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Comparative analysis of inflammatory signature profiles in eosinophilic and noneosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis
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Introduction

Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis (CRSwNP) is a complex inflammatory condition characterized by the presence of edematous masses of inflamed mucosa in the upper airways [1,2]. CRSwNP has a considerable impact on patients’ quality of life and is associated with high cost of management and significant morbidity [3]. Eosinophilic inflammation has been recognized as a major pathologic hallmark of CRSwNP in Caucasians. In contrast, recent studies have indicated that over half of the patients with CRSwNP in East Asia exhibit noneosinophilic inflammation [4,5]. Due to this heterogeneity, CRSwNP can be divided into two distinct endotypes, namely eosinophilic CRSwNP (ECRSwNP) and noneosinophilic CRSwNP (NECRSwNP) [6,7]. Nevertheless, the precise mechanisms that underlie the pathogenesis of these two endotypes are still largely unknown.
Conceptually, inflammatory mediators are small biologically active molecules that are important in the regulation of the growth, differentiation, and activation of immune cells at inflamed sites. Therefore, the mechanisms of inflammation in ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP involve a large number of inflammatory mediators and their associated pathways. Identifying and quantifying differentially expressed inflammatory mediators between ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP may expand our understanding of CRSwNP heterogeneity and aid to develop novel biological therapies [8–10]. Unfortunately, only few studies have compared inflammatory signatures between these two endotypes [11–13]. In addition, previous studies have been restricted by the limited range of inflammatory mediators tested.

Thus, to address this issue, a more comprehensive comparative analysis is needed. Antibody array is a high-throughput technique that allows detection of numerous inflammatory mediators on one membrane simultaneously. Hence, in the present study, we used a cytokine antibody array to delineate more complete inflammatory signature profiles for ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP and then employed bioinformatics tools to identify key biological functions and signaling pathways related to differential levels of inflammatory mediators.

Materials and methods

Patients and biopsy specimens

Adult subjects, including 30 patients with CRSwNP and 10 controls, were recruited from the Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery of Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha, China. The diagnosis of CRSwNP was established by medical history, nasal endoscopy, and computed tomography (CT) scan of the sinuses according to the European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps 2012 guidelines (EPOS 2012) [1]. Subjects with an immune deficient disease, antrochoanal polyps, fungal rhinosinusitis, cystic fibrosis, or primary ciliary dyskinesia were excluded from the study. Patients without sinus disease undergoing septoplasty or endoscopic skull base surgery were enrolled as controls. Any systemic or nasal administration of corticosteroids or antibiotics were ceased in all subjects 4 weeks preoperatively. Atopic status was assessed by using skin prick tests to a standard panel of common allergens, and patients were given a diagnosis of asthma by a pneumologist based on medical history and airway responsiveness testing. Preoperative CT scans were scored using the Lund–Mackay staging system [14]. Polyps were graded using the 0 to 3 scoring system recommended by the EPOS 2012 [1]. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before enrollment in the study.

Polyp tissues and turbinate mucosa were harvested from patients with CRSwNP and control subjects during endoscopic surgery, respectively. Tissue samples were divided into two parts: 1 part was fixed in 10% formaldehyde and subsequently embedded in paraffin wax for histological staining; the other part was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until use for immunoassays.

Determination of ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP

Paraffin-embedded samples were sectioned at 4 μm thickness and were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. All stained sections were examined by two independent observers who were blind to the clinical diagnosis and characteristics of the patients. The number of the infiltrating eosinophils was counted in 10 randomly selected high-power fields (HPFs). Referring to previously published criterion [4,15], CRSwNP was defined as eosinophilic when the percentage of tissue eosinophils was more than 10% of total inflammatory cells and as noneosinophilic otherwise.

Cytokine antibody array analysis

We employed a glass chip-based multiplex sandwich ELISA system (QAH-CAA-4000; RayBiotech, Norcross, GA, U.S.A.) to measure the concentrations of 200 different human inflammatory mediators (Supplementary Table S1). This array platform was a combination of five forty-cytokine arrays and each antibody was printed in quadruplicate. Measurements were performed according to the recommended protocols from the manufacturer. Briefly, tissue samples were weighed and homogenized and then the supernatants were harvested. The protein concentration of each extract was determined and normalized to 500 μg/ml. The arrays were blocked with sample buffer and then incubated with samples or serial diluted cytokine standards overnight at 4°C. After multiple washes, the arrays were incubated with a cocktail of biotinylated antibodies. The arrays were then washed and incubated with Cy3 equivalent dye-conjugated streptavidin. The images were captured using a microarray scanner (InnoScan 300; Innopsys, Carbonne, France). The fluorescence intensity data were analyzed with the array-specific Q-Analyzer Software (Ray-Biotech). The results were expressed as picogram per milliliter (pg/ml).
Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (n = 10)</th>
<th>NECRSwNP (n = 18)</th>
<th>ECRSwNP (n = 12)</th>
<th>P* value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, median (IQR)</td>
<td>44.5 (38–50.75)</td>
<td>39.5 (30.25–47.75)</td>
<td>49 (36–58.5)</td>
<td>0.464, 0.539, 0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male sex</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>5/13</td>
<td>1/11</td>
<td>1.000, 0.293, 0.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopy</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>6/18</td>
<td>4/12</td>
<td>0.062, 0.096, 1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>1.000, 1.000, 1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral CT score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16 (8–22)</td>
<td>19.5 (16–20.25)</td>
<td>NA, NA, 0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral polyp score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4 (3–5)</td>
<td>3.5 (2–5.25)</td>
<td>NA, NA, 0.573</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; CT, computer tomography; ECRSwNP, eosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps; NECRSwNP, noneosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps; NA, not applicable.

*P value: NECRSwNP vs. Control, ECRSwNP vs. Control, and ECRSwNP vs. NECRSwNP, respectively. P values were obtained from the Fisher’s exact test (categorical variables) or Mann–Whitney U test (continuous variables).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) and R 3.4.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The demographic and clinical characteristics were studied with the Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables. Differential mediator expression among three groups was first analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis H test followed by the Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) procedure for multiple testing correction [16]. If significance was found, the Mann–Whitney U test was then applied for between-group comparisons. The Spearman rank test was used to determine correlations, and pairwise correlation matrix was generated using the corplot package in R 3.4.0. In addition, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed and visualized using ggbiplot package in R 3.4.0, and mediator concentrations were log2-transformed in the analysis. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Bioinformatics analysis

To explore the biological functions and signaling pathways of differentially levels of inflammatory mediators, we performed Gene Ontology Biological Process (GO-BP) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analyses using the clusterProfiler package in R 3.4.0 [17]. FDR-adjusted P < 0.05 was set as the screening criterion.

Results

Patient characteristics

The demographic and clinical characteristics of all subjects enrolled in the present study are summarized in Table 1. Among 30 CRSwNP patients, 12 (40%) were identified as ECRSwNP, and the rest (n = 18, 60%) were classified as NECRSwNP. The three groups were not significantly different with respect to age distribution, sex ratio, and the presence of atopy and asthma comorbidity. In addition, CT and polyp scores did not differ significantly between the ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP groups. Typical histological findings of eosinophilic and noneosinophilic polyps are shown in Figure 1. As expected, marked eosinophil infiltration was observed in ECRSwNP group (P < 0.001). On the other hand, most of the infiltrating cells were plasma cells and lymphocytes in the NECRSwNP group.

Differences in inflammatory mediator levels among the different groups

First, the Kruskal–Wallis H tests were conducted to assess whether there were any overall significant differences in the levels of inflammatory mediators among ECRSwNP, NECRSwNP, and control groups. In this analysis, 44% (88/200) of the mediators were found to be statistically significant. After Benjamini–Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons, 33% (66/200) of the mediators remained significantly different (Supplementary Table S1). Of these 66 mediators, PCA was performed to gain an overview of the differences and similarities among three groups. The results demonstrated that ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP groups separated clearly from the control group on the first principal component, while there was a partial overlap between ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP samples on the second principal component (Figure 2).

Next, the Mann–Whitney U tests were carried out to ascertain which pairs of groups differed significantly. The results of between-group comparisons of inflammatory mediator levels are summarized in Supplementary Table S2. A total of 56 mediators were found to be significantly different in ECRSwNP when compared with controls, 57 to
Figure 1. Representative hematoxylin and eosin staining of control tissues and nasal polyps
(A) Control mucosa, (B) noneosinophilic polyp, and (C) eosinophilic polyp (Original magnification: ×400; scale bar = 50 μm; plasma cell, orange arrow; lymphocyte, blue arrow; eosinophil, red arrow). (D) Comparison of number of tissue eosinophils per HPF between ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP. Data are presented as a box and whisker plot, and the Mann–Whitney U test was used for the statistical analysis. Abbreviations: ECRSwNP, eosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis; NECRSwNP, noneosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis; HPF, high-power field.

be significantly different in NECRSwNP versus controls, and 16 to be significantly different in ECRSwNP versus NECRSwNP.

Finally, Venn analyses were used to determine inflammatory characteristics that were distinct in ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP. The results showed that nine mediators were significantly up-regulated in ECRSwNP as compared with NECRSwNP and controls (Figure 3A), including eotaxin-2, eotaxin-3, CCL18, IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13, and IL-15 (Figure 4). Moreover, seven mediators were identified to be significantly up-regulated in NECRSwNP when compared with ECRSwNP and controls (Figure 5A), including CCL20, resistin, transforming growth factor (TGF)-β2, triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 1 (TREM-1), CD14, glucocorticoid-induced tumor necrosis factor receptor related protein (GITR), and lipocalin-2 (Figure 6).

Functional and pathway enrichment analysis
To ascertain the different immunopathologic mechanisms of ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP, distinct inflammatory signature profiles in each endotype were subjected to functional enrichment and pathway analysis, respectively. In the analysis of inflammatory signature profile in ECRSwNP, the significantly over-represented GO-BP terms included leukocyte chemotaxis and proliferation and JAK-STAT cascade (Figure 3B). The significantly enriched KEGG pathways contained cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction, JAK-STAT signaling pathway, asthma, and Th1 and Th2
Figure 2. Principal component analysis based on the differential concentrations of inflammatory mediators among ECR-SwNP, NECRSwNP, and control groups

The X- and Y-axes represent the first and second principal components, respectively. Dots stand for individual samples. The types of the samples are color indicated. Abbreviations: ECRSwNP, eosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis; NECRSwNP, noneosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis.

Figure 3. GO-BP and KEGG enrichment analyses of differential concentrations of inflammatory mediators in ECRSwNP in comparison with NECRSwNP and controls

(A) Venn diagram summarizing the number of differential concentrations of inflammatory mediators identified in ECRSwNP when compared with NECRSwNP and controls. The up- and down-arrows represent up- and down-regulated mediators, respectively. The diagram displays the names of these differential concentrations of inflammatory mediators. (B) Top over-represented GO-BP terms. (C) Top enriched KEGG pathways. Abbreviations: ECRSwNP, eosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis; NECRSwNP, noneosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis; GO-BP, Gene Ontology Biological Process; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
Figure 4. Protein levels of nine inflammatory mediators that were significantly elevated in ECRSwNP as compared with NECRSwNP and controls

(A) Eotaxin-2, (B) eotaxin-3, (C) CCL18, (D) IL-4, (E) IL-5, (F) IL-10, (G) IL-12p70, (H) IL-13, and (I) IL-15. Data are presented as box and whisker plots, and the Mann–Whitney U test was used for the statistical analysis. Abbreviations: ECRSwNP, eosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis; NECRSwNP, noneosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis.
Figure 5. GO-BP and KEGG enrichment analyses of differential concentrations of inflammatory mediators in NECRSwNP in comparison with ECRSwNP and controls

(A) Venn diagram summarizing the number of differential concentrations of inflammatory mediators identified in NECRSwNP when compared with ECRSwNP and controls. The up- and down-arrows represent up- and down-regulated mediators, respectively. The diagram displays the names of these differential concentrations of inflammatory mediators. (B) Top over-represented GO-BP terms. (C) Top enriched KEGG pathways. Abbreviations: ECRSwNP, eosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps; NECRSwNP, noneosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps; TGF-β2, transforming growth factor beta 2; TREM-1, triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 1; GITR, glucocorticoid-induced tumor necrosis factor receptor related protein; GO-BP, Gene Ontology Biological Process; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

cell differentiation (Figure 3C). As for inflammatory signature profile in NECRSwNP, GO-BP enrichment analysis demonstrated that response to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), response to molecule of bacterial origin, and neutrophil chemotaxis and migration were significantly over-represented (Figure 5B). The significantly enriched KEGG pathways included cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction and IL-17 signaling pathway (Figure 5C).

Correlations among inflammatory mediators and tissue eosinophil infiltration

We further assessed if distinct inflammatory signature profiles in ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP could correlate with one another and with tissue eosinophil infiltration. To do so, we performed pairwise correlation analyses among these variables (Figure 7). The results showed that the levels of inflammatory mediators were broadly associated with each other in all CRSwNP subjects. The highest positive correlation was between IL-5 and IL-13 (r = 0.916, P < 0.001), and the highest negative correlation was between IL-13 and lipocalin-2 (r = −0.647, P < 0.001). Furthermore, the levels of inflammatory mediators were generally correlated with tissue eosinophil infiltration. For instance, IL-15 concentrations were positively correlated with tissue eosinophil numbers (r = 0.632, P < 0.001), and TREM-1 levels were inversely associated with tissue eosinophil counts (r = −0.500, P = 0.005). Overall, these findings reflected complex interactions among differential levels of inflammatory mediators and tissue eosinophil infiltration.

Discussion

ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP represent two distinct endotypes of CRSwNP that exhibit different clinical and pathologic features [18–21]. For better management of ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP, there is a clear need to investigate the underlying different molecular mechanisms of these two endotypes. Differences in inflammatory signature profiles
between ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP may play a critical role in CRSwNP heterogeneity. Therefore, in the present study, we performed multi-analyte profiling of various immune factors, characterized distinct inflammatory characteristics for ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP, and tested for correlations with tissue eosinophil infiltration.

In the analysis of cytokine antibody array data, a total of nine inflammatory mediators were identified to be markedly up-regulated in ECRSwNP in comparison with NECRSwNP and controls. Many of them are classical Th2-related cytokines and chemokines including IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, eotaxin-2, eotaxin-3, and CCL18, which can together promote the maturation, activation, and recruitment of eosinophils to polyp tissues [22–24]. Indeed, our pairwise correlation analyses also showed significantly positive associations of these mediator levels with each other and with mucosal eosinophilia. Thus, these results confirmed an exaggerated Th2 inflammatory signature in ECRSwNP, which are in agreement with previous literature [4,12,25].

It should be noted that the protein levels of IL-10, IL-12p70, and IL-15 were also significantly elevated in ECRSwNP. IL-10 is a potent anti-inflammatory cytokine that exerts immunosuppressive functions to limit inappropriate inflammatory responses [26]. As IL-12p70 can efficiently stimulate the differentiation of naive CD4+ T cells to the Th1 subset, IL-12p70 has been described as an inhibitory factor for Th2 induction and development [27,28]. IL-15 is a pleiotropic cytokine that has immunomodulatory effects on diverse cell types [29]. Recently, several in vivo studies have demonstrated a protective effect of IL-15 in Th2-mediated eosinophilic airway inflammation [30]. Altogether, it is tempting to speculate that IL-10, IL-12p70, and IL-15 may play negative feedback roles in resistance to an excessive Th2 inflammatory reaction in ECRSwNP. Yet, further studies are necessary to assess this hypothesis.

Although NECRSwNP is a more prevalent endotype of CRSwNP in East Asian population [4,5], few publications have focused on the immunological characteristics of noneosinophilic nasal polyps. In the present study, we found a total of seven inflammatory mediators to be significantly up-regulated in NECRSwNP compared with ECRSwNP and controls, including CCL20, resistin, TGF-β2, TREM-1, CD14, GITR, and lipocalin-2. The roles of most of these mediators have not been characterized before in NECRSwNP. Using GO-BP and KEGG enrichment analyses, we found
that these inflammatory mediators were mainly concentrated in LPS responses, neutrophil chemotaxis and migration, and IL-17 signaling pathway. In addition, our pairwise correlation analyses suggested that the concentrations of these mediators were generally negatively associated with polyp eosinophil numbers.

LPS is a ubiquitous cell wall constituent of gram-negative bacteria. CD14, an important receptor in the innate immune system, plays a pivotal role in sensing and binding of LPS [31,32]. Elevated protein level of CD14 in noneosinophilic nasal polyps might be indicative of high LPS exposure in NECRSwNP [33]. Importantly, it has been documented that the expression of resistin, TREM-1, lipocalin-2, and CCL20 are strongly up-regulated upon stimulation with LPS [34–38]. Resistin has the potential to induce neutrophil proinflammatory responses and promote neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) formation [39]. TREM-1, expressed on neutrophils and monocytes/macrophages, has been shown to amplify innate immune responses via triggering neutrophil degranulation and enhancing phagocytic activity [36,40,41]. Furthermore, lipocalin-2, also known as neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), has a bacteriostatic effect in the innate immune responses against invading pathogens [37,42]. CCL20 is expressed on epithelial cells and can function as a potent chemotactic factor for the recruitment of CCR6-expressing Th17 cells to sites of inflammation [38,43,44]. Collectively, our findings indicated that NECRSwNP is highly related to neutrophilic Th17 inflammatory responses to LPS exposure.
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Some limitations in the present study should be acknowledged. First, it was designed as a cross-sectional study, in which dynamic changes of immune mediator levels in the occurrence and development of ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP could not be determined and compared. Second, the sample size of the present study was relatively small. This may limit statistical power to reveal differences in inflammatory mediator levels among ECRSwNP, NECRSwNP, and control groups. Thus, further investigation in another independent larger cohort is required to confirm the findings of the present study.

Conclusions
In summary, using the antibody array technique, we found that ECRSwNP and NECRSwNP presented distinct types of inflammatory signature profiles. ECRSwNP is characterized by a predominant Th2 milieu, whereas NECRSwNP is closely associated with LPS responses and neutrophilic Th17-driven inflammation. The results of the present study may contribute to a better understanding of CRSwNP heterogeneity and provide new insight into the development of personalized therapeutic strategies for patients with CRSwNP.
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