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The gut relies on the complex interaction between epithelial, stromal and immune cells to maintain gut health in the face of food particles and pathogens. Innate sensing by the intestinal epithelium is critical for maintaining epithelial barrier function and also orchestrating mucosal immune responses. Numerous innate pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) are involved in such sensing. In recent years, several Nucleotide-binding-domain and Leucine-rich repeat-containing receptors (NLRs) have been found to partake in pathogen or damage sensing while also being implicated in gut pathologies, such as colitis and colorectal cancer (CRC). Here, we discuss the current literature focusing on NLR family apoptosis inhibitory proteins (NAIPs) and other NLRs that have non-inflamma-some roles in the gut. The mechanisms behind NLR-mediated protection often converges on similar signalling pathways, such as STAT3, MAPK and NFκB. Further understanding of how these NLRs contribute to the maintenance of gut homeostasis will be important for understanding gut pathologies and developing new therapies.

Introduction

The intestinal tract is a complex environment, which must achieve both nutrient absorption and protection from pathogens. The combination of food particles, the microbiota, various cell types and potential pathogens requires delicate cross-talk to achieve gut homeostasis and prevent disease. The intestinal epithelium plays a pivotal role in this, acting as a physical barrier and the first line of defence. As such, understanding epithelial-intrinsic immune pathways can provide insight into the mechanisms which limit infections, such as Salmonella typhimurium (STM) and Shigella, but also mechanisms that facilitate or exacerbate disease in the context of colorectal cancer (CRC) and inflammatory disorders.

The gut epithelium comprises a single layer of cells, connected via tight junctions. The epithelium produces a mucus layer and secretes anti-microbial peptides to further prevent breach of the barrier. Intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) express a broad arsenal of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), allowing them to recognise a variety of pathogen and damage associated molecular patterns (PAMPs and DAMPs). The four main families of PRRs include Toll-like receptors (TLRs), retinoic acid-inducible gene 1-like receptors (RLRs), C-type lectin receptors (CLRs) and Nucleotide-binding domain and leucine rich repeat-containing Receptors (NLRs) [1]. A variety of functions occur downstream of receptor activation, many of which signal to cells of the lamina propria beneath the IEC layer, including immune cells.

Here, we focus on the NLR family and in particular NLR family apoptosis inhibitory proteins (NAIPs). Whilst many NLR proteins, including NAIPs, form inflammasomes upon activation, non-inflammasome roles have now been identified for several of the NLR family members. In IECs, a number of NLRs have been linked to disease, in particular CRC. Understanding the diverse epithelial intrinsic roles of NAIPs and other NLR proteins in gut health will enable a more thorough understanding of the signalling which occurs in disease contexts and potentially uncover novel therapeutic targets.
NLR family apoptosis inhibitory proteins

NAIPs are intracellular PRRs which, as NLR proteins, contain a NACHT domain plus a leucine-rich repeat domain, with three additional N-terminal baculovirus inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) protein repeat (BIR) domains at the N-terminus [2]. The C57BL/6 genome encodes 4 functional NAIP paralogues which sense components of Gram-negative bacteria. For example, NAIP 1 and 2 can bind the PrgI needle and PrgJ rod subunit of the Type III secretion system (T3SS) of S. typhimurium (S. Typhimurium), respectively, whereas NAIP 5 and 6 recognise flagellin [3–5]. Humans express a single NAIP isoform which senses both flagellin and S. Typhimurium T3SS components [4–7]. Whilst the majority of literature has characterised NAIPs in macrophages, they are also highly expressed in other innate immune cells and IECs [8–10].

The NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome

Upon ligand binding, NAIPs oligomerize with NLR family CARD-containing 4 (NLRC4) to form the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome. This is one of several canonical inflammasomes, which usually consist of caspase-1, the adaptor protein ASC (apoptosis speck-like protein), plus an NLR protein (e.g. NLRP1, NLRP3, NLRC4, NLRP6 or NLRP12) or proteins such as Absent in Melanoma 2 (AIM2) [11]. NLRC4 then directly recruits pro-caspase-1 via the association of the CARD domains, or alternatively via the adaptor protein ASC, which allows it to recruit pro-caspase-1 or pro-caspase-8 (Figure 1) [2,12]. The pro-caspases are then cleaved and activated [11]. In macrophages, Caspase-1 proteolytically cleaves and activates pro-IL-1β, pro-IL-18 and Gasdermin D - the latter forming pores in the plasma membrane of the cell, resulting in pyroptosis and release of IL-1β and IL-18 [13–17]. Caspase-8 activation leads to apoptotic cell death, with IL-1β, IL-18 and other alarmins retained within the cell, resulting in a less inflammatory form of cell death [18]. This caspase-8 mediated apoptosis can be inhibited by TLR signalling, via expression of c-FLIP - a process leaving NLRC4-mediated pyroptosis unaffected [18]. In addition, Caspase-3 has recently been reported to be activated by the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome. Caspase-3, an executioner caspase, is canonically activated by initiator caspases, such as Caspase-8, resulting in apoptotic cell death (18).

In IECs, pathogen detection by NAIPs leads to expulsion of the infected cell into the gut lumen and cell death [9] (Figure 1). Whilst this causes moderate enteropathy, it acts as a critical first line of defence in limiting bacterial dissemination. This was illustrated by Hausmann et al. using wild-type (WT) isogenic tagged strains of S. typhimurium to determine dissemination to the mesenteric lymph nodes. Increased numbers of S. Typhimurium were found in Nhr4−/− and IEC-specific NAIP knock-out mice (Naip1–6ΔNaipC), however this effect was lost when using a S. Typhimurium strain which bypasses the IECs. The NAIP ligands, flagellin and the T3SS, are required for IEC invasion and are subsequently down-regulated to avoid immune detection. Thus, NAIPs expressed in the IECs, as opposed to the innate immune cells, are vital in the initial detection of Salmonella infection [19]. A separate study showed that lack of Caspase-1 caused increased S. Typhimurium burden and reduced epithelial cell expulsion, with Caspase-11 only having an effect under inflammatory conditions, generated by pre-treatment with IFNγ, further highlighting the importance of this pathway in early infection [20]. In the absence of this mechanism, S. Typhimurium-infected mice have excessive IEC loss and collapse of the epithelial barrier at later time points, due to TNF release by bone marrow-derived cells [21].

NAIP-mediated cell death appears to take on both apoptotic and pyroptotic qualities in IECs. Mice treated with potent NAIP activator FlaTox exhibited fluid loss, vascular leakage and diarrhea. Initial experiments using FlaTox, a potent NAIP5–6 activator which delivers flagellin to the cytosol of cells, found that lysis of IECs occurred prior to cell expulsion in a manner similar to pyroptosis [12]. This effect was lost if both caspase-1 and caspase-8, or caspase-1 alone, was ablated [12]. However, S. Typhimurium infection of intestinal organoids has since found that plasma membrane integrity is lost at varying stages, most often after expulsion, as well as caspase-3 cleavage [21]. Taken together, these results suggest a combination of inflammatory and apoptotic cell death occurs, potentially with activation of multiple caspases simultaneously and redundancy between the caspases [12,18,21]. This heterogenous activation of cell death pathways is in line with the emerging concept of ‘PAN-optosis’ [22]. The resultant gap created by cell expulsion is closed by cells in an actomyosin-dependent manner, allowing the epithelial barrier to be maintained. If actin polymerisation is blocked no expulsion occurs [12,23]. In addition, contractions of IECs occur which densely packs cells at the site of infection. Sub-lytic levels of Gasdermin D-mediated pore formation are thought to lead to ion fluxes which trigger these non-muscle myosin II contractions. This contraction was shown in both mouse and human IEC monolayers, and was lost if NAIPs, NLRC4, caspase-1 or Gasdermin D were ablated as well as in the presence of blebbistatin or Gd3+, which blocks myosin and ion fluxes caused by Gasdermin D pores, respectively [23].
Alongside cell death and expulsion, NAIP/NLRC4 activation in IECs results in release of IL-18 and eicosanoids [12,24]. Mice treated with potent NAIP activator FlaTox suffered fluid loss, vascular leakage and diarrhoea, with Nlr4−/−, Naip5−/− and Caspase-1−/− mice being protected from this effect [24]. Eicosanoid release had been suggested to be a result of caspase-1-mediated Ca2+ influx into peritoneal macrophages, resulting in...
activation of cPLA₂, the enzyme upstream of eicosanoid biosynthesis [24]. However, intestinal tissue PGE₂ release following FlaTox treatment has since been shown to be comparable between WT mice and mice with NLRC4 expression restricted to the IECs, suggesting that eicosanoids release can be mediated by the IECs [12]. The mechanism of NAIPs/NLRC4-mediated eicosanoid release hasn’t been explored, but could feasibly be similar to that described in macrophages (caspase-1-mediated Ca²⁺ influx).

**NAIPs as tumour suppressors**

Aside from their roles involving the NLRC4 inflammasome, NAIPs have also been shown to function as tumour suppressors in CRC. Allam et al. found that mice with all NAIP isoforms deleted (Naip1−/−/6−/−) were protected from dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis, but had greater tumour burden following treatment with the carcinogen azoxymethane (AOM) alone, and in the AOM/DSS model of colitis-associated cancer. The effect was epithelial NAIP-mediated, as IEC-specific KO had increased AOM/DSS-induced tumourigenesis whereas knockout in the myeloid compartment had comparable tumour burden as littermate and Naip6−/− mice [8]. During DSS-induced colitis, Naip1−/−/6−/ΔΔ mice exhibited reduced pro-inflammatory cytokine transcripts (Il-1α/β, -6, -17, Cxcl-1) and increased anti-apoptotic and survival transcripts (Bcl-2, Myc, Mdm2, Ccnd1 and Il-22), suggesting increased repair following damage and limitation of inflammation [8]. Interestingly, Naip1−/−/6−/ΔΔ mice failed to activate p53 and apoptosis in the early response to carcinogen. Naip1−/−/6−/− mice also had increased STAT3 phosphorylation following AOM exposure compared with Naip1−/−/6−/ΔΔ controls; which was absent from Nlr4−/−, Caspase-1/11−/− or Asc−/− mice, implying no involvement of inflammasome signaling in this phenotype [8]. Additionally, production of IL-1β or IL-18 was not affected in Naip1−/−/6−/ΔΔ mice after AOM nor during active colitis or in tumours, further implying that inflammasome activation is not involved in the phenotype of Naip1−/−/6−/ΔΔ mice (8). Indeed, it would not be expected that known activators of the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome (i.e. pathogen components) would be present in the context of carcinogen or DSS, though it is feasible that a component of the microbiota could provide such a signal [8]. Other studies have reported that Caspase1−/− and Nlr4−/− mice also have increased tumour burden following AOM/DSS treatment, but exhibited similar colitis symptoms to WT, in contrast with Naip1−/−/6−/ΔΔ mice [8,25], suggesting that NAIPs, NLRC4 and caspases might not only have differing roles during tumour induction, but also likely involve multiple different pathways. It is worth noting that these studies often did not use littermate controls, which could influence the susceptibility to colitis and therefore CRC. In the absence of side-by-side knock-out experiments of the different inflammasome components (i.e. NAIPs, NLRC4, ASC, Caspase-1) using corresponding littermate controls, it is difficult to unpick whether the effect of NAIPs in cancer is driven exclusively by non-inflammasome or inflammasome mechanisms, or a combination of the two. In addition, due to the difficulty of generating quadruple KO mice, Allam et al. deleted the entire Naip locus. Thus the possibility of off-target effects due to deletion of regulatory elements in the non-coding regions cannot be excluded. The role of individual NAIPs could also be investigated in the future as it is unclear how much redundancy exists between the different paralogues in the context of colitis and CRC. NAIPs have also been shown to be down-regulated in mouse and human colorectal tumours, which could imply loss of cell types expressing NAIPs or an active repression of what might be considered an innate tumour suppressor to aid tumour escape [8,26].

**Other NLR family members in CRC**

A number of NLR proteins have non-inflammasome functions, including NLRP10, NLRC3, NLRC5, NLRX1 and CIITA. These NLRs often regulate transcription of the NFκB, MAPK and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) signalling pathways [27,28]. A number of NLR family protein have been linked to cancer development (Figure 2). Increased tumorigenesis following AOM/DSS exposure is seen in Nlrp3−/−, Nlrp3−/−, Nlrp6−/−, Nlr4−/−, Nlrp1−/−, Nlr1−/− and Nlrp12−/− mice [27]. Many NLR proteins have been linked to cancer development via inflammasome-dependent pathways [29], including NLRC4 [25] and NLRP1 [30]. Caspase-1−/− and Asc−/− mice have also been shown to have increased tumorigenesis following AOM/DSS exposure [25,29]. Loss of IL-18 release is thought to at least partially mediate this, with I118−/− and I118r1−/− mice also having increased tumorigenesis following AOM/DSS exposure, along with decreased expression of DNA damage repair genes Atm, Attr, Msh1 and Parp1 [29]. In Caspase-1−/− mice, administration of IL-18 reduced signs of inflammation, ulceration and hyperplasia in AOM/DSS treated mice [29,31]. As NLR proteins are expressed in multiple cell types in the colon, both haematopoietic and non-haematopoietic compartments.
have been implicated in their tumour suppressor functions. Here onwards, we focus on non-inflammasome roles of NLR proteins in the IECs (Figure 2).

NLRX1

NLRX1 is unusual in the NLR family in that it does not form an inflammasome; conversely it is thought to negatively regulate inflammatory responses [32]. Studies have found NLRX1 in IECs to have a tumour suppressor role, and human colon tumours also have reduced NLRX1 expression [33,34]. Tumorigenesis is increased in both whole-body and IEC-specific Nlrx1 deletion in the AOM/DSS model and in Apcmin/+ mice, which sporadically develop intestinal polyps [32–34]. IEC-specific knock out of Nlrx1 resulted in increased Tnf, Egf and
Nlrp12

NLRP12 is generally thought to act as a negative regulator of NFκB signalling, but also detects *Yersinia* pestis infection to induce inflammasome signalling [37-39]. *Nlrp12−/−* mice are more susceptible to colitis and colitis-associated cancer, due to heightened NFκB and ERK signalling [40,41]. Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells isolated from *Nlrp12−/−* mice had sustained NFκB-induced kinase (NIK) activation and decreased levels of TRAF3, which normally functions to regulate non-canonical NFκB signalling. Different studies have reached opposing conclusions as to whether the haematopoietic or non-haematopoietic compartment are responsible for the phenotype of *Nlrp12−/−* mice, highlighting that NLRs in numerous cell types can play a role in cancer development [40,41]. Elevated expression of various cancer-associated genes, such as Akt1, Jun, Nrc3c1 and the NIK-regulated genes, Cxcl12 and Cxcl13, has also been observed in *Nlrp12−/−* colons following AOM/DSS exposure [40]. However, it is worth noting that neither of these studies used littermate controls. Differences in the microbiota strongly influence the development of inflammation following DSS treatment [42]. DSS-induced inflammation is a major driver of carcinogenesis in the AOM/DSS model, meaning further studies would be required to determine if microbiota differences were affecting these results [43]. Previous studies have already determined that *Nlrp12−/−* mice have an altered microbiome due to increased basal colonic inflammation, leading to increased colitis susceptibility, further highlighting the importance of the microbiome in the development of inflammatory diseases [44].

AIM2

AIM2 recognises cytosolic DNA and forms an inflammasome, resulting in caspase-1 activation [45]. A reduction in AIM2 expression has been observed in a number of human cancers, including CRC [46]. Loss of AIM2 has also been shown to increase tumorigenesis in both the AOM/DSS and *ApcMin/−* models of CRC [45,47]. This effect appeared to be independent of the inflammasome as levels of IL-1β and IL-18 are comparable to WT [45,47]. Several studies have found AIM2 to suppress the PI3K/Akt pathway [45,47,48], and Wilson et al. [47] showed that AIM2 associated with and reduced the activation of DNA-PK, a kinase which phosphorylates Akt. This appeared to be mediated by epithelial cells as *Aim2−/−* epithelial organoids stimulated by Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 (IGF-1), known to activate the Akt signalling pathway, had elevated p-Akt compared with WT. In contrast, no difference was seen in p-Akt levels in IGF-1- or lipopolysaccharide-stimulated WT versus *Aim2−/−* bone-marrow derived macrophages [47]. The absence of PI3K/Akt pathway suppression by AIM2 has been shown to decrease apoptosis in HCT116 CRC cells [48], as well as increase the capacity of stem cells to form organoids in culture [45]. However, other studies have suggested that AIM2 may regulate CRC via caspase-1-mediated induction of cell death and regulation of the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition [49,50].

NLRC3

Two independent studies have shown NLRC3 has tumour suppressive roles in IECs [51,52]. *Nlrc3−/−* mice are more susceptible to DSS-induced colitis and had greater tumorigenesis in both the AOM/DSS and *ApcMin/+* model. *Nlrc3−/−* mice cohoused with WT were as susceptible to tumorigenesis as separately housed *Nlrc3−/−* mice, indicating that susceptibility to tumorigenesis was not due to microbiota changes [52]. Specific knock out of *Nlrc3* in the IECs also resulted in increased tumorigenesis following AOM/DSS compared with knock out in the myeloid or haematopoietic compartment. Following AOM/DSS treatment, *Nlrc3−/−* mice had elevated
levels of IxBα and STAT3 phosphorylation and increased macrophage, neutrophil and NK cell influx in the colon. Elevated Ki67 and PCNA, indicating cell proliferation, and increased phosphorylation of S6 kinase and AKT, downstream targets of mTOR, were observed in the IECs [51]. Stem cells from both AOM/DSS-treated Nlr3−/− and ApcMin+/Nlrc3−/− more readily formed organoids compared with WT and ApcMin/+ mice, respectively; indicative of being more prone to tumorigenesis [51]. Stem cell markers, such as OLFM4 and SOX9, were also increased in colons of Nlr3−/− mice compared with WT following AOM exposure [52]. Treatment of ApcMin+/Nlr3−/− mice with a PI3K/mTOR inhibitor reduced organoid-forming capacity, tumour burden and phosphorylation of S6 kinase, indicating a mechanism by which NLRC3 maintains homeostasis and limits tumourigenesis. Furthermore, NLRC3 was found to co-immunoprecipitate with subunit p85 of PI3K, leading to the hypothesis that NLRC3 disrupts the association between subunits p85 and p110a, thus reducing PI3K activity and suppressing tumorigenesis [51].

**Future considerations**

The cellular roles of NLRs have been studied in great detail in innate phagocytes, and are increasingly being studied in intestinal epithelial cells. The role of NAIPs in gram-negative bacteria infection have been well established, however the mechanisms by which NAIPs influence CRC and colitis remain ill-defined. An outstanding question is how NLR functions, inflammasome-dependent and independent, impact on the underlying mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT). The link between altered inflammatory mediators and changes in mucosal immune responses in the context of IEC-specific deletion of NLR proteins has been little studied. As discussed, Nlr1−/− mice had increased TNF or IL-6 production [33,34], which could invariably affect immune infiltration and function. Bacterial-mediated NAIP/NLRC4 activation in IECs led to robust IL-18 and eicosanoid production, particularly PGE2, which can promote Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation and function [12,53]. It remains to be determined whether eicosanoids might be affected during AOM and DSS insults in a NAIPs-dependent manner. Clearly there are multiple avenues by which NLRs could be influencing the immune compartment during CRC and colitis, but further work is required to establish these mechanisms. Additionally, little is known whether there is a homeostatic role for these NLRs, particularly those with inflammasome-independent function as these could conceivably be active in the steady state. Crucially, any future work investigating the role of IEC-expressed NLRs in carcinogenesis will need to prioritise the use of littermate controls, due to the influence the microbiota can have on the development of inflammation in models such as AOM/DSS treatment [42]. A study of Nlpr6−/− mice using littermate controls highlighted this necessity, finding that Nlrp6 knockout had no effect on the microbiome or susceptibility to DSS-induced colitis, in contrast with previous studies which did not use littermate controls [54].

**Conclusion**

The gut is a unique environment, relying on the integrated communication between various cell types. As such, the downstream consequences of PRR activation can have a wide impact on various signalling pathways, in some cases with distinct effects in specific cell types [1]. The epithelial-intrinsic effects of NAIPs are pivotal to the immune response against pathogens such as Stm; epithelial-expressed NAIPs are in a unique position to exploit the bacterial requirement for flagellin and the T3SS to reach and infect epithelial cells, activating NLRC4 and downstream inflammasome signalling [9,12,19,21]. But similar to several other NLR proteins, NAIPs also suppress tumour formation in the colon, which appears to not require NLRC4 inflammasome signalling [8]. NAIPs, NLRC3, NLRX1, NLRP12 and AIM2 all suppress tumourigenesis in an epithelial-intrinsic manner. There are recurring observations across studies, including activation of STAT3, PI3K, mTOR, Akt and NFκB (illustrated in Figure 2) [32–34,40,47,51,55]. Together, these studies highlight the importance of epithelial NLR proteins in CRC development. Understanding these pathways in greater detail will help clarify the complex sequence of events that lead to and exacerbate CRC, thus enabling the development of more effective therapies.

**Perspectives**

- NAIPs have epithelial-intrinsic functions to control Salmonella infection, with inflammasome activation inducing a range of responses not typical to that seen in macrophages.
NAIPs and other NLRs have non-inflammasome functions in the intestinal epithelium which protect from tumourigenesis, suggestive of innate immune checkpoints to cancer development.

Further understanding of epithelial NLR functions in maintaining homeostasis and effects on mucosal immune status is warranted.
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