Protective effect of dexmedetomidine on kidney injury of parturients with preeclampsia undergoing cesarean section: a randomized controlled study
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The present study aimed to elucidate the effects of dexmedetomidine on kidney injury of parturients with preeclampsia (PE) undergoing cesarean section. Total 134 cesarean delivery women with PE were randomly divided into intervention group (IG) and control group (CG). Both groups underwent combined spinal and epidural anesthesia (CSEA), the IG was treated with 0.4 μg/(kg·min) dexmedetomidine for 10 min before surgery. The CG was treated with equivalent saline. Heart rate (HR), blood pressure, oxygen saturation (SpO2) of the two groups were measured at different time point after administration. Level of inflammatory factors were detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Visual analogue score (VAS), Ramsay sedation score (RSS), and kidney injury related indexes were evaluated at different time points. The plasma-drug concentration of patients was determined by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) method. Compared with CG, HR, PE, and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) showed lower level while SpO2 showed higher level in IG. Furthermore, expression of tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and IL-10 in IG was decreased after drug administration, the contents of β2-MG, KIM-1 and urine protein were also decreased in contrast to the CG (all P<0.05). Besides, VAS score was decreased but Ramsay score was increased in the IG (both P<0.05). The results of HPLC showed that the half life of dexmedetomidine was about 20 min and it is speculated that the drug can be quickly metabolized within 24 h. Dexmedetomidine exerted protective effects on kidney injury of parturients with PE undergoing cesarean section.

Introduction

As a hypertensive disorder, preeclampsia (PE) usually occurs on pregnant women. Individuals suffering from PE frequently exhibit hypertension, proteinuria as well as multiple systemic lesions. At the same time, PE may even cause fetal growth retardation, prematurity, still birth, and so on. [1]. Accumulating evidence indicates that PE often increases the degree of injury to the kidney and accelerates the rate of glomeruli and renal tubule dysfunction [2]. In addition, renal cortex and renal tubular necrosis caused by PE is confirmed to be an important inducement of acute kidney failure during pregnancy [3].

Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective α2 adrenergic receptor agonist commonly served in clinical practice, it has been proved to exert an active role in analgesia, sedation, and inhibition of sympathetic effects, thus widely applied to various kinds of operations [4]. A study performed by Eskandr et al. demonstrated that an appropriate amount of dexmedetomidine given during cesarean section under general
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anesthesia for pregnant women with PE can remarkably suppressed tracheal intubation response and hemodynamic response. At the same time, such method pose no negative impacts on the neonatal outcome [5]. A study which investigates the effect of dexmedetomidine on acute kidney injury caused by sepsis indicates that dexmedetomidine can decrease the apoptosis of renal tubular epithelial cells and local inflammatory response induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS), suggesting a significant protective effect of dexmedetomidine on sepsis-induced acute kidney injury [6]. Another study designed by Leow et al. found that dexmedetomidine also exert protective an effect in renal injury caused by cardiac surgery in children [7]. However, the impact of dexmedetomidine on kidney injury of PE parturients remains largely unknown and warrants further investigation. Thus, we postulate that dexmedetomidine plays a protective role in PE and in the present study, we are aiming to test our hypothesis and offer sufficient supportive evidence for future therapeutic approach for kidney injury caused by PE.

Materials and methods
Ethic statement and trial registration
The present study was a prospective, randomized, double-blind, and controlled study. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics and Research Committee of the Department of Anesthesiology, Xuanwu Hospital of Capital Medical University. Informed consent had been obtained from all patients involved in the present study. The present study was also registered at Chinese Clinical Trial Registry and the registration number is ‘ChiCTR1800017726’.

Study subjects
The current study involved 134 PE patients undergoing caesarean section from June 2016 to July 2017. According to the American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status (ASA-PS) classification, patients were graded as ASA I-III, aged 25–39 years, with an average age of (29.8 ± 4.3) years, gestational weeks of 34–48 weeks, with an average gestational weeks of (36.3 ± 3.1) weeks, and body weights at 59–88 kg with an average of (69.3 ± 10.1) kg. The recruited patients were then randomly divided into intervention group (IG) and control group (CG) according to random number table, with 67 patients in each group. The patients received different treatments. Randomization was computer generated centrally by School of public health, capital medical university, Beijing, China and allocations were obtained by telephone or fax from staff who were not involved in other parts of the trial. Randomization was stratified by center with minimization for age, body weight, gestational weeks, and ASA grade. Patients and investigators were not masked to treatment assignment. The details with regard to the participants have been shown in a Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) flow diagram (Figure 1).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Diagnostic criteria [8]: PE is diagnosed by core symptoms of hypertension and proteinuria occurring after 20 weeks of pregnancy. The inclusion criteria were as following: patients met PE diagnostic criteria and cesarean section indications; 18–40 years old and the gestational age was above 34 weeks; systolic blood pressure (SBP) was above 140 but under 160 mmHg and the diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was above 90 but under 110 mmHg; the urinary protein dipstick was shown as + or ++. The exclusion criteria were as follows: patients who were allergic to dexmedetomidine; patients who have systemic diseases; patients who was treating with antipsychotic drugs or anticoagulant; patients who’s body mass index was above 35 [9].

Anesthesia method and mode of administration [5]
Patients were fasted and taken in oxygen before surgery and 6% hydroxyethyl starch + 130/0.4 sodium chloride injection (H20103246, Fresenius Kabi, China) was administered prior to anesthesia. Patients were kept in left lateral position, CSEA was then performed in the spinal L3–L4 intervertebral space. Total 1 ml Ropivacaine Hydrochloride (H20140764, AstraZeneca AB, Sweden) diluted by 1 ml cerebrospinal fluid was injected into the patients’ spinal canal. The acupuncture method was utilized to determine the epidural block plane, and it was controlled in the T8–T10 segment of the spine, then the cesarean section was performed. Total 5 mg/(kg · h) propofol and 0.15 μg/(kg · min) remifentanil were injected in veins to retain anesthesia during operation. The bispectral index (BIS) was kept at 40–50 during operation.

The IG was intravenously and slowly injected by 0.4 μg/(kg · min) dexmedetomidine for 10 min before surgery (H20090248, Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., China), whereas the CG was injected with equal 0.9% normal saline for 10 min. Two anesthesiologists blinded to the experimental protocol were responsible for patient care and data collection during the surgery.
Measurement of HR, SBP, DBP, and blood oxygen saturation
Levels of HR, SBP, DBP, and SpO₂ of two groups of patients were measured by Philips MP60 Monitor (Philips, Holland), at 0 h (pre-operation), 1 h (post-operation), 3, 6, 12, and 24 h after administration.

Enzyme-linked immuno sorbent assay
Total 3 ml venous blood of upper limb of the patients was drawn at 0 h (pre-operation), 1 h (postoperation), 3, 6, 12, and 24 h after administration respectively. After standing for 15 min, serum samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm/min for 15 min, then the supernatant was collected, and preserved in −80°C refrigerator. Levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10 were detected using TNF-α Quantikine ELISA Kit (DTA00C, Bio-Techne, U.S.A.), IL-6 Quantikine ELISA Kit (D6050, Bio-Techne, U.S.A.), IL-10 Quantikine ELISA Kit (D1000B, Bio-Techne, U.S.A.) respectively. The operating procedures were as following: first the reference standards (containing 50 μl reference standards) and samples (containing 10 μl sample under study + 40 μl sample dilution solutions) were constructed. Total 100 μl Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibody was added into the reference standards and samples, then the reaction pore was sealed off by closure plate membranes. The samples were bathed with water, which followed by the discard of liquid. Filled each well with washing solution and rest for 1 min. Discard the washing solution and dried the plate by using bilbulous paper, such washing procedure was repeated for five times. Total 50 μl substrates A and B were added to each well respectively and then the well was further incubated for 15 min in the dark. Subsequently, 50 μl
stop solution was added into each well, a Microplate Reader (SpectraMax iD3, Molecular Devices, U.S.A.) was then applied for optical density (OD) detection at a value of 450 nm.

**Detection of renal function index**
Urine of parturients was respectively collected at 0 d (preoperation), 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 14, 21, and 42 d after the drug administration. The Automatic Biochemical Analyzer (P800, Roche, Switzerland) was utilized to detect the blood urea nitrogen (BUN), uric acid (UA), creatinine (Cr), β2-microglobulin (β2-MG), kidney injury molecule-1 (KIM-1), urine albumin (Alb) and total protein (TP) at various time points, the percentage of urine protein content was calculated.

**Detection of VAS and Ramsay Score [10]**
Visual analogue score (VAS) and Ramsay sedation score (RSS) were used to evaluate the pain and sedation of the patients at 0 h (preoperation), 1 h (postoperation), 2, 3, and 4 h after drug administration. The score of the VAS: 0 mark as painless, 10 mark as extremely painful. The score of the RSS: 1 mark as anxious, agitated, restless, 2 mark as cooperative, oriented, and tranquil, 3 mark as drowsiness and responsive to commands, 4 mark as sleeping and responsive to stimulus, 5 mark as sluggish response to stimulus, 6 mark as deep sleep and no response to stimulus.

**Determination of dexmedetomidine concentration in patients' blood by HPLC method [11]**
High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) assay was adopted to determine the blood concentration of PE treated with dexmedetomidine. The chromatographic condition was set up as following: Kromasil 60-5CN column was set as chromatographic column and the precolumn is Phenomenex Security Guard™ C18. Methanol: 0.5% formic acid solution (60:40) was set as mobile phase, the flow rate was 0.3 ml/min and column temperature was 35°C, volume of sample injection was 10 μl and the bottle temperature was 5°C. Sample treatment: 100 μl sodium hydroxide (1 mol/l) was added to 0.5 ml patient plasma, 20 μl dexmedetomidine (10 ng/ml) was set as internal standard. After mixing, 5 ml anhydrous ether was added, shaken for 3 min, and then centrifuged at 7000 rpm for 10 min. Discard the supernatant and the lower sediment was frozen at -80°C for 20 min. Subsequently, 150 μl mobile phase was added to dissolve the sediment. Then the solution was centrifuged at 1300 rpm for 10 min, 10 μl supernatant was collected and sampled. The determination of serum concentration was performed at 0, 10, 20, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 min after drug administration.

**Adverse events monitoring**
The vital signs of the patients were monitored and recorded within 72 h after cesarean section. Major adverse reaction included bradycardia (<45 beats/min), hypotension (<60 mmHg), hypertension (>160 mmHg), localized pain, dry mouth, vomiting, and headache.

**Apgar score**
The Apgar scores (activity, pulse, grimace, appearance, and respiration) of newborns in 1, 5, and 10 min were recorded after delivery. 8–10: no asphyxia, 4–7: mild asphyxia, 0–3: severe asphyxia.

**Statistical analysis**
SPSS 21.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, U.S.A.) was utilized for data analyses. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± S.D. The comparison between two groups was analyzed by t test, and multigroups were examined by one-way analysis of variance. (ANOVA). Enumeration data were expressed as a percentage and analyzed using a chi-square test, Comparisons amongst multiple groups were examined by ANOVA and homogeneity test of variance was performed, when there was a significant difference in variance analysis, q test was further conducted for pairwise comparison; in case of heteroscedasticity, non-parametric rank-sum was performed.

**Sample size analysis**
The sample size analysis performed using Power Analysis and Sample Size 19.0.1 indicated that 42 patients were needed per group to detect a between-group difference with a power of 80%, α of 0.05, and an allocation ratio of 1:1 (based upon previous experience). So 67 patients in per group were included in the study.
Table 1 The maternal and surgical characteristics of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age (years old)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Gestational age (week)</th>
<th>Operation time (min)</th>
<th>Amount of bleeding (ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>29.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>62.8 ± 7.0</td>
<td>157.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>38.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>56.8 ± 6.9</td>
<td>275.9 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>29.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>64.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>158.8 ± 3.5</td>
<td>38.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>58.4 ± 6.2</td>
<td>280.0 ± 12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean ± S.D. CG, control group; IG, intervention group.

Table 2 Comparison of SBP, DBP, HR, and SpO2 at different time points between the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>0 h</th>
<th>1 h</th>
<th>3 h</th>
<th>6 h</th>
<th>12 h</th>
<th>24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>161.2 ± 10.2</td>
<td>155.2 ± 12.4*</td>
<td>133.3 ± 12.1##</td>
<td>128.4 ± 13.0###</td>
<td>126.5 ± 9.9##</td>
<td>124.9 ± 11.6###</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>162.0 ± 11.7</td>
<td>179.7 ± 10.1</td>
<td>175.8 ± 11.5#</td>
<td>174.8 ± 10.8#</td>
<td>174.4 ± 12.5#</td>
<td>172.4 ± 12.2##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>90.6 ± 9.1</td>
<td>99.5 ± 7.7</td>
<td>73.3 ± 6.7##</td>
<td>74.2 ± 6.7##</td>
<td>71.5 ± 6.0##</td>
<td>70.5 ± 7.1##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>88.6 ± 7.8</td>
<td>101.3 ± 11.5</td>
<td>99.1 ± 11.5</td>
<td>98.4 ± 12.1</td>
<td>97.5 ± 12.9</td>
<td>97.0 ± 14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (time / min)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>83.9 ± 8.5</td>
<td>88.3 ± 7.6##</td>
<td>70.0 ± 7.9##</td>
<td>68.0 ± 5.2##</td>
<td>64.6 ± 6.6##</td>
<td>61.6 ± 4.4##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>83.2 ± 6.0</td>
<td>99.8 ± 10.4</td>
<td>98.7 ± 11.2</td>
<td>98.2 ± 9.6</td>
<td>97.0 ± 8.0</td>
<td>96.0 ± 12.4#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2 (%)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>98.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>86.7 ± 3.9</td>
<td>91.5 ± 6.7##</td>
<td>93.5 ± 8.6##</td>
<td>95.3 ± 5.6##</td>
<td>97.7 ± 7.2##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>97.3 ± 8.3</td>
<td>88.1 ± 7.7</td>
<td>89.1 ± 5.7</td>
<td>90.1 ± 6.3</td>
<td>90.5 ± 7.3</td>
<td>91.9 ± 7.7##</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean ± S.D. *P<0.05, compared with the CG, and ##P<0.05, ###P<0.01, compared with 1 h.

Results

Demographics variables of the patients

The maternal and surgical characteristics of the two group patients were shown in Table 1 and detailed patient baseline characteristics were given in Supplementary Table S1. There was no significant difference in age, weight, height, gestational weeks, operation time, and haemorrhage between the two groups (P>0.05).

Changes in basic vital signs of the parturients at different time points

As indicated in Table 2, no significant difference was observed in SBP, DBP, HR, and SpO2 value between the two groups at preoperative stage (both P>0.05). With the increase of time after injection, the values of SBP, DBP, and HR of the two groups were decreased, while the level of SpO2 was increased. Compared with the CG, the above indexes of the IG showed more obvious change (both P<0.05).

The inflammatory factor levels of the two groups at different time points

The levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10 in peripheral blood of the two groups at different time points were shown in Figure 2. The levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10 at pre-operation (0 h) and postoperation (1 h) of the two groups exhibited no significant difference (all P>0.05). With the increase of time after injection, levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10 showed a decline. Compared with the CG, IG showed the most obvious change (all P<0.05).

Expression of kidney injury related indexes at different time points in the two groups

The expressions of kidney injury related indexes of the two groups at different time points were shown in Table 3. There was no significant difference in kidney injury-related indexes between two groups at preoperation (P>0.05). As time went on, the contents of Cr, UA, BUN, β2-MG, KIM-1, and urine protein were all declined, no significant difference was found in albumin and total protein content (P>0.05). Compared with the CG, the levels of β2-MG, KIM-1, and urine protein dropped more significantly at each time point after administration (P<0.05).

Comparison of VAS and RSS between the two groups at different time points

The VAS and RSS of the two groups of parturients were shown in Table 4. There was no statistical difference in VAS and RSS between the two groups at preoperation (both P>0.05). In IG, VAS was gradually decreased with the increase of time after drug injection, but the RSS was gradually increased (both P<0.05), whereas VAS and RSS in the CG were
### Table 3: Comparison of related indexes of kidney injury in urine between the two groups of patients at different time points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>0 h</th>
<th>T1 d</th>
<th>T2 d</th>
<th>T3 d</th>
<th>T4 d</th>
<th>T5 d</th>
<th>T14 d</th>
<th>T21 d</th>
<th>T42d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr (μmol/l)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>57.3 ± 12.0</td>
<td>62.9 ± 13.4*#</td>
<td>56.0 ± 7.7</td>
<td>55.0 ± 9.4</td>
<td>52.7 ± 12.7</td>
<td>52.6 ± 10.4#</td>
<td>52.8 ± 8.8#</td>
<td>52.0 ± 9.71#</td>
<td>52.4 ± 9.2#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56.9 ± 11.6</td>
<td>59.2 ± 10.9</td>
<td>63.7 ± 11.1#</td>
<td>55.4 ± 9.4</td>
<td>53.0 ± 10.0</td>
<td>56.3 ± 7.1</td>
<td>55.5 ± 6.2</td>
<td>55.8 ± 8.2</td>
<td>56.3 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA (UA, μmol/l)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>355.9 ± 92.7</td>
<td>353.0 ± 90.9</td>
<td>313.5 ± 41.8##</td>
<td>274.9 ± 90.4##</td>
<td>315.2 ± 83.7</td>
<td>286.7 ± 84.0#</td>
<td>283.5 ± 58.2#</td>
<td>287.8 ± 68.2#</td>
<td>283.5 ± 56.8#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>347.5 ± 92.5</td>
<td>357.8 ± 70.1#</td>
<td>359.1 ± 97.1</td>
<td>353.5 ± 77.4</td>
<td>325.6 ± 90.3</td>
<td>312.8 ± 51.3</td>
<td>294.3 ± 91.9#</td>
<td>288.4 ± 57.1#</td>
<td>281.0 ± 38.7#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mmol/l)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.2#</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.0#</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.5#</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.1#</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.0#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>4.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.2#</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.9#</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.5#</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.4#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIM-1 (ng/l)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5##</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.2**##</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.1***</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.1***</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2**##</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1***</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2**##</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.5**##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.5#</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4#</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5#</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.2#</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.4#</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.7#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β2-MG (μg/l)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.69</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.65##</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.27***</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02**##</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02**##</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02**##</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.52</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.49</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.73##</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.27##</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.35##</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.03###</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alb (mg/l)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>29.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>27.9 ± 6.5</td>
<td>29.4 ± 10.0</td>
<td>29.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>29.4 ± 5.6</td>
<td>30.4 ± 5.1</td>
<td>30.5 ± 5.7</td>
<td>30.7 ± 5.3</td>
<td>30.7 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>30.8 ± 5.1</td>
<td>27.5 ± 6.6</td>
<td>26.2 ± 6.8</td>
<td>26.6 ± 5.3</td>
<td>27.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>29.8 ± 5.1</td>
<td>29.4 ± 5.3</td>
<td>29.3 ± 4.8</td>
<td>29.4 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (mg/l)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56.9 ± 6.8</td>
<td>55.5 ± 8.0</td>
<td>54.9 ± 10.9</td>
<td>55.8 ± 7.0</td>
<td>59.4 ± 6.2</td>
<td>58.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>59.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>59.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>54.7 ± 6.0</td>
<td>54.9 ± 9.3</td>
<td>51.7 ± 10.0</td>
<td>51.2 ± 10.6</td>
<td>52.1 ± 9.4</td>
<td>56.2 ± 9.0</td>
<td>58.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>59.3 ± 4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary protein ratio (%)</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93.1**</td>
<td>83.2**</td>
<td>53.4###</td>
<td>53.7###</td>
<td>16.7###</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>76.7##</td>
<td>42.8###</td>
<td>9.9###</td>
<td>3.3###</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean ± S.D. or number (percentage). β2-MG, β2-microglobulin.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, compared with the CG, and #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01, ### P < 0.001, compared with 0 h.

The concentration of index detected is below the threshold.
Figure 2. Comparison of concentrations of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10 in peripheral blood at different time points between the two groups
(A) concentration of TNF-α in peripheral blood at different time points; (B) concentration of IL-6 in peripheral blood at different time points; (C) concentration of IL-10 in peripheral blood at different time points. In both groups, n=67. Compared with the CG, *P<0.05, **P<0.01; compared with 1 h, #P<0.05, ##P<0.01.

Table 4 Comparison of VAS and RSS between the two groups of patients at different time points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>0 h</th>
<th>1 h</th>
<th>2 h</th>
<th>3 h</th>
<th>4 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.8*</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.9′′</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.6′′</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.6′′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSS</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.4′</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.7′</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.7′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean ± S.D. RSS, Ramsay sedation score; VAS, visual analogue score. *P<0.05, compared with the CG, and #P<0.05, ##P<0.01 when compared with 1 h.

Table 5 Adverse drug reactions in two groups of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Bradycardia</th>
<th>Hypotension</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Local pain</th>
<th>Dry mouth</th>
<th>Vomiting</th>
<th>Headache</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8 (26.7%)*</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)*</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)*</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>5 (16.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as number (percentage). CG, control group; IG, intervention group. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 when compared with the CG.

fluctuating. Compared with the CG, the VAS of the IG was decreased at each time point after drug administration, but the RSS was increased (all P<0.05).

Blood concentration of the parturients treated with dexmedetomidine at different time points
HPLC method was utilized to detect the concentration of dexmedetomidine in blood of the IG at different time points. As indicated in the (Figure 3), the concentration of dexmedetomidine in the patients’ blood was reduced by half after 20 minutes of drug injection. Moreover, after 240 minutes of injection, it was reduced to about 1/10 of the original. The results revealed that dexmedetomidine experienced a faster metabolism in cesarean section of PE parturient, and its efficacy can be effectively exerted.

Drug adverse reaction monitoring in two groups
The incidence of adverse drug reactions in patients 72 h after cesarean section and Apgar scores of newborns in 1, 5, and 10 min were shown in Tables 5 and 6. There was a higher proportion of bradycardia and hypotension in IG compared with the CG (both P<0.05). After relevant measures, the patients were improved and returned to normal. In addition, Apgar scores of neonates within 1, 5, and 10 min after delivery were not significantly different between two groups (both P<0.05).
Figure 3. Blood concentrations of dexmedetomidine at different time points (ng/L).

Table 6 Apgar scores in two groups of newborns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>1min ± S.D.</th>
<th>5min ± S.D.</th>
<th>10min ± S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>9.3±0.5</td>
<td>9.4±0.6</td>
<td>9.6±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>9.1±0.4</td>
<td>9.5±0.3</td>
<td>9.5±0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

A study designed by Awowole IO demonstrated that 40% of kidney damage of parturients was associated with PE [2]. Patients with PE are usually accompanied by vasospasm and pachemia, which can further lead to pathological changes such as reduced kidney blood perfusion or kidney tubular necrosis. In case of other complications during pregnancy at the same time, parturients are prone to develop acute kidney injury, which will become life threatening if severe [12]. Therefore, it is of a pivotal importance to find a safe and effective therapeutic method for kidney injury of PE parturients, so as to improve the pregnancy outcome. The PE patients were treated undergoing cesarean section with dexmedetomidine in present study and the results indicated that dexmedetomidine can improve the hypertension, relieve pain as well as strengthen calmness, furthermore, it also displayed a significant protective effect on kidney injury of parturients.

It has been confirmed that dexmedetomidine exerts notable positive effects in inhibiting plasma norepinephrine levels and inhibiting sympathetic nerves; thus, it was widely used in perioperative analgesia and sedation for various types of surgeries [13]. In recent years, accumulating evidence illustrates that dexmedetomidine also plays a protective role in kidney damage caused by sepsis and cardiac surgery [14, 15]. The possible mechanism for its protection against kidney damage induced by the above-mentioned diseases may be that dexmedetomidine can inhibit the sympathetic tension, decrease the inflammatory response and renal ischemia-reperfusion injury through binding to α2 adrenergic receptors [16]. Another study indicate that the dexmedetomidine can down-regulate the apoptosis of kidney tubular epithelial cells by inhibiting activation of signaling pathways such as Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of tran-ions (JAK/STAT) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) [17]. The present study demonstrates the value of dexmedetomidine in the protection of cesarean section of women with PE for the first time. Patients in the IG displayed significantly lower levels of SBP, DBP, and HR and increased levels of SpO2 after surgery compared with the CG (all P<0.05), suggesting the value of dexmedetomidine in effectively improving hypertension of PE parturients. This finding is also confirmed by the research conducted by Hariharan et al [18]. Dexmedetomidine exerts an analgesic effect primarily because it can inhibit peripheral C fibers and Aα fibers [19]. In addition, it can also act on the α2 receptor in the locus and the synaptic membrane of the spinal cord, subsequently inhibit the transmission of...
noxious stimuli and pain signals to the brain [20]. At the same time, dexmedetomidine can offer anxiolytic, hypnotic and sedative effects on patients through acting on the α2A receptor in the blue spot [21]. In the present study, PE parturients treated with intrathecal injection of dexmedetomidine displayed a significantly lower VAS and higher RSS after drug administration compared with the CG further confirmed the superiority of dexmedetomidine in analgesia and sedation.

Cr and urinary protein contents are critical indicators for clinical diagnosis of kidney injury and their enhancements in expression are considered to be closely correlated to the extent of renal trauma [22]. In addition, another study attested that the levels of urinary KIM-1 and β2-MG in PE parturients were significantly higher than those in normal parturients, suggesting that the level of β2-MG and KIM-1 in the urine play a vital role in preeclamptic kidney damage [23]. Liang et al. applied dexmedetomidine in cisplatin-based cancer chemotherapy and it was found that apart from promote to keep calm and dexmedetomidine can also exert protective effects in kidney damage induced by cisplatin. The regulation of apoptosis and immune responses may involved in the underlying mechanisms [24]. In the present study, though no significant difference was observed in kidney function indexes between the two groups before operation, the levels of β2-MG, KIM-1, and urinary protein in the IG were significantly lower than those in the CG at each time point after drug administration (P<0.05), indicating that dexmedetomidine plays a protective role in improving kidney injury of PE parturients during cesarean section. Furthermore, the response of an overall pro-inflammatory systemic environment is verified to be closely related to the morbidity of PE, the excessive release of inflammatory factors could contribute to the progression of PE, heart and kidney damage of patients [25]. In present study, the level of inflammatory factors in peripheral blood of patients in IG was significantly lower than that in the CG, demonstrating that dexmedetomidine is beneficial for inhibiting the release of inflammatory factors, the protective effects of dexmedetomidine against kidney damage in PE may be achieved by inhibiting the maternal inflammatory response and thereby reducing the pathological damage caused by inflammatory factors to the kidney. However, whether the immunomodulatory effects of dexmedetomidine work through other signal pathway or affected by other factors still remains unknown; thus, a larger number of experiments should be conducted in the future to further elucidate the underlying mechanism of dexmedetomidine in kidney injury of PE parturients undergoing cesarean section.

In conclusion, the aforementioned findings of the study strongly support the standpoint that dexmedetomidine cannot only promote analgesia and sedation, alleviate the symptoms of PE, but also contributes to down-regulating the levels of β2-MG, KIM-1, and urine protein, exerting a significant protective effect on kidney injury in PE parturients. Our study is of guiding significance in medicine application for PE parturients undergoing cesarean section.
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