromosome-banding analysis (CBA) may be relevant for treatment decision-making in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). However, many challenges toward the routine clinical application of CBA remain. In a retrospective study of 5290 patients with available CBA data, we explored both clinicobiological associations and the clinical impact of CK in CLL. We found that patients with ≥5 abnormalities, defined as high-CK, exhibit uniformly dismal clinical outcomes, independently of clinical stage, TP53 aberrations (deletion of chromosome 17p and/or TP53 mutations [TP53abs]), and the expression of somatically hypermutated (M-CKL) or unmutated immunoglobulin heavy variable genes. Thus, they contrasted with CK cases with 3 or 4 aberrations (low-CK and intermediate-CK, respectively) who followed aggressive disease courses only in the presence of TP53abs. At the other end of the spectrum, patients with CK and +12,+19 displayed an exceptionally indolent profile. Building upon CK, TP53abs, and immunoglobulin heavy variable gene somatic hypermutation status, we propose a novel hierarchical model in which patients with high-CK exhibit the worst prognosis, whereas those with mutated CLL lacking CK or TP53abs, as well as CK with +12,+19, show the longest overall survival. Thus, CK should not be axiomatically considered unfavorable in CLL, representing a heterogeneous group with variable clinical behavior. High-CK with ≥5 chromosomal aberrations emerges as prognostically adverse, independent of other biomarkers. Prospective clinical validation is warranted before ultimately incorporating high-CK in risk stratification of CLL. (Blood. 2019;133(11):1205-1216)
Introduction

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is a malignancy of mature clonal B cells that mainly affects the elderly population and displays exceptional clinical and biological heterogeneity.1-3 Many host- and tumor-related features with prognostic and/or predictive value have been identified over the years, assisting in the stratification of patients into subgroups with distinct clinical course and response to treatment.4-23 Among tumor-related biomarkers, those recommended by the International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (iwCLL) for prognostic assessment before treatment initiation in both general practice and clinical trials pertain to the genomic background of the malignant clone, more particularly the TP53 gene, and the somatic hypermutation status (SHM) of the rearranged immunoglobulin heavy variable (IGHV) gene expressed by the clonotypic B-cell receptor immunoglobulin.24

The genomic landscape of CLL is heterogeneous, lacking a specific cytogenetic abnormality.25 Historically, the first evidence for the genetic heterogeneity of CLL emerged from chromosome banding analyses (CBAs) from the early 1990s revealing various numerical and structural abnormalities.26-28 These studies also indicated that the presence of an increased number of cytogenetic abnormalities was associated with more aggressive clinical outcomes, highlighting the prognostic significance of complex karyotype (CK) defined by the presence of at least 3 numerical and/or structural aberrations.28

However, CBA analysis was never widely incorporated into the routine diagnostic algorithm of CLL, mainly due to technical considerations, particularly concerning the relative difficulty in obtaining sufficient metaphases of the CLL clone; this difficulty translated into a low detection rate of chromosome abnormalities, at least until relatively recently.29,30 This scenario, combined with the finding that fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) could detect at least 1 of only 4 recurrent aberrations with prognostic relevance [namely deletions of chromosome 11q (del(11q)), 13q (del(13q)), and 17p (del(17p))] and trisomy of chromosome 12 (+12) in ~80% of patients,32 rendered CBA a less popular approach for assessing the CLl genetic background.32

According to the recently updated iwCLL recommendations, thorough genetic risk stratification in CLL requires FISH analysis complemented by mutational screening for the TP53 gene.24 However, arguably, FISH offers only a partial view of the cytogenetic landscape of CLL, whereas CBA presents the opportunity to globally assess the karyotype of the malignant clone, thus potentially offering valuable complementary information and, eventually, refinement of risk stratification.5,6,26,31-35 From a practical perspective, it is relevant to mention that, thanks to the introduction of modern cell stimulation protocols, the methodologic limitations of older protocols have been overcome, allowing for robust CBA.29,32,36

Recently, CBA in CLL has attracted great interest given the reports suggesting that in addition to representing an independent prognostic marker,6,13,37-41 CK may also constitute a novel predictive marker for refractoriness to not only chemotherapy-based treatment regimens42-45 but also to novel agents; these novel agents include B-cell signaling kinase inhibitors and the Bcl-2 inhibitor venetoclax, independently of the presence of TP53 aberrations [TP53abs; deletion of chromosome 17p (del(17p)); and/or TP53 mutation].46-50 However, the available evidence derives from small cohorts of patients in various disease phases and with markedly different treatment exposures. This situation precludes definitive conclusions from being drawn regarding the precise predictive value of CK and the optimal management of CK patients.

Responding to these developments, the recently updated iwCLL guidelines state that CBA before treatment initiation is “desirable” in the context of clinical trials and useful also in general practice, provided that an established methodology is available.24 However, many challenges toward routine clinical application of CBA must still be overcome, thereby indicating the need for rigorous definitions as well as systematic investigation in a large series, which is the aim of the present study of the European Research Initiative on CLL (ERIC).

Patients and methods

Patients

The present multicenter retrospective study included 5479 individuals with CLL (n = 5082 [93%]) and high-count (clinical) monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis51 (n = 397 [7%]) from 17 European institutions (Table 1) in whom cytogenetic data from CBA were available; 2198 of 5479 cases have been reported previously.6,34,37,40,41 A total of 189 cases (3%) were excluded from further analysis due to having fewer metaphases than required for reliable assessment (definitions given in the following section).

CBA was performed within the first year from diagnosis and before the administration of any treatment in 4402 (85%) of 5179 patients and in 4499 (92%) of 4868 patients, respectively. No significant differences in obtaining an adequate number of metaphases were observed across the various institutions. The study was conducted under all recommended national and international ethical and legal recommendations after approval by the local ethics review committee of each participating institution. Demographic, clinical, and biological data for the patient cohort are summarized in Table 1.

Cytogenetic analysis

Stimulation protocols used for metaphase induction were based on either phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (TPA) (n = 2631 [50%]) or immunostimulatory cytosine guanine dinucleotide (CpG)-oligonucleotide DSP30 plus interleukin 2 (IL-2) (n = 2659 [50%]) following standard procedures.5,29,37,38,52 No differences regarding the number of obtained metaphases were observed between the 2 protocols. Details regarding the actual protocols are provided in the supplemental Methods, available on the Blood Web site.

Karyotypes were classified according to the 2016 International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature.53 For a karyotype to be deemed normal, a minimum of 15 metaphases had to be examined; 10 metaphases were the minimum in the case of abnormal findings. Single-cell abnormalities were taken into consideration only if verified according to FISH analysis.

A karyotype was defined as complex if ≥3 clonal aberrations (numerical and/or structural; unbalanced and balanced aberrations were considered as a single event) were present in CBA,54,55; aberrations detected only according to FISH were not
Table 1. Main clinicobiological features of the patients included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Entire cohort (n = 5290)</th>
<th>Non-CK (0–2 abs; n = 4496)</th>
<th>CK ≥3 abs (n = 794)</th>
<th>Low-CK/intermediate-CK (3–4 abs; n = 523)</th>
<th>High-CK (≥5 abs; n = 271)</th>
<th>P, non-CK vs CK</th>
<th>P, low-CK/intermediate-CK vs high-CK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.047</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (diagnosis)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBL</td>
<td>383/4454, 9%</td>
<td>353/3813, 9%</td>
<td>30/641, 5%</td>
<td>27/412, 7%</td>
<td>3/229, 1%</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binet A</td>
<td>3030/4454, 68%</td>
<td>2643/3813, 69%</td>
<td>387/641, 60%</td>
<td>263/412, 64%</td>
<td>124/229, 54%</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binet B/C</td>
<td>1041/4454, 23%</td>
<td>817/3813, 22%</td>
<td>224/412, 35%</td>
<td>242/412, 29%</td>
<td>102/229, 45%</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-CLL</td>
<td>1514/3453, 44%</td>
<td>1187/2939, 40%</td>
<td>327/514, 64%</td>
<td>201/351, 57%</td>
<td>126/163, 77%</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53abs</td>
<td>657/4968, 13%</td>
<td>337/4204, 8%</td>
<td>320/764, 42%</td>
<td>151/501, 30%</td>
<td>169/263, 64%</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>del(11q)</td>
<td>487/4500, 11%</td>
<td>353/3714, 9%</td>
<td>165/622, 26%</td>
<td>119/413, 29%</td>
<td>46/209, 22%</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trisomy 12</td>
<td>685/4500, 15%</td>
<td>557/3714, 15%</td>
<td>150/622, 24%</td>
<td>117/413, 28%</td>
<td>33/209, 16%</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ide(13q)</td>
<td>1734/4500, 38%</td>
<td>1621/3714, 44%</td>
<td>113/622, 18%</td>
<td>86/413, 21%</td>
<td>27/209, 13%</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The statistically significant level was defined as .008 following the Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. abs, aberrations; CK, ≥3 abs; low-CK, 3 abs; intermediate-CK, 4 abs; high-CK, ≥5 abs; MBL, monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis; TP53abs, deletion of chromosome 17p and/or TP53 mutation; del(11q), deletion of chromosome 11q; ide(13q), isolated deletion of chromosome 13q.
taken into consideration regarding the definition of CK. Interphase FISH analysis was performed in 4766 (90%) cases using the probes for the 13q14, 11q22 (ATM), and 17p13 (TP53) regions and trisomy 12 (CEP 12).

Other biomarkers

Immunogenetic analysis Amplification of IGHV–immunoglobulin heavy diversity–immunoglobulin heavy joining rearrangements was performed in 3453 (65%) patients as previously described. Based on the SHM status, namely the germline identity of the clonotypic rearranged IGHV genes, patients were classified as having unmutated CLL (U-CLL) (98% identity) or mutated CLL (M-CLL) (<98% identity).

Analysis of TP53 gene mutations Mutational screening for the TP53 gene included exons 4-8 but also exons 9-10 for some centers and was performed in 2861 (54%) of 5290 cases, mainly those negative for del(17p) per FISH analysis (n = 2482). Most cases (70%) were analyzed by using Sanger sequencing. The remaining patients were analyzed with next-generation sequencing (NGS); only clones with variant allele frequency >10% were considered. The detection rate of TP53 mutations was similar independently of the applied methodologies for cases carrying CK (21% and 26% for cases analyzed with NGS and Sanger sequencing, respectively; P = .15).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics for discrete parameters included counts and frequency distributions; for quantitative variables, statistical measures included medians, standard deviations, and minimum–maximum values. Overall survival (OS), the end point of the present study, was measured from the date of CBA until last follow-up or death. The impact of CK on time-to-first treatment among patients with early-stage disease has been reported elsewhere. Survival curves were constructed with the Kaplan-Meier method, and the log-rank test was used to determine differences between survival proportions. Univariable Cox regression was applied to assess the prognostic significance of CK and other prognostic factors on survival outcome. Multivariable Cox regression models were implemented to test the simultaneous effect of factors on outcomes, taking into account the relative effect of the remaining parameters. For the multivariable analysis, we considered only cases with available data for all the factors included in the model (n = 2376) because imputing the values of the biomarkers could introduce substantial bias. However, no major differences were observed between the entire cohort and the proportion of cases included in the multivariable analysis (supplemental Table 1). Survival analysis was performed with a significance level of 5%; for descriptive statistics, the statistically significant level was defined as .008 following the Bonferroni correction for multiple testing.

All analyses were performed with Statistica Software version 10.0 (StatSoft, Inc.).

Results

CK in CLL: main features and associations

Following the current definition for CK (ie, ≥3 structural and/or numerical aberrations), CK was detected in 794 (15%) of 5290 cases (supplemental Figure 1), in accordance with previous reports in cohorts analyzed close to diagnosis. CK was significantly associated with advanced clinical stage, TP53abs, U-CLL, del(11q), and +12, as well as lower prevalence of isolated del(13q) [idel(13q)] detected according to FISH analysis (P < .008 for all comparisons vs non-CK cases) (Table 1). Interestingly, CK was detected even among cases with clinical monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis (30 of 383 [8%]).

CK was detected more often in cases analyzed with the CpG/IL-2 protocol compared with the TPA protocol (508 of 2659 [19%] vs 286 of 2630 [11%]; P < .001) (supplemental Tables 2 and 3). This difference may be attributed, at least in part, to the reported higher effectiveness of the CpG/IL-2 stimulation protocol. In total, abnormal karyotypes carrying other than idel(13q) were detected in 55% and 43% (P < .001) of the cases analyzed with the CpG/IL-2 and the TPA protocols, respectively (supplemental Figure 2). Cases analyzed with the CpG/IL-2 methodology were enriched for TP53abs (16% vs 10% [P = .0001] vs the TPA stimulation protocol).

Regarding the clinical impact, CK was associated with shorter OS (median OS, 6.9 years; lower quartile–upper quartile [LQ-UQ], 2.5-18.2 years; P < .0001) (Figure 1A). This finding retained independent significance even in the multivariable analysis (hazard ratio [HR], 1.578; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.267-1.966; P < .0001) (supplemental Table 4) along with advanced clinical stage, TP53abs, and U-CLL.

We and others have reported that CK can be present even among cases with idel(13q) or normal FISH [FISH-normal/idel(13q)], identifying cases with dismal clinical outcome within this otherwise "FISH-favorable" group. In the present cohort, 159 (5%) of 2963 cases with FISH-normal/idel(13q) carried CK, with a significantly higher incidence of CK among the idel(13q) subgroup (idel[13q], 113 of 1746 (6.4%); FISH-normal, 46 of 1229 (3.7%); P = .001). These cases exhibited significantly shorter OS compared with the FISH-normal/idel(13q) cases lacking cytogenetic complexity (0-2 aberrations on CBA) (median OS of 7.88 years [LQ-UQ, 3.5-12.74 years] vs a median OS of 13.7 years [LQ-UQ, 7.5-20.1 years], respectively; P = .002) (Figure 1B). Interestingly, the great majority of FISH-normal/idel(13q) cases with CK were negative for TP53 gene mutations (100 [87%] of 115 cases with available data).
CK cases belonging to the $+12,+19$ variant exhibited significantly longer OS (median OS, not reached [NR]) compared with not only the remaining CK CLL (median OS, 6.2 years; LQ-UQ, 2.2-14.4 years; $P = .001$) but also the non-CK CLL (median OS, 11.1 years; LQ-UQ, 6.1-17.3 years; $P = .0001$) (Figure 1C). Similar to previous reports, CK cases with $+12,+19$ exhibited longer OS compared with cases with sole $+12$ detected according to CBA (data not shown). Thus, this profile identifies a subgroup that, despite formally considered as CK, exhibits an extremely indolent course with only 7 deaths in 81 cases and only 57% having received treatment at a median follow-up of 7.2 years. This survival advantage of $+12,+19$ CK cases compared with the remaining CK CLL was retained even when the analysis was restricted to M-CLL (median OS $+12,+19$ CK, NR; median OS CK/M-CLL, 9.24 years [LQ-UQ, 4.9-NR], respectively; $P = .02$) (Figure 1D).

Not all CKs are equivalent: high vs low complexity

Published evidence suggests that among cases with CK, those carrying $\geq 5$ abnormalities may exhibit a worse clinical outcome compared with those with 3 or 4 aberrations. However, the relevant series were rather small, hindering definitive conclusions. To address this issue and also capitalizing on the large cohort size of the present study, CK cases were subdivided into 3 subgroups based on whether they were carrying 3 ($n = 355 [45\%]$), 4 ($n = 168 [21\%]$), or $\geq 5 (n = 271 [34\%])$ abnormalities. These subgroups were defined as low, intermediate, and high CKs (low-CK, intermediate-CK, and high-CK), respectively.

High-CK cases were significantly enriched for $TP53$ abs as well as U-CLL, reaching up to 65% and 76%, respectively ($P < .001$ compared with low-CK and intermediate-CK) (Figure 2A), whereas low-CK and intermediate-CK cases exhibited rather similar demographic and biological profiles (supplemental Table 6). Prompted by this finding and also considering recent independent reports alluding to the significance of small $TP53$ clones detectable only by using NGS, we investigated whether non-$TP53$ aberrant high-CK cases might also carry minor $TP53$-mutant subclones using NGS with a sensitivity of 2%. Interestingly,
among 25 analyzed cases, none was found positive for low-frequent TP53 mutations.

Moreover, cases with low-CK and intermediate-CK exhibited a rather similar distribution of aberrant chromosomal regions, with “CLL-recurrent” aberrations predominating; in contrast, high-CK cases showed a broader spectrum of aberrations affecting almost all chromosomes (Figure 3), independently of TP53 status (supplemental Figure 4). In line with our previous observation regarding the existence of FISH-normal/idel(13q) cases harboring CK,6,32 we also found such cases in all CK subgroups defined here, accounting for 30%, 28%, and 21% of low-CK, intermediate-CK, and high-CK cases, respectively (Figure 2A).

High-CK exhibited significantly shorter OS (median OS, 3.1 years; LQ-UQ, 1.3-8.3 years; P < .001) compared with either low-CK or intermediate-CK cases (median OS for low-CK and intermediate-CK, 12.3 and 7.25 years; LQ-UQ, 5.1-18.1 and 3.75-NR, respectively; P = .04 between low-CK and intermediate-CK) (Figure 2B); these cases resembled the remaining, non-CK CLL (median OS, 11.1 years; LQ-UQ, 6.1-17.3 years) (supplemental Figure 5A). The same results were obtained when cases with CK and 11q,19 were excluded from the analysis (supplemental Figure 5B).

The dismal impact of high-CK compared with the intermediate-CK and low-CK cases was even more striking among cases lacking TP53abs (median OS, 14.8 years, NR, and 11.8 years; LQ-UQ, 6.7-19.1 years, 4.3 years-NR, and 6.7-17.9 years, respectively; P = .27).

Figure 2. Different biological profiles and clinical outcome among patients with CK (≥3 aberrations [abs]) depending on the number of chromosomal abnormalities. (A) Frequency of U-CLL (unmutated IGHV genes), TP53abs (deletion of chromosome 17p and/or TP53 mutations), del(11q) (deletion of chromosome 11q), and normal-FISH/idel(13q) (normal FISH or isolated deletion of chromosome 13q according to Döhner hierarchical model). Patients with CK and ≥5 aberrations (high-CK) are enriched for U-CLL and TP53abs compared with CK patients with 3 aberrations (low-CK) and those with 4 aberrations (intermediate-CK). Patients with normal-FISH/idel(13q) are detected within all CK groups. (B-D) Kaplan-Meier curves for OS. (B) All patients with CK in the entire cohort. Low-CK, intermediate-CK, and high-CK cases are represented with the blue, red, and green lines, respectively. (C) Patients without TP53abs. High-CK patients exhibit the shortest OS (purple line), whereas there is no difference between low-CK (red line), intermediate-CK (green line), and the remaining non-CK CLL (blue line). (D) Patients with TP53abs. The number of aberrations aggravates the clinical outcome, with high-CK (purple line) exhibiting the shortest OS.
When the analysis was restricted to cases carrying TP53 abs, high-CK exhibited the shortest OS compared with intermediate- and low-CK (median OS, 2.5, 3.1, and 5 years, respectively; \( P = .004 \)) (Figure 2D), suggesting that a complex genetic background aggravates the already dismal clinical outcome of cases with TP53 abs. The remaining non-CK cases harboring TP53 abs exhibited a median OS of 6.6 years (LQ-UQ, 3.2 years-NR).

Turning to immunogenetic categories, within U-CLL, high-CK cases exhibited the shortest OS (median OS, 2.33 years; LQ-UQ, 1.2-7.9 years; \( P = .001 \)) compared with low-CK or intermediate-CK cases (median OS, 10.1 and 4.4 years; LQ-UQ, 5 years-NR and 2.7 years-NR, respectively [\( P = .003 \)] between low-CK and intermediate-CK) (supplemental Figure 6A). In M-CLL, high-CK was associated with the worst clinical outcome (median OS, 6.1 years; LQ-UQ, 3.2-8.4 years; \( P < .001 \)), whereas low-CK and intermediate-CK exhibited similar OS (supplemental Figure 6B).

Based on these findings, we considered high-CK cases as an independent subgroup, distinct from either low-CK or intermediate-CK cases that we merged into 1 subgroup. Interestingly, when evaluated as a single parameter, low-CK/intermediate-CK were borderline significant in the univariable analysis for OS (HR, 1.216; 95% CI, 1.007-1.470; \( P = .042 \)), whereas they failed to reach significance in the multivariate analysis (HR, 1.214; 95% CI, 0.918-1.606; \( P = .17 \)). In contrast, high-CK emerged as an independent adverse prognosticator on multivariable analysis (HR, 2.320; 95% CI, 1.603-3.092; \( P < .001 \)), along with advanced clinical stage, TP53, and SHM status (Table 2).

**Figure 3.** Distribution of chromosome gains and losses as well as chromosomal breakpoints in the CKs of the present series within 3 aberrations (low-CK, 4 aberrations (intermediate-CK), and ≥5 aberrations (high-CK)). (A) 3 aberrations (low-CK); (B) 4 aberrations (intermediate-CK); (C) ≥5 aberrations (high-CK). Gains, right green bars; losses, left red bars; translocation breakpoints, right blue bars adjacent to chromosomes. Ideograms were prepared with the CYDAS software package, freely available at www.cydas.org.

CK, TP53 aberrations and B-cell receptor immunoglobulin SHM: an integrated model

Integrating CK, TP53 abs, and IGHV gene SHM, we developed a hierarchical model leading to the identification of 5 groups ranked from the shortest to the longest OS, as follows: (1) high-CK (median OS, 3.1 years; LQ-UQ, 1.3-8.3 years); (2) low-CK and intermediate-CK with TP53 abs (median OS, 4.3 years; LQ-UQ, 2.3 years-NR); (3) non-CK/TP53 abs (median OS, 6.6 years; LQ-UQ, 3.2 years-NR); (4) non-CK/TP53 abs/U-CLL (median OS, 8.4 years; LQ-UQ, 5.1 years-NR); and (5) non-CK/non-TP53 abs/M-CLL and CK with +12, +19 (median OS, 14.7 years; LQ-UQ, 9.4-21.5 years) (\( P < .05 \) for all pair comparisons) (Figure 4). In this proposed hierarchical model, all cases with high-CK were considered as 1 group independently of the presence of TP53 abs.
This decision was based on the fact that when cases with CK and coexisting TP53 abs were placed in the model as a separate subgroup, no significant difference was observed compared with high-CK cases without TP53 abs (P = 0.06).

Discussion

In the largest study thus far conducted, we conclude that CK defined according to the presence of $\geq 3$ numerical and/or structural abnormalities should not be axiomatically considered unfavorable in CLL, representing a heterogeneous group with variable clinical behavior ranging from remarkably indolent to extremely aggressive. High-CK, defined as the presence of at least 5 abnormalities, was associated with dismal clinical outcome, independently of the SHM and TP53 status. In contrast, low-CK and intermediate-CK defined according to the presence of 3 or 4 aberrations, respectively, seem to be clinically relevant only in the presence of TP53 abs.

This differential impact on clinical outcome between high-CK vs low-CK/intermediate-CK subgroups could be partially attributed to the enrichment of U-CLL and TP53 abs within the former, reaching up to 76% and 65%, respectively. Interestingly, among 25 cases analyzed by using NGS, none was found positive for low-frequent TP53 mutations, indicating that TP53 abs do not constitute a sole explanation for high-CK. Moreover, when considering the distribution of aberrations along different chromosomes, high-CK cases displayed a distinct profile from the low-CK/intermediate-CK ones. In particular, the spectrum of affected chromosome regions was significantly broader, indicating that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Univariable analysis (n = 5095)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariable analysis (n = 2376)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.202</td>
<td>1.070-1.350</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-CK/intermediate-CK</td>
<td>1.216</td>
<td>1.007-1.470</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>1.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-CK</td>
<td>2.059</td>
<td>1.789-2.370</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idel(13q)</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.792-1.010</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trisomy 12</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>1.125-1.525</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>del(11q)</td>
<td>1.942</td>
<td>1.659-2.273</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53abs</td>
<td>2.904</td>
<td>2.517-3.350</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-CLL</td>
<td>2.851</td>
<td>2.467-3.295</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binet B/C</td>
<td>2.036</td>
<td>1.793-2.312</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Univariable and multivariable analysis for OS

High-CK ($\geq 5$ aberrations) is an independent predictor for shorter OS contrasting low-CK/intermediate-CK (3 and 4 aberrations, respectively), which failed to retain significance in the multivariable analysis. Abbreviations are explained in Table 1.

This decision was based on the fact that when cases with CK and coexisting TP53 abs were placed in the model as a separate subgroup, no significant difference was observed compared with high-CK cases without TP53 abs (P = 0.06).
high-CK is reflecting increased genomic instability (Figure 3). Contrasting myeloid neoplasms with high karyotypic complexity that are characterized by a distinctive pattern of abnormalities (deletions of chromosomes 5q, 7q, and 17p), high-CK CLL exhibits accumulation of diverse chromosomal abnormalities in addition to the “CLL-typical” ones (deletion of 13q, 11q, 17p, and trisomy 12). On these grounds, the possibility that high-CK may in fact represent merely a surrogate for genomic instability in CLL cannot be excluded; however, this possibility should be tested experimentally before any conclusions can be drawn.

Conceivably, cases with 3 or 4 abnormalities (i.e., the low-CK and intermediate-CK subgroups, respectively) might be prone to clonal evolution, acquire additional genomic aberrations, and upgrade to high-CK. Clonal evolution in CLL has been associated with U-CLL and TP53abs and, when present, is linked to resistance to treatment and shorter OS. In the current cohort, the great majority of the analyzed samples were obtained upon or near diagnosis, meaning that high-CK can also be an early event. However, to address the issue of clonal evolution, large cohorts with longitudinal samples are needed, which is beyond the scope of the present study.

Further highlighting that not all CK are equivalent, CK cases harboring +12,+19 were found to display an extremely indolent course even when the analysis was restricted to M-CLL. This outcome further supports previous reports that +12,+19 CLL represents a unique subgroup with a distinctive biological background and clinical behavior. Currently, the ontogenetic trajectory and mechanisms leading to the emergence of such clones remain unknown.

The relative significance of CK, particularly in relation to TP53 status, in patients with CLL treated with novel agents remains to be determined conclusively, given that the available evidence is derived from retrospective studies in small series with rather discrepant results. These discrepancies can be partially explained by the differences between cohorts; however, it should also be noted that in all published studies, CK has been considered as a homogeneous group with no further differentiation according to the number of abnormalities, which, as we show herein, is crucial independent of the TP53 status.

Regarding the optimal methodology for detecting CK in CLL, our results mirror previous reports by us and others that CpG/IL-2 is superior to TPA stimulation because it was capable of identifying more cases with CK. Interestingly, in subgroup analysis, the distinction among low-CK/intermediate-CK and high-CK was clearly demarcated among cases analyzed with the CpG/IL-2 protocol (supplemental Figure 7A), whereas intermediate-CK and high-CK cases detected by using TPA methodologies found similar OS (supplemental Figure 7B). This scenario suggests that the TPA protocol may have failed to reveal the full spectrum of chromosomal aberrations within the CLL clone, thus leading to potential underestimation of CK. In our experience, this outcome is not mainly due to an insufficient number of obtained metaphases but rather to the difference in the quality of the obtained clonal metaphases, which is higher with the CpG/IL-2 protocol, thus facilitating the detection of the respective chromosomal aberrations. However, the large number of CK cases identified in the present cohort allowed robust subgroup analysis, hence reaching solid conclusions.

The retrospective nature of our study hinders robust correlations between CK and the response to particular treatment regimens. However, the great majority of the analyzed patients were treated with chemotherapy-based regimens upon treatment indication. Moreover, our study included “general practice” patients mostly recruited before 2015, and therefore only a small minority were treated with novel agents. Therefore, whether different CK subgroups may be associated with differential responses to such agents remains to be elucidated in future studies, ideally concerning prospective cohorts. It should be further highlighted that CBA represents the traditional and well-established methodology to define cytogenetic complexity not only in CLL but in almost all hematologic malignancies. Nonetheless, novel molecular techniques such as microarrays may be useful for the characterization of the clonal genomic background. However, the lack of harmonization, as well as the paucity of solid evidence regarding the clinical impact of cytogenetic complexity detected by microarrays in CLL, raises concerns about the unconditional use of microarrays in the everyday clinical setting. Lately, whole-genome sequencing has been reported as an alternative option for providing global genomic information. Whole-genome sequencing is for the time being, however, mostly used in the research field with further validation being needed before even suggesting integration of such an approach into the clinical routine.

In summary, we report that CK defined according to the presence of ≥3 numerical and/or structural abnormalities detected by using CBA should not be axiomatically considered unfavorable in CLL because it represents a heterogeneous group with variable clinical behavior. High-CK defined according to the presence of ≥5 chromosomal aberrations emerges as prognostically adverse, independently of clinical stage, SHM, and TP53 status, whereas low-CK and intermediate-CK are clinically relevant only if coexisting with TP53abs. Remarkably, cases carrying a CK with +12,+19 represent a unique subgroup with excellent prognosis. CK along with SHM and TP53 status enabled construction of a hierarchical model capable of identifying subgroups of patients with markedly distinct clinical outcomes. However, prospective clinical validation is clearly warranted before ultimately incorporating high-CK into risk stratification in CLL in everyday practice.
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